

Form 33

Notice of person's wish to be party to proceedings

Section 274, Resource Management Act 1991

To the Registrar
 Environment Court
 Wellington
Jennifer.gerritsen@justice.govt.nz

I, [Allan Alexander Smith], wish to be a party to the following proceedings:

- *ENV-2018-WLG-012; or*
 Federated Farmers of New Zealand Inc appealing against KCDC Proposed District Plan.

I am a person who has an interest in the proceedings that is greater than the interest that the general public has. I farm within the KCDC jurisdiction and will be affected by any changes to the proposed District Plan. Most changes proposed by Federated Farmers in their Appeal would be beneficial and so I support them.

I am a person who made a submission [number 443] to Kapiti Coast District Council and a further submission [number 139] in which I supported parts of the Federated Farmers submission [number 250] that address issues raised in their Appeal.

I am not a trade competitor for the purposes of [section 308C](#) or [308CA](#) of the Resource Management Act 1991.

I am interested in part of the proceedings.

*The part of the proceedings I am interested in is:

Those parts of the Appeal that are listed in the issues table below.

I am interested in the following particular issues:

Decisions on the Proposed Kapiti Coast District Plan –ENV-2018-WLG-012

Who	I am interested in the following particular issues	I support the relief sought because
Federated Farmers of New Zealand appeal to Kapiti Coast District Council decisions on Proposed	<p>I wish to be party to all the matters covered in the Natural Environment Chapter 3 and Chapter 7 Rural Zones (and related Policies and Objectives as relevant in the appropriate Chapters) as addressed in the appeal of Federated Farmers, and the matters listed below in Chapter 1 Interpretation.</p> <p>Interpretation:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Amended definition of 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Enables every day farming activities to be provided for, enabling effective and efficient farming operations • Provides for appropriate management of activities relating to indigenous vegetation and earthworks, while enabling efficient and effective farming operations • Removing provisions relating to Special Amenity Landscapes

Who	I am interested in the following particular issues	I support the relief sought because
<p>District Plan</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ 'earthworks' ○ 'extractive industries' ○ 'farming' <p>For the sake of clarity, I wish to be party to the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Rule 3A.1.4 Trimming indigenous vegetation and exemptions in 3A.1.4.2 ● Rule 3A.3.8. Trimming or modification of indigenous vegetation that is within the Rural Hills, Rural Plains, Rural Dunes, Open Space (Conservation and Scenic) and River Corridor Zones that does not comply with one or more of the permitted activity standards in Rule 3A.1.4 ● Rule 3A.1.6 Permitted Activity Standards for earthworks ● Provisions on Special Amenity Landscapes, including Objective 2.9, Policy 3.13, Schedule 3.5, Reference and identification of Special Amenity Landscapes on Planning Maps ● Rule 3A.1.7 Buildings in Outstanding Natural Landscapes, including Permitted Activity Standards for Rule 3A.1.7 ● Restricted Discretionary Activity Rule 3A.3.5 - Earthworks in Outstanding Natural Features and Landscapes ● Schedule 3.2 and Rules pertaining to Key Indigenous Tree Species ● Amended Forestry provisions below. FF seeks their deletion due to pending <i>Management (National Environmental Standards for Plantation Forestry) Regulations 2017</i>: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Policy 7.3 ○ Permitted Activity Rule 7A.1.3 ○ Controlled Activity Rule 7A.2.2 ○ Discretionary Activity Rule 7A.4.1 ● Amended Rule 7A.1.2 Pastoral and arable farming, planting and maintenance of plantation forestry, shelterbelts, outdoor (extensive) pig farming, horticulture, viticulture and orchards in all Rural Zones 	<p>avoids creating greater complexity within the District Plan and unnecessary provisions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Amending Outstanding Natural Landscape provisions would enable appropriate farming operations to continue while also ensuring appropriate management of landscape values ● Amending the forestry provisions as listed would better align the District Plan with other pending forestry regulations

Matters outside this notice

Excluded from this notice	I do not wish to be party to proceedings on
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Excluded: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Definition of ‘Intensive farming’ ○ Amended Policy 11.2 Reverse Sensitivity, 11.22 National Grid and High Transmission Lines; Permitted Activity Rule 11C.1.1, Permitted Activity Rule 11C.1.4, Restricted Discretionary Activity Rule 11C.3.1 ○ Rule 12D.1.2 Permitted Activity Standards for Noise from Activities with the Rural Zones 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For the sake of clarity I do not wish to be party to proceedings on the provisions listed in this specific table.

I support the relief sought because—

It would provide a better overall balance between environmental concerns and the ability to carry out normal farming operations. We own a property on which over 90% of the land area is designated as ecological site. The Appeal would help ensure our ability to carry out normal farming practices on the remainder of the property while continuing our efforts to sustain the significant indigenous vegetation within the ecological site.

I agree to participate in mediation or other alternative dispute resolution of the proceedings.

.....
Signature of person wishing to be a party

.....
Date

Address for service of person wishing to be a party:

Telephone: 04 2934118

Fax/email: smithaa@xtra.co.nz

Contact person: Allan A Smith