



14 April 2016

File ref: 33002-087

District Plan Review
Kapiti Coast District Council
175 Rimu Road
Private Bag 60601
Paraparaumu 5254

Email: districtplanreview@kapiticoast.govt.nz & Leanne.Taylor@kapiticoast.govt.nz

Dear Leanne

HEARING STATEMENT – 12 APRIL 2016 HEARING – CHAPTER 2 OBJECTIVES

1. Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga is an autonomous Crown Entity with statutory responsibility under the Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014 (HNZPTA) for the identification, protection, preservation and conservation of New Zealand's historical and cultural heritage. Heritage New Zealand is New Zealand's lead heritage agency.
2. Heritage New Zealand' submitted on various sections of the District Plan Review (submission 460). In particular, Heritage New Zealand submitted on Objectives 2.1 and 2.7 of the proposed plan.
3. The officer's recommendations are that our submission points be accepted, or accepted in part. Heritage New Zealand supports these recommendations and does not consider it necessary to attend this particular hearing. Instead, Heritage New Zealand requests that this statement be tabled at the hearing.

HERITAGE NEW ZEALAND'S KEY SUBMISSION POINTS

Chapter 2 Objectives

4. In its submission (Submission No. 460.5), Heritage New Zealand requested that the Council adopts Objective 2.1 as proposed. The officer recommends that this suggestion be accepted in part. Heritage New Zealand supports the officer's recommendation.
5. In its submission (Submission No. 460.4), Heritage New Zealand requested that the Council amends the following in Objective 2.7:

in (a) add "features and their" following "historic heritage" and delete "features and area" prior to "to the identity".
6. The officer agreed that "the wording proposed by Submission 460.4 Heritage New Zealand is clearer" and recommended that this amendment be accepted in the form below.

3.10.4 Recommended Amendments

Submitter number	Provision Reference	Recommended Amendment
460.4 Heritage New Zealand	Objective 2.7 a)	supporting the contribution of historic heritage values, features and areas <u>their values</u> to the identity, character and amenity of places and landscapes; and

Heritage New Zealand supports this recommendation. In the view of Heritage New Zealand, Objective 2.7 is entirely consistent with the purpose and principles of the RMA.

7. We would be happy to discuss these matters further if this would be of assistance.

Yours sincerely



Claire Craig
General Manager
Central Region
New Zealand Historic Places Trust Pouhere Taonga