Further submission in support of, or in opposition to, submission on notified proposed plan change



About preparing a further submission on a proposed plan change

You must use the prescribed form

- <u>Clause 8</u>, Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) requires further submissions to be on the prescribed form.
- The prescribed form is set out in <u>Form 6</u>, Schedule 1 of the Resource Management (Forms, Fees, and Procedure) Regulations 2003.
- This template is based on Form 6. While you do not have to use this template, your submission must be in accordance with Form 6.

Certain persons may make further submissions

- Under clause 8, Schedule 1 of the RMA the following persons may make a further submission, in the prescribed form, on a proposed plan to the relevant local authority:
 - o any person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest
 - any person that has an interest in the proposed policy statement or plan greater than the interest that the general public has
 - o the local authority itself.
- You will need to explain why you meet one of these categories (space is provided in the form for this below).

Your further submission and contact details will be made publicly available

 <u>Section 352</u> of the RMA allows you to choose your email to be your address for service. If you select this option, you can also request your postal address be withheld from being publicly available. To choose this option please tick the relevant boxes below.

Note to person making the submission

 A copy of your further submission must be served on the original submitter within 5 working days after it is served on the local authority (Kāpiti Coast District Council).

Reasons why a further submission may be struck out

Please note that your further submission (or part of your further submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the further submission (or part of the further submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further
- it contains offensive language
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

To Kāpiti Coast District Council

Further Submission in Support of (or Opposition to) a Submission on Proposed Plan Change to the Operative Kāpiti Coast District Plan 2021

Plan Change Number: Plan Change 2

Plan Change Name: Intensification

Full name of person making further submission: Lindsay Graham Helson
Contact person (name and designation, if applicable): As above
Postal address (or alternative method of service under section 352 of the RMA): 16 A Wood Leigh
Paraparaumu Beach 5032
Telephone: 0210 889 5511
Electronic address for service of person making further submission (i.e. email): gawnburger@gmail.com
I would like my address for service to be my email [select box if applicable]
I have selected email as my address for service, and I would also like my postal address withheld from being publicly available [select box if applicable]
State whether you are [select appropriate box]
a person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest.
a person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has.
In this case, also please explain the grounds for saying that you come within this category I am the Chair of 14 to 26 Limited , a Company whose Directors are also residents
the local authority for the relevant area. Kapiti Coast District Council
Scope of further submission
I oppose X the submission of: Guruv Singh, Kainga Ora Homes and Communities
Original Submitter's Name and Address for Service: Kainga Ora Homes and Communities guruv.singh@kaingaora.govt.nz Submission number of original submission: 122

Particular parts of the submission I support (or oppose) are: Clearly indicate which parts of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal. While it is not a requirement, it would be helpful if you could state the submission point number as listed in the summary of decisions requested document. The part of the submission objected to is that part proposing to build to a height of 18 metres at the "Jolly Pub" location only. (Mazengarb Road/Guildford Drive area).

Continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Particular parts of the submission I support (or oppose) are:						
The reasons for my support (or opposition) are:						
[give reasons]						
Submission 122 is opposed because allowing construction up to 18 metres (six storeys) in a settled urban area comprising almost entirely of single story residences would destroy the kaupapa, the quiet enjoyment and worthwhile ammenity value of the area.						
Submission 122 is opposed because it disregards this Ammenity Values provision the Resource						
Management Act 1991, a Statute which does not permit such disregard. Further, submission 122 disregards the rules requiring buildings of 18 metres height to be no more than 800						
metres from a transport or retail hub, which the Jolly Pub most certainly is not.						
astly, concerns are held that damage to land through providing foundations and infrastructure during construction may alter the settled nature of land upon which residences currently exist.						
solistication may after the settled nature of land upon which residences currently exist.						
Continue on a separate sheet if necessary						

		propriate box]			
The whole	or part	of the original submission be allowe	d disallowe	d	
[describe pred	cisely whi	ch part below]			
			Continue	on a separate sheet if n	necessary
		s [select appropriate box]			
		pport of my further submission.			
I do not wish t	o be hear	d in support of my further submission.			
If you wish to	be heard,	please tick one of the following			
If others make	a similar	submission, I will consider presenting	a joint case wif	th them at a hearing.	
If others make	a similar	submission, I will not consider presen	ting a joint case	e with them at a	
hearing.					
		king a further submission Dat	e		
		o sign on behalf			
of person mal	(Ing turtrie	er submission)			
A signature is i	not requir	ed if you make your submission by ele	ctronic means.		
Email your fur	her subm	ission to district.planning@kapiticoast	govt.nz.or	- 60	
post/deliver to		MONTH OF MICH.	govern <u>o</u> o.	For office use only Further submission	No:
					INO.
Attn: District P Kāpiti Coast D	_			S233.FS.1	
175 Rimu Ros		uricii			

Paraparaumu 5032

From: Lindsay Helson

To: Mailbox - District Planning; gurv.singh@kaingaora.govt.nz

Subject: Further Submission, Untensification, PC2 **Date:** Wednesday, 23 November 2022 7:41:24 pm

Attachments: form-6 KO.docx

Kia Ora katoa

Please find attached a further submission

Kind regards Lindsay Helson Chair 14 to 22 Limited