

Appendix 2: Chapter 8 Table of Recommendations to Submissions and Further Submissions

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of Panel's Report	Panel's Recommendation	Panel's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
172.6	Richard Heerdegen & Johanna Rosier	General - support	Decision: Support the provisions of Chapter 8.2 (zone specific provisions).	6	Accept in part	The support for the provisions in 8.2 (now section 8) is noted, however some amendments are recommended to the zone specific provisions as a result of other submissions.	No
172.7	Richard Heerdegen & Johanna Rosier	General-Support	Decision: Support this zoning of the publicly owned coastal reserve and riparian margins of the Waitohu Stream as Open Space (conservation and scenic) zone as noted on Map 01A.	6	Accept	The support for the zoning is noted and accepted.	No
458.6	Waitohu Stream Care Group	General - support	Decision: Supports provisions Chapter 8.2 (zone specific provisions) and zoning of the publicly owned coastal reserve and riparian margins of the Waitohu Stream as "Open Space (conservation and scenic)" as noted on Map 01A Plan Zones. This zone is appropriate given the current and proposed activities carried out in the area.	6	Accept in part	The support for the provisions in 8.2 (now section 8) is noted, however some amendments are recommended to the zone specific provisions as a result of other submissions.	No
480.39	Kāpiti Coast Grey Power	General - support	Decision: Mostly support Chapter 8.	6	Accept	The support is noted and accepted.	No

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of Panel's Report	Panel's Recommendation	Panel's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
	Association Inc						
232.22	Ngā Hapū O Ōtaki	General - support	Decision: Support establishment of esplanade reserves and public spaces that are included in all urban development plans and intensification of urban settlements.	6	Accept	The support is noted and accepted.	No
280.24	Bryce Wilkinson	General – private property rights, compensation and wellbeing	<p>Decision: Oppose Policies 8.1-8.5, 8.8-8.15 oppose on the grounds that they do not comply with the RMA. In particular, that the policies:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fail to reflect the presumption in favour of security in private property rights; • Fail to adequately protect the right of landowners to develop their land in accordance with their cultural preferences; • Impose unreasonable compliance costs; and • Fail to consider the wellbeing of members of the community. 	6	Reject	A majority of the open space land is in public ownership, with privately owned land being covered by the Private Recreation and Leisure Zone. In relation to section 85 of the RMA, we do not consider that the provisions of the Open Space Zone would render land incapable of reasonable use or place unreasonable burden on landowners. Section 32 Report summarises the extent to which Objective 2.18 (now renumbered Objective 2.17) achieves the purpose of the RMA and the	No

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of Panel's Report	Panel's Recommendation	Panel's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
						<p>policies implement this objective.</p> <p>Future rezoning to Open Space or Private Recreation and Leisure will be subject to normal RMA Schedule 1 plan change processes.</p> <p>Also note the recommendation to move Policies 8.1 (renumbered DW10), 8.2 (renumbered DW11), 8.4(renumbered DW12), 8.5(renumbered DW13), 8.6 (renumbered DW14), and 8.7(renumbered DW15) to Chapter 2A.</p>	
FS108	John Rice, FS110 Paula Keene, FS139 Allan Smith, FS229 Ken Moselen		Support	6	Reject	As above.	No
280.25	Bryce Wilkinson	General – private property rights,	Decision: Amend policies and rules following the reworking of the relevant governing principles in Chapter 2.	6	Reject	No amendment recommended for the reasons given for Submission 280.24	No

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of Panel's Report	Panel's Recommendation	Panel's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
		compensation & wellbeing				above.	
<i>FS108 John Rice, FS110 Paula Keene, FS139 Allan Smith, FS229 Ken Moselen</i>			<i>Support</i>	6	<i>Reject</i>	<i>As above.</i>	<i>No</i>
280.26	Bryce Wilkinson	General – private property rights, compensation & wellbeing	Decision: Add a rule that establishes a right to injuriously affected landowners to be compensated perhaps in the manner so that they are not taxed unfairly for a measure that benefits all.	6	Reject	No amendment recommended for the reasons given for Submission 280.24 above.	No
<i>FS108 John Rice, FS110 Paula Keene, FS139 Allan Smith, FS229 Ken Moselen</i>			<i>Support</i>	7	<i>Reject</i>	<i>As above.</i>	<i>No</i>
356.18	Christopher Ruthe	General – private property rights, compensation & wellbeing	Decision: Oppose Policies 8.1 - 8.5 and 8.8 - 8.15, and amend policies following the reworking of the relevant governing principles in Chapter 2. Consequential reworking of the rules implementing the revised policies would also be required.	6	Reject	No amendment recommended for the reasons given for Submission 280.24 above.	No
<i>FS229 Ken Moselen</i>			<i>Support</i>	6	<i>Reject</i>	<i>As above.</i>	<i>No</i>
358.19	Salima Padamsey	General – private property rights, compensation & wellbeing	Decision: Oppose policies 8.1, 8.2, 8.3, 8.4, 8.5, 8.8, 8.9, 8.10, 8.11, 8.12, 8.13, 8.14, and 8.15., and rework to be consistent with the reworking of the relevant governing principles in Chapter 2, along with any required consequential reworking of the rules implementing the revised policies.	6	Reject	No amendment recommended for the reasons given for Submission 280.24 above.	No
<i>FS193 Department of Conservation</i>			<i>Oppose in part</i>	6	<i>Accept in part</i>	<i>For the reasons given</i>	<i>No</i>

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of Panel's Report	Panel's Recommendation	Panel's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
						<i>in response to original submission 280.24 above.</i>	
<i>FS229 Ken Moselen</i>			<i>Support</i>	6	<i>Reject</i>	<i>As above.</i>	<i>No</i>
756.17	Pascal Odijk	General - General – private property rights, compensation & wellbeing	Decision: Oppose Policies 8.1-8.5, 8.8-8.15 oppose on the grounds that they do not comply with the RMA. In particular, that the policies: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fail to reflect the presumption in favour of security in private property rights; • Fail to adequately protect the right of landowners to develop their land in accordance with their cultural preferences; • Impose unreasonable compliance costs; and • Fail to consider the wellbeing of members of the community. 	6	Reject	No amendment recommended for the reasons given for Submission 280.24 above.	No
<i>FS193 Department of Conservation</i>			<i>Oppose in part</i>	6	<i>Accept in part</i>	<i>As above.</i>	<i>No</i>
756.18	Pascal Odijk	General – private property rights, compensation & wellbeing	Decision: Amend Policies to reflect proposed re-working of Chapter 2.	6	Reject	No amendment recommended for the reasons given for Submission 280.24 above.	No
757.17	Marianne Tavenier	General – private	Decision: Oppose Policies 8.1-8.5, 8.8-8.15 oppose on the grounds that they do not comply with the RMA. In particular, that the	6	Reject	No amendment recommended for the	No

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of Panel's Report	Panel's Recommendation	Panel's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
		property rights, compensation & wellbeing	<p>policies:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fail to reflect the presumption in favour of security in private property rights; • Fail to adequately protect the right of landowners to develop their land in accordance with their cultural preferences; • Impose unreasonable compliance costs; and • Fail to consider the wellbeing of members of the community. 			reasons given for Submission 280.24 above.	
757.18	Marianne Tavenier	General – private property rights, compensation & wellbeing	Decision: Amend Policies to reflect proposed re-working of Chapter 2.	6	Reject	No amendment recommended for the reasons given for Submission 280.24 above.	No
139.19	Raumati South Residents Association	General - miscellaneous	Decision: In respect of the open spaces, in particular the areas in Raumati South that are affected by the proposed expressway, the submitter would like to see an endorsement in the District Plan that KCDC will carry out all conditions that may be required of the council in the findings and subsequent report from the Board of Enquiry into Mackays to Peka Peka Expressway.	6	Reject	The Council is legally obligated to fulfil any conditions required of it, and it is not appropriate to include such an endorsement in the District Plan.	No
440.55	Kāpiti Coast District	General – minor	Decision: Amend the first sentence, second paragraph of explanatory text under Policy	6 (and 7)	Reject	Explanatory text for the policy is recommended	No

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of Panel's Report	Panel's Recommendation	Panel's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
	Council	amendment	8.6 to remove "in" at the beginning of the paragraph.			for deletion in its entirety, therefore the amendment requested is no longer necessary.	
480.37	Kāpiti Coast Grey Power Association Inc	General – minor amendment	Decision: Amend Chapter 8 and appendices to include page numbers and policy numbers.	6	Reject	The comments appear to relate to an earlier draft version of Chapter 8 and not the PDP version. The PDP as notified contains page and policy numbers.	No
480.38	Kāpiti Coast Grey Power Association Inc	General – minor amendment	Decision: Amend Schedule 8.1 (page 8-31) in regards to Waikanae River to amend references to SH1 Bridge. Improve formatting and readability.	6	Accept in part	Recommend minor amendments to improve formatting and readability. Editorial improvements will be made as required and references to SH1 will be updated when appropriate.	Yes
232.20	Ngā Hapū O Ōtaki	Active transport, connectivity and accessibility	Decision: Support policies to provide cycleways and walkways that are integrated into all new developments and roading upgrades.	7	Accept in part	The support for policies to provide for cycleways and walkways is noted, however amendments have been recommended to the provisions as a result of	No

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of Panel's Report	Panel's Recommendation	Panel's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
						other submissions.	
252.16	Regional Public Health	Active transport, connectivity and accessibility	Decision: Support Policy 8.5. Active transport and connectivity can be increased by removing physical and environmental barriers.	7	Accept in part	The support is noted, however amendments have been recommended to the provisions as a result of other submissions.	No
52.3	Kāpiti Cycling Incorporated	Active transport, connectivity and accessibility	Decision: Add reference to other Kāpiti Coast District Council documents e.g. "Towards a sustainable transport system- A strategy for managing transport on Kāpiti Coast 2008" and the "Cycleways, Walkways & Bridleways Strategy 2009" to support statements in Policy 8.5 - Active transport and connectivity.	7	Accept in part	The introductory explanation to the district-wide policies for Open Space (Note: Policy 8.5 is recommended to be re-located into new Chapter '2A' and renumbered as Policy DW13) is recommended to be amended to include a general reference to the strategies that will guide Council when determining where new public open space and the cycleway, walkway and bridleway network should occur. A general reference is considered more appropriate than	Yes

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of Panel's Report	Panel's Recommendation	Panel's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
						specific references to each strategy document as the strategies are likely to be superseded over time.	
52.4	Kāpiti Cycling Incorporated	Active transport, connectivity and accessibility	Decision: Significant gaps exist in the current cycleway, walkway, bridleway network. Amend the Proposed District Plan to progress known deficiencies in the District's network of cycleways, walkways and bridleways, including the provision of off-road pathways on Kāpiti Road as development progresses; and extending the network to address known gaps such as north-south link between Peka Peka Beach and the Otaki River.	7	Accept in part	See response to submission 52.3, we also consider that the need for accessible public open space and cycleways, walkways and bridleways is adequately addressed in Policies 8.1 (renumbered as Policy DW10) and 8.5 (renumbered as Policy DW13).	Yes
139.17	Raumati South Residents Association	Active transport, connectivity and accessibility	Decision: Seek that the District Plan strongly support and state the critical importance of keeping communities connected to their open spaces through walkways, cycleways and roads. Submitter particularly wants to avoid the isolation of Leinster Ave and Main Road South residents from the rest of their Raumati South community.	7	Accept in part	Accessibility to public open space and cycleways, walkways and bridleways is addressed (and supported) by Policies 8.1 renumbered as Policy DW10) and 8.5 renumbered as DW13),	No

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of Panel's Report	Panel's Recommendation	Panel's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
						and Objective 2.14.	
252.15	Regional Public Health	Policy 8.2	Decision: Amend Policy 8.2 to include "c) new parks and developments will be smoke free".	7	Reject	This matter is best addressed outside of the District Plan process (e.g. via a bylaw).	No
480.40	Kāpiti Coast Grey Power Association Inc	Subdivision and esplanade reserves	Decision: Support negotiating with property owner for a wider Reserves Esplanade (page 8-31, Schedule 1, Chapter 8)	10	Accept	The support is noted and accepted.	No
550.46	Cuttriss Consultants Ltd	Subdivision and esplanade reserves	Decision: Amend Schedule 8.1 to include subdivisions that do not create additional allotments in the exclusion for esplanade reserves.	11	Reject	This type of subdivision can create opportunities for the Council to extend or link the esplanade network and we therefore consider it appropriate to retain the opportunity to obtain esplanade reserves as set out in Schedule 8.1, where appropriate.	No
<i>FS9 Egon Guttke, FS10 Irena Guttke, FS142 Valerie Ballinger</i>			<i>Support</i>	<i>11</i>	<i>Reject</i>	<i>As above.</i>	<i>No</i>
<i>FS178 Land Matters Ltd</i>			<i>Support in part</i>	<i>11</i>	<i>Reject</i>	<i>As above.</i>	<i>No</i>
133.11	Jan Nisbet	Active	Decision: Support Section 8.4 and 8.5. It is	7	Accept in part	The support is noted,	No

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of Panel's Report	Panel's Recommendation	Panel's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
		transport, connectivity and accessibility; Subdivision and esplanade reserves	good to see cycleways, walkways and bridleways specifically noted in the District Plan and the District Plan to insist on the creation of linkages for the CWB network.			however amendments are recommended to the provisions as a result of other submissions.	
135.1	Feriel Falconer	Active transport, connectivity and accessibility Subdivision and esplanade reserves	Decision: Support the inclusion of cycleways, bridleways and walkways in the District Plan to Section 8.4 and 8.5. The District Plan needs to be able to insist on the creation of linkages for the CWB network.	7 and 10	Accept in part	The support is noted however amendments have been recommended to the provisions as a result of other submissions.	No
139.15	Raumati South Residents Association	General – support Active transport, connectivity and accessibility Subdivision & esplanade	Decision: Support this chapter and specifically supports Policy 8.1, 8.5, 8.6, 8.12 and 8.11.	6 and 7	Accept in part	The support is noted however amendments have been recommended to the provisions as a result of other submissions.	No

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of Panel's Report	Panel's Recommendation	Panel's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
		reserves Policy 8.6 Policy 8.12					
139.16	Raumati South Residents Association	Subdivision and esplanade reserves	Decision: Policies are supported in respect of the potential that the restoration and protection of the Raumati Manuka and Raumati Peatlands offers the council and community as an existing open space. If subdivision of this area is approved by Council, submitter would be seeking a design that keeps local open space, local connectivity, the dune landform, the special character and wetland system intact.	6 and 7	Accept in part	Accept the support for the policies however amendments have been recommended to the provisions as a result of other submissions. The submission point about future development of the land is noted, particularly the need to retain pedestrian access through the wetland and public open space. Future subdivision would be assessed against the provisions of the two zones that apply to the land, notations on the site and other relevant District Plan provisions; relevant Council	No

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of Panel's Report	Panel's Recommendation	Panel's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
						strategies would also be considered.	
202.47	Department of Conservation	Subdivision & esplanade reserves Policy 8.6 Policy 8.13	Decision: Support Policies 8.4, 8.6, 8.13 and Schedule 8.1 and seek that they be retained as written. Support for Schedule 8.1 was not included in the summary of submissions.	7	Accept in part	The support is noted however amendments have been recommended to the provisions as a result of other submissions.	No
172.8	Richard Heerdegen & Johanna Rosier	Policy 8.10	Decision: Amend Policy 8.10 to insert as follows: "Whether or not the activity would adversely affect coastal conservation values, sites of significant indigenous values, sites of significant indigenous biological diversity and areas where coastal restoration planting has been carried out". This is consistent with Policy (h), (i) and (j) of the NZCPS which was not assessed in the Section 32 report.	6 and 8	Reject	We consider that each of the matters under NZCPS Policy 6(h), (i) and (j) are already encompassed by Policy 8.10 (renumbered as 8.4). Agree that the Section 32 did not specifically refer to NZCPS Policy 6, but Policy 8.10 (renumbered 8.4) covers this matter.	No
208.43	Transpower New Zealand Limited	Policy 8.10	Decision: Retain Policy 8.10 and in particular clause (e). However, amend Policy 8.10 to add a clause as follows "the constraints imposed by the technical and operational requirements of the National Grid". Any consequential amendments.	7	Accept in part	We consider that all matters relating to the National Grid and infrastructure matters should be consolidated into Chapter 11 and	No

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of Panel's Report	Panel's Recommendation	Panel's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
						that the Policies in Chapter 11 pertaining to the National Grid more appropriately cover the matter raised by Transpower New Zealand Ltd. The relief sought to Policy 8.10 (renumbered 8.4) is therefore not supported.	
208.44	Transpower New Zealand Limited	Network utilities	Decision: Support Policy 8.11 and retain as notified, particularly clause e), as it recognises the necessity of the electricity transmission network.	7	Accept in part	The support for the policy is noted however amendments are recommended to move clause (e) of the policy (renumbered 8.5) into Chapter 11 in response to other submissions. It is considered more efficient to contain all provisions relating to telecommunications and radiocommunications (and indeed all infrastructure provisions) in Chapter 11.	No

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of Panel's Report	Panel's Recommendation	Panel's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
442.24	Chorus New Zealand Limited	Network utilities	Decision: Amend District Plan such that all relevant rules for telecommunications and radiocommunications are contained within Chapter 11 only.	7	Accept	Amendments are recommended to the Chapter 8 provisions in order to consolidate telecommunications and radiocommunications provisions into Chapter 11.	Yes
<i>FS229 Ken Moselen</i>			<i>Support</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>Accept</i>	<i>For the reasons given in response to the original submission above.</i>	<i>Yes</i>
442.25	Chorus New Zealand Limited	Network utilities	Decision: Amend District Plan such that rules in Chapters 5-8 are not applicable to Chapter 11, other than the noise rules for the relevant zone.	7	Accept in part	Amendments are recommended to the Chapter 8 provisions to make the rules in Chapter 11 'standalone' for network utilities and renewable energy.	Yes
<i>FS229 Ken Moselen</i>			<i>Support</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>Accept in part</i>	<i>For the reasons given in response to the original submission above.</i>	<i>Yes</i>
232.21	Ngā Hapū O Ōtaki	Policy 8.14	Decision: Support policies which enable the use of reserves for community gardens.	7	Accept in part	The support for the policy is noted however some amendments are recommended to the	No

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of Panel's Report	Panel's Recommendation	Panel's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
						provisions as a result of other submissions.	
340.2	Bryce Moller	Rule 8.1.3	Decision: Amend Rule 8A.1.3 Lighting to add " <i>or lighting on the Beach</i> " at the end of standard (1). This exemption is required for (but not limited to) safety and search and rescue purposes (e.g. for locating swimmers, fishermen and boaties at night time).	10	Reject	Rule 8.1.3 prescribes the permitted activity standards for lighting, is focussed on permanent lighting. However, lighting used for search and rescue will be temporary and on an emergency basis and therefore we consider no amendments are necessary to accommodate temporary and intermittent lighting for this purpose. We recommend lighting is deleted as an activity and relocated to Rule 8.1.1 as a permitted activity standard.	No
<i>FS14 Michael Alexander, FS206 Shona Moller, FS229 Ken Moselen</i>			<i>Supports</i>	<i>11</i>	<i>Reject</i>	<i>For the reasons given in response to the original submission above.</i>	<i>No</i>

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of Panel's Report	Panel's Recommendation	Panel's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
458.5	Waitohu Stream Care Group	Policy 8.10	Decision: Amend Policy 8.10 (Buildings and Structures) to insert an additional paragraph: " <i>(vi) Whether or not the activity would adversely affect coastal conservation values, sites of significant indigenous biological diversity and areas where coastal restoration planting has been carried out.</i> " While public access and methods which enhance people's enjoyment of open space are important, the NZCPS (Policy 6(h), (i) and (j)) require other matters in relation to activities in the coastal environment.	7	Reject	We consider clauses (a) and (b) Policy 8.10 (renumbered as Policy 8.4) address the "appropriateness" of a new building and the extent to which it would contribute to or detract from various values. We therefore consider that the matters under NZCPS Policy 6(h), (i) and (j) are already adequately encompassed by Policy 8.10 (renumbered as Policy 8.4).	No
150.10	Te Rūnanga o Toa Rangātira Inc	Policy 8.13 Policy 8.14	Decision: Support Policies 8.13 (enhancement of indigenous biodiversity) and 8.17 (opportunities for food production and community scale renewable energy in open space zones).	6 and 7	Accept in part	The support for the policies is noted however some amendments are recommended to provisions as a result of other submissions. It is noted that the submission refers to Policy 8.17, which does not exist. However it is clear from the wording	No

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of Panel's Report	Panel's Recommendation	Panel's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
						of the submission that Policy 8.14 (renumbered as Policy 8.8) is the correct policy.	
FS229 Ken Moselen			Oppose	6 and 7	Reject	<i>Do not agree with the further submission. Council has a duty under the RMA to provide access to public open space. We consider the combination of Policy 8.14 (renumbered 8.8) with other policies in Chapter 8 establish the appropriate uses of open spaces to meet the overall Objective for open space (Objective 2.18 – now renumbered Objective 2.19).</i>	No
202.43	Department of Conservation	Plantation forestry	Decision: Support in part Rule 8.1 but seek Rule 8.1 be amended to provide for the 20 metre buffer to any river.	6 and 10	Reject	Setbacks did not apply in the Open Space zones under the District Plan. There are only a few Open Space Zoned sites with plantation forestry and	No

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of Panel's Report	Panel's Recommendation	Panel's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
						it is not a widespread practice or issue. We consider that a note in Rule 8.1.7 should be included to refer users of the Plan to Chapter 9 for standards relating to setbacks from waterbodies.	
<i>FS63 Federated Farmers of NZ</i>			<i>Oppose</i>	<i>6 and 10</i>	<i>Accept in part</i>	<i>For the reasons given in response to the original submission above.</i>	<i>No</i>
202.44	Department of Conservation	Plantation forestry	Decision: Supports in part Rule 8.3.1 but seeks clarification on the intention of Rule 8.3 sub clause g).	6 and 10	Accept in part	The partial support for the rule is noted and accepted. However no amendment is recommended to sub-clause (g) of standard 1 of the rule (renumbered as 8.3.2). We consider the wording to be sufficiently clear.	No
202.45	Department of Conservation	Plantation forestry	Decision: Amend Rule 8.3.1 to include ecological effects as a matter for discretion.	10	Accept	We recommend an amendment to include ecological effects as a matter for discretion. This is considered	Yes

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of Panel's Report	Panel's Recommendation	Panel's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
						consistent with the rule's standards which require a management plan that includes information about " <i>any important environmental and heritage features (including waterways and areas of native vegetation) or values within the area to be planted and/or harvested.</i> "	
202.46	Department of Conservation	Rules 8.4 and 8.5	Decision: Support Rules 8.4 and 8.5. Note: support for Rule 8.5 was not included in the summary of submissions.	6	Accept in part	The support for Rules 8.4 and 8.5 is noted and accepted, however we note that some amendments (including consequential amendments) are recommended in response to other submissions on Chapter 8 and General /Plan Wide matters.	No
286.33	Waikanae North Ltd	Site specific amendment /	Decision: Amend Rule 8.0 to confirm that the rules of the Open Space (Local Parks) zone applies to Precinct 3 of the Waikanae North	8 and 10	Reject	The clarification sought by is already provided in the rules that apply to	No

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of Panel's Report	Panel's Recommendation	Panel's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
		rezoning	Development Zone.			the Waikanae North Development Zone, which are contained in Chapter 5 (Living Zones). We note that <i>"In Precinct Area 3, the rules and standards of the Open Space (Local Parks) Zone shall apply. Refer Chapter 8"</i> has been moved to Rule 5B.1.1.	
139.18	Raumati South Residents Association	Site specific amendment / rezoning	Decision: Seek Leinster Ave playground classified as recreation reserve.	8	Reject	While the site is currently used as a playground, it is owned by Council for roading purposes and Council's Leisure and Open Space team wishes to retain it for future access purposes. We therefore consider it appropriate to retain its Residential Zoning.	No
498.6	Riverbank Orchards Limited and Kennott Trust Company	Site specific amendment / rezoning	Decision: Oppose some provisions in Chapter 8 and seek it is amended to give effect to the settled outcome of Operative Plan Changes 78 and 81 or is amended to enhance development opportunities for the land	8	Accept	We recommend the inclusion of a new permitted activity rule specific to the Open Space (Local Parks)	Yes

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of Panel's Report	Panel's Recommendation	Panel's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			subject to Plan Change 81.			Zone within the Otaki South Precinct. The Operative District Plan included permitted and restricted discretionary rules specifically for the open space areas of the precinct. While the PDP carried over the restricted discretionary rule, it did not carry over the permitted activity rule.	
547.9	Tina Pope and Others	Site specific amendment / rezoning	Decision: The Tilley Road area be rezoned or designated a Neighbourhood Park, in respect of Sec 1 SO 36522.	8	Reject	The site is owned by NZTA and therefore cannot be zoned Open Space (these zones are for land owned by Council for that purpose). It is noted that there is a sportsfield/public open space at the end of Tilley Road. If additional land becomes available to the Council in the future, the acquisition of it for a neighbourhood	No

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of Panel's Report	Panel's Recommendation	Panel's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
						park will be assessed against any shortages identified in the Open Space Strategy, and will be rezoned at that time.	
94.5	Paraparaumu Beach Golf Club	Private Recreation and Leisure Zone	Decision: Support zoning of Paraparaumu Golf Course as Private Recreation Zone.	9	Accept	Support is acknowledged.	No
94.4	Paraparaumu Beach Golf Club	Private Recreation and Leisure zone	Decision: Amend Policy 8.15 to include " <i>c) recognises the significance the Paraparaumu Beach Golf Course plays in relation to a private recreational asset and enables continued development of this asset through enabling built form, appropriate activities and further facilities.</i> "	9	Accept in part	We recommend and amendment to Policy 8.15 (renumbered as Policy 8.9) to add a specific addition for the Paraparaumu Beach Golf Club development precinct.	No
94.1	Paraparaumu Beach Golf Club	Private Recreation and Leisure Zone	Decision: Seek amendment to the rules and standards for the site as follows: Amend maximum height of buildings to 12m (or higher). Note: The submission also sought an increase in gross floor area to 3,000m ² which was not included in the summary of submissions.	9	Accept in part	Enabling development in the proposed Precinct to the scale sought is appropriate and we recommend amendments to Rule 8.1.6 (renumbered 8.1.7) to add a specific permitted activity building size for the Paraparaumu Beach golf course precinct of	No

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of Panel's Report	Panel's Recommendation	Panel's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
						3000m ² and a maximum height of 12m.	
94.2	Paraparaumu Beach Golf Club	Private Recreation and Leisure Zone	Decision: Amend Rule 8.4.2 to be a restricted discretionary activity under Rule 8.3 instead of a discretionary activity.	9	Accept	We consider restricting the Council's discretion to the effect on amenity is appropriate and recommend the inclusion of a new restricted discretionary rule (Rule 8.3.5), and consequential amendments to delete discretionary Rules 8.4.1 and 8.4.2	Yes
94.3	Paraparaumu Beach Golf Club	Private Recreation and Leisure Zone	Decision: Amend Rule 8.3.3 to clarify that standards 1-4 do not apply to the activities provided for in 8.1.9 to ensure visitor accommodation is not considered to be a residential activity.	9	Reject	Both the terms 'residential activity' and 'visitor accommodation' are defined in Chapter 1 of the PDP, and the definition of 'residential activity' excludes 'visitor accommodation'.	No