

Further submission in support of, or in opposition to, submission on notified proposed plan change



About preparing a further submission on a proposed plan change

You must use the prescribed form

- [Clause 8](#), Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) requires further submissions to be on the prescribed form.
- The prescribed form is set out in [Form 6](#), Schedule 1 of the Resource Management (Forms, Fees, and Procedure) Regulations 2003.
- This template is based on Form 6. While you do not have to use this template, your submission must be in accordance with Form 6.

Certain persons may make further submissions

- Under clause 8, Schedule 1 of the RMA the following persons may make a further submission, in the prescribed form, on a proposed plan to the relevant local authority:
 - any person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest
 - any person that has an interest in the proposed policy statement or plan greater than the interest that the general public has
 - the local authority itself.
- You will need to explain why you meet one of these categories (space is provided in the form for this below).

Your further submission and contact details will be made publicly available

- [Section 352](#) of the RMA allows you to choose your email to be your address for service. If you select this option, you can also request your postal address be withheld from being publicly available. To choose this option please tick the relevant boxes below.

Note to person making the submission

- A copy of your further submission must be served on the original submitter within 5 working days after it is served on the local authority (Kāpiti Coast District Council).

Reasons why a further submission may be struck out

Please note that your further submission (or part of your further submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the further submission (or part of the further submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further
- it contains offensive language
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

To Kāpiti Coast District Council

Further Submission in Support of (or Opposition to) a Submission on Proposed Plan Change to the Operative Kapiti Coast District Plan 2021

Plan Change Number: 2

Plan Change Name: Intensification

Further submitter details

Full name of person making further submission: Brent and Leanne Morris

Contact person (name and designation, if applicable): As above

Postal address (or alternative method of service under section 352 of the RMA):



Telephone: 04 298 9992

Electronic address for service of person making further submission (i.e. email):

cottagetails@xtra.co.nz

I would like my address for service to be my email *[select box if applicable]*



I have selected email as my address for service, and I would also like my postal address withheld from being publicly available *[select box if applicable]*



State whether you are *[select appropriate box]*

a person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest.



In this case, also please specify the grounds for saying that you come within this category

a person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has.



In this case, also please explain the grounds for saying that you come within this category

We live in Otaihanga and are neighbours to the Mansells. These proposed changes are going to affect our rural lifestyle.

the local authority for the relevant area.



Scope of further submission

I support **oppose** **the submission of:** *[select the appropriate wording]*

Original Submitter's Name and Address for Service:

Shane & Jocelyn Murland - S091.01, Catchpole Wynne Ltd - S052.01, RP, AJ and MR Mansell - S023.01, Bellabby Ltd - S093.01, and Cuttriss Consultants Ltd - S043.03.

Submission number of original submission: S091, S052, S023, S093 and S043.

Particular parts of the submission I support (or oppose) are:

Clearly indicate which parts of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal. While it is not a requirement, it would be helpful if you could state the submission point number as listed in the summary of decisions requested document.

Oppose all of their submissions points (S091.01, S052.01, S023.01, S093.01, and S043.03) where they are requesting the rezoning of properties in Otaihanga (both Ratanui Road and Otaihanga Road) to go from Rural Lifestyle Zone to General Residential Zone.

Continue on a separate sheet if necessary

The reasons for my support (or opposition) are:

[give reasons]

Their rezoning requests would create residential pockets in Rural Lifestyle Zone in Otaihanga. With the current government's bringing in a National Policy Statement on Highly Productive Land, this limits the creation of any new lifestyle blocks in Kapiti forever going forward. With rezoning Otaihanga to General Residential Zone the current lifestyle blocks could be carved up for subdivisions and changing the area forever, and affect the character of the area. Once this is done there is no going back for future generations.

There needs to be more planning done for Otaihanga than just allowing random pockets of mass housing here there and everywhere.

Plus the area will lose the low night pollution which there are not many places left in Kapiti for this. We also feel you need to consider the implications on the native birds that live in Otaihanga - eg we have visiting falcons, kingfishers, herons, tuis, bellbirds, moreporks.

With pockets of rural lifesyle zone in amoungst rural area people are going to complain about rural animals eg we have a rooster and geese which are very noisy and our neighbours have donkeys.

There is not enough infrastructure in Otaihanga to handle intensification, especially if 3, three storeys are allowed. For example, our property has a sewer tank and pump that has to be pumped up over multiple hills and acres to connect to the existing mains in Tiekoo Street. There is no existing sewage connection along Otaihanga Road for the part of Otaihanga where we live.

Continue on a separate sheet if necessary

I seek that [select appropriate box]

The whole or part of the original submission be allowed disallowed

[describe precisely which part below]

Continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Hearing Submissions [select appropriate box]

I wish to be heard in support of my further submission.

I do not wish to be heard in support of my further submission.

If you wish to be heard, please tick one of the following

If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.

If others make a similar submission, I will not consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.

23 November 2022

Signature of person making a further submission
(or person authorised to sign on behalf
of person making further submission)

Date

A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.

Email your further submission to district.planning@kapiticoast.govt.nz or
post/deliver to:

Attn: District Planning Team
Kāpiti Coast District Council
175 Rimu Road
Paraparaumu 5032

For office use only

Further submission No:
S235.FS.1

From: cottagetails@xtra.co.nz
To: [Mailbox - District Planning](#)
Subject: Do not agree!!
Date: Wednesday, 23 November 2022 7:44:01 pm
Attachments: [Brent and Leanne Morris Opposing Further Submission.pdf](#)

I hope I have filled this in correctly

Thank you

Leanne Morris

04 298 9992