Q1. Full name of person making further submission: Ian and Jean Gunn

Q2. Postal address (or alternative method of service under section 352 of the RMA):

Q3. Telephone:	021567134
Q4. Contact person (name and designation, if applicable)	lan
Q5. Electronic address for service of person making further submission (ie, email)	sog@xtra.co.nz
Q6. I would like my address for service to be my email	Yes
Q7. I have selected email as my address for service, and would also like my postal address withheld from being publicly available	Yes
Q8. State whether you are	a person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has
Q9. Explain the grounds for saying you come within this category.	
Our house located in McKay Street is being rezoned as Precinct B Paraparaumu which we oppose.	
Q10.I am providing a further submission regarding:	Amend Coastal Qualifying Matters as requested.Maclean St Apartments-Bodycorp85858@gmail.com, Philip Milne- philip.milne@waterfront.org.nz, GWRC-Mika.Zollner@gw.govt.nz Coastal Ratepayers Union-spadamsey@yahoo.com, Abernethy- sallyabernethy@xtra.co.nz
Q11. Submission number of the original submission:	SO186 which opposes the extension of Precinct B north of Kapiti Road a major arterial route which is also a flood and coastal inundation risk. The natural character of this area will be destroyed. Restrict ant high rise to the existing high rise localitie

Q12. My further submission is:

We support our original submission. WE wish to see the coastal qualifying matters amended to ensure all risks from the sea are include eg tsunami. inundation. Those submitters identified above all highlight the issues reinforcing our submission that the any intensification at Paraparaumu Beach needs to be restricted to the current high rise zones. These submissions highlight the lack of facilities, the change of character of the current Paraparaumu Beach Village, the lack of a cohesive zone defined as precinct Zone B, the failure to identify all the natural hazards including potentially stormwater, the impact on the local biodiversity and the natural character of the areas outside of these high rise zones.

$\label{eq:Q13} Q13. \mbox{ Particular parts of the submission I support/oppose are: }$

As indicated above there are countless submissions asking for greater clarity on the Coastal Qualifying matters which we support. We support the points made in the following submissions SO18.01 and 02- SO 64-01.02,05,06 SO97.03,04,09,10,12,14,15, 22 ,28 SO 175 .01,.02,.03,.04. SO 218 .01,.02

Q14. The reasons for my support/opposition are:	
The reasons are noted in section 12 above.	
Q15.I seek that of the original submission:	the whole submission be allowed
Q16. The part of the submission I believe should be allowed is:	
not answered	
Q17. The part of the submission I believe should be disallowed is:	
not answered	
Q18. Hearing submissions	I wish to be heard in support of my further submission
Q19. If others make a similar submission:	I will consider presenting a joint case with them at that hearing