

MINUTES	MEETING	TIME
<b>KĀPITI COAST DISTRICT COUNCIL</b>	<b>MONDAY 18 MAY 2015 TUESDAY 19 MAY 2015 WEDNESDAY 20 MAY 2015</b>	<b>10.00 AM</b>

Minutes of a meeting of the Kāpiti Coast District Council on Monday 18 May 2015 commencing at 10.00 am in Council Chambers, Ground Floor, Kāpiti Coast District Council, 175 Rimu Road, Paraparaumu.

#### PRESENT

Mayor	R	Church	(Chair)
Cr	D	Ammundsen	
Cr	M	Bell	
Cr	M	Cardiff	
Cr	J	Elliott	
Cr	P	Gaylor	
Cr	J	Holborow	
Cr	D	Scott	
Cr	G	Welsh	

#### ATTENDING

Mr	P	Dougherty	(Chief Executive)
Ms	A-M	Ellison	(Member, Te Whakaminenga o Kāpiti)
Mr	P	Edwards	(Chair – Paekākāriki Community Board) – arrived at 12.05pm
Mr	S	McArthur	(Group Manager Strategy & Planning)
Mr	K	Currie	(Group Manager Regulatory Services)
Ms	S	Lloyd	(Corporate Planning and Reporting Statutory Compliance Support Officer)
Ms	S	Kershaw	(Corporate Planning and Reporting Project Coordinator)
Mr	T	Creighton	(Corporate Planning and Reporting Corporate Monitoring Officer)
Ms	K	Welch	(Minute Secretary)

#### APOLOGIES

Cr	K	Gurunathan	
----	---	------------	--

#### LEAVE OF ABSENCE

Cr	M	Scott	
----	---	-------	--

Kevin Black spoke about the structure of the meetings:

- Submitters have 10 minutes speaking time
- Councillors have 5 minutes to ask questions
- Kylie Welch (Temp) welcomed as Minute Secretary
- Monday meeting is scheduled from 10.00am – 5.00pm
- Tuesday meeting is scheduled from 10.00am – 9.00pm
- Wednesday meeting is scheduled from 10.00am – 1.00pm

The Mayor welcomed everyone to the meeting.

MINUTES	MEETING	TIME
<b>KĀPITI COAST DISTRICT COUNCIL</b>	<b>MONDAY 18 MAY 2015 TUESDAY 19 MAY 2015 WEDNESDAY 20 MAY 2015</b>	<b>10.00 AM</b>

KCDC 15/05/367

### **APOLOGIES**

An apology from Cr Gurunathan was noted.

It was noted that Cr M Scott was on leave of absence.

### **DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**

There were none.

KCDC 15/05/368

### **MEMBERS' BUSINESS**

- (a) Leave of Absence – none was requested
- (b) Matters of an Urgent Nature – there were none.

KCDC 15/05/369

### **HEARING OF SUBMITTERS TO THE 2015-35 Long Term Plan (LTP)**

#### **DAY 1 OF HEARINGS – MONDAY 18 MAY 2015 (AP = Submitter)**

**AP 60 – Mike Woods** spoke about aspects of fluoride tablets and hydrofluorosilicic acid tablets and demonstrated how these both work in water and the differences on health.

#### *Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:*

- It was asked whether the hydrofluorosilicic acid Mr Woods was demonstrating was real and whether the container was the original container for the hydrofluorosilicic acid.
- Now that fluoride is in the water, if people buy the fluoride tablets and mix tablets with the local water what happens?
- Has Mr Woods heard of people in Ōtaki who have purchased the fluoride tablets?
- Cr Gaylor requests that any future demonstrations be fully disclosed to the Council before future meetings.

#### *The Submitter commented as follows:*

- The acid container used in the demonstration is not the original container hydrofluorosilicic acid would normally be stored in.
- The tablets are not to be used if the water is already fluoridated. The fluoride tablets will not need to be taken if you are living in a fluoridated area.
- Mr Woods is unsure of any members of the public purchasing the fluoride tablets.

**AP 131 – Ms Solveig Mikkelsen** spoke about the local cycleways and the spraying of Roundup in these areas (edges of pathway and gravel) and asked the Council to keep in mind the safety of the roads for children walking and on bikes.

*There were no questions from Elected Members.*

MINUTES	MEETING	TIME
<b>KĀPITI COAST DISTRICT COUNCIL</b>	<b>MONDAY 18 MAY 2015 TUESDAY 19 MAY 2015 WEDNESDAY 20 MAY 2015</b>	<b>10.00 AM</b>

*Cr Elliott left the meeting at 10.23am and returned at 10.24am.*

**AP 136 – Leo Ramakers** - This submitter did not attend.

*Cr Bell left the meeting at 10.30am and returned at 10.35am.*

**AP 133 and 147– Fluoridefree NZ – Mary Byrne** read through information within the PowerPoint from her submission. Mary mentioned that the Council members are personally (not legally) responsible for fluoride in the water within the Council region. Mary mentioned a review commissioned by Sir Peter Gluckman on the *Health Effects of Water Fluoridation*, and mentioned the fact that Fluoridefree NZ do not necessarily agree with the findings of this review. Mary spoke about health effects of fluoridation (increase in hypothyroidism by 50% and increase in ADHD rates) and asked Councillors for integrity when considering the issue of fluoride and stressed that this issue is extremely important.

*Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:*

- Is there background as to whether there has been a private members bill in Parliament regarding fluoride in water?
- Would the Fluoridefree NZ group consider lobbying MP's for this issue?
- One member has carried out research on having non fluoridated water and has deemed it impossible without lobbying MP's.
- Is there any more information about the negative health effects on children in particular?
- The York Review and other studies were mentioned. How many councils and local authorities and organisations stopped fluoridation as a result of the studies mentioned in your submission?

*The Submitter commented as follows:*

- No mention has been made as to whether there has been a private member's bill in Parliament regarding fluoride in the water.
- Yes - this is something the group could consider but wonders if this is a Central Government issue.
- It was suggested the Council impose a moratorium.
- It was mentioned that every month or so the group are finding more negative research regarding fluoride.
- Hundreds of councils have stopped fluoridation following research.

**AP 122 – New Zealand Health Professionals Opposing Fluoridation – Lynn Jordan** spoke about how the 19<sup>th</sup> Council in the Republic of Ireland, against the Central Government mandate, has moved to stop fluoridation believing this has followed on from recent research. Lynn spoke about Child Smile which is an initiative in Scotland proven to lower the cost of dental disease in Scotland (population of 5 million) and encourages the Council to look into this initiative. The Child Smile initiative ensures education about daily brushing, dental screening in schools and healthy dental hygiene habits. New Zealand is currently spending approximately \$20 million on child dental surgery. Lynn explained how the Council can show their support for the Child Smile initiative.

*There were no questions from Elected Members.*

**AP 277 – Manaaki Kāpiti– John Barrett** spoke about why tourism, retail and hospitality are important to the Kāpiti Coast. Manaaki Kāpiti considers that focus on tourism, retail and hospitality as vital and that careful planning now will be advantageous.

MINUTES	MEETING	TIME
<b>KĀPITI COAST DISTRICT COUNCIL</b>	<b>MONDAY 18 MAY 2015 TUESDAY 19 MAY 2015 WEDNESDAY 20 MAY 2015</b>	<b>10.00 AM</b>

*Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:*

- Regarding the Manaaki Kāpiti Developers group and the relationship with Council, how would that support work?
- In order to facilitate the discussion, could the meetings of the group be publically notified so the council and public can attend?
- What geographical area is currently covered and what areas are hoped to be covered?
- Would you be able to expand on the word “COAST” in Kāpiti Coast?
- Other than economic factors is there advisory business service management? Is there any relationship between the group and this service?
- In regards to the group’s reason for existence which is to “unite the sector”, this is a good point for future discussion.

*The Submitter commented as follows:*

- No specific targets about the relationship between Council and the group have been set but open discussions would be beneficial for both parties.
- Committee meetings are not open to the public but Council members may attend.
- Paraparaumu and Waikanae are currently covered. The group is working towards including Paekākāriki.
- The group would like consistency when using Kāpiti Coast – either Kāpiti or Kāpiti Coast being used.
- The business advisory service is simply that. Within the Manaaki Kāpiti group this can be a function but is currently not offered due to resource restraints. This may be worth discussing in the future.

*The meeting adjourned at 11.21am and resumed at 11.50am.*

**AP 152 – Shaun O’Brien was replaced as the speaker by Mary Byrne and Lynn Jordan** and spoke on his behalf about the Fluoride Jump Start Environment movement.

*There were no questions from Elected Members.*

**AP 173 – Bernie Randall** queried about how often an audit is carried out by Council on the use of their public outdoor facilities and believes that the LTP should reflect the support of Council to the concept of an age friendly city by incorporating key features such as secure neighbourhoods and by publishing information that is easy for the elderly to understand.

*There were no questions from Elected Members.*

**AP 183 – Christopher Ruthe** – This submitter did not attend.

*The meeting adjourned at 12.01pm and resumed at 12.10pm.  
Philip Edwards arrived at the meeting at 12.05pm.*

**AP 228 – Friends of the Paekākāriki Streams - Florence McNeill was replaced as the speaker by Liana Stupples and Zoe Studd of the Paekākāriki Orchard and Gardens** and spoke about an opportunity for the Council to purchase the Tilley Triangle for further development and asks that this be placed in the LTP.

MINUTES	MEETING	TIME
<b>KĀPITI COAST DISTRICT COUNCIL</b>	<b>MONDAY 18 MAY 2015 TUESDAY 19 MAY 2015 WEDNESDAY 20 MAY 2015</b>	<b>10.00 AM</b>

*Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:*

- Did the group submit into the Greater Wellington Regional Council's (GWRC) LTP?
- Does the GWRC have a flood protection management plan for this area?
- Has the group engaged with local Iwi?
- The Council will be discussing the Tilley Triangle in their future discussions regarding flood management.
- Regarding the overall responsibilities for rivers and the management of them, the area north of the motor camp where the stream flows under the road, into the sea – what has happened since the recent floods?
- If a Catchment Advisory group is set up would this group fit well under this?

*The Submitters commented as follows:*

- Florence McNeil passed on thanks from the Tilley Road residents for the Council support during the recent floods.
- Through the Grow Paekākāriki project a lot of submissions have been received by the GWRC. The group has had meetings with Council officers and staff at the GWRC.
- The group is unsure whether the GWRC have a flood protection management plan for this particular area.
- There has been no formal engagement with local Iwi. A vision document is in draft which will ensure engagement with local Iwi. It was acknowledged that local Iwi were a very important aspect of the group's vision and will be included in the group's LTP.
- In light of the recent floods, the motor camp and the areas surrounding are okay.
- A Catchment Advisory Group would be an ideal space for this group to consider joining.

*Cr Gaylor left the meeting at 12.42pm and returned at 1.53pm.*

**AP 224 – Paekākāriki Orchard and Gardens – Florence McNeill and Liana Stupples were replaced as the speakers by Vicki Moon and Hannah Zwartz** who spoke about the Tilley Triangle and the possibility of creating new gardens in this area as an Educational and Community resource. This could be possible if supported by the Council purchasing the Tilley Triangle as part of the LTP.

*Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:*

- How many members are in this group?

*The Submitters commented as follows:*

- There are 150 active members on the mailing list.

**AP 237 – Florence McNeill** spoke about the language, vision and leadership of the Council. Florence would like to see more leadership in regards to global issues by the Council (eg carbon emissions). She asked, "Where does this issue sit within the LTP?" and mentioned that there seems to be no commitment or statement within the Plan. There are currently some good initiatives but there are other areas the Council could focus on (such as LED street lights). One recommendation is for the Council to join an initiative called the C40 collective (Carbon Reduction Cities).

*Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:*

- Do you think "Transition Towns" such as Ōtaki could benefit also?
- The Council are replacing lights with LED lights when the old ones need replacing.
- There are currently 50 LED lights being installed, if not already, within the region.

MINUTES	MEETING	TIME
<b>KĀPITI COAST DISTRICT COUNCIL</b>	<b>MONDAY 18 MAY 2015 TUESDAY 19 MAY 2015 WEDNESDAY 20 MAY 2015</b>	<b>10.00 AM</b>

*The Submitter commented as follows:*

- Broader plans such as “Transition Towns” are a good idea but bigger global issues are required to be looked at. Florence would like to see the Council being supportive of global issues.

**AP 195 – John Edgar** spoke about the LTP, specifically the Future Kāpiti discussions document. John is concerned that there is not enough time to carry out the tasks set out within this document by June as indicated. The strategic direction seems to not focus on Council’s primary commitment to protect citizens and property. The Performing Arts Centre seems to be a high priority whereas there were citizens and property at risk during floods and there needs to be greater strategic priority (less emphasis on recreational and amusement facilities).

The Waikanae Town Centre – it seems premature to make decisions on the future of this pending the opening and operation of the new expressway. The proposal for the Performing Arts Centre is reactive and not required as a strategic requirement as there are already existing venues. This should be a part of the Civic Centre. John doesn’t agree with the Performing Arts Centre being situated at Kāpiti College. The Art Gallery (currently in Waikanae) would be better off as part of the Civic Centre.

*Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:*

- Regarding the Performing Arts Centre, are there any under-utilised facilities?
- How useful would it be for there to be a table showing rates increases in the district in the LTP?
- Does the submitter use the Mahara Gallery? If so what for and what is the submitter’s opinion on its future?

*The Submitter commented as follows:*

- John mentioned the Memorial Hall is under-utilised. Southwards Theatre could be further utilised also.
- The history of rates increases will not be relevant.
- John is not a regular visitor to the Mahara Gallery as there is not much to see on a regular basis. John mentioned that the facilities could be used more effectively for the people of Waikanae and visitors and could attribute to the future revitalisation of the Civic Centre.

**AP 172 – Anna Carter** spoke about support of the revitalisation of both town centres and supports the ‘fast tracking’ of this work. Anna is requesting that the Council support improvement within the Reikorangi Community including a Community Hall, reducing the speed limit in this area, and ensuring that there is cellphone coverage. Anna requests that the Council agree to undertake a Community Outcome process for the Reikorangi community to discuss these issues. Can the Council support the Reikorangi Community to ensure a cellphone tower is erected in the area?

*Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:*

- Do you have a Residents Association?
- Does this represent the views of the community or is this an individual submission?
- Can a map of the proposed site of the cellphone tower be emailed to the Council?
- There is a Community Outcome process for other areas but not Reikorangi. There is a strong message that there is a need for one which the Council will consider.
- Have you spoken to Council staff about the \$360m extra funds available mentioned in the submission?

MINUTES	MEETING	TIME
<b>KĀPITI COAST DISTRICT COUNCIL</b>	<b>MONDAY 18 MAY 2015 TUESDAY 19 MAY 2015 WEDNESDAY 20 MAY 2015</b>	<b>10.00 AM</b>

*The Submitter commented as follows:*

- There is a loose network but no Association.
- There has been momentum since 2011. A group of people have held two community meetings which had an attendance of 65 community members seeking direction from Council. This is an individual submission.
- In terms of the cellphone tower there are strong views within the community for either option but there is real support for it.
- This is the first interaction Anna has had with the Council so there has been no discussion regarding funding.

*The meeting adjourned at 1.27pm and reconvened at 1.48pm.*

**AP 107 – Kapiti Coast Museum – Allan Carley** spoke about the value of public museums (Kāpiti Public Museum in particular) and would like Council to include a strategy to engage citizens in the value of Museums in the region.

*Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:*

- Is there a door charge to visit the Museum?

*The Submitter commented as follows:*

- There is a koha for entry into the museum.

**AP 108 – Discover Kapiti Heritage Group – Allan Carley** spoke about the important role that heritage has within the community. The Kapiti Coast Museum is one of the main organisations that actively promotes the heritage of the Kāpiti Coast and would like to see this supported (along with such events as the planned Heritage Day) within the LTP.

*Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:*

- How is the Heritage Day funded?
- Would the submitter like to see some funding made available for events in the district?
- Regarding the 900 people who visited 8 museums around the country within your submission, was there research held around how many people attended the local museums?
- Are conversations fluid enough with Council?
- Can the visitor attraction be emphasised more fully to attract more visitors (ie communicating opening hours in available publications)?
- When the Arts Trail is on, is there any spin off for the museum?

*The Submitter commented as follows:*

- The Heritage Day is privately funded and Council funded.
- Having funding available is good.
- Overall 20% of the statistics were for local museums. Figures are available on request.
- The conversation could be better regarding awareness of communication channels.
- There is no advertising from the Arts Trail but it would be beneficial as it would attract people into the area and the Museum itself.

MINUTES	MEETING	TIME
<b>KĀPITI COAST DISTRICT COUNCIL</b>	<b>MONDAY 18 MAY 2015 TUESDAY 19 MAY 2015 WEDNESDAY 20 MAY 2015</b>	<b>10.00 AM</b>

**AP 132 – Mr Lynn Sleath** spoke about Kāpiti Cycling but firstly Lynn mentioned that the work the Council carried out in the recent floods was excellent. Lynn acknowledged his colleagues Liz Nicholson and John Gordon. Lynn discussed Kāpiti roads and the area west of Te Roto Drive where there seems to be no funding for improvements in the LTP. The Kāpiti Cycling group would like to see further funding and development for this area in the LTP.

*There were no questions from Elected Members.*

*Cr Elliott left the meeting at 2.05 pm and returned at 2.08pm.  
The meeting adjourned at 2.10pm and reconvened at 2.21pm.*

**AP 249 – John Le Harivel** – This submitter did not attend.

**AP 328 – Mahinarangi Hakaraia** spoke for the **ART Forum** about engagement between Council and Iwi. The ART Forum would like to see Council implement a process to ensure strong Iwi focus (eg Treaty settlements, storm water and wastewater) be established. The group would like the partnership between Council and Iwi to be established to ensure it receives the recognition it deserves and would like to see a model of engagement and partnership established.

*Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:*

- The submitter mentioned that this submission for engagement and partnership between Council and Iwi should be a model for other parts of NZ. Are there other parts of NZ that have this partnership model?

*The Submitter commented as follows:*

- Mahinarangi is not aware of an existing partnership and would like this to be led by the Council.

**AP 314 – Wharemauku Action Group – Roger Booth and John Baldwin** spoke about the group's desire to see the completion of the thoroughfare beside the Wharemauku Stream that would connect from the current highway to the East, provision of a desirable space within Coastlands for lunchtime relaxation for workers and provision of more safe ways to cross Rimu Road in the future (i.e. extra pedestrian crossings for the elderly).

*Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:*

- Is this group associated with the Friends of Wharemauku Stream also?
- Is the Wharemauku Action Group interested in restoring the life (health) of the stream?
- Coastlands are starting a new development next to the Stream. Would a pathway/cycleway be wanted through this area? Would it go as far as State Highway 1?
- The Local Iwi have recruited a consultant to monitor the wairua (spirit) of local streams. Has the group considered discussing their goals with local Iwi?

*The Submitter commented as follows:*

- The Wharemauku Action Group and Friends of Wharemauku Stream groups are not associated.
- The group would consider taking over care and monitoring of the health of the stream also.
- The immediate area for a new walkway/cycleway would be for the Rimu Road side.
- Discussing the group's vision with the wider community is worth considering.

**AP 311 – Roger Booth** spoke about the Performing Arts Facility Development, Youth Development Centre and redeveloping the Raumatī Pool building. Regarding the Performing Arts facility development, it has to be kept in mind that the facility will be used by the school during

MINUTES	MEETING	TIME
<b>KĀPITI COAST DISTRICT COUNCIL</b>	<b>MONDAY 18 MAY 2015 TUESDAY 19 MAY 2015 WEDNESDAY 20 MAY 2015</b>	<b>10.00 AM</b>

school hours. The concern is that the availability of this facility will be limited. Regarding rebuilding the Raumati Pool it was disappointing that the feedback for applications for residents to use the building numbered 50 but nothing came of it. Roger would like a review to prompt further use of these facilities by community groups.

*Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:*

- Do you think the Mahara Galley is being used to its fullest potential?
- Could you return more regularly to give the Council the benefit of your experience?

*The Submitter commented as follows:*

- The Mahara Galley seems to be doing an excellent job for the district although there is a struggle to ensure there is enough funding to carry out all that needs to be done.
- Yes - Roger could return more regularly to share his experience with the Council.

*The meeting adjourned at 2.55pm and reconvened at 3.15pm.*

*Cr Cardiff chaired the meeting from 3.15pm until 3.25pm.*

*Mayor Ross Church resumed as chair of the meeting from 3.25pm.*

**AP 220 – Anita Schurmann** spoke about ways to avoid increases in rates. Anita is worried about how the increase in rates will affect those struggling financially.

*Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:*

- Are there a large amount of children in the submitter's street?
- Are the submitter's neighbours all ratepayers or renters?

*The Submitter commented as follows:*

- Yes there are a lot of children in Anita's street with a broad spectrum of both rate payers and renters.

**AP 251 – Gary Hertnon** spoke about the Waihoanga Suspension bridge that crosses the Ōtaki River to Kaitawa Road near the Ōtaki River. Gary recommends that fixing this deteriorating structure is given priority and maintained regularly by the Council.

*There were no questions from Elected Members.*

**AP 222 – Karen Whibley** spoke about opportunities arising from the M2PP Expressway needing to be maximised. Karen mentioned that there are people using places around this area to carry out unsavory activities (eg substance abuse) and would like the Council to consider working in this area to make it safer.

*Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:*

- In regards to the pathway from Makarini Street to Kāpiti Road and substance abuse. Is there any connection between the two?
- Could you elaborate on how to make the access ways in the area safer?

*The Submitter commented as follows:*

- There seems to be a connection as it has increased since these pathways were constructed.
- Residents have discussed how to make the pathways safer such as tidying up the areas. Closing the area off would be beneficial but not so much that people can hide in corners to carry out unsavory activities.

MINUTES	MEETING	TIME
<b>KĀPITI COAST DISTRICT COUNCIL</b>	<b>MONDAY 18 MAY 2015 TUESDAY 19 MAY 2015 WEDNESDAY 20 MAY 2015</b>	<b>10.00 AM</b>

*The meeting adjourned at 3.36pm and reconvened at 3.45pm.*

**AP 461 – Kāpiti Youth Council** spoke about the need for extra activities in the region such as an Ōtaki pool for youth. A new rubber basketball court is also something that could be considered as the seagulls are destroying the current basketball court. Ōtaki has a well-used skate park but there is no shelter from the sun. The Kāpiti Youth Council would like Council to consider building a shelter at the skate park to ensure people using the park aren't negatively affected by the sun. The Kāpiti Youth Council fully supports the proposed Performing Arts facility at Kāpiti College. In regards to a youth centre, there are things to consider such as whether to rent or buy and where it should be located (close to trains, bus routes etc).

*Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:*

- When you were talking to other youth about the Ōtaki Pool, did they mention anything about the splash pad?
- In regards to the current concrete basketball courts which seagulls are ruining, rubber mats is a good idea.
- Do you have reservations about how Kāpiti College will be able to share the Performing Arts Centre?

*The Submitter commented as follows:*

- The splash pad is great but seems to be for younger people, not so much for 13-24 year olds.
- The Kāpiti Youth Council representatives believe the location of the new Arts Centre will work well as the arts community are passionate about sharing their craft with others.

**AP 461 – Chris Walker** – this submitter did not attend.

*The meeting adjourned at 4.00pm and was scheduled to reconvene on Tuesday 19 May 2015 at 10.00am.*

MINUTES	MEETING	TIME
<b>KĀPITI COAST DISTRICT COUNCIL</b>	<b>MONDAY 18 MAY 2015 TUESDAY 19 MAY 2015 WEDNESDAY 20 MAY 2015</b>	<b>10.00 AM</b>

PRESENT

Mayor	R	Church	(Chair)
Cr	D	Ammundsen	
Cr	M	Bell	
Cr	M	Cardiff	
Cr	J	Elliott	
Cr	P	Gaylor	
Cr	K	Gurunathan	
Cr	J	Holborow	
Cr	D	Scott	
Cr	G	Welsh	

ATTENDING

Mr	P	Dougherty	(Chief Executive)
Mr	J	Cootes	(Chair, Ōtaki Community Board) – arrived at 10.56am
Ms	A-M	Ellison	(Member, Te Whakaminenga o Kāpiti)
Mr	K	Currie	(Group Manager Regulatory Services)
Mr	S	McArthur	(Group Manager Strategy and Partnerships)
Mr	W	Maxwell	(Group Manager Corporate Services)
Ms	A	Law	(Aquatics Facilities Manager)
Ms	S	Lloyd	(Corporate Planning and Reporting Statutory Compliance Support Officer)
Ms	S	Kershaw	(Corporate Planning and Reporting Project Coordinator)
Ms	A	Mahoney	(Senior Communications Adviser)
Ms	K	Welch	(Minute Taker)
Miss	A	McLaughlin	(Democracy Services Advisor)

LEAVE OF ABSENCE

Cr	M	Scott	
----	---	-------	--

*The meeting reconvened on Tuesday 19 May 2015 at 10.00am.*

**AP 16 – David Cameron** – This submitter did not attend.

**AP 72 – Jeff Brown** spoke about helping those that help others and his proposal for Council to establish a Kāpiti development service for non-profit organisations in the region.

*Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:*

- Given the service that is offered is there a capacity to grow?
- Is the submitter volunteering to do this?
- Has the submitter volunteered his services to Volunteer Kāpiti?

*The Submitter commented as follows:*

- Growth will take a practical approach.
- A contractors fee would be requested.
- Jeff has not worked with Volunteer Kāpiti.

MINUTES	MEETING	TIME
<b>KĀPITI COAST DISTRICT COUNCIL</b>	<b>MONDAY 18 MAY 2015 TUESDAY 19 MAY 2015 WEDNESDAY 20 MAY 2015</b>	<b>10.00 AM</b>

**AP 139 – Mr and Mrs Wiggs** spoke about supporting the revitalisation of the Waikanae Town Centre and the fast track option, their support of the Mahara Gallery and the Waikanae Library. Mr and Mrs Wiggs expressed their opposition against any commercial or retail development in the Waikanae Olde Beach area and believe the development should be within the Waikanae Town.

*Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:*

- Is there any part of Waikanae Beach where it will be suitable to put a service station, medical centre and bus stops?
- How far away from the Olde Beach area is the new proposed Medical Centre?
- Are the berms satisfactory as they are?
- Is the submitter happy with the planning of the shopping area?
- Is the submitter aware that in the last few years the Waikanae Beach area has been in transition? How does the submitter see the Waikanae Beach area?
- Do you use the cafés on the beach? If one more café came along as a commercial development would there be concern?
- Is it helpful to know that there is a Community Outcomes Consultation process with residents coming up? This has worked well in Peka Peka and Te Horo Beach.

*The Submitter commented as follows:*

- Adding new services stations etc here would only replicate what is already down the road.
- The medical centre is down the road and well situated.
- The berms are great as they are.
- The character needs to be preserved. Parking on a busy day is quite difficult.
- We want the character preserved. What we don't want are high rise buildings. There are currently no houses that are offensive.
- If it stays within the current area a new café would be fine.

Mr Morris was invited by the Mayor to discuss this issue and spoke about supporting the revitalisation of the Waikanae Town Centre but would like the Council to consider who the Council approve when approached for commercial development. If new commercial properties were approved that don't fit with the current Olde Beach character it would be a risk to the current charming character of the area.

*Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:*

- To clarify, the values mentioned are shared by a lot of other residents in this area. The current District Plan protects the character of this area.
- The Council are currently identifying land that they may like to buy. There are submissions about which pieces of land the Council should buy. There will be prioritising about which pieces of land will be brought.

*The Submitter commented as follows:*

- A medical centre is not so threatening but a dispensary may morph into a commercial pharmacy.
- We strongly support the Council acquiring the land that the Waikanae Golf Club use.

**AP 330 – Federated Farmers – Kirsty McGregor** spoke about the Federated Farmers' request that the Council continue to closely monitor debt levels and that rates increases are reduced to no higher than 2% to 3.5% per annum. Federated Farmers supports the proposed approach in the LTP. Roading is very important but sees that the Council is considering a change from fixed charges to a rates based on land value and this is a concern.

MINUTES	MEETING	TIME
<b>KĀPITI COAST DISTRICT COUNCIL</b>	<b>MONDAY 18 MAY 2015 TUESDAY 19 MAY 2015 WEDNESDAY 20 MAY 2015</b>	<b>10.00 AM</b>

*Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:*

- Has Federated Farmers been able to find a copy of the last LTP?
- Some roads are closed to slips frequently at a considerable cost in maintenance but only a few people use these roads. There is an option to not clean the slip. Does Federated Farmers have any guidance on whether to continue with this level of service?
- Does Federated Farmers represent the forestry asset owners?
- Does Federated Farmers support user pays for road usage?
- What was meant by reorganisation?

*The Submitter commented as follows:*

- No this hasn't been found but the Council has agreed to send a copy to the Federation.
- Roothing is important for the farming business to move stock. There is frustration that resources may be funneled into urban roading networks and ask that maintenance is continued. Some roads in the local area are frequently closed to slips but they not only service farming community but have potential for use for the forest park and other amenities for people all over to enjoy. It would be wise for Council to continue to maintain the level of care.
- In the broader private sector it does include forestry asset owners.
- Federated Farmers supports the road user pays model.
- Reorganisation refers to the amalgamation potential.

*Cr Amundsen left the meeting at 10.40am and returned at 10.45am.*

**AP 283 – Coastlands Shoppingtown Ltd – Richard Mansell and Chris Hansen** spoke about how the Coastlands Shoppingtown Ltd has particular interest in the future development of the Paraparaumu Town Centre, the management and future development of its current Coastlands complex and Wharemauku Precinct. Coastlands Shoppingtown Ltd opposes the location for the Paraparaumu town centre link road Project P8 through the Wharemauku Precinct.

*Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:*

- Kevin Currie is Council's new Regulatory Manager and the Council will be working with the Coastlands Shoppingtown Ltd in the near future.
- What is going to be carried out first in your development plans?
- Regarding timing, there have been submissions from the Community who want the town centre development to slow down. Would it be best to be proactive or reactive? What are the disadvantages if the Council takes a reactive approach to the development of the town centre?
- Around the Link Road is there a request that it go into a different place than proposed?

*The Submitter commented as follows:*

- The Phase 1 development is for new retail stores to the south of Wharemauku Precinct. Phase 2 cannot continue until some other projects around the area have occurred (Link Road and Rimu Road traffic lessens once the new Expressway is in place).
- The Main Street and linkages possible is a proactive project.
- The Link road going to Ihakara Street is much more suitable in Coastlands view.

*James Cootes, Chair of the Ōtaki Community Board, arrived at the meeting at 10.56am.*

**AP 317 – John Andrews** spoke in support of the Federated Farmers submission as there are many common points within his submission. The key issue is one of debt – it has concerned him greatly about the large amount of debt being taken on by the Council and asks that the Council do not spend more than it can afford. Could the Council adopt more of a user pays model? There is

MINUTES	MEETING	TIME
<b>KĀPITI COAST DISTRICT COUNCIL</b>	<b>MONDAY 18 MAY 2015 TUESDAY 19 MAY 2015 WEDNESDAY 20 MAY 2015</b>	<b>10.00 AM</b>

currently a great social policy but the Council is not a social policy agency. Mr Andrews has carried out extensive research into the Council accounts and is not happy and does not believe the Council are addressing the key issues.

*Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:*

- Council do not borrow to make a profit but to build the community and provide assets for the community.
- Local versus Central Government can be an issue when new policies and procedures are announced.
- Regarding rating systems - what is the submitters qualification (i.e. are they a Chartered Accountant)?
- What is the submitters view on the Local Government Funding Agency (LGFA)?

*The Submitter commented as follows:*

- Mr Andrews has had quite a lot of experience in law but is not a lawyer. He is a Chartered Accountant but not in a public practice.
- The answer is too big an issue to discuss today (LGFA).

*Cr Elliott left the meeting at 11.18am and returned at 11.19am.*

**AP 343 – Donna and Rob Keiboom** spoke about the Te Ātiawa Park hard courts total rebuild. The Keiboom family uses the courts many times a week. Donna would like the Council to focus on health and safety issues in regards the deterioration of the courts. Poor drainage and increasingly large cracks are a safety issue and the cracks can affect play. The courts should be completely rebuilt, not just repaired.

*Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:*

- In terms of the timing of carrying out of the rebuild is there a time where this can be carried out where it will not impact the heavy usage of the courts?
- Does user pays apply here?
- Are the court's core functions something for the Council to provide?

*The Submitter commented as follows:*

- There will always be an impact but January would be the best time as it is between seasons.
- The Aquatic Centre was built with Council funds, not user pays so the Council should fund this rebuild.
- The Council has a responsibility to provide a balance to the community (eg recreation, sport).

*The meeting adjourned at 11.32am and reconvened at 11.45am.*

**AP 301 – Kapiti Citizens' Service Trust – Roy Opie and Liz Koh** spoke about Building Project at 45 Ocean Road, Paraparaumu Beach known as the Senior Citizens' Rooms, Bluegum Park, Paraparaumu Beach and requested seed funding to upgrade the existing entrance at the hall, especially for disability access. The amount needed for 20% of the total costing to meet Lotto Grant requirements is \$32,663.56 including GST. The Kāpiti Citizens' Service Trust is not registered for GST.

*Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:*

- Tell the Council more about the new Trust.

MINUTES	MEETING	TIME
<b>KĀPITI COAST DISTRICT COUNCIL</b>	<b>MONDAY 18 MAY 2015 TUESDAY 19 MAY 2015 WEDNESDAY 20 MAY 2015</b>	<b>10.00 AM</b>

- Could this be seen as a good thing to have or an extra? Has there been discussion with the different local clubs who are good at fundraising to contribute towards the total costs requested?
- Is this only about funding or is this about showing that the Council support this project?
- Re Otaihangā Boating Club: is there an extensive waiting list to events (eg weddings)? Could there be an economic benefit to this project?
- What is the status of the ownership of the building?

*The Submitter commented as follows:*

- The trust is called the Kāpiti Citizens Service Trust and is working well.
- The Lions and Rotary Club have helped with funds so far and feel as though they cannot be asked for more.
- There is a wide variety of users in the community building in Paraparaumu and yes, it would be seen as Council supporting this project.
- Yes there are weddings etc booking from outside the district for the Boating Club. There could be an economic benefit to the project.
- It is now owned by a Community Trust which has a number of trustees representing a wide range of user groups. There is a lease on the land (11 years).

**AP 483 – Roy Opie** wanted to thank the Council for the good work carried out during and after the recent floods. The pump in Ihakara Street was very valuable. The grant that lifted the Boating Club helped with the recent floods and the Council has helped with this project. Residential rates are very fair. Commercial rates are also very fair (if differential rates can be sorted out). In the recent floods the three water level outlets that go out to sea in the region were higher than they had ever been and the Council needs to address how to manage this in the future. All Polytechnics need to be situated by a railway station.

*There were no questions from Elected Members.*

**AP 165 – Kapiti Coast Chamber of Commerce – Liz Koh** spoke about transforming Paraparaumu and Waikanae Town Centres. The Chamber want the Council to ensure the transformation attracts the right customers and developers. The Economic Development Strategy Working Group has many ideas, a lot of commitment but no resources dedicated to the area. The Working Group will become a Leadership Forum.

*Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:*

- Should there be a targeted rate solely for the tourism industry?
- Is there any benefit to the farming community from tourism?
- Is the Council's Business Advisor on the Economic Development Strategic Working Group?
- With the difference in submissions and the different points of view, what does the Council say to the next submitters who say eco development is for private enterprise?

*The Submitter commented as follows:*

- A collaborative basis would be more beneficial than a targeted rate.
- Farming is directed at export and farmers wouldn't be the people to approach for support for economic development.
- The Council's Business Advisor plays a role in economic development but is not currently a member of the group.
- The group needs seed funding to get the ball rolling and to set up projects to go to the stakeholders to find more funds to make things happen.

MINUTES	MEETING	TIME
<b>KĀPITI COAST DISTRICT COUNCIL</b>	<b>MONDAY 18 MAY 2015 TUESDAY 19 MAY 2015 WEDNESDAY 20 MAY 2015</b>	<b>10.00 AM</b>

**AP 352 – Greypower – Trevor Daniell** spoke about Community Outcomes and affordability for those on fixed incomes, economic development and the Council not rushing too quickly ahead.

*There were no questions from Elected Members.*

*The meeting adjourned at 12.30pm and reconvened at 12.45pm.*

**AP 376 – Te Newhanga Kāpiti Community Centre – Debbie Mattingley** spoke about investing in the Community Centre as it supports the Council's goal of creating a vibrant civic heart for the Paraparaumu Town Centre. People have commented that the location of the Community Centre is perfect. The new décor is great and the staff are friendly and welcoming, there is also good access. There were 140 bookings for the latest school holiday programme. Problems with the existing building consist of noise pollution (conversation, noise transference between different rooms) and not enough natural light. The Centre requests funding for sound dampening and natural light by installing skylights. A recent survey also highlighted other maintenance issues (eg new tables and chairs are required, hard surface flooring is required for certain events/groups). The total cost was approximately \$250,000.

*Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:*

- Has there been market research carried out on the need for a 200 seating room for the Expos mentioned in the submission?
- Is 200 seats/people enough?
- Is there any office area required?
- Around the Lotteries funding, is this a facility that is rated used already?
- Regarding the staged approach, is there a danger of becoming a continual construction site over the next three years?
- Regarding the connection between the trust and the building, is there a need to worry about this connection?
- What is the bulk of the funding you are requiring?
- In regards to the seismic evaluation referred to, why are you undertaking one?

*The Submitter commented as follows:*

- Research has been carried out within the community and users of the hall only.
- 200 seats is enough plus there is room in the adjacent foyer.
- Office space is a concept but the layout hasn't been planned.
- The Community Centre is rated as a used facility.
- The staged approach has been decided upon so that construction can be carried out on small spaces at each time, when the Community Centre is closed down (over the Christmas Period).
- The Phase One anticipated cost would be \$75,000. The Centre is asking the Council for 50% of this cost.
- The Centre is not carrying out a seismic survey. The Centre understands that the Council are undertaking seismic evaluation on all buildings that they own.

**AP 359 – Inline Hockey Club – Sandy Nimmo** spoke about the redevelopment of the Raumati pool building. The Club would like to see the upgrade started soon and requested that the timeframe be moved from the proposed 2018/2019 to 2015/2016. A proposed fully revised floor plan when rebuilt can be supplied to Council. The Club would like the Council to consider footprint expansion. Cost suggestion is \$3million. The Club is not asking the Council to consider paying the full \$3million but believes a fundraising plan could raise \$2million of the funds required for the buildings footprint expansion.

MINUTES	MEETING	TIME
<b>KĀPITI COAST DISTRICT COUNCIL</b>	<b>MONDAY 18 MAY 2015 TUESDAY 19 MAY 2015 WEDNESDAY 20 MAY 2015</b>	<b>10.00 AM</b>

*Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:*

- What is the Inline Hockey Club's anticipated rate of revenue from a fully equipped building?
- Did Waikanae Memorial Hall meet the needs of the Club?
- Will the members follow to Raumati?

*The Submitter commented as follows:*

- The Club would first have to know the fee structure that Council will charge before anticipating revenue. The Club can provide a revenue projection if the fee structure is provided to them.
- Waikanae Memorial Hall has been perfect for the Summer camps previously run but if the programme grows in the future more floor space will be required.
- It has not been researched whether the members will follow to Raumati.

*The meeting adjourned at 1.30pm and reconvened at 1.54pm.*

*Cr Cardiff chaired the meeting from 1.54pm.*

**AP 182 – Shelly Warwick** spoke about future proofing the pool in Ōtaki. The \$3.8million is a small spend for Council but a big benefit to the community. Could the scrap metal from the pool be gifted for the benefit of the community as it was a gift initially?

*Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:*

- Do you support the splash pad?

*The Submitter commented as follows:*

- The splash pad is supported in the submission.

**AP 300 – Annika Craig Robertson** – This submitter did not attend.

*Cr D. Scott returned to the meeting at 2.05pm.*

**AP 113 – Ōtaki Surf Lifesaving Club – Mr Paul Carlyon** spoke about how beneficial it is for the Club to use Ōtaki Pool for training their members. Previous funding for the Surf Lifesaving Club from the Council has been greatly appreciated and well utilised and requested continued support in the form of \$3,000 as per previous funding received. The Club supports future proofing, development and maintenance of Ōtaki Pool.

*Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:*

- Is the pool an integral part of the development for Club members?
- Would having an open pool work for the Club?
- Have the Council improvements of the pool been noticed?

*The Submitter commented as follows:*

- The pool is an integral part of the development for Club members.
- An open pool would not be the preference of the Club due to the large amount of children and it will be too cold for them.
- It is always good to be in facilities that are well maintained with professional staff. The improvements to date have been great.

**AP 320 – Ruth Halliday** spoke about bridleways being maintained within the Kāpiti District. Ruth requests that Council works with the Greater Wellington Regional Council (GWRC) to develop and maintain bridleways. Ruth would also like to see Council establish a programme of promoting the bridleways in the District.

MINUTES	MEETING	TIME
<b>KĀPITI COAST DISTRICT COUNCIL</b>	<b>MONDAY 18 MAY 2015 TUESDAY 19 MAY 2015 WEDNESDAY 20 MAY 2015</b>	<b>10.00 AM</b>

*Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:*

- Has the submitter looked into working with the racing industry/trainers within the area?
- Has the submitter been working with Council staff to ensure bridleways are available?
- In comparison with Queen Elizabeth Park, is there a good relationship with GWRC who manage that Park to ensure you have what you need there?
- How often does access impair equestrian activities (ie finding keys for some areas)?
- Why was there no mention of the beaches?
- Re the Beach Bylaw which is reviewed every five years. The proposal due dates are upcoming. The council can review Bylaws earlier if the Council decides.
- Are speeding cyclists an issue around bridleways?

*The Submitter commented as follows:*

- The horses in this area are recreation only, not racing horses.
- There has been a lot of communication regarding where the bridleways are currently.
- The cycle track being developed in this area has not diminished any of the current tracks.
- It is expensive to travel to retrieve keys from the GWRC and a huge issue.
- The beaches are fabulous and people do ride horses on them but there are summer restrictions. It would be good to have these lifted so riding horses in these areas are allowed all year round.
- It seems that the cyclists have the right of way but with a bit of education the situation of speeding cyclists can be fixed.

**AP 22 – Brent Cherry** spoke about climate change. This is a big issue for the Council in that there will be major issues in reducing emissions by 8-10%. There is a significant risk in Kāpiti which is the Expressway resulting in increased commuting in cars. Olde Waikanae Beach should become a character area. Sustainable support is encouraged.

*Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:*

- What has been done about bus services in coordinating public transport in travelling across the Expressway?
- Would the submitter be prepared to return to make submissions when there is a planning process being carried out?

*The Submitter commented as follows:*

- The bus services are a Greater Wellington issue.
- The climate change seems to have been put on hold but it is important.
- A submission can be made at the relevant time.

**AP 279 – Older Persons' Council – John Hayes and Bernie Randall** spoke about the Kāpiti Coast Older Persons' Council and the Future Kāpiti consultation document. Funding of local community groups is encouraged but asks that the Council consider slowing down on redeveloping the current Town Centres. It is upsetting to see the proposed increases for swimming pool rates for senior citizens. Could there be exercise equipment along the Paraparaumu Marine Walkway? No mention of Age Friendly Kāpiti has been made in recent Council publications. Long term there needs to be a bit more dimension on this topic.

*Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:*

- Regarding housing for older persons – is there a suggestion that Council provide a subsidy?
- Should a medical centre be built at Olde Waikanae Beach?

MINUTES	MEETING	TIME
<b>KĀPITI COAST DISTRICT COUNCIL</b>	<b>MONDAY 18 MAY 2015 TUESDAY 19 MAY 2015 WEDNESDAY 20 MAY 2015</b>	<b>10.00 AM</b>

*The Submitter commented as follows:*

- The issue is not about providing a Council subsidy; the issue is the fact that there is not enough housing. The current rents are very high and the Council should take this into consideration.
- The medical centre is a great development for the area. The closer the elderly can get to the medical centre the better. It can be very dangerous for the elderly trying to cross Rimu Road which is a very busy road.

**AP 266 – Kapiti Turf Trust – Roger Sowry and Dave Jennings** asked for more support from the Council for the Kapiti Community Recreational Turf by way of an operating grant of \$50,000 pa to assist in the running and maintenance of the turf and pavilion for the next three years.

*Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:*

- Regarding the request for \$50,000 for maintenance, turf and pavilion, what is the breakdown of that?
- Did projected cash flows show that the operation wasn't viable?
- What happens if the Council does not approve the funding?
- Are there other funding avenues?
- What is the split in your revenue: hockey-related and non-hockey related?

*The Submitter commented as follows:*

- Some of the funding will be used for events plus ongoing maintenance of the staff and building.
- The Trust was initially optimistic on the take up of the building (rental income). The Trust was hopeful that the uptake will be much stronger and quicker. The hard work on promotion is starting to make a difference.
- If the Council does not approve funding the continued running of the turf will not be viable.
- The Trust applies for many grants and sponsorship.
- Football use the facility in the summer, Hockey in the winter. There has been a gym set up for a short time also.

*Cr Elliott left the meeting at 3.08pm and did not return.*

*Cr Gaylor left the meeting at 3.18pm and returned at 3.20pm.*

**AP 260 – Sport Wellington – Melanie Aiken** spoke about the need for a regional strategy for sport and recreation and the Council's support to invest in such a project. The estimated annual contribution will be \$8,000 starting from 2017. The development of a regional strategy may ensure that the region continues to reap the benefits of sports and recreation.

*There were no questions from Elected Members.*

**AP 284 – Wellington Regional Sports Organisations – Melanie Aiken** spoke about key priorities of the Wellington Regional Sports sector. It is recommended that the regions sports organisations work in synergy with the Council on playing a significant role in the provision of sport and recreation. The submission includes 16 sports, 500 clubs and over 1000 members of the clubs.

*Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:*

- Are all Council's being asked for a funding amount dependent on the size of the community?
- If only half of the Council's commit to the funding would this project continue?
- Would there be shared services cost savings?

MINUTES	MEETING	TIME
<b>KĀPITI COAST DISTRICT COUNCIL</b>	<b>MONDAY 18 MAY 2015 TUESDAY 19 MAY 2015 WEDNESDAY 20 MAY 2015</b>	<b>10.00 AM</b>

- Regarding increased user charges for local facilities: is the submission a general observation or has Council been identified as having harsher user-charge increases than anybody else?
- Has this concept been taken to the Mayoral Forum and the Chief Executive's Forum?

*The Submitter commented as follows:*

- The amount requested is based on population basis not participation.
- If funding cannot be secured by June 2017 the project will be at risk.
- Cost savings with shared services is a fair estimate. Understanding future trends will be the best way to ensure cost savings with shared services.
- Across the region there is huge variation in charges for facilities.
- The project is a standing agenda item at the Chief Executives Forum.

*Cr Gaylor left the meeting at 3.38pm and returned at 4.17pm.*

*The meeting adjourned at 3.39pm and reconvened at 3.51pm.*

**AP 318 – Paraparaumu Beach Tennis Club – Bob Cowper** spoke about the proposal to rebuild the Te Ātiawa Park hard courts and believes that anything less than a proper rebuild will be only a temporary solution. These courts are heavily used and the facility is worthy of the investment.

*Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:*

- Is there any interest in the club to get a better facility as the Te Ātiawa court limits usage?
- Is there a possibility of upgrading the current courts to a better surface material?

*The Submitter commented as follows:*

- Future development on another venue will take too long.
- Fixing or repairing the problem is not going to work. There needs to be a rebuild. The underlying problems will always be there so covering with a new surface is not a good solution.

**MOVED (Bell/Welsh)**

**That Standing Order 3.3.7 is suspended to allow the meeting to continue.**

**CARRIED**

**AP 268 – CCS Disability Action – Raewyn Hailes** spoke about mobility parking and asked the Council to consider future proofing and planning for access for all people. One in four people will experience a significant impairment over their lifetime, even if it is for a short while, so the issue of accessibility is paramount. Three major topics in the submission are future proofing, accessibility and approaching the redevelopment of the district for accessibility.

*Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:*

- Is the submitter aware of the Older Persons' Council? Is there any connection to them as they spend a lot of time on these issues?
- Would CCS Disability Action be willing to speak at a full Council meeting on the work that they are doing?

MINUTES	MEETING	TIME
<b>KĀPITI COAST DISTRICT COUNCIL</b>	<b>MONDAY 18 MAY 2015 TUESDAY 19 MAY 2015 WEDNESDAY 20 MAY 2015</b>	<b>10.00 AM</b>

*The Submitter commented as follows:*

- There is no connection to the Older Persons' Council at present but there is an intention to make contact with them to see if there are areas of collaboration.
- This group will be happy to attend a full Council meeting.

**AP 13 – Wellington Culinary Events Trust – Sarah Meikle** spoke about Kāpiti Coast and Visa Wellington on a Plate events and gave a brief overview on its success in the Kāpiti Coast. The Trust requests support from Council in the form of a \$4,000 grant. The Trust supports the Town Centre revitalisation and the Performing Arts Centre proposal.

*Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:*

- Is Kāpiti tourism branding included in promotional material?
- Would Kāpiti branding be considered if funding is received?
- Has there been consideration given to partnering with other sources to focus on health?
- How and where is the Visa Wellington on a Plate publication distributed?
- Where will the \$4,000 funding be spent?

*The Submitter commented as follows:*

- The Kāpiti tourism branding could be included, or discussed, upon approval of funding.
- There is no promotion of over eating for these events but there have been discussions with a gym to work in with branding development.
- There are 205,000 copies of Visa Wellington on a Plate publication.
- Distribution is nationwide and within Kāpiti anyone who receives the Dominion Post (in an early June 2015 edition) or visits the Kāpiti i-site will be given a copy.
- The funding will be spent on time and expertise to create solid platforms for these events.

**AP 294 – Waikanae Football Club – Rob Burrows** spoke about the floodlight concern the Waikanae Football Club has at Jim Cooke Park. Following reports from the GWRC, the Club understand that the floodlights at this park may need to be moved at a cost of approximately \$30,000 and the Waikanae Football Club ask that the cost is not passed onto the Club.

*Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:*

- Has the GWRC confirmed in writing that the lights will need to be moved and that they will not pay?
- How does the Club know that the lights will need to be moved?
- There was a considerable amount of consideration about the placement of the floodlights when installed. The GWRC was involved in the installation regarding the stop bank. If those lights have to be moved, it should be the Regional Council that pays for the move.
- When was the meeting with the Regional Council?

*The Submitter commented as follows:*

- Nothing in writing has been received regarding the movement of the lights.
- There was a meeting at the park including Council and the GWRC representative mentioned that the club will have to move from the park or that the lights will have to be moved.
- The meeting with the Regional Council occurred six months ago.

**AP 256 – Ōtaki College – Andrew Fraser and Daniel Riggs** spoke about the development of a gym at Ōtaki College. Currently there is no all-weather sporting facility. The College has a long history of using Ōtaki Pool.

MINUTES	MEETING	TIME
<b>KĀPITI COAST DISTRICT COUNCIL</b>	<b>MONDAY 18 MAY 2015 TUESDAY 19 MAY 2015 WEDNESDAY 20 MAY 2015</b>	<b>10.00 AM</b>

*Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:*

- Does Ōtaki College support the development of a splash pad?
- How far into development is the desired gym?
- Are you looking to the Council to provide a certain percentage of the required funding so that the college can approach the Lotteries Commission?
- What is the time scale for the building of the gym?
- Is there a ballpark figure on the cost and a conceptual drawing to supply?
- It is best for the College to approach the Chief Executive to discuss any future Council support.

*The Submitter commented as follows:*

- The Splash Pad is a great addition to the area.
- Planning is only at conceptual stages and funding is limited for the College.
- The College will approach the Lotteries Commission if they receive support from the Council.
- Planning for the gym will not go past conceptual stage without Council support in the LTP.
- A conceptual drawing and financial figures can be arranged by the college.

*Mayor Church left the meeting at 5.05pm and did not return.*

*The meeting adjourned at 5.06pm pm and reconvened at 5.50pm.*

*Cr Cardiff chaired the meeting from 5.50pm.*

**AP 312 – Tony Bevin** spoke about what has not been covered in the LTP such as water supply, cycleways, overgrown footpaths, lanes and stormwater. The most critical area of infrastructure is to ensure a secure water supply for the Paraparaumu/Raumati/Waikanae area of the District and strongly suggests that securing storage, by way of the dam on the Maungakotukutuku Stream, should be a high priority.

*Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:*

- Why would Council spend so much money on a dam when there is adequate supply for the next 50 Years?
- Is there an event where members of the public can see the new water treatment facility?

*The Submitter commented as follows:*

- There is not enough water in the river in a drought which is why a dam would be beneficial.

**AP 207 – Kapiti Multi-Purpose Sports Circuit Group – Adrian McKenzie** – This submitter did not attend.

**AP 229 – Paul Devereux** spoke about future development of an area of land located between the Waikanae River and Reikorangi Road to be included in the LTP and asks that Council prepare and implement, in consultation with the community, a plan for the future use and management of this land. This is to include removal of all exotic vegetation over time, planting of more native vegetation, creation of picnic and recreation areas. Paul mentioned the creation of establishing a “friends group” to help maintain the area.

*Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:*

- Encouraging a “friends group” is a great idea and Paul should approach the Chief Executive for advice in how to continue with the idea.
- The whole reserve area was very problematic with freedom camping, pollution and littering so the area was closed off for that reason.

MINUTES	MEETING	TIME
<b>KĀPITI COAST DISTRICT COUNCIL</b>	<b>MONDAY 18 MAY 2015 TUESDAY 19 MAY 2015 WEDNESDAY 20 MAY 2015</b>	<b>10.00 AM</b>

- Community ownership is important for the future of this area.
- There was a residents group in the past, do they still exist?

*The Submitter commented as follows:*

- There is still a group within the area that focuses on the conservation of this area.

*The meeting adjourned at 6.35pm and reconvened at 6.55pm.*

**AP 456 – Olde Waikanae Beach Preservation Society – Charles Norwood** spoke about the Society's support of Destination Waikanae's plan to rejuvenate the Waikanae Town Centre. The Society would like the limitation of commercial activity to be monitored by the Council to ensure the unique character of the Town is kept intact. It seems remiss of the Council not to have placed future roading development (Expressway, Transmission Gully) plans into the LTP. In 2013 the Council carried out a report on whether Waikanae Beach be granted special status and the result was that it should but nothing came of this recommendation.

*Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:*

- How old is the Preservation Society?
- What would you say to the people who want development closer to their residences such as the Older Persons Council (eg proposed medical centre)?
- How much of the area is zoned for commercial activity?
- What are you seeking from Council, what more could the Council do?

*The Submitter commented as follows:*

- The Preservation Society has been in existence for ten years.
- The Preservation Society is wanting to stop unplanned development, not so much well planned developments.
- The area under discussion is all zoned residential.
- It is asked that the Council ensure the area retains its special character and not be developed commercially.

**AP 185 – Charles Norwood** – This submitter amalgamated his personal submission into submission AP456.

**AP 153 – Mr Gerald Rys** spoke about major changes that will evolve in the Kāpiti District over the period of the LTP due to the motorway which will have major economic, social and environmental consequences. It would have been useful to look at the implementation and success of the previous LTP. There has been a strong emphasis in developing affordable housing that lasts and is sustainable.

*Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:*

- Regarding the traffic modeling for the Expressway - the traffic volumes will halve on Upper Te Moana Road and not increase. The two current interchanges were achieved through Council efforts.

*The Submitter commented as follows:*

- The issue around the Peka Peka interchange is that the road heading south from Peka Peka to Waikanae Beach is only a small country lane and is not suitable for traffic travelling down to Wellington.

*The meeting adjourned at 6.50pm and reconvened at 7.00pm.*

MINUTES	MEETING	TIME
<b>KĀPITI COAST DISTRICT COUNCIL</b>	<b>MONDAY 18 MAY 2015 TUESDAY 19 MAY 2015 WEDNESDAY 20 MAY 2015</b>	<b>10.00 AM</b>

Cr Cardiff announced a conflict of interest in submission AP 362 and refrained from asking questions.

**AP 362 – New Building Committee Paekākāriki Surf Lifeguards – Natasha Perkins** spoke about rebuilding the Surf Club. The current Club was built in the early 1960's and has increased in size twice since then. There is a need for a new pavilion as the current structure is deteriorating. The membership and equipment has outgrown the building. It would be beneficial to build a Club further back from the beach. A new building behind the current one is the best choice for local residents. The Committee would like to open up discussions about a new building and invite the Council to play a part in the rebuilding process (eg opportunity for public toilets, picnic areas). No funds were requested.

*Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:*

- Is there unanimous support from local residents for a new building?
- What would be a rough ballpark figure of the costs involved?
- Has the submitter made a submission to the GWRC?
- Is Surf Lifesaving supporting this initiative?
- What is the expected lifespan of this building?

*The Submitter commented as follows:*

- Since first approaching residents, the location has been moved from alongside to directly behind the current building which increased support (less obtrusive than original placement).
- There is no current finalised design for the new surf club but costings are around \$1.5million.
- There is a Memorandum of Understanding with the GWRC.
- Surf Lifesaving New Zealand only support education, not buildings.
- The current building is fifty years old but it is hard to estimate how long the new building will last for.

**AP 253 – Destination Waikanae Inc – Paul Turner** spoke about how Destination Waikanae is an Incorporated Society which aims to enliven and improve the Waikanae Town Centre and Waikanae Beach retail area. Destination Waikanae doesn't think the LTP focuses enough on Waikanae and believes there should be more focus on structural change. Destination Waikanae supports the fast track option. The role of Destination Waikanae is to coordinate village business development.

*Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:*

- Has the submitter seen any tangible changes as a result of urban design concept feedback?
- Parking is critical in the Waikanae village. How would Destination Waikanae manage to resolve the parking issues?

*The Submitter commented as follows:*

- There has been no significant change since the urban design concepts were presented by Destination Waikanae to the community. The plans are still in early stages.
- The answer is not immediately apparent as proposed structural change do have extra roading proposed but there has been no analysis of the parking within the Town Centre.
- It would be beneficial to be able to influence the outcomes of the Council as the group can contribute a lot to the consultation processes with the collective expertise.

MINUTES	MEETING	TIME
<b>KĀPITI COAST DISTRICT COUNCIL</b>	<b>MONDAY 18 MAY 2015 TUESDAY 19 MAY 2015 WEDNESDAY 20 MAY 2015</b>	<b>10.00 AM</b>

**AP 282– GROW Paekakariki – Paul Hughes** spoke about Council incorporating the recommendations of the GROW Paekakariki report in its LTP. There was a recommendation to purchase the regionally significant Paekakariki scarp as a Scenic Reserve at a cost of \$300,000. The group would like the Council to purchase Tilley Triangle as a Recreation Reserve at a cost of \$500,000. The group would also like tracks developed for walking, cycling and horse riding in conjunction with the Walking Access Commission at a cost of \$200,000. The group is recommending that these funds be put aside for purchase.

*Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:*

- What would the order of priority be on the recommendations in the submission?
- Have there have been other ideas in regards to affordable housing, orchards and gardens?
- The funds requested are around \$1.3million. What would be your statement and discussion with residents who do not want their rates increased be?
- Is there an Iwi connection with the Stakeholders?

*The Submitter commented as follows:*

- The Tilley Triangle would be highest in terms of threat from loss of opportunity.
- The report did not address housing, wind farms or sub-division.
- All of the recommendations bring value to the community. There will be an increase in rates following the successful uptake on the recommendations but the value will be beneficial to the community.
- There has been continual communication with Carol Reihana from local Iwi. They are fully informed on everything GROW Paekakariki does.

**AP 468 – Paul Hughes (personal submission)** spoke about the Wainui Catchment and recommends the Council purchases the remainder of the Paekākāriki water supply area in the lower Wainui catchment area.

*Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:*

- It has been raised at an earlier Community Board meeting but it would be beneficial to bring this issue to a future Community Board meeting.

*The Submitter commented as follows:*

- Is it worth bringing this issue to the Community Board?

*The meeting adjourned at 7.46pm and reconvened at 8.00pm.*

**AP 191 – Business Kapiti Horowhenua Inc – Mark Ternent** spoke about how Business Kapiti Horowhenua runs the annual Electra Business Awards in this area and the Council has funded the awards in the past. Business Kapiti Horowhenua request that Council consider funding, in part, the Business awards for the next three years. The Awards make a direct contribution to business development and growth of employment and entrepreneurship opportunities. This is the longest running premier business event in the Kāpiti/Horowhenua region. The funding will go into administration and assessment towards the awards.

*Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:*

- Will Horowhenua District Council be approached for the same amount?
- Has an assessment been carried out to put the Awards under the spotlight? Has there ever been a measurement about the economic success of the awards?

MINUTES	MEETING	TIME
<b>KĀPITI COAST DISTRICT COUNCIL</b>	<b>MONDAY 18 MAY 2015 TUESDAY 19 MAY 2015 WEDNESDAY 20 MAY 2015</b>	<b>10.00 AM</b>

*The Submitter commented as follows:*

- Funding has been requested from both Councils.
- No assessment has been carried out for the Awards but a substantial amount of positive feedback is received from businesses around the region.

**AP 324 – Living Streets Aotearoa – Ellen Blake and Paula Warren** asked for the LTP to recognize walkways and cycleways and asks the Council for targeted outcomes within the groups submission be considered.

*Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:*

- Is Living Streets Aotearoa aware that there are Cycleway and Walkway Groups in the area?
- Has there been any specific cross referencing to the above groups around the country?

*The Submitter commented as follows:*

- Living Streets Aotearoa are aware of the Cycleway and Walkway Groups.
- Living Streets no longer has employees due to lack of funding so there is no specific cross referencing to other groups but the group does look at what the Councils are currently trying to do regarding pedestrian issues.

**AP 363 – Quentin Poole** has written to the Mayor three times and has received no reply. Quentin would like to know why the Council won't talk about issues. He would like to know how the Council determines whether they are being objective or subjective in this hearing. Quentin does not believe certain groups should have any more power than the other and mentioned that doing this is a form of apartheid and fascism. He believes that giving one race (i.e Māori in this case) more power over another race should not happen and that people should be considered for roles on who they are, not what race they happen to be. Commercial development around Waikanae Beach should be considered carefully because of the Expressway and the Council should be proactive with development. He would like to submit that all rates increases be no more than the previous year's level of inflation.

*Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:*

- Zoning currently protects the character of Waikanae at present and a Resource Consent process will be required regarding commercial activity in the area so the character of the Waikanae area is protected at present.
- Regarding "apartheid and fascism" accusations - how does this tie in with the Council's work with Iwi?
- The Local Government Act 2002 indicates that Council are to facilitate Māori participation in decision making processes. The Council is obliged by law to ensure Māori are included in decision making. It is a shock that Quentin can come in and say to the Council that the fact that they are carrying out their obligation to Te Tiriti o Waitangi it is considered a form of 'apartheid and fascism'.
- Is your Iwi from this district?

*The Submitter commented as follows:*

- There are different sections within the Resource Management Act to stop any development around the Waikanae area until the community has been consulted.
- Quentin is concerned that the Council are giving Māori too much power.
- Quentin is not there to represent his Iwi (Taranaki and Hawkes Bay).

MINUTES	MEETING	TIME
<b>KĀPITI COAST DISTRICT COUNCIL</b>	<b>MONDAY 18 MAY 2015 TUESDAY 19 MAY 2015 WEDNESDAY 20 MAY 2015</b>	<b>10.00 AM</b>

**AP 150 – Fluoride Information Network Dentists – Dr Stan Litras** spoke about negative aspects of having fluoride in the town’s water supply and strongly urged the Council to stop contaminating the water supply with fluoride and to stop fluoride in public drinking fountains. Today’s fluoride comes from many sources, not just water (eg fluoride toothpaste, daily dietary intake).

*Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:*

- Is this an issue for Central Government to make a decision?
- What steps have Fluoride Information Network Dentists taken to question the review commissioned by Sir Peter Gluckman on the *Health Effects of Water Fluoridation*.

*The Submitter commented as follows:*

- It would be great if the Central Government were to look into negative effects of fluoride in the water.
- Dr Litras did submit his concerns to the Gluckman Review.

**AP 97 – Dr Lawrence Patchett** – this submitter did not attend.

*Cr Holborow left the meeting at 8.52pm and did not return.*

*Ann-Maree Ellison left the meeting at 8.53pm and returned at 8.55pm.*

**AP 223 – Friends of Te Horo Beach Inc – Penny Benson (replaced by Louise Hinton), Delia Glogowski and Jenny Holdt** spoke about setting aside funding for a public toilet at the Dixie Street Playground at Te Horo Beach. A survey of local residents was recently conducted to ensure this was required and the survey found residents were in favor (82%) of this facility. Some residents mentioned that they don’t visit the park as often as they would like to due to their being no toilet facilities. There is strong community support for a new toilet facility (80%) support from a recent survey carried out by Friends of Te Horo Beach Inc. The closest toilet is 400 metres away on Sea Road. The group would also like the Council to consider improvements at the Te Horo beach settlements and would like to be involved with any further developments.

*Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:*

- Is there still a Residents’ Association in Te Horo?
- Ōtaki Community Board may allocate Reserve Funds for a toilet facility in their planning. Has this proposal been submitted to them?
- It was mentioned that one toilet costs \$100,000.

*The Submitter commented as follows:*

- The Friends of Te Horo Beach has been renamed from the Residents’ Association.
- The Friends of Te Horo Beach understood that a new toilet was a Council issue.

*The meeting adjourned at 9.11pm, and was scheduled to reconvene on Wednesday 20 May 2015 at 10.00am.*

MINUTES	MEETING	TIME
<b>KĀPITI COAST DISTRICT COUNCIL</b>	<b>MONDAY 18 MAY 2015 TUESDAY 19 MAY 2015 WEDNESDAY 20 MAY 2015</b>	<b>10.00 AM</b>

PRESENT

Mayor	R	Church	(arrived at 12.15pm)
Cr	D	Ammundsen	
Cr	M	Bell	(arrived at 10.14am)
Cr	M	Cardiff	
Cr	J	Elliott	
Cr	P	Gaylor	(arrived at 11.07am)
Cr	K	Gurunathan	
Cr	J	Holborow	
Cr	D	Scott	
Cr	G	Welsh	(arrived at 10.10am)

ATTENDING

Mr	P	Dougherty	(Chief Executive)
Mr	P	Edwards	(Chair – Paekākāriki Community Board)
Mr	J	Cootes	(Chair – Ōtaki Community Board) - arrived at 10.15am
Ms	A-M	Ellison	(Member, Te Whakaminenga o Kāpiti)
Mr	S	McArthur	(Group Manager Strategy & Partnerships)
Mr	K	Currie	(Group Manager Regulatory Services)
Mr	W	Maxwell	(Group Manager Corporate Services) - arrived at 12.45pm
Ms	S	Lloyd	(Corporate Planning and Reporting Statutory Compliance Support Officer)
Ms	S	Kershaw	(Corporate Planning and Reporting Project Coordinator)
Mr	T	Creighton	(Corporate Planning and Reporting Corporate Monitoring Officer)
Ms	A	Law	(Aquatic Facilities Manager) - arrived at 12.45pm
Ms	K	Welch	(Minute Secretary)

LEAVE OF ABSENCE

Cr	M	Scott	
----	---	-------	--

*The meeting reconvened on Wednesday 20 May 2015 at 10.08am.*

*Cr Cardiff chaired the meeting.*

*James Cootes arrived at the meeting at 10.15am.*

**AP 339 – Helene Donaldson** was unable to attend but submitted an email which was distributed in hard copy to Councillors asking that the issue of progressing with the Youth Centre be tabled. The email said that despite the Youth Centre being part of the LTP consultation process, this defeats the purpose of consultation and also raises the issue of “open mindedness of Councillors” when they have already voted to proceed (admittedly not in full, but it is obvious it is going down that track). Given the floods of last week, the storm water infrastructure that has taken a back seat needs to be given urgent priority over and above additional buildings that will duplicate facilities. A Youth Centre could be housed in the Raumati swimming pool building – which would save purchasing a building and nearly 1% in rates.

MINUTES	MEETING	TIME
<b>KĀPITI COAST DISTRICT COUNCIL</b>	<b>MONDAY 18 MAY 2015 TUESDAY 19 MAY 2015 WEDNESDAY 20 MAY 2015</b>	<b>10.00 AM</b>

*Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:*

- There is a briefing on the Civil Defence Response to Council on Wednesday 20<sup>th</sup> May.
- The Youth Development Centre has appeared in the last two LTPs and the Council has agreed to continue with consultation.
- A decision to have the Youth Centre managed through a proposed charitable trust was made by Council as a way forward (if the Council does progress on this). There has been no firm decision on the actual Centre.

**AP 291 – Sally Munro and Pat Duignan** spoke about Expressway implications for Waikanae and that Waikanae Beach should be recognised in the LTP as a Special Character Area.

*Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:*

- What was best about Waikanae Beach?
- Where does Waikanae Beach finish?
- What are the submitter's thoughts on development and how much do we limit developments such as the proposed medical centre.

*The Submitter commented as follows:*

- The fact that the beach doesn't feel like a suburb and that it has a beach atmosphere. There is a risk of 'ribbon' development happening like in Ōtaki. It would be worth avoiding this happening in Waikanae.
- Waikanae Beach is up to the golf course. A small, well designed development in the green spaces near the Expressway off ramp (especially for children) would not be a problem.
- Most people who go to a medical centre want to visit a pharmacy also. This needs to be properly located.

**AP 230 – Laurie Petherick** spoke about his concerns regarding climate change, sea level rise and the Marine Parade Revetment and asks that the Council acts on the deterioration and future proof the effects of rising sea levels due to climate change to protect the fragile coastal area.

*Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:*

- Short term bathymetric testing has been planned for the future by the Council.
- A Coastal Advisory Group will be set up by the Council in the future to identify what work is required over what timeframe.
- How is the process of managed retreat managed in the future?
- Given the magnitude of the problem, how do you see the responsibility of central Government?
- Could you please send an email to the Council regarding the Adelaide Scheme mentioned?
- Are you saying that the Council should concentrate on the Marine Parade Revetment only?

*The Submitter commented as follows:*

- There needs to be an analysis on the process of managed retreat in the future.
- Central Government must take a major position in this issue and Council must fight for the government to develop some sort of a process for the whole of New Zealand. We need to have a coordinated approach across the country.
- An email will be sent to Council regarding the Adelaide Scheme mentioned.
- The problem seems to be around the Marine Parade Revetment area at present.

*Cr Elliott left the meeting at 11.07am and returned at 11.12am.*

*Cr Gaylor arrived at the meeting at 11.07am.*

MINUTES	MEETING	TIME
<b>KĀPITI COAST DISTRICT COUNCIL</b>	<b>MONDAY 18 MAY 2015 TUESDAY 19 MAY 2015 WEDNESDAY 20 MAY 2015</b>	<b>10.00 AM</b>

**AP 210 – Accessibility Advisory Group – Sue Emirali** spoke about the 25% of people in the Kāpiti District who have accessibility issues and the requirements of the UN Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities and the New Zealand Disabilities Strategy. Sue wants the Council to ensure that accessibility (including accessibility to information and accessibility to affordable housing) is kept at the forefront of long term district planning.

*Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:*

- What would be an idea of the number of members who have mobility scooters as the number seems to be growing quickly?

*The Submitter commented as follows:*

- There is an Expo focusing on mobility scooters later on in the year and a survey of numbers is hoped to be carried out at the Expo.

**AP 213 – Graham Coe** spoke as a member of the Paekākāriki Community Trust and requests funding for the Village Hall of \$5,000 p.a. to be included into the LTP. Graham requests that the Council focus on post Transmission Gully in the LTP and asks for an impact analysis to avoid Paekākāriki becoming a backwater. Graham also requests that the Council reviews library staffing and provides funding in the LTP for the equitable staffing of the Paekākāriki Library. Unlike other communities in the District, Paekākāriki does not have a Community Centre. It is proposed that options for establishing a Community Centre in Paekākāriki be included in the LTP.

*Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:*

- Does the submitter think a targeted rate would be beneficial?
- The community already has a space in the Village Hall, why do we need a Community Centre also?

*The Submitter commented as follows:*

- It would be best to ask residents about whether a targeted rate would be beneficial.
- It is the smaller rooms that are popular when hiring a venue but that option is not available in the Village Hall. This is why a Community Centre is more viable (i.e. smaller rooms available for meetings).

**AP 307 – Paraparaumu Beach Saturday Market – Warwick Halcrow and Martin Halliday** spoke about the Paraparaumu Beach Saturday markets and asks the Council to provide in the LTP the opportunity for the market to be able to expand in the future by allowing stall sites to be located in the surrounding streets (e.g. MacLean Street between Seaview Road and Marine Parade and/or Seaview Road between MacLean Street and Howell Road). The market is considered to be nationally recognised as a successful thriving market.

*Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:*

- Would it be viable to have a night market in the current location?
- Where did you get the “nationally recognised market” claim?
- Have the submitters thought of having input into the Council’s Economic Development Strategy?
- Would it be useful to have a network with other market operators in the district as a formal group?
- Closing streets can be costly. Have you considered other sites in the area where street closures won’t need to happen?
- Have there been moves by the airport to move the market there?

MINUTES	MEETING	TIME
<b>KĀPITI COAST DISTRICT COUNCIL</b>	<b>MONDAY 18 MAY 2015 TUESDAY 19 MAY 2015 WEDNESDAY 20 MAY 2015</b>	<b>10.00 AM</b>

*The Submitter commented as follows:*

- It would be viable in the summer to have a night market in the current location.
- Stall holders visit the market from around New Zealand and Kāpiti is one of the destination markets they visit. It is recognised on radio as a nationally recognised market.
- No thought has gone into having input into the Council's Economic Development Strategy but it could be looked at in the future.
- It would be a great idea to formalise the network of market groups if this was Council facilitated.
- No other sites have been looked at to avoid road closures.
- No correspondence has been received from the local airport.

**AP 479 – Volunteer Kāpiti – Naomi Yeoman** asked the Council to consider funding for Volunteer Kāpiti in the LTP and gave background information about the work that Volunteer Kāpiti carry out such as supporting a range of Council initiatives including the Sustainable Home and Garden Show, the Kāpiti Arts Trail as well as gardening in schools and ask that the Council continue to see Volunteer Kāpiti as a core service.

*Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:*

- Regarding a previous submission by a professional fundraiser asking for over \$100,000. Are you aware of this submission?

*The Submitter commented as follows:*

- Naomi was not aware of this submission.

*The meeting adjourned at 11.49am and reconvened at 11.59am.*

**AP 308 – Michelle Lewis** gave an overview of her extensive background in the transport sector and highlighted the part of her submission discussing climate change and how the proposals being put forward are not adequately addressing climate change and suggests less focus on sealing traffic lanes, creating single lanes and not putting seal down which extracts more resources from the earth.

*Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:*

- Could you highlight on a map in the future your suggestions about how the Council can implement the plans in the submission?
- Have you thought about speaking with other groups within the region?

*The Submitter commented as follows:*

- A map can be provided with suggestions on how the Council can implement the plans recommended.
- There will be work in the future with other likeminded groups within the region.

**AP 293 – Richard Swan** requested that the Council agrees to undertake a Community Outcomes process for the Reikorangi Valley community and gave background into the area and the traffic hazards on the 100km roads (one death on the Main Road due to speeding). Richard requests that the Reikorangi Road and Ngatiawa and Akatarawa Roads be reduced to 70 or 80km speed limits. There is a lot of horse riding activities along these roads and requests a horse riding warning sign similar to one on a road in Te Horo. A cycleway would be beneficial along Reikorangi Road also.

*Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:*

- Does Reikorangi Valley belong to Ōtaki?

MINUTES	MEETING	TIME
<b>KĀPITI COAST DISTRICT COUNCIL</b>	<b>MONDAY 18 MAY 2015 TUESDAY 19 MAY 2015 WEDNESDAY 20 MAY 2015</b>	<b>10.00 AM</b>

*The Submitter commented as follows:*

- The voting area is Ōtaki.

*Mayor Ross Church arrived at the meeting at 12.15pm and resumed as Chair.*

**AP 236 – Murray Ballinger** – This submitter did not attend.

**AP 480 – Kāpiti Coast (Citizens for Open Access and Sustainable Transition) –**

**John Baldwin** asked where in the LTP is there funding to push the Peka Peka to Ōtaki Cycleway forward? He would also like power poles to be removed in Ruapehu Street as they are unsightly and hostile obstacles on the pavements. The pavement network is aged and not designed to comply with modern practice and if on a mobility scooter it can be a difficult surface to drive on. The group would like to see the footpaths along arterial routes to be upgraded.

*Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:*

- Moving power poles is a very expensive process but safety has to be carefully considered. It would be beneficial to consider maintaining or moving the power poles highlighted in the submission.

**AP 258 – Kapiti Food Network – Jo Dundon and Gavin Bradley** spoke about who the Kapiti Food Network are and what the potential strategic, cultural and local importance of local food initiatives are. The Kapiti Food Network is seeking a mixture of financial and political support to ensure the network can fulfill the objectives stated in their submission which are to grow membership through demonstrating the value the network can add, promoting Kāpiti as a great food and beverage district, proving the Kāpiti food experience as vibrant, diverse and thriving and to demonstrate that collaboration even with its competitors drives growth for the district. The network would like funding to invite guest speakers to address the members.

*Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:*

- Would guests be invited to the district to run local public events?
- There is a concern around the exclusivity of the group and funding comes back to a select group of members not for the wider Kāpiti hospitality community.
- Has any take up been received from the big producers in regards to your spread right across Kāpiti?

*The Submitter commented as follows:*

- There could be an opportunity for public events if funding is approved.
- Work will be carried out to include the wider Kāpiti hospitality community but the organisers of the network have been very busy so far this year. It is a matter of finding the time to promote the network.
- There are prestigious members of the network.

**AP 192 – Anthony Dreaver** spoke about Kāpiti's Heritage and how the concept of heritage is an abstract one but is a part of the character and personality of the areas within the District. Anthony would like to see a Kāpiti District Policy on the question of heritage.

*Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:*

- Could you research some existing policies that would be suitable for Kāpiti and send them through to the Council?

MINUTES	MEETING	TIME
<b>KĀPITI COAST DISTRICT COUNCIL</b>	<b>MONDAY 18 MAY 2015 TUESDAY 19 MAY 2015 WEDNESDAY 20 MAY 2015</b>	<b>10.00 AM</b>

*The Submitter commented as follows:*

- Anthony is happy to research some existing policies to send to the Council.

**AP 252 – Netball Kāpiti – John Roach** supports the rebuild of the Te Ātiawa courts on the current site and has a range of recommendations on how to best enhance the courts as per the submission. There is a real opportunity to work with Netball Kāpiti to receive additional requirements at the courts to best enhance the sport.

*Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:*

- Could there be more of a spread in the times that the courts are used?
- What would be the best time of the year for Netball Kāpiti to rebuild the courts?
- Why are Netball Kāpiti not interested in a different location?
- Could the younger netball players play on a different day (eg Wednesday) using student umpires?

*The Submitter commented as follows:*

- There are pros and cons on whether to spread the times of games (outside of the normal Saturday) but it seems best to keep it on the Saturday as most of the people involved are volunteers and scheduling would be an issue.
- Rebuilding at the end of the netball season (2<sup>nd</sup> week of September) would be best or at the beginning of the netball season.
- Netball as a sport may be able to fund a purpose built facility but the board has decided it is best to remain where it is to enable continued shared facilities.
- The entire netball programme has been improved this year to spread out the competition so the junior umpires are an option but a different day has not been looked at.

*Cr Elliott left the meeting at 1.20pm and returned at 1.27pm.*

*Cr Scott left the meeting at 1.20pm and did not return.*

**AP 310 – Paekākāriki Community Board – Philip Edwards** asked how the Board could request Council to include in the LTP a study of the impacts on Paekākāriki of Transmission Gully, consultation with Community on those impacts and a plan for work with New Zealand Transport Agency, Department of Conservation, GWRC, Wellington Tourism and Tourism New Zealand to manage those impacts and secure good outcomes for Paekākāriki. The Board is asking that the Council consider a grant of \$5,000 for the Paekākāriki Community Trust Grant for the operating costs of St Peter's Hall, and requests that Council adds \$2.50 to the Community Services rate to be set aside for the Paekākāriki residents to use for community minded projects. Can the Council help the Board write a report on creating a group called Destination Paekākāriki?

*There were no questions from Elected Members.*

**AP 178 – Bob Roberts** spoke about developing the Raumati Pool Building and how it is unfortunate that Council has renewed a ten year lease for the airspace over the pool building which limits the development opportunities. He requested that Council bend the rule that every abode with cooking utilities is rateable to exclude residential abodes.

*Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:*

- The District Plan has identified that a property with a wired in stove is rateable (not microwaves).

MINUTES	MEETING	TIME
<b>KĀPITI COAST DISTRICT COUNCIL</b>	<b>MONDAY 18 MAY 2015 TUESDAY 19 MAY 2015 WEDNESDAY 20 MAY 2015</b>	<b>10.00 AM</b>

*The Submitter commented as follows:*

- The issue did not exist until retirement villages were built in Kāpiti and believes it is no business of the Council when a property has a separate dwelling with cooking utilities.

**AP 292 – Paraparaumu/Raumati Community Board – Fiona Vining** spoke about the impact on rates for affordability for households. The Town Centre upgrade has received mixed reviews from Community Board members. A child and age friendly city is encouraged as there is a large number of children along with older people. It seems unfair that gold card holders get lowered library fees when community service card holders do not. There have been a number of road safety issues raised with the community over the past few years and the Community Board are aware of the Council's funding limitations (i.e. budgeting, prioritising) but would like the Council to look at the Makarini Street and Ruapehu Street footpaths which are in disrepair. There have been requests from members of the community to look into installing plumbing and fire sprinklers for the kiosk at MacLeans Park.

*There were no questions from Elected Members.*

*Cr Ammundsen left the meeting at 1.42pm and returned at 1.44pm.*

**AP 495 – Regional Public Health – Dr Jill McKenzie & Peter Gush** spoke about shared stewardship in the LTP and that the Regional Public Health are encouraged by the shared goals and initiatives where the Council and Regional Public Health can work together (eg encouraging smoke free areas and reducing obesity).

*Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:*

- Was there was a food policy underway?
- How does the Council help roll this policy out when there are already other policies and messages to community (i.e food safety)?
- Where does Regional Public Health sit within legislative requirements?
- Regarding smoke free areas - would the smoking of legal highs not be allowed in smoke free areas?

*The Submitter commented as follows:*

- The food policy is now at the implementation stage to make healthy food choices the obvious choice.
- The implementation time scale is 18 months so there is time to plan how the Council can work with Regional Public Health.
- Health issues are more than legislative requirements. Legislation can only go so far but working with interest groups is a way to get more leverage.
- Any type of smoking is covered under the smoke free area campaign.

*Cr Gaylor left the meeting at 2.14pm and did not return.*

*The meeting concluded at 2.22pm.*

*Signed* ..... / ..... / 2015

Mayor Ross Church, Chair