

Appendix 2: Chapter 12 Table of Recommendations to Submissions and Further Submissions

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Panel's Recommendation	Panel's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
202.48	Department of Conservation	General/whole of Chapter 12	Retain provisions in Chapter 12 as written.	6	Accept in part	Insofar as support is noted, however amendments are recommended as a result of other more specific submissions	No
440.80	Kapiti Coast District Council	General/whole of Chapter 12	Amend Chapter 12 rule table headings, add missing numbers to standards, and consequential changes.	6	Accept	Amendments are recommended to Rule table headings and rule references and to add missing standard numbers. These amendments provide relief for the submission concerns.	Yes
498.9	Riverbank Orchards Ltd and Kennott Trust Co.	General/whole of Chapter 12	Amend some provisions to give effect to plan changes 78 and 81, and amend to enhance development opportunities.	6	Accept in part	The submission request is not specific in terms of the changes sought to Chapter 12 provisions, however a minor wording amendment is recommended to rules to provide clarity and increase consistency with operative plan changes.	Yes
550.59	Cuttriss Consultants Ltd	Temporary Events	Supports Section 12.2	6	Accept in part	Insofar as support is noted, however amendments are recommended as a result of other more specific submissions	No
<i>FS9 Egon Guttke, FS10 Irena Guttke, FS142 Valerie Ballinger,</i>			<i>Support</i>	6	<i>Accept in part</i>	<i>As above</i>	<i>No</i>
267.1	NZ Defence Force	Definitions (temp events) - "temporary military training"	Supports the definition of "temporary military training" and seeks retention.	6	Accept	Accept the support for retaining the definition and the reasoning in the submission.	No

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Panel's Recommendation	Panel's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
267.2.	NZ Defence Force	Definitions(temp events) - "temporary activity"	Supports the definition of "temporary activity" and seeks retention.	6	Accept	Accept the support for retaining the definition and the reasoning in the submission.	No
440.83	Kapiti Coast District Council	Rule 12B1.1 - Temporary events in all zones	Seeks to amend Rule 12B1.1 to refer to "within any 12 month period" to the end of standard 1, and to add the words "to be" before "taken to avoid" in standard 8.	6	Accept	Amendments are recommended as sought to help clarify the standards and enhance workability and certainty.	Yes
457.6	NZ Transport Agency	Definitions (Signs) – "Sign"	Seeks to amend the definition of 'sign' to insert "including from roads and state highways" after the term "public place".	6	Accept	Amendments are recommended as sought in order to provide increased clarity and aid interpretation.	Yes
457.11	NZ Transport Agency	Definitions (Signs) – "Sign"	Seeks to amend the definition of 'sign' to insert "including from roads and state highways" after the term "public place".	6	Accept	Amendments are recommended as sought in order to provide increased clarity and aid interpretation.	Yes
457.10	NZ Transport Agency	Definitions (Signs) – "Community purpose event/ charity event signs"	Supports the inclusion of a definition for 'Community purpose event/ charity event signs'	6	Accept	The support for the definition is accepted and no substantive amendments are recommended as the definition assists plan interpretation.	No
191.6	Landlink Ltd	Definitions (Signs) – "Hoardings"	Seeks an amendment to add a definition of "hoardings" consistent with the Public Places Bylaw 2010.	6	Accept	Amendments are recommended as sought in order to aid interpretation and increases readability.	Yes
480.65	Kapiti Coast Grey Power Association Inc	Signs – Section 12.3	Supports policies, rules and standards in section 12.3.	6	Accept in part	Insofar as support is noted, however amendments are recommended as a result of other more specific submissions	No
457.63	NZ Transport	Signs - General	Supports the approach taken to	6	Accept	The support is noted however some amendments are	No

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Panel's Recommendation	Panel's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
	Agency		management of signs on properties adjacent to the State highway and near its intersections.			recommended in response other submissions.	
457.58	NZ Transport Agency	Signs - Policies	<p>Seeks minor amendments to the policies in Section 12.3.3 as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Amend Policy 12.8 B to read: "Signs will be designed and located so they do not interfere with the safe and efficient use of roads (including state highways) and pedestrian/cycle ways." Amend Policy 12.9 Safety c) to insert the word "safety" before the term "efficiency". 	6	Accept in part	Insofar as the amendment to Policy 12.8 to include the words "including state highways" is considered appropriate as it increases clarity and addresses issues specific to State Highways	Yes
440.84	Kapiti Coast District Council	Signs - Policies	Seeks to amend Policy 12.9 to delete the word "all" before "signs".	6	Accept	Support the relief sought as it corrects a minor wording error.	Yes
255.30	Progressive Enterprises Ltd	Rule 12C.1.1 and Standards - Signs in all zones meeting permitted activity standards	Seeks amendment to remove words "or vehicle accesses" from standard 2.	6	Accept in part	Amendments are recommended to clarify the permitted activity standards and setback requirements which provide some relief for the submission concerns.	Yes
FS145	Ballinger Industries Ltd	Rules and Standards	Supports Submission 255.30.	6	Accept in part	As above	Yes
440.85	Kapiti Coast District Council	Rule 12C.1.2 - Election signs in all zones	Seeks amendments to wording of Rule 12C.1.2 (Election signs in all zones) as follows:	6	Accept	The amendments sought are supported as they help address wording errors and assist	Yes

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Panel's Recommendation	Panel's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Add the words "and not" before "V", add "/or" to "and", and delete "are prohibited" from standard 2; • Delete standard 5; and • Add "only" before "be erected" in standard 6. 			interpretation.	
440.86	Kapiti Coast District Council	Rule 12C.1.4 - Community facilities signs in all zones	Seeks amendments to wording of Rule 12C.1.4.	6	Accept	The amendments sought are supported as they help ensure clarity.	Yes
133.18	Jan Nisbet	Rule 12C.1.5 - Community purpose/event signs in all zones	Seeks amendment to rules so the maximum number of signs is 25.	6	Accept in part	Insofar as some amendments are recommended to the rules to increase the number of signs per event to 12 in order to better reflect the number of local centres across the district. The amendments provide some relief for the submission concern whilst still enabling Council to consider and manage effects in an appropriate way.	Yes
133.19	Jan Nisbet	Rule 12C.1.5 - Community purpose/event signs in all zones	Amend rules which state that no websites shall be mentioned on signs, to instead require lettering on signs big enough to be read easily by passing traffic.	6	Accept	An amendment is recommended to provide for websites to be mentioned on signs as this is considered reasonable. A consequential amendment to standard 6 of the rule is also recommended in order to clarify that a reference to a website would meet the permitted activity standards for this activity.	Yes

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Panel's Recommendation	Panel's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
460.56	Heritage New Zealand	Rule 12C.1.6 - Signs on historic heritage features	Adopt Rule 12C.1.6 as proposed.	6	Accept in part	The support for the rule is accepted however consequential changes are recommended to reflect changes as part of submissions on Chapter 10, for legislative reasons, and to simplify wording and terminology.	No
<i>FS42 Ngatotara Farms Ltd and Rod Agar, FS57 Anthony and Anne McEwen, FS58 Michael & Elizabeth Welch, FS59 Barry, Suzanne and Timothy Mansell, FS60 Tasman Lakes Estates Ltd, FS61 Cavallo Agistment Ltd, FS107 USNZ Forestry Group Ltd, FS178 Land Matters Ltd, FS179 CD Bowie, FS180 Lutz Brothers Ltd, FS181 Bellcamp Trust Company, FS183 Kennott Trust Company Ltd, FS186 Mahaki Holdings Ltd, FS187 RNR Trust Ltd</i>			<i>Oppose in part</i>	6	<i>Reject</i>	<i>As above</i>	<i>No</i>
262.15	Bunnings Ltd	Rules - Signs in the working zones	Replace the PDP standards with ODP standards, or otherwise amend to make less restrictive.	6	Accept in part	Acknowledge the concerns and as a result, some amendments are recommended to relax and simplify the PDP signs requirements for the Working Zones.	Yes
255.31	Progressive Enterprises Ltd	Rules 12C.1.8.4.4 and 12C.1.8.4.9 - Signs in the working zones	Amend Rules 12C.1.8.4.4 and 12C.1.8.4.9 to remove some restrictions and clarify meaning.	6	Accept in part	Do not consider it necessary or appropriate to have special permitted activity rules/standards for supermarket wall signage in the Working Zones, however we agree the wording of the standards identified by the submission should be improved	Yes

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Panel's Recommendation	Panel's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
						to improve clarity and workability. These recommended amendments provide some relief for the submission concerns.	
<i>FS145 Ballinger Industries Ltd</i>			<i>Support</i>	6	<i>Accept in part</i>	<i>As above</i>	<i>No</i>
87.4	Z Energy Ltd	Rules - Restricted discretionary activities	Retain restricted discretionary status for signs which don't meet permitted activity status	6	Accept	We recommend the support provided by the submission is accepted.	No
<i>FS48 Bunnings Ltd</i>			<i>Support</i>	6	<i>Accept</i>	<i>As above</i>	
460.57	Heritage New Zealand	Rule 12C.2.2 - Restricted discretionary activities	Retain Rule 12C.2.2 as proposed.	6	Accept	Insofar as support is noted, however amendments are recommended as a result of other more specific submissions	No
460.35	Heritage New Zealand	Transferred Rule 10A.3.3 - Restricted discretionary activities	Seeks amendment to clarify phrase related to signs "obstructing" historic heritage.	6	Accept	Amendments are recommended to the rule wording in response to the submission to provide clarification.	Yes
<i>FS42 Ngatotara Farms Ltd and Rod Agar, FS57 Anthony and Anne McEwan, FS58 Michael and Elizabeth Welch, FS59 Barry, Suzanne and Timothy Mansell, FS60 Tasman Lakes Estate Ltd, FS61 Cavallo Agistment Ltd, FS102 USNZ Forestry Group Ltd, FS178 Land Matters Ltd, FS179 C D Bowie, FS180 Lutz Brothers Ltd, FS181 Bellcamp Trust Company, FS183 Kennott Trust Company Ltd and Kauri Trust, FS184 Kumototo Nominees & Patone Holdings, FS185 Millhaven Ltd, FS186 Mahaki</i>			<i>Oppose in part – in terms of rules mentioned in submissions.</i>	6	<i>Reject</i>	<i>As above</i>	<i>No</i>

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Panel's Recommendation	Panel's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
<i>Holdings Ltd, FS187 RNR Trust</i>							
255.32	Progressive Enterprises Ltd	Rule 12C.3 Discretionary Activities	Amend Rule 12C.3.1 to change non-compliance with permitted activities from discretionary to restricted discretionary.	6	Reject	Insofar as no specific amendments are recommended as a result of this submission, however the amendments recommended to the sign rules for Working Zones in response to submission 262.15 Bunnings Ltd work in such a way that they are considered to address this submission's concern.	No
<i>FS145 Ballinger Industries Ltd</i>			<i>Support</i>	6	<i>Reject</i>	<i>As above</i>	<i>No</i>
440.23	Kapiti Coast District Council	Definitions (Noise) - "LAE"	Amend definition of "LAE" to replace existing wording "has the same meaning as" with "means the same as"	6	Accept	The amendments sought are accepted as they help provide clarity.	Yes
440.24	Kapiti Coast District Council	Definitions (Noise) - "Management Plan"	Amend definition of "Management Plan" to italicise "noise sensitive activities"	6	Accept	The amendments recommended in line with those sought as they help ensure consistency of the references to defined terms across the plan.	Yes
457.4	NZ Transport Agency	Definitions (Noise) - "noise emission level"	Amend definition of "noise emission level" to "emission level"	6	Reject	The requested change is not considered appropriate or necessary.	No
21.4	Chris Munn	Definitions (Noise) - "noise sensitive activities"	Amend the definition of "noise sensitive activities" to specifically include "residential accommodation ... groups in excess of 6 people"	6	Reject	The requested addition is not considered necessary.	No
61.4	Malcolm Brown	Definitions (Noise) - "noise sensitive activities"	Amend the definition of "noise sensitive activities" to specifically include "residential accommodation ... groups in excess of 6 people"	6	Reject	The requested addition is not considered necessary.	No

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Panel's Recommendation	Panel's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
92.10	Winstone Aggregates	Definitions (Noise) - "noise sensitive activities"	Amend definition of "noise sensitive activities" to include "household unit"	6	Reject	The requested addition is not considered necessary.	No
480.66	Kapiti Coast Grey Power Association Inc	Policy 12.10 - Managing Amenity	Retain Policy 12.10 as notified.	6	Accept in part	Insofar as the support is accepted however minor and consequential amendments in respect of other submissions are proposed.	No
92.150	Winstone Aggregates	Policy 12.10 - Managing Amenity	Retain Policy 12.10 as notified.	6	Accept in part	Insofar as the support is accepted however minor and consequential amendments in respect of other submissions are proposed.	No
250.65	Federated Farmers	Policy 12.11 - Noise Sensitive Activities	Retain Policy 12.11 as notified.	6	Accept in part	Insofar as the support is noted however amendments are recommended in response to other submissions.	No
<i>FS167 Waa Rata Estate</i>			<i>Support in part</i>	6	<i>Accept in part</i>	<i>As above</i>	<i>No</i>
<i>FS9 Egon Guttke, FS10 Irena Guttke, FS139 Allan Smith, FS142 Valerie Ballinger, FS146 Armin Guttke</i>			<i>Support</i>	6	<i>Accept in part.</i>	<i>As above</i>	<i>No</i>
219.70	Horticulture New Zealand	Policy 12.11 - Noise Sensitive Activities	Retain Policy 12.11 as notified.	6	Accept in part	Insofar as support is noted, however amendments are recommended as a result of other more specific submissions.	No
<i>FS9 Egon Guttke, FS10 Irena Guttke,</i>			<i>Support</i>	6	<i>Accept in part</i>	<i>As above</i>	<i>No</i>
92.144	Winstone Aggregates	Policy 12.11 - Noise Sensitive Activities	Amend Policy 12.11 by replacing "discouraging" with "avoiding or managing"	6	Accept	The amendment sought is considered appropriate and aligns with the overall PDP approach to noise sensitive activities.	Yes

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Panel's Recommendation	Panel's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
480.67	Kapiti Coast Great Power Association Inc	Policy 12.11 - Noise Sensitive Activities	Retain Policy 12.11 as notified.	6	Accept in part.	Insofar as support is noted, however amendments are recommended as a result of other more specific submissions	No
447.28	KiwiRail Holdings Limited	Policy 12.12 - Transport network development	Delete Policy 12.12 in its entirety.	6	Accept in part.	Deletion of the Policy is considered inappropriate as the intention is to ensure adverse effects are minimised or mitigated. However, wording changes are recommended to clarify the intent of the policy to apply to new transport networks. These changes provide some relief for the concerns raised.	Yes
92.150	Winstone Aggregates	Policy 12.13 - Noise from the transport network	Retain policy 12.13 as notified.	64	Accept in part	Insofar as support is noted, however amendments are recommended as a result of other more specific submissions	No
457.62	NZ Transport Agency	Policy 12.13 - Noise from the transport network	Partially supports.	6	Accept in part	The partial support for the Policy is accepted. Several amendments to the policy wording are recommended as a result of other submissions.	No
457.59	NZ Transport Agency	Policy 12.13 - Noise from the transport network	Amend the policy to refer to "no build" buffer strips etc.	6	Accept in part	The relief sought is not considered to be fully appropriate. The amendment sought to refer to "acoustic insulation" is not supported as the notified wording of "acoustic mitigation measures" is considered to be technically appropriate. However some amendments to increase the	Yes

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Panel's Recommendation	Panel's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
						clarity of the wording are recommended.	
		<i>FS42 Ngatotara Farms Ltd and Rod Agar, FS57 Anthony and Anne McEwan, FS59 Barry, Suzanne and Timothy Mansell, FS60 Tasman Lakes Estates Ltd, FS178 Land Matters Ltd, FS179 CD Bowie, FS180 Lutz Brothers Ltd, FS181 Bellcamp Trust Company Ltd and Kauri Trust, FS184 Kumototo Nominees & Patone Holdings, FS185 Millhaven Ltd, FS186 Mahaki Holdings Ltd, and FS187 RNR Trust.</i>	<i>Oppose in part</i>	6	<i>Accept in part</i>	<i>As above</i>	<i>No</i>
447.26	KiwiRail Holdings Ltd	Policy 12.13 - Noise from the transport network	Amend an explanation to the policy to clarify intent.	9	Accept in part	Amendments to the policy wording are recommended	No
480.68	Kapiti Coast Great Power Association Inc	Policy 12.15 - Noise Assessment Criteria	Retain noise assessment criteria in Policy 12.15.	6	Accept	The support for the policy is accepted and the policy is considered appropriate as it identifies matters to be considered.	No
92.150	Winstone Aggregates	Policy 12.15 - Noise Assessment Criteria	Retain Policy 12.15 as notified.	6	Accept	The support for the policy is accepted and the policy is considered appropriate as it identifies matters to be considered.	No
136.43	New Zealand Wind Energy Association	New Policy for management of wind farm noise	Add new policy relating to management of wind farm noise, to clarify the suitability and role of NZS6808:2010.	6	Reject	A new policy is not considered to be necessary or appropriate.	No
136.45	New Zealand Wind Energy Association	New Policy for management of wind farm noise	Add new policy relating to management of wind farm noise. Requests specific wording.	6	Reject	A new policy is not considered to be necessary or appropriate.	No

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Panel's Recommendation	Panel's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
480.68	Kapiti Coast Grey Power Association Inc	Noise rules – general matters	Retain section 12.4.3	6	Accept in part	The support for the section is accepted however consequential amendments are proposed in response to other submissions.	No
404.5	New Zealand Fire Service Commission	Rule 12D.1 - Permitted Activities (general matters)	Retain exemption for emergency services warning devices from the permitted activity noise standards as notified.	6 & 9	Accept	The support for the permitted activity rule and the exemption for emergency service warning devices is accepted.	No
262.17	Bunnings Ltd	Rule 12D.1 - Permitted Activities (general matters)	Delete reference to 1.5m from boundary and replace with a requirement for noise compliance to be measured at the boundary with the relevant zone or at the notional boundary where relevant.	6 & 9	Accept in part	Amendments are recommended to improve the workability of the rule wording and reflect current best practice in response to Submission 234.1 Marshall Day Acoustics. These amendments are considered to provide relief for the changes sought by this submission.	Yes
234.1	Marshall Day Acoustics	Rule 12D.1 - Permitted Activities (general matters)	Amend Rule 12D.1 as the measurement is too prescriptive and is not practicable. Seeks to amend the measurement to "at or within the boundary".	6 & 9	Accept	Amendments are recommended to improve the workability of the rule wording and reflect current best practice as sought by the submission.	Yes
234.3	Marshall Day Acoustics	Rule 12D.1 - Permitted Activities (general matters)	Amend Rule 12D.1 to refer to NZS6801:2008 "Acoustics - Measurement of Environmental Sound".	6 & 9	Accept	The amendments sought correct an error.	Yes
234.5	Marshall Day Acoustics	Rule 12D.1 - Permitted Activities (general matters)	Amend (to provide correction) Rules 12D.1.19 (new buildings associated with noise sensitive activities in Airport Zone) and Rules 12D.1.20 and 12D.1.22 (intensification of noise sensitive activities in all zones excluding Airport	6	Accept	The amendments sought correct an error.	Yes

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Panel's Recommendation	Panel's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			Zone) because they incorrectly specify a sound rating of Dnt,w + Ctr > 30dBA. The correct unit is dB. In addition the submitter notes Rule 12D.1.12 does not specify any unit.				
	<i>FS74 Minoan Corporation Ltd, Medina Ltd & Laurence Property Holdings Ltd, FS153 Garlap and Mahaki Holdings Ltd, FS182 John & Brenda Cheese, FS188 Riverbank Orchards Ltd & Kennott Trust Company, FS 189 Riverbank Estates Ltd.</i>		<i>Support</i>	6	<i>Accept.</i>	<i>As above</i>	<i>Yes</i>
440.81	Kapiti Coast District Council	Rule 12D.1 - Permitted Activities (general matters)	Amend Rules 12D.1.1.4, 12D.1.2.4, 12D.1.3.4, 12D.1.4.4, 12D.1.5.5, 12D.1.6.2, 12D.1.11.1(e), (f) and 2(c) and (d), and 12D.1.14.1 to refer to "NZS6801:2008 Acoustics - Measurement of Sound" to NZS 6801:2008 Acoustics - Measurement of Environmental Sound".	6 & 9	Accept	The amendments sought correct an error.	Yes
451.117	Rob Crozier and Joan Allin	Rule 12D.1 - Permitted Activities (general matters)	Amend Rules 12D.1.1 to 12D.1.5 to remove reference to "generator", and revise the definition of "residential activity".	6 & 9	Accept in part	The amendments sought remove a word ("generator") that is considered to be redundant and creates confusion. However, no amendment is recommended to the definition of "Non-Residential Activities" as a result of this submission. This matter is dealt with in the relief provided to submissions on Chapter 5 matters.	Yes
	<i>FS24 Patrick Murray, FS25 Helen & David Walshaw, FS26 JCC Trust, FS27 Barbara &</i>		<i>Support</i>	6 & 9	<i>Accept in part</i>	<i>As above</i>	<i>Yes</i>

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Panel's Recommendation	Panel's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?	
			<i>Murray Scott, FS28 Jane de Joux, FS29 Crozier Family Trust and Allin Family Trust, FS113 Suzanne Chetwin, FS148 Catherine Strong, FS194 North Otaki Beach Residents Group Inc, FS229 Ken Moselen, and FS224 Jan Toohey.</i>					
219.73	Horticulture New Zealand	Rule 12D.1.2 - Noise generators in rural, river corridor, all open space and private recreation and leisure zones	Amend the noise levels within the Rural Zone in Rule 12D.1.2 to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Daytime 55dB (rather than 50dB as notified) • Evening 50dB (rather than 45dB as notified) • Night time 45dB (rather than 40dB as notified). 	6 and 10	Accept in part	Accept the amendment sought to the daytime limit in rural zoned areas as it corrects a drafting error – the limit should be 55dB (which is consistent with the other Rural Zones noise limits in permitted activity Rules 12D.1.1-12D.1.5) However, it is not considered necessary or appropriate to amend the other evening and night time noise levels in this rule.	Yes	
			<i>FS9 Egon Guttke and FS10 Irena Guttke</i>	<i>Support</i>	<i>6 and 10</i>	<i>Accept in part</i>	<i>As above</i>	<i>Yes</i>
250.66	Federated Farmers	Rule 12D.1.2 - Noise generators in rural, river corridor, all open space and private recreation and leisure zones	Amend Rule 12.D.1.2 to exclude primary production noise from the noise limits.	10	Accept in part.	Further amendments are recommended to the new exclusion in Rule 12D.1.2 for primary production activities as part of the Chapter 12 hearing reporting officer's closing response which provide further relief for the submission concern.	Yes	
92.149	Winstone Aggregates	Rule 12D.1.2 - Noise generators in rural, river corridor, all open	Seek that the standards be deleted or that a specific standard is applied to enable extractive industries as permitted, as well as noise limits accommodating	6 and 10	Accept in part	Further amendments are recommended to the new permitted activity Rule 12D.1.8 (noise from extractive industries) as part of the Chapter 12	Yes	

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Panel's Recommendation	Panel's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
		space and private recreation and leisure zones	extractive industry start times, and a new restricted discretionary rule.			hearing reporting officer's closing response in order to maintain consistency with the recommended changes for extractive industries in Chapter 7 (Rural). These changes provide further relief for the submission concerns.	
234.2	Marshall Day Acoustics	Rule 12D.1.5 - Noise generators within Industrial Zone	Amend Rule 12D.1.5 to ensure consistency.	6	Accept	The amendments are considered appropriate and provide consistency.	Yes
<i>FS74 Minoan Corporation Ltd, Medina Ltd & Laurence Holdings Ltd, FS153 Garlap and Mahaki Holdings Ltd, FS182 John & Brenda Cheese, FS188 Riverbank Orchards Ltd & Kennott Trust Company, FS189 Riverbank Estates Ltd.</i>			<i>Support</i>	6	<i>Accept</i>	<i>As above</i>	<i>No</i>
262.16	Bunnings Ltd	Rule 12D.1.5 - Noise generators within Industrial Zone	Amend the daytime noise limit to be a minimum of 50dBLAeq.	6	Accept	The amendments are considered appropriate and provide consistency.	Yes
276.41	Kapiti Coast Airport Holdings Ltd	Rule 12D.1.6 - Noise from any activity other than aircraft operations or engine testing, construction, maintenance and demolition work within the Airport Zone.	Insert rules for other Airport operations and amend Rule 12D.1.6.a) to refer to Leq metric.	6	Accept in part	Replacing the reference to L10 metric with Leq metric considered appropriate and is accepted. Most of the remainder of the submission is not supported as the purpose of Rule 12D.1.6 (renumbered as Rule 12D.1.7) is to provide for other airport activities.	Yes

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Panel's Recommendation	Panel's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
276.40	Kapiti Coast Airport Holdings Ltd	Rule 12D.1.6 - Noise from any activity other than aircraft operations or engine testing, construction, maintenance and demolition work within the Airport Zone.	Amend and consolidate the noise curfew rules.	6	Accept	Amendments are recommended to relocate and consolidate Airport related noise rules from Chapter 6 into Chapter 12. Further amendments to consolidate and reduce duplication of noise curfew rules related to the Airport are also recommended	Yes
<i>FS39 Greg Bishop, FS40 Darren Smith, FS46 Mr Robert Burns, FS49 Dr Chris Hoffman, FS52 Jean Macdonald, FS65 Neil Buchanan, FS69 Mrs Margaret Wright, FS72 Donald McIntosh, FS76 Dennis Portch, FS78 Andrew Coy, FS79 Allan Harvey, FS81 Michael McKeon, FS82 Peter Stevenson-Wright, FS83 Derek Edwards, FS85 Mr Nick James, FS89 Murray Milnes, FS90 Mr Oliver Ewert, FS103 Leslie McKendry, FS104 Daniel McCarthy, FS119 Warren Dickinson, and FS140 Adrian Turner.</i>			<i>Support</i>	6	<i>Accept</i>	<i>As above</i>	Yes
<i>FS48 Bunnings Ltd</i>			<i>Oppose in part</i>	6	<i>Accept in part</i>	<i>As above</i>	Yes
<i>FS51 Ngahina Developments Ltd, FS145 Ballinger Industries Ltd</i>			<i>Oppose</i>	6	<i>Accept in Part</i>	<i>As above</i>	Yes
267.4	New Zealand Defence Force	Rule 12D.1.7 - Temporary military training activities	Amend Rule 12D.1.6 to add exemption to standard 1 of Rule 12D.1.7. Also seeks to amend Rule 12D.1.7 itself to remove the 72 hour in any 6 month period noise limit exemption from standard 1 of Rule 12D.1.7 and replace	7	Accept	A number of amendments are recommended as a result of the submission concerns which provide for consistency across district plans and provide greater certainty in regard to permitted	Yes

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Panel's Recommendation	Panel's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			with updated standards for noise from temporary military training activities (as outlined in the submission).			activities under this rule. Further amendments are recommended to the wording of Rule 12D.1.7 (renumbered as 12D.1.9) - Temporary military training activities	
136.44	New Zealand Wind Energy Association	Rule 12D.1.9 - Operation of wind turbines	Amend wording and move the rule to Section 11B.1	6	Accept in part	Insofar as wording changes are recommended to help clarify and aid implementation. However, moving the rule is not considered appropriate – Section 12.4.3 aims to consolidate all noise-specific rules/standards.	Yes
92.150	Winstone Aggregates	Rule 12D.1.10 - Use and operation of rural equipment	Retain Rule 12D.1.10 as notified.	6	Accept in part	Insofar as support is noted, however amendments are recommended as a result of other more specific submissions	
219.71	Horticulture New Zealand	Rule 12D.1.10 - Use and operation of rural equipment	Add helicopters and aircraft to the list in standard 1c).	6	Accept in part	An amendments (a new clause 'f' within standard 5) is recommended to Rule 12D.1.2 (which is considered more appropriate that the amendment sought to Rule 12D.1.10 (renumbered as Rule 12.D.1.12)) to provide for noise from helicopter landing areas fixed wing landing strips in Rural Zones as a permitted activity subject to meeting the standard.	Yes
219.74	Horticulture New Zealand	Rule 12D.1.10 - Use and operation of rural	Amend Rule 12D.1.10 standard 1c) to add "helicopters and aircraft" use.	6	Accept in part	An amendment (a new clause 'f' within standard 5) is recommended to Rule 12D.1.2	Yes

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Panel's Recommendation	Panel's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
		equipment				(which is considered more appropriate that the amendment sought to Rule 12D.1.10 (renumbered as 12D.1.12)) to provide for noise from helicopter landing areas fixed wing landing strips in Rural Zones as a permitted activity subject to meeting the standard This amendment provides relief for the submitter's concerns.	
<i>FS9 Egon Guttke and FS10 Irena Guttke</i>			<i>Support</i>	6	<i>Accept in part</i>	<i>As above</i>	Yes
219.72	Horticulture New Zealand	Rule 12D.1.11 - Use and operation of gas guns and avian distress alarms	Retain Rule 12D.1.11	6	Accept in part	Insofar as support is noted, however amendments are recommended as a result of other more specific submissions	No
219.75	Horticulture New Zealand	Rule 12D.1.11 - Use and operation of gas guns and avian distress alarms	Amend Rule 12D.1.11 relating to number of devices per site, time of operation and default activity status.	6	Accept in part	The density and time of operation controls are generally considered appropriate in this rule and their deletion may give rise to potential unacceptable adverse effects. However, it is considered appropriate to recommend an amendment to standard 1 clause 'c' to help address part of the submission concerns.	Yes
<i>FS9 Egon Guttke and FS10 Irena Guttke</i>			<i>Support</i>	6	<i>Accept in part</i>	<i>As above</i>	Yes
234.4	Marshall Day Acoustics	Rule 12D.1.12 - Noise sensitive activities related	Amend Rule 12D.1.12 as requirements are excessively onerous.	6, 8 and 9	Accept	Agree insofar as compliance testing with insulation $D_{nT,w} + C_{tr}$ criterion is considered	Yes

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Panel's Recommendation	Panel's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
		rules				unnecessary and costly. Amendments are recommended to the wording of the rule to instead refer to being "designed and specified" to meet the most recent version of the relevant acoustic standards.	
234.6	Marshall Day Acoustics	Rule 12D.1.16 - Noise sensitive activities related rules	Clarify performance criterion for Rule 12D.1.16.	6	Accept	Agree that the acoustic performance standard is missing from Rule 12D.1.16, however this matter is addressed in the definition of "external sound insulation level" and the recommended wording of the new consolidated acoustic insulation rule 12D.1.12 (renumbered as Rule 12D.1.14) (into which the intent of Rule 12D.1.16 has been incorporated as a result of the consolidation of the permitted activity rules for noise).	Yes
<i>FS74 Minoan Corporation Ltd, Medina Ltd & Laurence Holdings Ltd, FS153 Garlap and Mahaki Holdings Ltd, FS182 John & Brenda Cheese, FS188 Riverbank Orchards Ltd & Kennott Trust Company, FS189 Riverbank Estates Ltd</i>			<i>Support</i>	6	<i>Accept</i>	<i>As above</i>	Yes
447.27	KiwiRail Holdings Ltd	Rule 12D.1 - Noise sensitive activities related rules	Amend Rule 12D.1.16 to ensure it doesn't only apply to 'habitable rooms'	6	Accept in part	The submission's concerns are addressed in part by the recommended amendments to the new consolidated acoustic insulation rule for noise sensitive	Yes

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Panel's Recommendation	Panel's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
						activities (Rule 12D.1.12 – renumbered as Rule 12D.1.14). Further amendments to the wording of Rule 12D.1.12 (renumbered as 12D.1.14) are also recommended as part of the Ch12 hearing reporting officer's closing response which provide further relief for the concerns.	
457.60	NZ Transport Agency	Rule 12D.1 - Noise sensitive activities related rules	Amend noise rules to ensure setback and acoustic insulation standards apply to development located within 100m of a "transportation noise effects area".	6	Accept in part	Amendments are recommended which provide some relief, however it is not considered appropriate to provide a new rule prohibiting buildings within 40m of a State Highway. Further amendments to the wording of Rule 12D.1.12 (renumbered as Rule 12D.1.14) are also recommended as part of the Ch12 hearing reporting officer's closing response which provide further relief for the concerns but not to the extent sought by the submitter as this is considered inappropriate.	Yes
457.61	NZ Transport Agency	Rule 12D.1 - Noise sensitive activities related rules	Various amendments to reverse sensitivity and noise rule provisions.	6	Accept in part	The submission's concerns are addressed in part by the recommended amendments to the new consolidated acoustic insulation rule for noise sensitive activities (Rule 12D.1.12 – renumbered as Rule 12D.1.14).	Yes
<i>FS42 Ngatotara Farms Ltd and Rod Agar;</i>			<i>Oppose in part – these are unreasonable</i>	6	<i>Accept in part</i>	<i>As above</i>	Yes

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Panel's Recommendation	Panel's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
<p><i>FS57 Anthony and Anne McEwan; 58 Michael & Elizabeth Welch; FS59 Barry, Suzanne and Timothy Mansell; FS60 Tasman Lakes Estates Ltd; FS61 Cavallo Agistment Ltd; FS102 USNZ Forestry Group Ltd; FS153 Garlap and Mahaki Holdings Ltd; FS178 Land Matters Ltd; FS179 C D Bowie; FS180 Lutz Brothers Ltd; FS181 Bellcamp Trust Company; FS182 John & Brenda Cheese; FS183 Kennott Trust Company Ltd and Kauri Trust; FS184 Kumototo Nominees & Patone Holdings; FS185 Millhaven Ltd; FS186 Mahaki Holdings Ltd; FS187 RNR Trust</i></p>			<p><i>restrictions on private landowners.</i></p>				
92.146	Winstone Aggregates	Rules 12D.1 - Noise sensitive activities related rules	Include a rule requiring acoustic attenuation for sensitive activities/buildings adjoining heavy traffic routes, freight routes, Major Community Connectors.	6 & 9	Reject	The amendments sought would inappropriately widen the scope of the acoustic insulation rules/requirements to apply to a number of other roads across the District, increasing compliance costs. Requested attenuation methods have been provided for in a limited way in recommended wording for Rule 12D.1.12 (renumbered as 12D.1.14).	No
92.147	Winstone Aggregates	Rules 12D.1 - Noise sensitive activities related rules	Include a rule requiring acoustic attenuation for sensitive activities/buildings adjoining Waikanae Quarry.	6	Reject	The buffer area approach is not supported as it is unnecessary and has not been established as the best practicable option to deal with noise from the site.	No
276.35	Kapiti Coast Airport	Rules 12D.1 - Noise sensitive	Amend rules to achieve consistency between activity status of noise sensitive	6	Accept	Insofar as several amendments are recommended which help	Yes

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Panel's Recommendation	Panel's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
	Holdings Ltd	activities related rules	activities within outer control boundary and noise boundary with NZS6805.			address inconsistencies between activity status of noise sensitive activities within the outer control boundary and the noise boundary with NZS6805. Refer Section 3.6.3.10 of the s42A report for details.	
276.36	Kapiti Coast Airport Holdings Ltd	Rules 12D.1 - Noise sensitive activities related rules	Amend the sound insulation rules to provide consistency to current practice and to provide protection for the Airport from reserve sensitivity issues.	6	Accept in part	Insofar as several amendments are recommended to provide greater clarity and avoid duplication.	Yes
<i>FS39 Greg Bishop, FS40 Darren Smith, FS46 Mr Robert Burns, FS49 Dr Chris Hoffman, FS52 Jean Macdonald, FS65 Neil Buchanan, FS69 Mrs Margaret Wright, FS72 Donald McIntosh, FS76 Dennis Portch, FS78 Andrew Coy, FS79 Allan Harvey, FS81 Michael McKeon, FS82 Peter Stevenson-Wright, FS83 Derek Edwards, FS85 Mr Nick James, FS89 Murray Milnes, FS90 Mr Oliver Ewert, FS103 Leslie McKendry, FS104 Daniel McCarthy, FS119 Warren Dickinson, and FS140 Adrian Turner</i>			<i>Support</i>	6	<i>Accept in part</i>	<i>As above</i>	Yes
<i>FS48 Bunnings Ltd</i>			<i>Oppose in part – the changes unreasonably affected potential for use and development.</i>	6	<i>Reject</i>	<i>As above</i>	<i>No</i>
<i>FS51 Ngahina Developments Ltd, FS145 Ballinger Industries Ltd</i>			<i>Oppose – seek it is disallowed.</i>	6	<i>Reject</i>	<i>As above</i>	<i>No</i>
219.77	Horticulture New Zealand	Rule 12D.3.1 - Restricted Discretionary	Amend Rule 12D.3.1 to exclude application of the rule to helicopters used for primary production activities.	6	Accept in part	An amendment is recommended to Rule 12D.3.1 which recognises the changes recommended to Rule 12D.1.2 –	Yes

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Panel's Recommendation	Panel's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
		Activities				renumbered as 12D.1.14 - (permitted activity rule for noise in rural zones).	
219.78	Horticulture New Zealand	Rule 12D.3.1 - Restricted Discretionary Activities	Add a condition to Rule 12D.3.1 "The operation is AIRCARE accredited".	6	Accept in part	An amendments is recommended to matter in order to matter of discretion '3' in Rule 12D.3.1 to enable consideration of whether the operation is AIRCARE accredited	Yes
<i>FS9 Egon Guttke and FS10 Irena Guttke</i>			<i>Support</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>Accept in part</i>	<i>As above</i>	<i>Yes</i>
92.148	Winstone Aggregates	Rule 12D.3 - Restricted Discretionary Activities	Include a rule requiring restricted discretionary resource consent for sensitive activities/buildings/dwellings within a quarry buffer area.	6	Reject	There is no supporting assessment of the effects of "buffer area" restrictions.	No
276.39	Kapiti Coast Airport Holdings Ltd	Rule 12D.3 - Restricted Discretionary Activities	Amend the rules so development between outer control boundary and air noise boundary is restricted discretionary by reference to the appropriate sound insulation standards.	6	Reject	No reasoning or supporting analysis provided, and full discretionary status is considered appropriate for larger scale noise sensitive activities.	No
<i>FS39 Greg Bishop, FS40 Darren Smith, FS46 Mr Robert Burns, FS49 Dr Chris Hoffman, FS52 Jean Macdonald, FS65 Neil Buchanan, FS69 Mrs Margaret Wright, FS72 Donald McIntosh, FS76 Dennis Portch, FS78 Andrew Coy, FS79 Allan Harvey, FS81 Michael McKeon, FS82 Peter Stevenson-Wright, FS83 Derek Edwards, FS85 Mr Nick James, FS89 Murray Milnes, FS90 Mr Oliver Ewert, FS103 Leslie McKendry, FS104 Daniel McCarthy, FS119 Warren Dickinson, and FS140 Adrian Turner.</i>			<i>Support</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>Reject</i>	<i>As above</i>	<i>No</i>

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Panel's Recommendation	Panel's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
FS48 Bunnings Ltd			<i>Oppose – unreasonably affected potential for use and development.</i>	6	<i>Accept</i>	<i>As above</i>	<i>No</i>
FS51 Ngahina Developments Ltd, FS145 Ballinger Industries Ltd			<i>Oppose – seek it is disallowed.</i>	6	<i>Accept</i>	<i>As above</i>	<i>No</i>
92.145	Winstone Aggregates	Rule 12D.4.1 - Discretionary Activities	Amend Rule 12D.4.1 so use and operation of rural equipment not meeting permitted activity standards is restricted discretionary.	6	Accept in part	An amendment to add a new restricted discretionary activity rule is recommended where non-compliance is not more than 5dBA (i.e. a minor breach of the permitted activity standards for rural equipment). Explanatory text is also recommended in order to aid implementation. This amendment provides part relief for the submission concern.	Yes
219.76	Horticulture New Zealand	Rule 12D.4 - Discretionary Activities	Include a restricted discretionary rule for use and operation of audible bird scaring devices, and re: hours of operation, noise level and bird management plan.	6	Accept in part	An amendment to add a new restricted discretionary activity rule is recommended where non-compliance is not more than 5dBA (i.e. a minor breach of the permitted activity standards for audible bird scaring devices). This amendment provides part relief for the submission concern.	Yes
FS9 Egon Guttke and FS10 Irena Guttke			<i>Support</i>	6	<i>Accept in part</i>	<i>As above</i>	<i>Yes</i>
267.5	New Zealand Defence Force	Rule 12D.4 - Discretionary Activities	Seek a controlled activity rule for temporary military training activities that do not meet permitted activity standards.	7	Accept in part	We do not support controlled activity status for activities not meeting the permitted activity standards. However we consider a restricted discretionary activity	Yes

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Panel's Recommendation	Panel's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
						appropriate.	
276.38	Kapiti Coast Airport Holdings Ltd	Rule 12D.6 - Prohibited Activities	Amend the rules to prohibit noise sensitive activities within the Air Noise Boundary.	6	Accept	Amendments are recommended in order to provide clarification and ensure consistency with NZS6805:1992.	Yes
<i>FS39 Greg Bishop; FS40 Darren Smith; FS46 Mr Robert Burns; FS49 Dr Chris Hoffman; FS52 Jean Macdonald; FS65 Neil Buchanan; FS69 Mrs Margaret Wright; FS72 Donald McIntosh; FS76 Dennis Portch; FS78 Andrew Coy; FS79 Allan Harvey; FS81 Michael McKeon; FS82 Peter Stevenson-Wright; FS83 Derek Edwards; FS85 Mr Nick James; FS89 Murray Milnes; FS90 Mr Oliver Ewert; FS103 Leslie McKendry; FS104 Daniel McCarthy; FS119 Warren Dickinson; FS140 Adrian Turner.</i>			<i>Support</i>	6	<i>Accept</i>	<i>As above</i>	Yes
<i>FS48 Bunnings Ltd</i>			<i>Oppose</i>	6	<i>Reject</i>	<i>As above</i>	<i>No</i>
<i>FS51 Ngahina Developments Ltd, FS145 Ballinger Industries Ltd.</i>			<i>Oppose</i>	6	<i>Reject</i>	<i>As above</i>	<i>No</i>
262.23	Bunnings Ltd/Our Lady of Kapiti Parish	Planning maps – issues relating to noise	Delete “Airport Noise Notification” identification on the plan maps which affects Lots 1 and 2 DP441854 (20 Milne Drive, Paraparaumu).	6	Reject	The notation is considered consistent with the airport noise notification boundary line agreed as part of the resolution of the Environment Court appeal on Plan Change 73 (Paraparaumu Airport). However, amendments are recommended to correct plan map references.	No
440.87	Kapiti Coast District	Planning maps – issues relating to	Remove or amend the noise corridor feature (associated with State Highway	6 and 8	Accept in part	Amendments are recommended that provide partial relief for the	Yes

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Panel's Recommendation	Panel's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
	Council	noise	1) from all plan maps and the legend (shown on the Proposed Plan Maps under "Airport").			concerns. The 'noise corridor' notation is recommended to be removed from the "Airport" box on the plan features map legend as this is an error – the 'noise corridor' notation relates to the state highway not the Airport. However it is recommended that the notation be retained on the plan features map and that a new definition be added to Chapter 1 to assist interpretation.	
457.65	NZ Transport Agency	Planning maps – issues relating to noise	Clarify whether the black dashes identified on the plan maps around the state highway are "noise corridor".	6 and 8	Accept	Amendments are recommended provide clarity in relation to the 'noise corridor' notation as shown on the plan maps. The 'noise corridor' notation is recommended to be removed from the "Airport" box on the plan features map series legend as this is an error. However it is recommended that the notation be retained on the plan maps and that a new definition be added to Chapter 1 to assist interpretation.	Yes
447.29	KiwiRail Holdings Ltd	Planning maps – issues relating to noise	Amend plan maps 03B, 07B, 09B, 10B, 12B, 14B, 16B, 17B, 18B, 19B, 20B to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> include the 40m buffer either side of the Railway; and include the area ('designation') for 	6 and 8	Accept	It is considered appropriate for the main trunk railway line to be treated in a similar manner to the State Highway, particularly given the specific acoustic insulation polices and rules in the Chapter	Yes

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Panel's Recommendation	Panel's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			Noise Sensitive Activities.			12 noise provisions related to the main trunk railway. Amendments are recommended to identify a 40m noise effects area (for noise sensitive activities) either side of the railway designation boundary, as referred to in permitted activity Rule 12D.1.12. It is also recommended to add a definition of 'noise corridor' to Chapter 1, that encompasses both the State Highway and the main trunk railway line, as referred to in the permitted activity noise rules (refer Rule 12D.1.12 (renumbered as Rule 12D.1.1) as amended).	
276.37	Kapiti Coast Airport Holdings Ltd	Planning maps – issues relating to noise	Amend the Airport noise boundary to provide for taxiing associated with terminal on western side of runway.	6	Reject	Do not support. It would be inappropriate to recommend any amendments to the airport noise boundary without any detailed supporting information.	No
<i>FS39 Greg Bishop; FS 40 Darren Smith; FS 46 Mr Robert Burns; FS 49 Dr Chris Hoffman; FS52 Jean Macdonald; FS65 Neil Buchanan; FS69 Mrs Margaret Wright; FS72 Donald McIntosh; FS76 Dennis Portch; FS78 Andrew Coy; FS79 Allan Harvey; FS 81 Michael McKeon; FS 82 Peter Stevenson-Wright; FS 83 Derek Edwards; FS 85 Mr Nick James; FS 89 Murray Milnes; FS 90 Mr Oliver Ewert; FS103 Leslie</i>			<i>Support</i>	6	<i>Reject</i>	<i>As above</i>	<i>No</i>

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Panel's Recommendation	Panel's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
<i>McKendry; FS104 Daniel McCarthy; FS119 Warren Dickinson; FS140 Adrian Turner.</i>							
<i>FS 48 Bunnings Ltd, FS51 Ngahina Developments Ltd and FS145 Ballinger Industries Ltd.</i>			<i>Oppose</i>	6	<i>Accept</i>	<i>As above</i>	<i>No</i>
Financial Contributions							
480.62	Kapiti Coast Grey Power Association	General - Financial Contributions	Support the funding for infrastructure upgrades needed to serve growth being funded by those who cause the need for that infrastructure through the subdivision and development of land.	6	Accept in part	General intent of Section 12.1 is retained but amended in response to other submissions	No
480.64	Kapiti Coast Grey Power Association	General - Financial Contributions	Support the policy and calculations for the financial contributions.	6	Accept in part	General intent of Section 12.1 is retained but amended in response to other submissions	No
191.53	Landlink Ltd	Section 32 - Financial Contributions	Do not consider the section 32 report is adequate for reserves contribution. A comprehensive assessment of open space needs is required to determine the necessary contributions.	6	Accept in part	We consider that Section 12.1 as notified in itself provides clarity and rationale. Policy direction is set in Policies 8.1 (renumbered as Policy DW10) and 8.2 (renumbered as Policy DW11). We have recommended minor amendments to provide greater clarity	Yes
<i>FS41</i>			<i>Support</i>	6	<i>Accept in part</i>	<i>As above</i>	
262.18	Bunnings Ltd	Section 12.1 – General - Financial Contributions	Oppose the proposed financial contributions in the working (industrial) zone and the basis for calculation of them.	6	Accept	We do not consider that there is any evidence providing justification for taking such contributions as proposed and is inconsistent with the LGA.	Yes
280.38	Bryce Wilkinson	Section 12.1 – General -	Reduce the conflict of interest of Council by separating out the provider function	6	Accept in part	The provider and regulator function are separate and	No

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Panel's Recommendation	Panel's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
		Financial Contributions	and the regulator function.			defined. Section 12.1 provides a clear policy framework.	
<i>FS139 and FS229</i>			<i>Support</i>	6	<i>Accept in part</i>	<i>As above</i>	<i>No</i>
280.39	Bryce Wilkinson	Section 12.1 – General - Financial Contributions	To the extent that this is not feasible, set up an appeal/arbitration/mediation procedure that guards against the divisive perception and reality of the undue exploitation of the conflict in setting levels for financial and development contributions.	6	Accept in part	The provider and regulator function are separate and defined. Section 12.1 provides a clear policy framework.	No
<i>FS139 and FS229</i>			<i>Support</i>	6	<i>Accept in part</i>	<i>As above</i>	<i>No</i>
280.40	Bryce Wilkinson	Section 12.1 – General - Financial Contributions	Any other decision that would appropriately address the concerns in this submission.	6	Accept in part	The provider and regulator function are separate and defined. Section 12.1 provides a clear policy framework.	No
<i>FS139 and FS229</i>			<i>Support</i>	6	<i>Accept in part</i>	<i>As above</i>	<i>No</i>
457.57	New Zealand Transport Agency	Section 12.1 – General - Financial Contributions	Amend section 12.1 (Financial Contributions) as follows: a. The Proposed Plan have a separate section for State highway financial contributions in section 12.1 of the Proposed Plan that states the purpose for a financial contribution towards State highway works, and a methodology for determining the level of contribution. b. The Council develop an equitable contribution methodology to be included in the Proposed Plan regarding the taking of financial contributions for new State highway infrastructure or the upgrading of existing state highway	11	Reject	The submitters concerns are already adequately addressed through Section 12.1 and in particular Policy 12.2	No

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Panel's Recommendation	Panel's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<p>infrastructure.</p> <p>c. Section 12.1 include the following (or similar) purpose statement Purpose: Providing for new, or contributing to the upgrade of existing, State highway infrastructure that is required as the result of traffic effects from development or subdivision.</p> <p>d. The insertion of the following in Section 12.1.1: The NZTA expects that increased demands on State highway infrastructure will be borne by the development in a timely manner. Consequently:</p> <p>a. Where works are programmed by the NZ Transport Agency the development will bear the proportion of the costs generated by that development and any costs associated with bringing forward the timing of the works</p> <p>b. Where works are not programmed by the NZ Transport Agency the development will bear the full costs of the infrastructure necessary to accommodate that development</p> <p>e. Amend proposed section 12.1.1 (ii) to read: the upgrade of offsite infrastructure (including the State highway network) where a financial contribution towards the cost of is needed to undertake the</p>				

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Panel's Recommendation	Panel's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<p>upgrade ahead of programmed works which will address any environmental effects created by the proposed development.</p> <p>f. Amend the fourth sentence in the explanation under proposed Policy 12.2 to read: The provisions of this Plan ensure that activities which create demands on community infrastructure (including the State highway network) pay their fair share.....</p> <p>g. The Council clarify why proposed Policy 12.1.3 has no ability to collect financial contributions for public transport. OR similar.</p>				
<i>FS42, FS57, FS58, FS59, FS60, FS61, FS102, FS153, FS178, FS179, FS180, FS181, FS182, FS183, FS184, FS185</i>			<i>Oppose in part – Section 12.1, and the support/opposition, amendments, rules and standards, and other provisions that the submitter contends give effect to the above amended policy direction, and has requested change thereof - The relief sought by NZTA is rejected.</i>	11	Accept	As above	Yes
<i>FS129</i>			<i>Oppose - Section 12.1.</i>	11	Accept	As above	Yes
440.82	Kapiti Coast District Council	11	Amend explanatory text in “financial contributions” to read: “the upgrade of off-site infrastructure where a financial contribution towards the cost is needed to undertake the upgrade ahead of programmed works which will address any environmental effects created by the	6	Accept	We agree with the submitter and have recommended amendments to provide relief for the submission point.	Yes

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Panel's Recommendation	Panel's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			proposed development.”				
262.20	Bunnings Ltd	General - Financial Contributions	Amend policies 12.1 and 12.2 and the rules in chapter 12.1.3 to include a clear procedure for remission of contributions where contributions have been paid previously.	6	Accept	We have recommended amendments to Section 12.1 to provide clarity on how credits will apply where contributions have been paid previously. We have also made reference to s110 of the RMA regarding refunds.	Yes
550.56	Cuttriss Consultants Ltd	Rule 12.1.3 Recreation and open space financial contribution	Amend Rule 12.1.3 to replace 'new additional lot' with 'additional lot' and add a comment on unit title subdivisions as an example of subdivisions credit will apply from building consent stage and a comment about cross lease updates or conversion from cross lease to fee simple as examples of subdivisions where no additional allotments or HUEs are created.	6	Accept	We have recommended amendments to Section 12.1 to provide clarity on how credits will apply where contributions have been paid previously.	Yes
<i>FS9, FS10, FS142, FS177, FS178</i>			<i>Support</i>	6	<i>Accept</i>	<i>As above</i>	Yes
262.19	Bunnings Ltd	Rule 12.1.3 Recreation and open space financial contribution	Amend to delete or reduce the quantum of recreation and open space financial contribution payable in working (industrial) zones and amend the basis for calculating household equivalents.	6	Accept	We do not consider that there is any evidence providing justification for taking such contributions as proposed and is inconsistent with the LGA.	Yes
285.7	Graeme Boucher	Rule 12.1.3 Recreation and open space financial contribution	Oppose the development fees for a second dwelling and amend so they do not apply for the first additional building.	6	Reject	The submitter has provided no justification why such an exemption should be provided. Any new dwelling will create an increased demand.	No
53.4	Chris &	Rule 12.1.3	Keep Development Contributions to a	6	Accept	The level of contribution payable	No

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Panel's Recommendation	Panel's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
	Esmee Brown	Recreation and open space financial contribution	minimum with revenue clearly identified for the provision and operation of community facilities.			is considered appropriate. The contributions taken are being used for new and upgrading of existing parks and reserves.	
480.63	Kapiti Coast Grey Power Association	Rule 12.1.3 Recreation and open space financial contribution	Support the rules and standards on page 12.9	6	Accept	General intent of Section 12.1 is retained but amended in response to other submissions	No

Sub No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Panel's Recommendation	Panel's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
Amateur Radio							
271.19	Lyndon Enterprises Ltd	Amateur Radio	Seek amendment to rule 3A.5.3 to allow Amateur Radio Stations within ONL's and SAL's as a permitted activity	6 and 14	Reject	No amendment is recommended as the amendment sought is not consistent with the maintenance of natural values of these features which have been recommended to be amended as part of Chapter 3 Landscapes report. We do not agree with the submissions seeking that masts and aerials for amateur radio activities are located in sensitive natural features or	No

Sub No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Panel's Recommendation	Panel's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
						historic heritage features. We also consider that structures within ecological sites and outstanding landscapes need to be carefully managed	
203.1	NZ Association of Radio Transmitters Inc	Definitions	Oppose definition of antenna as it does not define the term "amateur radio configuration". Amend to be clarified or defined	12	Accept in part	A definition of "amateur radio configuration" and a definition of "aerial" are recommended to be added to Chapter 1 with consequential changes to the definition of "antenna", "building" and "mast" to facilitate amateur radio activities being considered separately from buildings and network utilities.	Yes
203.2.	NZ Association of Radio Transmitters Inc	Amateur Radio	Oppose Rule 5A.1.8.9 and 5A.1.8.13 insofar as they apply to amateur radio antennas, aerials and masts.	12, 13 and 14	Accept in part	A new section in Chapter 12 is recommended specifically for amateur radio which addresses this submission point as the rules opposed will no longer apply to amateur radio.	Yes
203.3	NZ Association of Radio Transmitters Inc	Amateur Radio	Opposes Rule 7A.1.4.3, Rule 7A.1.4.4 and Rule 7A.1.4.5	12, 13 and 14	Accept in part	The recommended amendments to the definitions and the introduction of specific rules in Chapter 12 applying to amateur radio addresses this submission point in part as the rules will no	Yes

Sub No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Panel's Recommendation	Panel's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
						longer apply to amateur radio.	
203.4	NZ Association of Radio Transmitters Inc	Amateur Radio	Oppose Rule 11.1.9.2 and Rule 11.1.9.5. Amend to allow antenna and aerials up to 20m in the living zones and 30m in the Rural zones, reduce setback to 1.5m on internal boundaries with consent of lot owners and allow penetration of daylight controls	14	Accept in part	The recommended amendments to the definitions and the introduction of specific rules in Chapter 12 applying to amateur radio addresses this submission point in part as the rules will no longer apply to amateur radio. The specifically requested heights for masts and aerials have not been recommended although height increases have been made for masts in all zones. .	Yes
452.1	Kapiti Amateur Radio Society Inc		Amend the Proposed District Plan to make provision to make specific provision to allow the operation of amateur radio stations as a permitted activity. The rules and standards defined in 11A.1.9 for network utilities are not appropriate for amateur radio stations.	12, 13 and 14	Accept in part	Amendments are recommended to provide a set of specific provisions for amateur radio However not all amateur radio stations will be enabled as a permitted activity.	Yes
<i>Further Submitters 29 Joan Allin, Rob Crosier, Allin Trust and Crosier Trust</i>			<i>Oppose</i>	12, 13 and 14	<i>Accept in part</i>	<i>As above</i>	Yes
452.2	Kapiti Amateur Radio Society Inc	Amateur Radio	Amend section 11A.1 of the Proposed District Plan to make the construction of masts and associated support structures for amateur radio stations a permitted	12, 13 and 14	Accept in part	Amendments are recommended providing a new section in Chapter 12 for a range of amateur radio configurations as a permitted activity where they	Yes

Sub No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Panel's Recommendation	Panel's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<p>activity within the plan with the following restrictions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Masts and associated structures are not to be located on a sensitive natural feature or a historic heritage feature • Masts would be subject to a maximum height restriction of 20m in all zones except rural, where a height of 30m would be permitted. • Masts and support poles to be located no closer than 0.5m to the property boundaries. • Amateur radio stations will operate in accordance with nationally recognised technical standard for radiofrequency exposure. <p>The details of the submitters' requested provisions are contained in section 4 of submission.</p>			<p>are not located within an ecological site, site of historic heritage or outstanding landscape.</p> <p>The radio frequency standard has also been recommended as a permitted activity standard for amateur radio configurations</p> <p>However the height for masts and distance from the boundary requested in the submission has not been accepted as appropriate due to the likelihood of adverse visual and amenity effects occurring from tall masts or masts located close to property boundaries. Height increases have been recommended for masts in all Zones.</p>	
	Further Submission 29 Joan Allin, Rob Crosier, Allin Trust and Crosier Trust		Oppose	12, 13, and 14	Accept in part	As above	Yes
	Further Submission 175 Heritage New Zealand		Support in part	12, 13, and 14	Accept in part	As above	Yes

Sub No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Panel's Recommendation	Panel's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
452.3	Kapiti Amateur Radio Society Inc		<p>Amend permitted activity Rule 11A.1 of the Proposed District Plan to make provision for the Amateur Radio Service ('Masts used for Amateur Radio Stations' by Licensed Stations and Operators) as a permitted activity, either specifically as 'Masts used for Amateur Radio Stations' or as 'Masts used for Other Radio Services', with conditions as follows:</p> <p><i>1. Up to six supporting structures less than 120mm in diameter and less than 9m in height. Local thickening is permitted at pole joints.</i></p> <p><i>A maximum of one mast or supporting structure greater than 120mm in diameter is allowed. The maximum height of the supporting structure shall be 20m in urban zones and 30m in rural zones.</i></p> <p><i>The maximum horizontal diameter of any mast at heights above 8m shall be 600 mm.</i></p>	12, 13, 14 and 15	Accept in part	<p>The recommended provisions in the new separate section of Chapter 12 generally provide for a range of amateur radio configurations as a permitted activity where they are not located within an ecological site, site of historic heritage or outstanding landscape.</p> <p>The recommended provisions enable up to 6 supporting structures, in rural zones and 4 in rural zones to a maximum height of 10 -12m including aerials. The recommended provisions enable these to be in addition to one taller mast 15 - 25m (depending on the zone) and roof mounted aerials as a permitted activity.</p> <p>The provisions do not enable support structures to breach yard requirements for the relevant zones or enable large dishes</p> <p>The radio frequency standard has also been recommended as a permitted activity standard for</p>	Yes

Sub No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Panel's Recommendation	Panel's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<p><i>The minimum setback for any mast or supporting structure from any boundary shall be 0.5 m.</i></p> <p><i>Antennas and their support structures of less than 120 mm in diameter shall be permitted to be mounted on buildings to a maximum height of 20 m above ground level in the Residential Areas. In all other areas a maximum height of 20 m allowed; or the relevant permitted or actual Building Height plus 6 m (whichever is greatest).</i></p> <p><i>Allow dish antennas up to a maximum diameter of 3 metres in urban areas and 4.6 metres in rural areas, provided that they do not exceed 5 m in height above ground; with a minimum set back from any boundary of 1 m.</i></p> <p><i>Allow dish antennas more than 5 m above ground with a maximum diameter of 1.2 m; with a minimum setback from any</i></p>			<p>amateur radio configurations</p> <p>However the requested height for masts and distance from the boundary requested in the submission has not been accepted as appropriate due to the likelihood of adverse visual and amenity effects occurring from tall masts or masts located close to property boundaries.</p>	

Sub No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Panel's Recommendation	Panel's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<p><i>boundary of 1.5 m.</i></p> <p><i>Masts and associated support structures are not to be located on a sensitive natural feature or a historic heritage feature.</i></p> <p><i>All antennas must be designed and operated in compliance with New Zealand Standard NZS 2772: Part 1: 1999 Radiofrequency Fields Part 1 – Maximum Exposure Levels - 3 kHz to 300 GHz (or subsequent amendments) at all times and in all places to which the public has access."</i></p>				
<i>Further Submission 29 Joan Allin, Rob Crosier, Allin Trust and Crosier Trust</i>			<i>Oppose</i>	<i>12, 13, 14 and 15</i>	<i>Accept in part</i>	<i>As above</i>	<i>Yes</i>
452.4	Kapiti Amateur Radio Society Inc		<p>Amend Rule 11A.2 of the Proposed District Plan to include a rule that 'Masts used for Amateur Radio Stations' by Licensed Stations and Operators in the Amateur Service be made a Controlled Activity with the following conditions:</p> <p><i>That, in rural zones only and</i></p>	<i>12, 13 and 14</i>	<i>Accept in part</i>	The recommended provisions provide for configurations not meeting permitted activity standards to be considered as a restricted discretionary activity rather than as a controlled activity	<i>Yes</i>

Sub No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Panel's Recommendation	Panel's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<p><i>subject to the size, height and set back restrictions above, more than one mast or supporting structure greater than 120 mm in diameter be permitted.</i></p> <p><i>That, in all zones, masts and associated support structures be permitted to be located on a sensitive natural feature.</i></p>				
<i>Further Submission 29 Joan Allin, Rob Crosier, Allin Trust and Crosier Trust</i>			<i>Oppose</i>	12, 13 and 14	<i>Accept in part</i>	<i>As above</i>	Yes
452.5	Kapiti Amateur Radio Society Inc		Request that general guidelines for amateur radio antennas be used rather than detailed specifications	6	Reject	It is not considered appropriate to have guidelines in the district plan for permitted activities as they do not give certainty.	Yes
<i>Further Submission 29 Joan Allin, Rob Crosier, Allin Trust and Crosier Trust</i>			<i>Oppose</i>	6	<i>Accept in part</i>	<i>As above</i>	Yes
452.6	Kapiti Amateur Radio Society Inc		Amend the size limits defined in 11A.1.9 for Network Utility antennas to be appropriate for amateur radio antennas. Recognition is necessary that a variety of antennas, supports and types of construction are the norm for amateur radio stations	12, 13 and 14	Accept in part	The recommended provisions include policies recognising amateur radio configurations and that these are not network utilities. The provisions provide for a wide range of potential aerial configurations.	Yes
<i>Further Submission 29 Joan Allin, Rob Crosier, Allin Trust and Crosier Trust</i>			<i>Oppose</i>	12, 13 and 14	<i>Accept in part</i>	<i>As above</i>	Yes

