

Section 5: Recommendations to Submissions and Further Submissions

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
34-2	Barry and Zena Jobson	<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Water tanks should be permitted.	3.3	Accept in part	Clarification that activities not in areas of outstanding natural character or areas of high natural character are provided for in the underlying zones is recommended.	Yes
<i>FS229 – Ken Moselen</i>			<i>Support entire submission</i>	3.3	<i>Accept in part</i>	<i>See above</i>	Yes
38-G	North Otaki Beach Residents Group	<i>Protection of natural dunes, stream and river maintenance at the coast</i>	Oppose Insert new objective: To ensure coastal hazard management in the district plan is not based on a one size fits all approach and recognise coastal areas in each locality within the district are distinctive and represent special and different challenges. In the context of Otaki Beach North that means acknowledging in objectives, policies and methods: (a) ...; (b) ...; (c) The existing inlet management practices and the fact that these are only there to achieve protection of residential assets but also environmental values and	3.9.1, 3.9.3, 3.10.1 and 3.10.3	Reject	It is considered that Policy 4.6 already acknowledges existing inlet management practices.	No

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			conservation of natural dune systems;				
38-I		<i>Identification and Protection of natural character</i>	Oppose Amend Policy 4.3(e) by inserting after the words activities "other than appropriate coastal erosion hazard protection infrastructure" and add new subparagraph that provides: "Enabling coastal erosion hazard protection infrastructures that either sustain natural character values or do not have significant effect on natural character and ecosystems values"	3.6	Reject	Many structures in areas of outstanding natural character and areas of high natural character are not appropriate. However, clarification that activities not in these areas are provided for in the underlying zones is recommended.	No
38-J		<i>Protection of natural dunes</i>	Oppose Amend the explanation for Policy 4.6 to include that where all that is required to manage erosion is mechanical excavation and river training works then these are to be encouraged and their efficacy recognized in the necessity for coastal hazard management controls and assessment of hazard risk.	3.10.1 and 3.10.3	Reject	Explanations are being removed from the PDP.	No
38-K		<i>Protection of natural dunes</i>	Oppose Amend Policy 4.7 so that enabling migration inland in response to shoreline retreat by dunes is only limited to those areas mapped as no-build areas.	3.9.1 and 3.9.3	Accept in Part	Generally, the dune systems which are being protected are located within open space and/ non-developable land.	No

<b>Sub. No.</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Topic</b>	<b>Decision Sought</b>	<b>Section of this Report</b>	<b>Officer's Recommendation</b>	<b>Officer's Reasons / Comments</b>	<b>Recommended Amendments to PDP?</b>
38-R		<i>Identification and protection of natural character</i>	Oppose Delete Policy 4.3.	3.6	Reject	Policy 4.3 is necessary to ensure the District Plan gives effect to the NZCPS.	No
38-U		<i>Identification and protection of natural character, Protection of private property rights</i>	Oppose Amend the map, Schedule 4.1, to the extent necessary so that no NOBRG residential area of interest is identified as having a high natural character.	3.4	Accept	The redefinition of the extent of the coastal environment now means that covers only a very small number of residential sections in Otaki Beach. No residential sections fall within areas of high natural character.	Yes
38-X		<i>Stream and river maintenance works at the coast</i>	Oppose Add a provision in Chapter 4, generally setting out the circumstance of Waitohu Inlet in coastal hazards section and incorporate community adaptation strategy by reference in explanations.	3.9.1, 3.9.3, 3.10.1 and 3.10.3	Reject	It is considered that Policy 4.6 already acknowledges existing inlet management practices.	No
38-1		<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Provisions do not give effect to NZCPS	3.3	Reject	Chapter 4 Coastal Environment is considered to be consistent with the RMA and the NZCPS.	No

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
FS113 – Suzanne Chetwin			Oppose – oppose policies 4.3-4.11	3.3	Reject	Chapter 4 Coastal Environment is considered to be consistent with the RMA and the NZCPS.	No
FS200 – Christopher Ruthe			Oppose – oppose policies 4.3-4.11	3.3	Reject	See above	No
FS227 – Coastal Ratepayers United			Oppose – oppose policies 4.3-4.11	3.3	Reject	See above	No
FS229 – Ken Moselen			Support entire submission	3.3	Reject	See above	No
FS230 – Heather Paul and Peter Jones			Oppose – oppose policies 4.3-4.11	3.3	Reject	See above	No
55-B	Leslie D'Mello	Protection of natural dunes	Oppose Oppose ambiguous wording including the reference to “managed retreat” in Policy 4.6 and “natural dunes being enabled to migrate inland in response to shoreline retreat” in Policy 4.7.	3.9.1, 3.9.2 and 3.9.3	Accept in Part	Reject submission relating to Policy 4.6 explanation, accept submission where is refers to rewarding of Policy 4.7.	Yes
55-F		Coastal provisions in general	Oppose Oppose Policies 4.1 and 4.2-4.6.	3.8.1, 3.8.3, 3.10.1 and 3.10.3	Accept in Part	Accept where minor amendments are suggested for Policy 4.3 for clarity. Reject where submission calls for deletion of Policy 4.6.	Yes
FS229 – Ken Moselen			Support entire submission		Accept in part	See above.	Yes
72-A	Ann	Coastal	Oppose		Reject	See recommendations in	No

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
	Kennedy-Perkins	<i>provisions in general</i>	Seek the relief sought in the North Otaki Residents Group Submission.			relation to North Otaki Residents Group Submission.	
72-B		<i>Protection of natural dunes</i>	Oppose Amend Policy 4.7 to clarify that this Policy relates to enabling inland migration of dunes in rural and open space areas only.	3.9.1 and 3.9.3	Accept in Part	Generally, the dune systems which are being protected are located within open space and/ non-developable land.	No
72-1		<i>Public access</i>	Oppose in part Amend Policy 4.5 to expand to include public access to riparian strips alongside rivers and streams.	3.8.1 and 3.8.2	Reject	Policy 4.5 adequately provides for public access to riparian strips and streams within the coastal environment.	No
<i>FS128 – Kotuku Park Ltd</i>			<i>Support</i>		<i>Reject</i>	<i>See above</i>	<i>No</i>
<i>FS229 – Ken Moselen</i>			<i>Support</i>		<i>Reject</i>	<i>See above</i>	<i>No</i>
95-1	Vivian French	<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Oppose all of Chapter 4.	3.3	Reject	The provisions of Chapter 4 Coastal Environment are necessary in order to ensure that the District Plan gives effect to the NZCPS.	No
<i>FS229 – Ken Moselen</i>			<i>Support entire submission.</i>		<i>Reject</i>	<i>See above</i>	<i>No</i>
96-B	Chris	<i>Tsunami and</i>	Concern about potential erosion associated with	3.3	Reject	Not within the scope of the	No

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
	Jackson	<i>other hazards</i>	storm surge effects rather than sea level rise.			District Plan.	
96-1		<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Oppose Chapter 4 – seek operative provisions to remain.	3.3	Reject	The provisions of Chapter 4 Coastal Environment are necessary in order to ensure that the District Plan gives effect to the NZCPS.	No
<i>FS229 – Ken Moselen</i>			<i>Support entire submission</i>	3.3	<i>Reject</i>	<i>See above</i>	<i>No</i>
101-A	William Gasson	<i>Coastal provisions in general, Protecting private property rights</i>	Oppose Oppose Policies in Chapter 4 relating to ability to protect and maintain the submitter's property.	3.11	Accept in part	Clarification that activities not in areas of outstanding natural character or areas of high natural character are provided for in the underlying zones is recommended.	Yes
101-D		<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Withdraw the PDP and treat it as a consultative document.	3.3	Reject	The Council is required to give effect to the NZCPS, which requires the inclusion of provisions for the coastal environment within the PDP.	No
<i>FS229 – Ken Moselen</i>			<i>Support entire submission</i>		<i>Accept in part</i>	<i>See above</i>	<i>Yes</i>

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
138-A	Bride Coe	<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Oppose Chapter 4 of the PDP, including Policy 4.7 and the explanation to Policy 4.6.	3.3	Accept in part	The Council is required to give effect to the NZCPS, which requires the inclusion of provisions for the coastal environment within the PDP. There is a plan-wide recommendation to remove policy explanations.	Yes
<i>FS229 – Ken Moselen</i>			<i>Support entire submission</i>		<i>Accept in Part</i>	<i>See above</i>	Yes
139-5(A)	Raumati South Residents Association	<i>Protection of natural dunes</i>	Support Submission supports promoting and encouraging the restoration of sand dunes on public land.	3.9.1, 3.9.2 and 3.9.3	Accept in Part	Support the protection of natural dune systems, generally, the dune systems which are being protected are located within open space and/ non-developable land.	No
139-6(b)	Raumati South Residents Association	<i>Identification and protection of natural character, restoration of</i>	Support Submission supports identifying areas of high natural character and minimising the effects of development on those identified areas. Suggest areas of high natural character in Raumati South are the Esplanade Walkway, Joan Sherley Reserve and	3.6	Accept in part	Further technical assessment has resulted in those areas mentioned by the submitter that were not included in areas of high natural character	Yes

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
		<i>natural character, public access</i>	Jeep Road boat ramp and seek protection and maintenance of these areas.			being included.	
150-6	Te Runanga o Toa Rangatira Inc	<i>Identification and protection of natural character, Restoration of natural character, protection of natural dune</i>	Support Support the following Policies in principle, Policies 4.2, 4.3, 4.4, 4.6 and 4.7.	3.9.1 3.9.3, 3.10.1 and 3.10.3	Accept	Supports the protection of natural dune systems.	No
<i>FS229 – Ken Moselen</i>			<i>Oppose (entire submission)</i>		<i>Reject</i>	<i>See above</i>	
190-B	Ken Moselen	<i>Protection of private property rights</i>	Oppose Objective amended to explicitly permit owners to maintain, protect and develop their land if they take appropriate measures against the likely risks to their land.	3.11	Accept in part	Clarification that activities not in areas of outstanding natural character or areas of high natural character are provided for in the underlying zones is recommended.	Yes
190-E		<i>Protection of natural dunes</i>	Oppose Delete Policy 4.6	3.9.1 and 3.9.3	Reject	Policy 4.6 should not be deleted.	No



Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
190-F		<i>Protection of natural dunes</i>	Oppose Policy 4.6 amended to explicitly permit owners of properties in the coastal environment to maintain, protect and develop their land if they take appropriate measures against the likely risks to their land caused by coastal process, and in the even where severe restrictions are deemed to be appropriate (such as but not limited to no build zones) that the landowner no longer having general utility of their land may require KCDC to purchase the land and improvements thereon at a fair and reasonable market value that is consistent with the values that the process set out in the Public Works Act would arrive at.	3.9.1 and 3.9.3	Reject	Policy 4.6 provides appropriate protection.	No
190-G		<i>Protection of natural dunes</i>	Oppose Delete Policy 4.7	3.9.1 and 3.9.3	Reject	Policy 4.7 should not be deleted.	No
191-41	Landlink Ltd	<i>Identification and protection of natural character, restoration of natural</i>	The concept of 'natural character' in Policies 4.2 to 4.4 requires clarification, particularly in relation to the areas that are defined as having 'high' natural character.  The district plan, as a whole, is required to give effect to higher order planning documents, rather than specific policies being prepared to implement	3.6 and 3.7	Accept in part	Amendments to Policy 4.2 are recommended to clarify that areas of high natural character are those that have been identified and mapped in the District Plan	Yes

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
		<i>character</i>	policies from higher order planning documents				
191-42	Landlink Ltd	<i>Identification and protection of natural character</i>	Natural coastal processes, as referred to in Policy 4.6, are part of natural character and should be included in those policies	3.6	Accept	Natural coastal processes are already referred to in Policy 4.2(a).	No
198-E	Helen Punton	<i>Identification and protection of natural character</i>	Oppose Oppose Policy 4.3(c) and 4.3(f)	3.6	Reject	Policies 4.3(c) and 4.3(f) are appropriate in order to preserve natural character as required by the NZCPS.	No
198-F		<i>Restoration of natural character</i>	Oppose Oppose Policy 4.4	3.7	Reject	Policy 4.4 is consistent with the NZCPS.	
198-H		<i>Protection of natural dunes</i>	Oppose Delete "enables to migrate in response to the shoreline" from Policy 4.7	3.9.1 and 3.9.3	Reject	Policy 4.7 should not be deleted.	No
<i>FS229 – Ken Moselen</i>			<i>Support</i>		<i>Reject</i>	<i>See above</i>	
202-AAA	Department of Conservation	<i>Identification and protection of natural character</i>	Support in Part Amend Policy 4.1 (a) to insert after the word 'habitat'; " <u>of indigenous species</u> ".	3.4	Reject	Policy 4.1(a) is consistent with the Operative RPS, which is more directive than Policy 1 of the NZCPS.	No

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
FS29 – Crozier Family Trust and Allin Family Trust			Support - Allow		Reject	See above	
202-BBB		Identification and protection of natural character	Support in Part Amend Policy 4.1 (c): “ <del>landscapes or elements and</del> features, including coastal escarpments, that contribute to the natural character, <u>landscape, visual quality qualities</u> or amenity <del>value-values</del> of the coast.”	3.4	Reject	Policy 4.1(c) is consistent with the Operative RPS, which is more directive than Policy 1 of the NZCPS.	No
202-CCC		Identification and protection of natural character	Amend Policy 4.1 to add a new clause: <u>“e. physical resources and built facilities, including infrastructure, that have modified the coastal environment.”</u>	3.4	Reject	Policy 4.1(c) is consistent with the Operative RPS, which is more directive than Policy 1 of the NZCPS.	
FS29 – Crozier Family Trust and Allin Family Trust			Support in Part Allow in part – although different words may be more appropriate		Reject	See above	
202-DDD		Identification and protection of natural character	Support Policy 4.1 explanation and retain as notified		Reject	A plan-wide amendment is recommended to delete explanations to policies.	Yes
202-EEE		Identification and	Support Policy 4.2.	3.6	Accept	Support is noted.	No

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
		<i>protection of natural character</i>					
202-FFF		<i>Identification and protection of natural character</i>	Support in Part Amend Policy 4.2 explanation to recognise the direction provided by the NZCPS: "This policy is to give effect to <u>the NZCPS 2010 and Policy 3 of the proposed WRPS</u> . The council commissioned..."	3.6	Accept	While there is a plan-wide amendment proposed to remove policy explanations, including notes under a number of the policies to link them to the NZCPS and RPS is recommended.	Yes
202-GGG		<i>Identification and protection of natural character</i>	Support in Part Amend Policy 4.3: Policy 4.3 – <del>Protection</del> <u>Preservation</u> of natural character	3.6	Accept	Amending the title of the policy will make it consistent with the NZCPS	Yes
202-HHH		<i>Identification and protection of natural character</i>	Support in Part Amend Policy 4.3: " <del>Preserve areas of high</del> natural character in the coastal environment, <u>and significant natural coastal features and habitat of indigenous species, and protection of them from inappropriate subdivision, use, and development, will be protected including by:...</u> "	3.6	Accept in part	Including reference to protecting areas of high natural character from inappropriate subdivision, use and development is consistent with the NZCPS.	Yes

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
202-III		<i>Identification and protection of natural character</i>	Support in Part Amend Policy 4.3(b): b. providing managed public access to <i>the beach and foreshore</i> and avoiding damage to dunes from unmanaged access;	3.8.2 and 3.8.3	Accepted	Agree that submitter's proposed wording provides clearer direction and consistency with the NZCPS	Yes
202-JJJ		<i>Identification and protection of natural character</i>	Support in Part Amend Policy 4.3(c): c. avoiding encroachment of permanent structure and privates uses onto <i>the beach, foreshore, or public land</i> ;	3.6	Accept	Agree that submitter's proposed wording provides clearer direction and consistency with the NZCPS	Yes
202-KKK		<i>Identification and protection of natural character</i>	Support in Part Amend Policy 4.3(d): d. removing existing unnecessary structure and associated waste materials from <i>the beach or foreshore</i> ;	3.6	Accept	Agree that submitter's proposed wording provides clearer direction and consistency with the NZCPS	Yes
202-LLL		<i>Identification and protection of natural character</i>	Support in Part Amend Policy 4.3(e): e. <del>Preventing new activities which have adverse effects of natural character values</del> <u>Avoiding the adverse effects of activities on natural character in areas of the coastal environment with outstanding natural character</u> ;	3.6	Accept in part	A new policy is recommended to set out the different management approaches to areas of outstanding natural character and areas of high natural character.	No
202-		<i>Identification</i>	Support in Part	3.6	Accept in part	A new policy is	No

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
MMM		<i>and protection of natural character</i>	Amend Policy 4.3 by inserting new clause between (e) and (f): <u>f. Avoiding significant adverse effects and avoiding, remedying or mitigating other adverse effects on natural character in all other areas of the coastal environment.</u>			recommended to set out the different management approaches to areas of outstanding natural character and areas of high natural character.	
202-NNN		<i>Identification and protection of natural character</i>	Support in Part Amend Policy 4.3 explanation to recognise the direction provided by the NZCPS: "This policy is to give effect to <u>the NZCPS 2010 and Policy 3 of the proposed WRPS. The coastal environment of the...</u> "	3.6	Accept	While there is a plan-wide amendment proposed to remove policy explanations, including notes under a number of the policies to link them to the NZCPS and RPS is recommended.	Yes
<i>FS200 – Christopher Ruthe</i>			<i>Oppose in Part – considers that the whole policy should be reworded.</i>	3.6	<i>Accept in part</i>	<i>Some amendments are recommended to Policy 4.3 as a result of other submissions</i>	Yes
<i>FS227 – Coastal Ratepayers United Inc</i>			<i>Oppose in Part – considers that the whole policy should be reworded.</i>	3.6	<i>Accept in part</i>	<i>Some amendments are recommended to Policy 4.3 as a result of other submissions</i>	Yes
<i>FS230 – Heather Paul and Peter Jones</i>			<i>Oppose in Part – considers that the whole policy should be reworded.</i>	3.6	<i>Accept in part</i>	<i>Some amendments are recommended to Policy</i>	Yes

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
						4.3 as a result of other submissions	
202-000		<i>Restoration of natural character</i>	Support in Part Amend Policy 4.4: <del>Subdivision and development in the coastal environment will support restoration of natural character values through</del> <u>Promote restoration of natural character in the coastal environment, including by:</u>	3.7	Reject	The existing wording of Policy 4.4 provides appropriate guidance for the consideration of land use and subdivision consents, and is consistent with the requirements of Policy 14 of the NZCPS 2010.	No
202-PPP		<i>Restoration of natural character</i>	Amend Policy 4.4 by inserting new clause (aa) before clause (a): <u>aa. where practicable, through decisions on subdivision, use and development</u>	3.7	Reject	The existing wording of Policy 4.4 provides appropriate guidance for the consideration of land use and subdivision consents, and is consistent with the requirements of Policy 14 of the NZCPS 2010.	No
202-QQQ		<i>Public access</i>	Support in Part Amend Policy 4.5 to provide better certainty for decision makers and reflect the NZCPS: <del>Subdivision and development in the coastal</del>	3.8.1, 3.8.2 and 3.8.3	Accept	Proposed amendment better reflects the NZCPS	Yes

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<del>environment will m</del> Maintain and enhance amenity values, such as open space and scenic values, opportunities for recreation and the enjoyment of the coast, including enjoyment of a high tide during dry beach by the public and public access to and along the coast, while minimising any significant adverse effects on the public's use and enjoyment of the coast.				
202-2		<i>Protection of natural dunes</i>	Support in Part Amend Policy 4.6: Natural shoreline movement will... by using the best practices coastal management options including <del>some</del> <u>a strategic approach involving one</u> or a combination of the following...	3.9.1, 3.9.3, 3.10.1, 3.10.2 and 3.10.3	Accept	Proposed amendments improve clarity.	Yes
202-3		<i>Protection of natural dunes</i>	Support in Part Amend Policy 4.6 explanation: ...In these areas mechanical excavation and river training works can be used to maintain a natural <del>function</del> <u>looking shoreline</u> . In the armoured...	3.9.1, 3.9.3, 3.10.1 and 3.10.3	Reject	Explanations are being removed from the PDP.	No
<i>FS200 – Christopher Ruthe</i>			<i>Oppose Policy 4.4-4.6</i>		<i>Reject</i>	<i>Subject to some amendments, the policies are considered to be appropriate</i>	<i>No</i>



Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
	<i>FS227 – Coastal Ratepayers United Inc</i>		<i>Oppose Policy 4.4-4.6</i>		<i>Reject</i>	<i>Subject to some amendments, the policies are considered to be appropriate</i>	<i>No</i>
	<i>FS230 – Heather Paul and Peter Jones</i>		<i>Oppose Policy 4.4-4.6</i>		<i>Reject</i>	<i>Subject to some amendments, the policies are considered to be appropriate</i>	<i>No</i>
202-4		<i>Protection of natural dunes, Tsunami and other natural hazards</i>	Support in Part Amend Policy 4.7 to note the value of natural defences as a buffer against short term periodic storm erosion.	3.9.1, 3.9.2 and 3.9.3	Accept in Part	Minor amendments to Policy 4.7 recognises the importance of natural dune function and the role it plays as a buffer to coastal processes.	No
202-5		<i>Protection of natural dunes</i>	Support in Part Amend Policy 4.7 to address the value of other natural defences – including beaches – against shoreline retreat and short-term periodic storm erosion.	3.9.1, 3.9.2 and 3.9.3	Accept in Part	Minor amendments to Policy 4.7 recognises the importance of natural dune function and the role it plays as a buffer to coastal processes.	No
202-6		<i>Protection of natural dunes, Tsunami and</i>	Support in Part Amend Policy 4.7, or provide a new policy: i. to acknowledge the different management responses required for the	3.9.1, 3.9.2 and 3.9.3	Reject	A new policy is not necessary following amendments to policies 4.6 and 4.7.	No

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
		<i>other natural hazards</i>	ii. different types of coastal erosion problem in Kapiti District; and the need for a strategic approach to address one or both types of coastal erosion hazard for the different sections of the Kapiti coastline.				
202-7		<i>Protection of natural dunes</i>	Support in Part Amend Policy 4.7 explanation to acknowledge the different erosion drivers within the district including the difference between the shorter-term periodic erosion that is typical north of the Paraparaumu bulge, and the longstanding and long-term erosion trend that is typical south of the Paraparaumu bulge.	3.9.1, 3.9.2 and 3.9.3	Reject	Explanations are being deleted from the PDP.	No
202-8		<i>Protection of natural dunes</i>	Support in Part Amend Policy 4.7: Natural dune systems will be protected and enhanced, as a buffer for coastal hazard effects, and enabled to migrate inland in response to shoreline retreat.	3.9.1, 3.9.2 and 3.9.3	Accept in part	Accept some amendments to grammar.	Yes
<i>FS29 – Crozier Family Trust and Allin Family Trust</i>			<i>Oppose all parts except where supported</i> <i>Support in Part – Policy 4.7</i>	3.3 – 3.9	<i>Accept in part</i>	<i>See responses to original submission above</i>	Yes
<i>FS200 – Christopher Ruthe</i>			<i>Support in Part – support deficiencies and lack of clarity of policy, oppose specific wording proposed.</i>	3.3 – 3.9	<i>Accept in part</i>	<i>See responses to original submission above</i>	Yes

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
FS227 – Coastal Ratepayers United Inc			Support in Part – support deficiencies and lack of clarity of policy, oppose specific wording proposed.	3.3 – 3.9	Accept in part	See responses to original submission above	Yes
FS230 – Heather Paul and Peter Jones			Support in Part – support deficiencies and lack of clarity of policy, oppose specific wording proposed.	3.3 – 3.9	Accept in part	See responses to original submission above	Yes
205-A	Margaret Bilisland	Coastal provisions in general	Oppose Seek the relief sought in the North Otaki Beach Residents Group Inc submission.			See recommendations in relation to that submission	
205-D		Removal of coastal yard provisions.	Oppose Concern on removal of coastal yard at Te Horo.	3.13	Accept	Refer to Living Environment section 42A report.	Yes
205-E		Coastal provisions in general	Oppose Concern on intensification of use at Te Horo Beach	3.3	Reject	The Chapter 4 Coastal Environment provisions do not address intensification. Refer to Living Environment and Rural Environment section 42A reports.	No
205-M		Coastal provisions in general	Oppose Seek the same relief as in the submission by Crozier and Allin to the extent applicable in Otaki and Otaki Beach and any consequential changes.			Refer to recommendations in relation to that submission.	
205-1		Improvements to wording	Oppose Amend maps so it is easier to differentiate between	3.3	Accept in part	Various amendments are recommended to improve	Yes

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
		<i>of provisions and simplification of maps</i>	layers.			the clarity of the Plan, including Chapter 4 Coastal Environment.	
205-2		<i>Improvements to wording of provisions and simplification of maps</i>	Oppose Improve terminology so that it is consistent.	3.3	Accept	The provisions of Chapter 4 Coastal Environment have been reviewed as part of the preparation of this section 42A report, to ensure consistent use of terminology	Yes
205-4		<i>Identification and protection of natural character</i>	Support Support identification of significant natural features and areas at and adjacent to Waitohu stream and inlet.	3.10.1	Accept in part	Inlet management will continue to be enabled as appropriate.	No
<i>FS229 – Ken Moselen</i>			<i>Support entire submission</i>		<i>Accept in part</i>	<i>See recommendations above</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>FS241 – Lowell Manning</i>			<i>Oppose part of the submission seeking to weaken various district plan provisions.</i>		<i>Accept in part</i>	<i>See recommendations above</i>	<i>Yes</i>
208-A	Transpower New Zealand Ltd	<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Support in Part Section 4.1 – Extent of the coastal environment: Land Uses issue is split into two paragraphs:	3.3	Accept	Breaking the paragraph as suggested will increase clarity.	Yes

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			...economic, cultural well-being of people and communities. The NZCPS encourages...				
208-B		<i>Restoration of natural character</i>	Oppose in Part Amend Policy 4.4 clause (e): e. removing coastal structures and materials that do not have heritage or amenity <u>values or are not regionally significant infrastructure</u> ; or	3.7	Accept in part	Reference to 'redundant' structures is recommended in response to other submissions, and would address the submitter's concerns.	Yes
<i>FS229 – Ken Moselen</i>			<i>Support amendment to Policy 4.4(e)</i>	3.7	<i>Accept in part</i>	<i>See above</i>	Yes
212-1	The NZ Anglican Church Pension Board	<i>Improvements to wording of provisions and simplification of maps</i>	Oppose The provisions are the various overlapping and overly complex proposed District Plan Objectives, Policies and Rules that will make the proposed Plan difficult to understand and work with.	3.3	Accept in part	Various amendments are recommended to improve the clarity of the Plan, including Chapter 4 Coastal Environment.	Yes
229-I	Gordon and Sylvia Moller	<i>Tsunami and other hazards, Stream and river maintenance works at the coast</i>	Oppose Seek that river and stream clearing and other flood management activities including structures for river/stream management be a permitted activity.	3.10.1, 3.10.2 and 3.10.3	Reject	Earthworks rules provide for these activities.	No

<b>Sub. No.</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Topic</b>	<b>Decision Sought</b>	<b>Section of this Report</b>	<b>Officer's Recommendation</b>	<b>Officer's Reasons / Comments</b>	<b>Recommended Amendments to PDP?</b>
<i>FS229 – Ken Moselen</i>			<i>Support entire submission</i>		<i>Reject</i>	<i>See above</i>	
232-A	Nga Hapu O Otaki	<i>Protection of natural dunes, Coastal provisions in general</i>	Support Support policies which protect dune and swamp land from infilling and sand mobilisation works.	3.9.1, 3.9.3 and 3.10.1	Accept	Amend Policy 4.7 to enable natural dune function and restoration as part of dune protection.	Yes
<i>FS113 – Suzanne Chetwin</i>			Oppose - disallow	3.9.1, 3.9.3 and 3.10.1	<i>Reject</i>	<i>See above.</i>	Yes
<i>FS200 – Christopher Ruthe</i>			Oppose - disallow	3.9.1, 3.9.3 and 3.10.1	<i>Reject</i>	<i>See above.</i>	Yes
<i>FS227 – Coastal Ratepayers United</i>			Oppose - disallow	3.9.1, 3.9.3 and 3.10.1	<i>Reject</i>	<i>See above.</i>	Yes
<i>FS229 – Ken Moselen</i>			Oppose – NZCPS and RMA, Part 2 were misinterpreted and not properly applied.	3.9.1, 3.9.3 and 3.10.1	<i>Reject</i>	<i>See above.</i>	Yes

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
<i>FS230 – Heather Paul and Peter Jones</i>			Oppose - disallow	<i>3.9.1, 3.9.3 and 3.10.1</i>	<i>Reject</i>	<i>See above.</i>	Yes
<i>FS239 – Christopher Butler</i>			Oppose in Part – disallow with respect to managed retreat.	<i>3.9.1, 3.9.3 and 3.10.1</i>	<i>Reject</i>	<i>See above.</i>	Yes
235-A	Elizabeth Hird	<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Seek the same relief as in the submission of Rob Crozier and Joan Allin.			See recommendations in relation to that submission	
<i>FS229 – Ken Moselen</i>			<i>Support entire submission</i>			<i>See recommendations in relation to submission 451.</i>	
243-A	Owen Cox	<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Oppose the whole PDP and seek that it be withdrawn and redrafted.	3.3	Reject	Chapter 4 Coastal Environment is necessary in order to give effect to the NZCPS.	No
<i>FS229 – Ken Moselen</i>			<i>Support entire submission.</i>		<i>Accept in part</i>	<i>See above</i>	Yes
280-B	Bryce Wilkinson	<i>Identification and protection of natural character,</i>	Oppose Oppose Policies 4.3, 4.4, 4.5, 4.6 and 4.7.	<i>3.8.1, 3.8.2, 3.8.3, 3.10.1 and</i>	Reject	Do not consider that Policies 4.3 and 4.5 restrict private property right or that Policies 4.3 and 4.5 does not give	No

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
				3.10.3		adequate weight to community wellbeing.	
280-C		<i>Protecting private property rights, Coastal provision in general</i>	Oppose Remove all rules that have the submitter's property in Paraparaumu categorised as anything other than permitted.	3.3	Accept in part	Clarification that activities not in these areas are provided for in the underlying zones is recommended.	Yes
<i>FS229 – Ken Moselen</i>			<i>Support – entire submission</i>		<i>Accept in part</i>	<i>See recommendations above</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>FS241 – Lowell Manning</i>			<i>Oppose deletion of all or part of Chapter 4.</i>		<i>Accept</i>	<i>Chapter 4 Coastal Environment is necessary to give effect to the NZCPS</i>	<i>No</i>
283-A	Gill Ward	<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Concern that the frontage of the reserve is deteriorating to the sea, due to lack of management by Council.		Reject	The submitter's concern does not come within the scope of the PDP.	No
<i>FS229 – Ken Moselen</i>			<i>Support entire submission</i>		<i>Reject</i>	<i>See above</i>	<i>No</i>
340-I	Bryce Moller	<i>Protection of natural dunes</i>	Oppose Oppose all policies in Section 4.1.1, in particular Policies 4.6 and 4.7.	3.10.1 and 3.10.3	Reject	Do not consider that Policy 4.6 should be deleted.	No
340-		<i>Coastal</i>	Oppose	3.10.1	Reject	See above	No



Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
T		<i>provisions in general</i>	Seek any other decision that would appropriately address the concerns and reasons in this submission.	and 3.10.3			
<i>FS229 – Ken Moselen</i>			<i>Support – entire submission</i>		<i>Reject</i>	<i>See above</i>	<i>No</i>
356-G	Christopher Ruthe	<i>Identification and protection of natural character, restoration of natural character, Public access, protection of natural dunes</i>	Oppose Oppose Policies 4.3, 4.4, 4.5, 4.6 and 4.7.	8.8.1, 3.8.2, 3.8.3, 3.10.1 and 3.10.3	Reject	Do not consider that Policies 4.3, 4.5 and 4.6 restrict private property right or that Policies 4.3, 4.5 and 4.6 do not give adequate weight to community wellbeing or that they should be deleted.	No
<i>FS229 – Ken Moselen</i>			<i>Support – entire submission</i>		<i>Reject</i>	<i>See above</i>	<i>No</i>
358-C	Salima Padamsey	<i>Improvements to wording of provisions and simplification of maps, coastal</i>	Oppose Concern that many provisions of the PDP are confusing, poorly drafted and include gaps, errors and inconsistencies.	3.3	Accept in part	Provisions in Chapter 4 Coastal Environment have been subject to review and amendment throughout the preparation of this section 42A report, to address matters raised by	Yes

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
		<i>provisions in general</i>				the submitter.	
358-J		<i>Coastal provisions in general, protecting private property rights</i>	Oppose Oppose the highly restrictive policies applied to the submitter's land in section 4.1.1	3.8.3, 3.9.3	Accept in part	Section 4.1.1 is not inconsistent with the NZCPS or the RMA. Accept that 'accommodated' in Policy 4.6 be amended to 'addressed'.	Yes
358-L		<i>Protection of natural dunes</i>	Oppose Oppose Policies 4.6 and 4.7.	3.10.1 and 3.10.3	Reject	Policy 4.6 should not be deleted.	No
362-F	Gavin Bradley	<i>Private property rights</i>	Oppose Oppose section 4.1.1.		Reject	Section 4.1.1 does not restrict activities on private property.	No
362-G		<i>Protection of natural dunes</i>	Oppose Oppose Policies 4.6 and 4.7.	3.9.3, 3.10.1 and 3.10.3	Accept in part	Accept minor wording amendment to Policy 4.6 for clarity.	Yes
<i>FS229 – Ken Moselen</i>			<i>Support entire submission</i>		<i>Accept in part</i>	<i>See above</i>	Yes
374-F	26 Limited	<i>Public access</i>	Oppose Develop a path at the top of the seawall north of the Esplanade similar to the path to the south.	3.8.1 and 3.8.3	Reject	Those activities which may be undertaken to construct a walkway (earthworks)	No

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
						are already adequately controlled through provisions in the PDP.	
<i>FS229 – Ken Moselen</i>			<i>Support – entire submission</i>		<i>Reject</i>	<i>See above</i>	<i>No</i>
378-E	Coastal Ratepayers United (CRU)	<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Oppose section 4.1.1.	3.3	Reject	Section 4.1.1 contains policies that are necessary to give effect to the NZCPS.	No
378-F		<i>Restoration of natural character, Public access, protection of natural dunes, Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Oppose policies- 4.4: Policy 4.4 in that it implies removal of all coastal structures irrespective of their merits or value. 4.5: Policy 4.5 in that it could be seen to be setting the stage for managed retreat in southern areas which has not been justified and is not yet the subject of any policy decision by the Council. 4.6: Policy 4.6 in that it is not appropriate to accommodate natural shoreline movement in all cases. 4.7: Policy 4.7 in that it refers to shoreline retreat and is part of a suite of provisions in the PDP focussing on managed retreat, when neither the community nor the Council has found that to be an appropriate response. Dunes should not be enabled	3.8.2, 3.8.3, 3.9.1, 3.9.2 and 3.9.3	Accepted in part	Do not consider that Policies 4.3 and 4.5 restrict private property right or that policies 4.3 and 4.5 do not give adequate weight to community wellbeing. Accept that Policy 4.6 could benefit from some rewording for clarity.	Yes

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			to migrate inland where this will have unreasonable effects on existing communities and where there are other options available.				
378-1		<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Provisions based on an incorrect assessment and application of RMA and NZCPS. The provisions are contrary to the RMA and NZCPS.	3.3	Reject	The provisions of Chapter 4 Coastal Environment are considered to be consistent with the RMA and to give effect to the NZCPS.	No
378-2		<i>Improvement to wording of provisions and simplification of maps</i>	Oppose Some of the provisions of the PDP are invalid and many are confusing and poorly drafted including errors, gaps and inconsistencies. CRU also considers that the overall form and structure of the PDP is unwieldy and cumbersome and very difficult to comprehend and identify all provisions relevant to a site or location.	3.3	Accept in part	Provisions in Chapter 4 Coastal Environment have been subject to review and amendment throughout the preparation of this section 42A report, to address matters raised by the submitter.	Yes
<i>FS200 – Christopher Ruthe</i>			<i>Support entire submission</i>		<i>Accept in part</i>	<i>See above</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>FS206 – Shona Moller</i>			<i>Support entire submission</i>		<i>Accept in part</i>	<i>See above</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>FS207 – Bryce Moller</i>			<i>Support entire submission</i>		<i>Accept in part</i>	<i>See above</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>FS210 – Moxon Trustee Company Ltd</i>			<i>Support entire submission on coastal provisions.</i>		<i>Accept in part</i>	<i>See above</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>FS211 – Roger Quayle</i>			<i>Support entire submission on coastal provisions</i>		<i>Accept in part</i>	<i>See above</i>	<i>Yes</i>

<b>Sub. No.</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Topic</b>	<b>Decision Sought</b>	<b>Section of this Report</b>	<b>Officer's Recommendation</b>	<b>Officer's Reasons / Comments</b>	<b>Recommended Amendments to PDP?</b>
FS213	Ray Moon		Support entire submission		Accept in part	See above	Yes
FS214	Christopher Turver		Support entire submission		Accept in part	See above	Yes
FS215	Paul Ingram		Support entire submission		Accept in part	See above	Yes
FS216	Michael Mealings		Support entire submission on coastal provisions		Accept in part	See above	Yes
FS217	Stephen Barr		Support entire submission		Accept in part	See above	Yes
FS220	Sharif Family Trust		Support entire submission		Accept in part	See above	Yes
FS221	Paul & Grace Dunmore		Support entire submission		Accept in part	See above	Yes
FS222	Ian Baker		Support entire submission		Accept in part	See above	Yes
FS223	Gerald Parsonson		Support entire submission		Accept in part	See above	Yes
FS224	Jan Toohey		Support entire submission – seek whole of submission be allowed.		Accept in part	See above	Yes
FS225	Wendy Masters		Support entire submission of coastal provisions		Accept in part	See above	Yes
FS226	Michael Weir		Support entire submission		Accept in part	See above	Yes
FS229	Ken Moselen		Support – NZCPS and RMA sustainable management purposes		Accept in part	See above	Yes
FS230	Heath Paul and Peter Jones		Support - entire submission on coastal provisions		Accept in part	See above	Yes
FS231	Dean and Vendulka Tutton		Support – entire submission – whole submission be allowed		Accept in part	See above	Yes
FS232	Warwick and Wendy		Support entire submission		Accept in part	See above	Yes

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
<i>Gibson</i>							
	<i>FS233 – Shaun McCarthy</i>		<i>Support entire submission</i>		<i>Accept in part</i>	<i>See above</i>	<i>Yes</i>
	<i>FS236 – D.U.N.E</i>		<i>Support entire submission</i>		<i>Accept in part</i>	<i>See above</i>	<i>Yes</i>
	<i>FS237 – Steve and Elly Simpson</i>		<i>Support entire submission</i>		<i>Accept in part</i>	<i>See above</i>	<i>Yes</i>
	<i>FS238 – Klaas and Suzanne van Dalen</i>		<i>Support entire submission</i>		<i>Accept in part</i>	<i>See above</i>	<i>Yes</i>
	<i>FS240 – Andrew McIntyre</i>		<i>Support entire submission</i>		<i>Accept in part</i>	<i>See above</i>	<i>Yes</i>
	<i>FS241 – Lowell Manning</i>		<i>Oppose – Deletion of all or part of Chapter 4.</i>		<i>Accept in part</i>	<i>See above</i>	<i>Yes</i>
394-I	Anthony Reeve	<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Oppose section 4.1.1	3.3	Reject	Section 4.1.1 contains policies that are necessary to give effect to the NZCPS.	No
394-J		<i>Protection of natural dunes, Public access, Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Oppose Policies 4.4, 4.5, 4.6 and 4.7	3.8.1, 3.8.2, 3.8.3, 3.10.1 and 3.10.3	Reject	Policy 4.4 is necessary in order to give effect to the NZCPS. Do not consider that Policy 4.5 restricts private property right or that Policy 4.5 does not give adequate weight to community wellbeing.  Do not consider that Policy 4.6 should be deleted.	No

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
<i>FS229 – Ken Moselen</i>			<i>Support entire submission.</i>		<i>Reject</i>	<i>See above</i>	<i>No</i>
406-F	Paekakariki Community Board	<i>Coastal provisions in general, Public access</i>	Oppose Seek a new policy on maintaining existing public access (to the beach) in already developed areas; and that the consequences of all the proposed rules be test against this statement.	3.8.1, 3.8.2 and 3.8.3	Reject	The matter raised is relevant to the underlying zone rules and built form standards for the underlying zone.	No
406-I		<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Seek flexibility around building restrictions to allow for exceptions such as for the Paekakariki Surf Life Saving Club.	3.8.1, 3.8.2 and 3.8.3	Reject	The Paekakariki Surf Life Saving Club is located within the Open Space zone which contains rules which provide sufficient flexibility for their continued operation.	No
411-A	Land Matters Limited	<i>Identification and protection of natural character</i>	Oppose Oppose Policy 4.3 and 4.6	3.9.1, 3.9.2, 3.10.1 and 3.10.3	Reject	Policy 4.6 should not be deleted.	
411-B		<i>Restoration of natural character, protection of natural dune</i>	Support Support in part policies 4.4 and 4.6.	3.9.1 and 3.9.2	Accept in part	Minor amendment to wording of Policy 4.6 to improve clarity.	Yes

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
<i>FS241 – Lowell Manning</i>			<i>Oppose part of submission seeking to weaken various PDP provisions</i>		<i>Accept</i>	<i>See above</i>	Yes
429-1	Rangiuru by the Sea Neighbourhood Group	<i>Stream and river maintenance works at the coast</i>	Oppose No recognition of GWRC on-going management of Otaki River.	3.10.1 and 3.10.3	Reject	Do not consider that this is an issue as the PDP acknowledges river management options.	No
429-2		<i>Improvements to wording of provisions and simplification of maps</i>	Oppose The PDP is directed to experts, and the language in which it is written is often incomprehensible and contradictory in places. This makes it difficult for communities to inform themselves on the issues raised in the PDP and discourages formal responses from the neighbourhoods most impacted.	3.3	Accept in part	Provisions in Chapter 4 Coastal Environment have been subject to review and amendment throughout the preparation of this section 42A report, to address matters raised by the submitter.	Yes
429-3		<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Community engagement with the PDP is undertaken.		Accept	Community engagement has been an ongoing process since the PDP was notified.	No
<i>FS229 – Ken Moselen</i>			<i>Support entire submission</i>		<i>Accept</i>	<i>See above</i>	
430-C	Janet Lang	<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Oppose section 4.1.	3.3	Reject	Section 4.1 contains policies that are necessary to give effect to the	No



Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
						NZCPS.	
430-D		<i>Restoration of natural character, protection of natural dune</i>	Oppose Oppose policies 4.4 and 4.6	3.10.1 and 3.10.3	Reject	Do not consider that Policy 4.6 should be deleted.	No
<i>FS229 – Ken Moselen</i>			<i>Support entire submission</i>		<i>Reject</i>	<i>See above</i>	<i>No</i>
432-D	Stephen Lang	<i>Protection of natural dunes</i>	Oppose Oppose policies 4.6 and 4.7	3.9.1, 3.9.2, 3.9.3, 3.10.1 and 3.10.3	Reject	There is no need to define 'natural shoreline'.	No
432-F		<i>Protection of natural dune</i>	Amend PDP to restrict denudation of dunes by vehicles, and amend approach take to protect the dunes.	3.8.1, 3.8.2, 3.8.3, and 3.9.1	Accept	Insert new rule which allows appropriate structures which can manage dune access.	Yes
<i>FS229 – Ken Moselen</i>			<i>Support</i>		<i>Accept</i>	<i>See above</i>	<i>Yes</i>
434-A	Emma Harris	<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Oppose Chapter 4	3.3	Reject	The provisions of Chapter 4 Coastal Environment are necessary in order to ensure that the District	No

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
						Plan gives effect to the NZCPS.	
<i>FS229 – Ken Moselen</i>			<i>Support entire submission</i>		<i>Reject</i>	<i>See above</i>	<i>No</i>
440-A	Kapiti Coast District Council	<i>Protection of natural dune</i>	Support in part A mend explanation text under Policy 4.6, first paragraph, final sentence to add “and” after rural areas” and delete the words “and Te Horo”.		Reject	Explanations are being removed from the PDP.	No
<i>FS29 – Crozier Family Trust and Allin Family Trust</i>			<i>Oppose Policy 4.6 - disallow</i>		<i>Reject</i>	<i>Explanations are being removed from the PDP</i>	<i>No</i>
<i>FS113 – Suzanne Chetwin</i>			<i>Oppose Policy 4.6 - disallow</i>		<i>Reject</i>	<i>Explanations are being removed from the PDP</i>	<i>No</i>
<i>FS200 – Christopher Ruthe</i>			<i>Oppose Policy 4.6 - disallow</i>		<i>Reject</i>	<i>Explanations are being removed from the PDP</i>	<i>No</i>
<i>FS207 – Bryce Moller</i>			<i>Oppose – NZCPS and RMA have not been applied properly</i>		<i>Reject</i>	<i>Explanations are being removed from the PDP</i>	<i>No</i>
<i>FS210 – Moxton Trustee Company Limited</i>			<i>Oppose – NZCPS and RMA have not been applied properly</i>		<i>Reject</i>	<i>Explanations are being removed from the PDP</i>	<i>No</i>
<i>FS221 – Roger Quayle</i>			<i>Oppose – NZCPS and RMA have not been applied properly</i>		<i>Reject</i>	<i>Explanations are being removed from the PDP</i>	<i>No</i>
<i>FS213 – Ray Moyon</i>			<i>Oppose – NZCPS and RMA have not been applied properly</i>		<i>Reject</i>	<i>Explanations are being removed from the PDP</i>	<i>No</i>
<i>FS214 – Christopher Turver</i>			<i>Oppose – NZCPS and RMA have not been applied</i>		<i>Reject</i>	<i>Explanations are being</i>	<i>No</i>

<b>Sub. No.</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Topic</b>	<b>Decision Sought</b>	<b>Section of this Report</b>	<b>Officer's Recommendation</b>	<b>Officer's Reasons / Comments</b>	<b>Recommended Amendments to PDP?</b>
			<i>properly</i>			<i>removed from the PDP</i>	
	<i>FS215 – Paul Ingram</i>		<i>Oppose – NZCPS and RMA have not been applied properly</i>		<i>Reject</i>	<i>Explanations are being removed from the PDP</i>	<i>No</i>
	<i>FS216 – Michael Mealings</i>		<i>Oppose – NZCPS and RMA have not been applied properly</i>		<i>Reject</i>	<i>Explanations are being removed from the PDP</i>	<i>No</i>
	<i>FS217 – Stephen Barr</i>		<i>Oppose – NZCPS and RMA have not been applied properly</i>		<i>Reject</i>	<i>Explanations are being removed from the PDP</i>	<i>No</i>
	<i>FS220 – Shariff Family Trust</i>		<i>Oppose – NZCPS and RMA have not been applied properly</i>		<i>Reject</i>	<i>Explanations are being removed from the PDP</i>	<i>No</i>
	<i>FS221 – Paul and Grace Dunmore</i>		<i>Oppose – NZCPS and RMA have not been applied properly</i>		<i>Reject</i>	<i>Explanations are being removed from the PDP</i>	<i>No</i>
	<i>FS222 – Ian Baker</i>		<i>Oppose – NZCPS and RMA have not been applied properly</i>		<i>Reject</i>	<i>Explanations are being removed from the PDP</i>	<i>No</i>
	<i>FS223 – Gerald Parsonson</i>		<i>Oppose – NZCPS and RMA have not been applied properly</i>		<i>Reject</i>	<i>Explanations are being removed from the PDP</i>	<i>No</i>
	<i>FS225 – Wendy Masters</i>		<i>Oppose – NZCPS and RMA have not been applied properly</i>		<i>Reject</i>	<i>Explanations are being removed from the PDP</i>	<i>No</i>
	<i>FS226 – Michael Weir</i>		<i>Oppose – NZCPS and RMA have not been applied properly</i>		<i>Reject</i>	<i>Explanations are being removed from the PDP</i>	<i>No</i>
	<i>FS227 – Coastal Ratepayers United</i>		<i>Oppose Policy 4.6 - disallow</i>		<i>Reject</i>	<i>Explanations are being removed from the PDP</i>	<i>No</i>
	<i>FS229 – Ken Moselen</i>		<i>Oppose – NZCPS and RMA have not been applied</i>		<i>Reject</i>	<i>Explanations are being</i>	<i>No</i>

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<i>properly</i>			<i>removed from the PDP</i>	
	<i>FS230 – Heath Paul and Peter Jones</i>		<i>Oppose Policy 4.6 - disallow</i>		<i>Reject</i>	<i>Explanations are being removed from the PDP</i>	<i>No</i>
	<i>FS232 – Warwick and Wendy Gibson</i>		<i>Oppose – NZCPS and RMA have not been applied properly</i>		<i>Reject</i>	<i>Explanations are being removed from the PDP</i>	<i>No</i>
	<i>FS223 – Shaun McCarthy</i>		<i>Oppose – NZCPS and RMA have not been applied properly</i>		<i>Reject</i>	<i>Explanations are being removed from the PDP</i>	<i>No</i>
	<i>FS236 – D.U.N.E</i>		<i>Oppose – NZCPS and RMA have not been applied properly</i>		<i>Reject</i>	<i>Explanations are being removed from the PDP</i>	<i>No</i>
	<i>FS237 – Steve and Elly Simpson</i>		<i>Oppose – NZCPS and RMA have not been applied properly</i>		<i>Reject</i>	<i>Explanations are being removed from the PDP</i>	<i>No</i>
	<i>FS238 – Klaas and Suzanne van Dalen</i>		<i>Oppose – NZCPS and RMA have not been applied properly</i>		<i>Reject</i>	<i>Explanations are being removed from the PDP</i>	<i>No</i>
	<i>FS239 – Christopher Butler</i>		<i>Oppose entire submission – disallow in full</i>		<i>Reject</i>	<i>Explanations are being removed from the PDP</i>	<i>No</i>
	<i>FS241 – Lowell Manning</i>		<i>Support – Coastal hazards that seek to improve the clarity of provisions.</i>		<i>Reject</i>	<i>Explanations are being removed from the PDP</i>	<i>No</i>
441-A	Greater Wellington Regional Council	<i>Identification and protection of natural character,</i>	Support Policies 4.1, 4.2, 4.3, 4.4	3.6 and 3.7	Accept	Support for the policies is noted.	No

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
		<i>restoration of natural character, protection of natural dunes, Coastal provisions in general</i>					
441-B		<i>Coastal provisions in general, public access</i>	Support Amend Policy 4.5 to read "Subdivision and development in the coastal environment will maintain and enhance amenity values such as open space and scenic values, provide opportunities for recreation and the enjoyment of the coast, including the enjoyment of a high tide dry beach by the public. Public access to and along the coast will be maintained and enhanced, while minimising any significant adverse effects on the public's use and enjoyment of the coast".	3.8.1, 3.8.2 and 3.8.3	Accepted	Redrafting further clarifies Policy 4.5.	Yes
441-C		<i>Protection of natural dunes</i>	Support Amend Policy 4.6 explanation to reinforce why it is important to achieve a natural shoreline for coastal management purposes. Add to the end of the explanation "...are likely to be the only ways to	3.9.1, 3.9.3, 3.10.1 and 3.10.3	Reject	Explanations are being removed from the PDP.	No

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			achieve a natural functioning shoreline and dune system.”				
441-E		<i>Protection of natural dunes</i>	Support Amend Policy 4.7 explanation sentence two to read “Natural dunes can recover from this type of erosion and provide a buffer to development located behind the dunes.”	3.9.1 and 3.9.3	Reject	Explanations are being removed from the PDP.	No
441-L		<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Support Amend Rule 4A.2 to include spraying and control of exotic vegetation as a permitted activity.	3.3	Reject	Rule 4A.2 was removed as part of the coastal hazard provisions withdrawal.	No
<i>FS29 – Crozier Family Trust and Allin Family Trust</i>			<i>Oppose – all parts except for where submitters identify support - disallow</i>	3.3	<i>Reject</i>	<i>See response to submission re Rule 4A.2 above.</i>	<i>No</i>
<i>FS113 – Suzanne Chetwin</i>			<i>Oppose – Policies 4.4-4.7</i>	3.6 – 3.10	<i>Reject</i>	<i>Policies give effect to the NZCPS</i>	<i>No</i>
<i>FS128 – Kotuku Park Ltd</i>			<i>Oppose – Policies 4.1, 4.2, 4.3 and 4.4. Support Policy 4.7</i>	3.6 – 3.10	<i>Accept in part</i>	<i>Policies give effect to the NZCPS</i>	<i>No</i>
<i>FS200 – Christopher Ruthe</i>			<i>Oppose Policy 4.4-4.7 - disallow</i>	3.6 – 3.10	<i>Reject</i>	<i>Policies give effect to the NZCPS</i>	<i>No</i>
<i>FS207 – Bryce Moller</i>			<i>Oppose – NZCPS and RMA have not been applied properly</i>	3.6 – 3.10	<i>Reject</i>	<i>Policies are consistent with the NZCPS and the RMA</i>	<i>No</i>

<b>Sub. No.</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Topic</b>	<b>Decision Sought</b>	<b>Section of this Report</b>	<b>Officer's Recommendation</b>	<b>Officer's Reasons / Comments</b>	<b>Recommended Amendments to PDP?</b>
FS210	Moxton Trustee Company Limited		Oppose – NZCPS and RMA have not been applied properly	3.6 – 3.10	Reject	Policies are consistent with the NZCPS and the RMA	No
FS221	Roger Quayle		Oppose – NZCPS and RMA have not been applied properly	3.6 – 3.10	Reject	Policies are consistent with the NZCPS and the RMA	No
FS213	Ray Moyon		Oppose – NZCPS and RMA have not been applied properly	3.6 – 3.10	Reject	Policies are consistent with the NZCPS and the RMA	No
FS214	Christopher Turver		Oppose – NZCPS and RMA have not been applied properly	3.6 – 3.10	Reject	Policies are consistent with the NZCPS and the RMA	No
FS215	Paul Ingram		Oppose – NZCPS and RMA have not been applied properly	3.6 – 3.10	Reject	Policies are consistent with the NZCPS and the RMA	No
FS216	Michael Mealings		Oppose – NZCPS and RMA have not been applied properly	3.6 – 3.10	Reject	Policies are consistent with the NZCPS and the RMA	No
FS217	Stephen Barr		Oppose – NZCPS and RMA have not been applied properly	3.6 – 3.10	Reject	Policies are consistent with the NZCPS and the RMA	No
FS220	Shariff Family Trust		Oppose – NZCPS and RMA have not been applied properly	3.6 – 3.10	Reject	Policies are consistent with the NZCPS and the RMA	No

<b>Sub. No.</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Topic</b>	<b>Decision Sought</b>	<b>Section of this Report</b>	<b>Officer's Recommendation</b>	<b>Officer's Reasons / Comments</b>	<b>Recommended Amendments to PDP?</b>
						RMA	
FS221	Paul and Grace Dunmore		Oppose – NZCPS and RMA have not been applied properly	3.6 – 3.10	Reject	Policies are consistent with the NZCPS and the RMA	No
FS222	Ian Baker		Oppose – NZCPS and RMA have not been applied properly	3.6 – 3.10	Reject	Policies are consistent with the NZCPS and the RMA	No
FS223	Gerald Parsonson		Oppose – NZCPS and RMA have not been applied properly	3.6 – 3.10	Reject	Policies are consistent with the NZCPS and the RMA	No
FS225	Wendy Masters		Oppose – NZCPS and RMA have not been applied properly	3.6 – 3.10	Reject	Policies are consistent with the NZCPS and the RMA	No
FS226	Michael Weir		Oppose – NZCPS and RMA have not been applied properly	3.6 – 3.10	Reject	Policies are consistent with the NZCPS and the RMA	No
FS227	Coastal Ratepayers United		Oppose Policies 4.4- 4.6 - disallow	3.6 – 3.10	Reject	Policies are consistent with the NZCPS and the RMA	No
FS229	Ken Moselen		Oppose – NZCPS and RMA have not been applied properly	3.6 – 3.10	Reject	Policies are consistent with the NZCPS and the RMA	No
FS230	Heath Paul and Peter		Oppose Policies 4.4- 4.6 - disallow	3.6 –	Reject	Policies are consistent	No



<b>Sub. No.</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Topic</b>	<b>Decision Sought</b>	<b>Section of this Report</b>	<b>Officer's Recommendation</b>	<b>Officer's Reasons / Comments</b>	<b>Recommended Amendments to PDP?</b>
		<i>Jones</i>		3.10		<i>with the NZCPS and the RMA</i>	
	<i>FS232 – Warwick and Wendy Gibson</i>		<i>Oppose – NZCPS and RMA have not been applied properly</i>	3.6 – 3.10	<i>Reject</i>	<i>Policies are consistent with the NZCPS and the RMA</i>	<i>No</i>
	<i>FS223 – Shaun McCarthy</i>		<i>Oppose – NZCPS and RMA have not been applied properly</i>	3.6 – 3.10	<i>Reject</i>	<i>Policies are consistent with the NZCPS and the RMA</i>	<i>No</i>
	<i>FS236 – D.U.N.E</i>		<i>Oppose – NZCPS and RMA have not been applied properly</i>	3.6 – 3.10	<i>Reject</i>	<i>Policies are consistent with the NZCPS and the RMA</i>	<i>No</i>
	<i>FS237 – Steve and Elly Simpson</i>		<i>Oppose – NZCPS and RMA have not been applied properly</i>	3.6 – 3.10	<i>Reject</i>	<i>Policies are consistent with the NZCPS and the RMA</i>	<i>No</i>
	<i>FS238 – Klaas and Suzanne van Dalen</i>		<i>Oppose – NZCPS and RMA have not been applied properly</i>	3.6 – 3.10	<i>Reject</i>	<i>Policies are consistent with the NZCPS and the RMA</i>	<i>No</i>
	<i>FS239 – Christopher Butler</i>		<i>Oppose entire submission – disallow in full</i>		<i>Reject</i>	<i>See reasoning for accepting or rejecting principal submission points</i>	<i>Yes</i>
451-C	Joan Allin and Rob	<i>Protecting private</i>	Oppose Oppose any provisions in the Proposed District Plan	3.11	Accept in part	Clarification that activities not in areas of outstanding	Yes

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
	Crozier	<i>property rights</i>	which require property owners or occupiers in residential areas to rely on section 10 of the RMA (existing use rights) and do not specify the appropriate activities as permitted activities			natural character or areas of high natural character are provided for in the underlying zone is recommended.	
451-M4		<i>Stream and river maintenance works at the coast</i>	Oppose Amend the explanations to recognise the importance of earthworks at river and stream mouths, and in rivers and streams further inland from the mouths.	3.10	Reject	Explanations are being removed from the PDP.	No
451-K		<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Oppose introduction and section 4.1.	3.6	Accept in part	Amendments are recommended to clarify various parts of Chapter 4.	Yes
451-L		<i>Removal of coastal yard, Identification and protection of natural character, Protection of private property rights,</i>	Oppose Amend the introduction and section 4.1 to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- include reference and appropriate linkage to the Living environment Provisions including coastal yards for amenity.</li> <li>- Include reference to enabling appropriate activities including subdivision, development and landuse.</li> <li>- Provide an appropriate definition of "Areas of high natural character".</li> <li>- Revise inappropriate terminology and</li> </ul>	3.3 and 3.6	Accept in part	Reference to the Living Environment provisions will better integrate the plan. The existing approach to enabling activities in the coastal environment through the underlying zone provisions is appropriate. Clarification is	Yes

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
		<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	<p>provide accurate references, including to the NZCPS.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Refer to 'natural character of the coastal environment' instead of 'natural character in' and include reference to the second part of s6(e) – protection from inappropriate subdivision, use and development.</li> <li>- Remove the reference to 'development' in relation to priority areas for restoration and correct the references to priority areas for restoration.</li> </ul>			<p>recommended with respect to areas of high natural character, to confirm that they are the areas mapped and identified in the plan.</p> <p>Amendments to wording have been recommended for consistency with the NZCPS.</p> <p>Amendments have been recommended for consistency with section 6(e) of the RMA.</p> <p>Provisions for priority areas for restoration were removed in 2014.</p>	
<i>FS128 – Kotuku Park Ltd</i>			<i>Support – Amend Section 4.1</i>	<i>3.3 and 3.6</i>	<i>Accept in part</i>	<i>See above</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>FS200 – Christopher Ruthe</i>			<i>Support - Section 4.1 allow</i>	<i>3.3 and 3.6</i>	<i>Accept in part</i>	<i>See above</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>FS241 – Lowell Manning</i>			<i>Oppose – deletion of all or part of Chapter 4</i>	<i>3.3</i>	<i>Accept</i>	<i>Chapter 4 is to remain</i>	<i>Yes</i>
451-M		<i>Identification and</i>	<p>Oppose</p> <p>Delete Policy 4.2 or amend to clarify what is meant</p>	3.6	Accept	Natural coastal features should be removed from	Yes

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
		<i>protection of natural character</i>	by 'natural coastal features' and how that relates to 'significant natural coastal features and habitat' referred to in Policy 4.3, and to deal only with high natural character and omit priority areas for restoration.			the policy to aid clarity. Provisions for priority areas for restoration were removed in 2014.	
<i>FS128 – Kotuku Park Ltd</i>			<i>Support – Policy 4.2</i>	3.6	<i>Accept</i>	<i>See above</i>	Yes
<i>FS241 – Lowell Manning</i>			<i>Oppose – deletion of all or part of Chapter 4</i>	3.3	<i>Accept</i>	<i>Chapter 4 is to remain</i>	Yes
451-N		<i>Identification and protection of natural character,</i>	<p>Oppose</p> <p>Delete Policy 4.3 or amend to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Clarify what 'significant natural coastal features and habitat' means, that they are limited to areas that have been mapped, whether they include priority areas for restoration, and how they relate to 'natural coastal features; referred to in Policy 4.2.</li> <li>- Delete or qualify the references to structures in (c) and the explanation.</li> <li>- Either delete (e) or clarify the reference to 'new' activities and qualify the provisions.</li> <li>- Make the policy less extreme and more qualified and focussed.</li> <li>- Delete or qualify words such as 'avoiding' and 'preventing'.</li> <li>- Enable appropriate activities.</li> </ul>	3.6 and 3.8	Accept in part	<p>Significant natural coastal features and habitats should be removed from the policy to aid clarity. Policy 4.3(c) is considered to be clear as written. Amendments are recommended to clarify Policy 4.3(e). Amendments are recommended to the policy to qualify it appropriately. Inclusion of words such as 'avoiding' is consistent with the requirements of the NZCPS.</p>	Yes

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Revise the explanation by deleting the reference to structures being seen from the beach (or qualifying it), by qualifying the reference to long-term erosion occurring (by adding words such as 'in areas subject to long-term erosion), and by deleting the last sentence, with its reference to private land.</li> </ul>			<p>Amendments recommended to Policy 4.3(e) recognise that some activities in these areas may be appropriate.</p> <p>A plan-wide amendment is recommended to delete policy explanations</p>	
<i>FS128 – Kotuku Park Ltd</i>			<i>Support – Policy 4.3</i>	3.6	<i>Accept in part</i>	<i>See above</i>	Yes
<i>FS241 – Lowell Manning</i>			<i>Oppose – deletion of all or part of Chapter 4</i>	3.3	<i>Accept</i>	<i>Chapter 4 is to remain</i>	Yes
451-O		<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	<p>Oppose</p> <p>Amend rules in section 4 to allow appropriate structures as permitted activities (eg. Bollards).</p>	3.8.1, 3.8.2 and 3.8.3	Accept	Permitted activity rules for appropriate structures should be inserted into the PDP	Yes
451-P		<i>Restoration of natural character</i>	<p>Oppose</p> <p>Delete Policy 4.4 or amend to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Remove reference to development – make policy less extreme and more appropriately focussed and qualified.</li> <li>- Make appropriate reference to enabling activities in the coastal environment and not inappropriately restricting activities on private land.</li> <li>- Be based on the provisions for priority areas</li> </ul>	3.7	Accept in part	<p>Qualifications are recommended to the policy to make it consistent with the NZCPS and the RMA.</p> <p>Provisions for priority areas for restoration were removed in 2014.</p> <p>A plan-wide amendment is recommended to delete</p>	Yes

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<p>or restoration in the PDP including only appropriate land areas and being revised so they are not inappropriate, confusing and conflicting.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Make (e) and (f) less extreme in relation to structures.</li> <li>- Give effect to the NZCPS and the RMA.</li> <li>- Revise the explanation once the relevant provisions have been confirmed so the explanation is accurate.</li> </ul>			policy explanations	
<i>FS128 – Kotuku Park Ltd</i>			<i>Support – Policy 4.4</i>	3.7	<i>Accept in part</i>	<i>See above</i>	Yes
<i>FS241 – Lowell Manning</i>			<i>Oppose – deletion of all or part of Chapter 4</i>	3.3	<i>Accept</i>	<i>Chapter 4 is to remain</i>	Yes
451-Q		<i>Public Access</i>	<p>Oppose</p> <p>Delete Policy 4.5 or amend to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Be more focussed, less confusing and all-encompassing, and should not refer to 'development'.</li> <li>- Enable appropriate activities on private land and not unreasonably restrict such activities.</li> <li>- Change explanation to replace 'pedestrian' with 'public'.</li> </ul>	3.8.1, 3.8.2 and 3.8.3	Accept in Part	Accept where submitter seeks re-wording of Policy 4.5 for clarity and consistency with NZCPS, reject where submitter considers that Policy 4.5 restricts private property rights.	Yes
<i>FS128 – Kotuku Park Ltd</i>			<i>Support – Policy 4.5</i>	3.8	<i>Accept in Part</i>	<i>See above</i>	Yes
<i>FS241 – Lowell Manning</i>			<i>Oppose – deletion of all or part of Chapter 4</i>	3.3	<i>Accept in Part</i>	<i>See above</i>	Yes

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
451-R		<i>Protection of natural dune</i>	<p>Oppose</p> <p>Amend Policy 4.6 to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Retain the reference to the Kapiti Coast including large section of coast, including urban areas in Otaki, Te Horo, Peka Peka and Waikanae which have a natural dune system adjacent to the beach.</li> <li>- Address the concerns raised above, including that they be less extreme, include reference to appropriateness or some other qualification, and distinguish between areas where development has already occurred and other areas.</li> <li>- Delete the sentence 'in all areas the control of additional development in close proximity to the coast will ensure that natural shoreline movements can be accommodated'.</li> <li>- Ensure that there are permitted activities in PDP (not overridden by conflicting provisions) to permit mechanical excavation and river training works for stream and river mouths referred to in explanation.</li> </ul>	3.9.1, 3.9.2, 3.9.3, 3.10.1, 3.10.2 and 3.10.3	Accept in Part	<p>Accept minor wording amendments to Policy 4.6 to provide clarity and to provide for appropriate permitted activities.</p> <p>Reject wording amendments to explanations as they are being removed from the PDP.</p>	Yes
<i>FS128 – Kotuku Park Ltd</i>			<i>Support – Policy 4.6</i>	<i>3.9 and 3.10</i>	<i>Accept in Part</i>	<i>See above</i>	<i>Yes</i>

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
<i>FS241 – Lowell Manning</i>			<i>Oppose – deletion of all or part of Chapter 4</i>	3.3	<i>Accept</i>	<i>Chapter 4 is to remain</i>	Yes
451-S		<i>Identification and protection of natural character, Coastal provisions in general</i>	Add new policy to enable appropriate activities in the coastal environment and to deal with development setbacks (coastal yard requirements that should remain in place to retain the natural character of the beach, as well as to maintain amenity for beachfront property owners).	3.3	Reject	The Plan takes an appropriate approach to enabling activities in the coastal environment, relying on the underlying zone requirements.	No
<i>FS128 – Kotuku Park Ltd</i>			<i>Support – new policy to enable appropriate activities</i>	3.3	<i>Reject</i>	<i>See above</i>	<i>No</i>
<i>FS227 – Coastal Ratepayers United</i>			<i>Support – new policy to enable appropriate activities</i>	3.3	<i>Reject</i>	<i>See above</i>	<i>No</i>
<i>FS230 – Heather Paul and Peter Jones</i>			<i>Support – new policy to enable appropriate activities</i>	3.3	<i>Reject</i>	<i>See above</i>	<i>No</i>
451-T		<i>Protection of natural dunes</i>	Oppose Oppose Policy 4.7 – seek that the policy be qualified to enable appropriate activities and reconsidered once the policy about managed retreat has been decided.	3.9.1 and 3.9.3	Accept in Part	Accept to enable appropriate activities to occur as part of dune management.	Yes
451-M7		<i>Protecting private property rights, coastal</i>	Oppose Seek PDP provisions that take into account that Te Horo Beach is part of an accreting coast, with a sand/gravel beach, substantial gravel dunes between the sea and beachfront property	3.9.1 and 3.9.3	Reject	It is unnecessary to separate out Te Horo Beach and its natural beach and dune processes.	No



Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
		<i>provisions in general</i>	boundaries, no road or services at risk, no erosion hazards, no coastal protection structures or need for any, and no risk to people or property.				
451-1		<i>Improvements to wording of provisions and simplification of maps</i>	Oppose Amend term 'development'	3.3	Accept in part	References to development throughout Chapter 4 have been reviewed for appropriateness.	Yes
451-3		<i>Stream and river maintenance works at the coast</i>	Oppose Clearing of rivers and streams a permitted activity, notwithstanding rules in other chapters.	3.10.1 and 3.10.3	Reject	Activities are provided for as appropriate.	No
458-B	Waitohu Stream Care Group	<i>Coastal provisions in general, protecting private property rights</i>	Oppose Support the recommendations made by the North Otaki Beach Residents Group and the remedies sought by that group.			See recommendations in relation to that submission	
465-D	Graham Owles	<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Seek that Council investigate options for Coastal protection.	3.12	Reject	Not within the scope of provisions of the Plan.	No

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
470-1	Gary Phillips	<i>Public access</i>	Oppose Construct a timber walkway along beach from QEII Park to Paraparaumu.	3.8.1 and 3.8.3	Reject	Those activities which may be undertaken to construct a walkway (earthworks) are already adequately controlled through provisions in the PDP.	No
<i>FS229 – Ken Moselen</i>			<i>Support entire submission</i>		<i>Reject</i>	<i>See above</i>	<i>No</i>
475-G	26 Ltd	<i>Public access</i>	Develop a path at the top of the sea wall north of The Esplanade similar to the path to the south.	3.8.1 and 3.8.3	Reject	Those activities which may be undertaken to construct a walkway (earthworks) are already adequately controlled through provisions in the PDP.	No
477-A	Kapiti-Mana Forest and Bird	<i>Identification and protection of natural character, restoration of natural character, protection of natural dunes,</i>	Support Strongly support policies in the chapters on the Natural Environment, the Rural Environment and the Coastal Environment, which all stress the need to take account of biodiversity when resource consent decisions are made.	3.9.1 and 3.9.2	Accept	Natural dune function and biodiversity is provided for in the PDP.	No

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
		<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>					
FS229 – Ken Moselen			<i>Oppose entire submission</i>	3.9	<i>Reject</i>	<i>Inclusion of provisions in relation to biodiversity is consistent with the Council's functions under the RMA.</i>	<i>No</i>
479-D	Richard Bull	<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Oppose all of chapter 4 and amend section 4.1 general policies and Policies 4.1b, 4.2, 4.3 and 4.6	3.8.1, 3.8.3, 3.10.1 and 3.10.3	Accept in Part	Accept where submitter seeks re-wording of Policy 4.3(b) for clarity and consistency with NZCPS, reject where submitter considers that Policy 4.3(b) is inconsistent The NZCPS, RMA and that Policy 4.6 should be deleted.	Yes
485-A	Frank and Vicki Boffa	<i>Identification and protection of natural character</i>	The Coastal Environment should be defined as the immediate coastal edge or margin.	3.4	Accept	Following further technical work, the extent of the coastal environment is recommended to be redefined.	Yes
485-		<i>Coastal</i>	Provisions should be clearer with respect to the	3.3	Accept	Clarification that activities	Yes

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
B		<i>Provisions in General</i>	purpose and provisions of what is permitted, or controlled within the coastal environment.			not in areas of outstanding natural character or areas of high natural character are provided for in the underlying zones is recommended.	
485-C		<i>Identification of the coastal environment</i>	The definition and delineation of the coastal environment as expressed in the NZCPS and RMA s6 should be more confined and restrictive in planning and development terms, the wider coastal environment definition being the entire coastal plain where more flexibility in planning and sustainable development is permitted and indeed encouraged.	3.4	Accept	Following further technical work, the extent of the coastal environment is recommended to be redefined.	Yes
485-D		<i>Areas of outstanding natural character</i>	Kapiti Island and associated Islands be considered to have 'Outstanding natural character' rather than 'high natural character'.	3.5	Accept	Following further technical work, the majority of Kapiti Island and its associated islands has been classified as an area of outstanding natural character.	Yes
485-5		<i>Areas of outstanding natural character</i>	The entire coastal margin, within the District, being the inter-tidal area up to and including the unmodified fore-dune and secondary dune landforms (incorporating the Otaki and Waikanae River mouths) that have not been developed for	3.5	Accept in part	Following further technical work, the Waikanae River mouth has been classified as an area of outstanding natural character.	Yes

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			residential or commercial purposes, should be classified as an Outstanding Natural Feature and Landscape in the Proposed Plan in terms of Section 6 (b) of the RMA.				
485-E		<i>Identification and protection of natural character</i>	Chapter 4 is amended to include the importance of seascapes and have them identified as a consideration of outstanding natural character/feature. The seascape should extend 12 nautical miles from the coast.	3.5	Reject	The seascape out to 12 nautical miles does not fall within the jurisdiction of the Council.	No
485-1		<i>Improvements to wording of provisions and simplification of maps.</i>	Oppose PDP is complex, overly prescriptive and difficult to follow. The maps are extremely difficult to read and contain far too much information - some of which is unnecessary.	3.3	Accept in part	Various amendments are recommended to improve the clarity of the Plan, including Chapter 4 Coastal Environment.	Yes
486-A	Sue Smith and Chris Mitchell	<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Delete Policies 4.3, 4.4, 4.6, 4.7 and 4.8 to 4.15 relating to CHMA.	3.10.1 and 3.10.3	Reject	Policy 4.6 should not be deleted.	No
486-B		<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Delete all rules in Section 4.	3.3	Reject	The rules in section 4 are necessary to give effect to the NZCPS.	
508-C	Nigel Wilson	<i>Coastal provisions in</i>	Oppose Remove chapter 4 from the PDP.	3.3	Reject	Chapter 4 Coastal Environment is necessary to give effect to the	No

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
		<i>general</i>				NZCPS.	
514-E	Anthony Kebbell	<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Seek that governance be considerate and inclusive with affected owners.	3.3	Accept	The submitter's concerns have been noted.	No
523-A	Margaret Comber	<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Oppose Chapter 4.	3.3	Reject	Chapter 4 Coastal Environment is necessary to give effect to the NZCPS.	No
524-A	Geoffrey Comber	<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Oppose Chapter 4.	3.3	Reject	Chapter 4 Coastal Environment is necessary to give effect to the NZCPS.	No
525-A	The Comber Family Trust	<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Oppose Chapter 4.	3.3	Reject	Chapter 4 Coastal Environment is necessary to give effect to the NZCPS.	No
530-A	Gilbert Comber	<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Oppose Chapter 4.	3.3	Reject	Chapter 4 Coastal Environment is necessary to give effect to the NZCPS.	No
534-2	Simon van den Berg	<i>Public access, Coastal</i>	Oppose Council states that they do not consider the esplanade/ reserve/ open space zones as a	3.8.2 and 3.8.3	Reject	PDP recognises these as community assets.	No

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
		<i>provisions in general</i>	community asses, yet this is at odds with NZCPS.				
536-A	Peter Arthur Sear	<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Oppose chapter 4.	3.3	Reject	Chapter 4 Coastal Environment is necessary to give effect to the NZCPS.	No
536-H		<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Withdraw the Proposed District Plan and treat it as a consultative document.	3.3	Reject	Chapter 4 Coastal Environment is necessary to give effect to the NZCPS.	No
537-G	David Small	<i>Protecting private property rights</i>	Oppose Seek assurance the council seawall will be maintained now and into the future	3.12	Reject	Not within the scope of provisions of the Plan.	No
537-H		<i>Protecting private property rights, Tsunami and other hazards</i>	Oppose Seek assurance the council will protect property owners in the unlikely event of global warming affecting the coastline	3.12	Reject	Not within the scope of provisions of the Plan.	No
545-C	Hilary Peterson Family	<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Oppose sections 4.1.1 and 4.2	3.8.3	Reject	Section 4.1.1 is not inconsistent with the NZCPS or RMA and is not	No

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
	Trust					too restrictive.	
545-D		<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Oppose Policies 4.4, 4.5, 4.6 and 4.7.	3.8.1, 3.8.2 and 3.8.3	Reject	Policies do give adequate weight to community wellbeing and do not unnecessarily restrict private property rights.	
545-M		<i>Public access</i>	Oppose Oppose a walkway on beach frontage between 23 Field Way and the Waimeha Stream	3.8.1, 3.8.2 and 3.8.3	Reject	Out of scope of PDP.	No
545-1		<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Provisions are contrary to NZCPS.	3.3	Accept in Part	Provisions are not contrary to NZCPS, however some policies could benefit from minor amendment to align better with the NZCPS.	Yes
568-A	Ross Boyd	<i>Identification and protection of natural character, Protection of natural dune, public access</i>	Support Support policies 4.3, 4.5 and 4.6	3.8.1, 3.10.1 and 3.10.3	Accept	Submitter seeks to support various submissions which have been accepted	No
568-		<i>Coastal</i>	Support	3.3	Accept	The submitter's support is	No



Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
C		<i>provisions in general</i>	Support provisions in section 4.1.			noted.	
571-A	Susan Stapleton	<i>Stream and river maintenance at the coast, tsunami and other hazards, coastal provisions in general</i>	Concern coastal protection works have been carried out without land owners' consent.	3.12	Reject	Not within the scope of provisions of the Plan.	No
571-1		<i>Stream and river maintenance at the coast, tsunami and other hazards, Protecting private property rights, coastal provisions in</i>	Oppose Amend proposed plan to make provision for Council to undertake protective works on the land, and to acquire this land first.	3.12	Reject	Not within the scope of provisions of the Plan.	No

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
		<i>general</i>					
577-G	Chris Turver	<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Oppose chapter 4.1 and 4.2 of the Proposed District Plan.	3.8.3	Reject	Section 4.1 is not inconsistent with the NZCPS.	No
579-1	Jo Maddren	<i>Improvements of wording of provisions and simplification of maps</i>	Oppose PDP is complex and extremely long with inconsistent content.	3.3	Accept in part	Various amendments are recommended to improve the clarity of the Plan, including Chapter 4 Coastal Environment.	Yes
581-2	Norm Antcliff	<i>Improvements to wording of provisions and simplification of maps</i>	Oppose PDP is complex, repetitive, full of jargon and difficult to understand.	3.3	Accept in part	Various amendments are recommended to improve the clarity of the Plan, including Chapter 4 Coastal Environment.	Yes
582-D	Suzanne Chetwin	<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Seek inclusion of objectives, policies and rules to enable appropriate activities, including building development, in the coastal environment, with express reference to this in objectives and policies.	3.3	Accept in Part	Amendments have been made to policies for appropriate activities to occur in Natural Character Areas. Any areas which are not outstanding or areas of high natural characters will be subject	Yes

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
						to underlying zone rules.	
582-E		<i>Removal of coastal yard</i>	Oppose Seek inclusion of 7.5m coastal yard requirements in the Te Horo Beach Residential zone for amenity purposes, as referred to in Policy 4.6, this should be explicitly included.	3.13	Accept	Refer to Living Environment section 42A report.	Yes
582-J		<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Seek relief sought in the submission of Rob Crozier and Joan Allin - Submitter 451			See recommendations in relation to that submission	
582-2		<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Does not comply with RMA or NZCPS	3.3	Accept in Part	It is considered that the provisions comply with the RMA and NZCPS, however could benefit from minor amendments to further align with the NZCPS.	Yes
582-3		<i>Protection of natural dune</i>	Support in part Support the recognition in Policy 4.6 of the large sections of the coast that have natural dunes systems adjacent to the beach.	3.9	Accept	Support noted.	No
583-A	Marian Cox	<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Oppose Chapter 4 in its entirety.	3.3	Reject	It is not appropriate to delete Chapter 4.	No

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
583-B		<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Delete Chapter 4 including rules in 4A.	3.3	Reject	It is not appropriate to delete Chapter 4.	No
586-D	Frank and Catherine Wafer	<i>Removal of coastal yard</i>	Oppose Seek inclusion of the 7.5m coastal yard requirements in the Te Horo Beach Residential zone for amenity purposes.	3.13	Accept	Refer to Living Environment section 42A report.	Yes
586-J		<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Seek the relief sought by Joan Allin and Rob Crozier (#451)			See recommendations in relation to that submission	
586-M		<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Delete reference to coastal yard setbacks as they relate to amenity issues and not natural processes.	3.3	Reject	Explanations are being removed from the PDP.	No
586-N		<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Support in Part Support the statement in Policy 4.6 in relation to Te Horo Beach Residential Area	3.3	Accept	Support noted	No
586-1		<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Provisions contrary to RMA and NZCPS.	3.3	Accept in part	It is considered that the provisions comply with the RMA and NZCPS, however could benefit from minor amendments to further align with the NZCPS.	Yes

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
<i>FS229 – Ken Moselen</i>			<i>Support</i>		<i>Accept in Part</i>	<i>See above</i>	
589-B	Amuri Partnership	<i>Protection of natural dunes, Stream and river maintenance works at the coast</i>	Oppose Remove (a) dune management, (b) inlet management and (c) engineering measures from Policy 4.3 and 4.6.	3.10.1 and 3.10.3	Reject	Engineering measures are provided for by Policy 4.6.	No
589-F		<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Delete Chapter 4 from the Plan.	3.3	Reject	It is not appropriate to delete Chapter 4.	No
589-H		<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Seek that revised district plan has consistency with the RPS and Regional Plan.	3.3	Accept in part	Proposed amendments further align provisions with the RPS and Regional Plan.	Yes
589-1		<i>Public access, coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Council to commit to maintaining existing community assets.	3.8.1, 3.8.2 and 3.8.3	Reject	Consider that the proposed policy framework will provide guidance on when, where, if and how any upgrading or maintenance of community assets should occur	No

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
589-2		<i>Improvements to wording of provisions and simplification of maps</i>	Oppose Modify policies that require clarity and guidance to efficient assessment for applications.	3.3	Accept in part	Various amendments are recommended to improve the clarity of the Plan, including Chapter 4 Coastal Environment.	Yes
589-3		<i>Improvements to wording of provisions and simplification of maps</i>	Oppose Amend PDP for overall improvements.	3.3	Accept	A number of amendments are proposed to improve consistency with higher order documents, readability and cross referencing within the PDP.	Yes
590-C	P and L Wood	<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Seek relief and support submission of Rob Crozier and Joan Allin, submitter 451.			See recommendations in relation to that submission	
590-D		<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Seek inclusion of objectives, policies and rules to enable appropriate activities in the coastal environment.	3.3	Accept in part	Clarification that activities not in areas of outstanding natural character or areas of high natural character are provided for in the underlying zones is recommended.	Yes
590-		<i>Coastal</i>	Oppose	3.3	Reject	Chapter 4 is consistent	No

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
1		<i>provisions in general</i>	Provisions contrary to RMA and NZCPS.			with the RMA and the NZCPS.	
590-2		<i>Restoration of natural dunes, Stream and river maintenance works at the coast</i>	Support in part Support the recognition in Policy 4.6 of the large sections of the coast that have natural dunes systems adjacent to the beach.	3.9	Accept	Support noted	No
594-A	Paekakariki Surf Lifeguards Inc	<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Support Support Coastal environment protection strategies.	3.3	Accept	The submitter's support is noted	No
594-B		<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Support in part Amend policies and rules within chapter 4 to exclude restrictions on Water Safety organisation such as Surf Lifesaving Clubs and Coastguards.	3.8.1, 3.8.2 and 3.8.3	Reject	The Paekakariki Surf Life Saving Club is located within the Open Space zone which contains rules which provide sufficient flexibility for their continued operation.	No
595-C	DUNE	<i>Protection of natural dunes</i>	Oppose Oppose policies 4.6 and 4.7	3.9.3, 3.10.1 and	Accept in Part	Accept minor amendment to the wording of Policy 4.6 for clarity.	Yes

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
				3.10.3			
595-D		<i>Protection of natural dunes, Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Oppose policies 4.4-4.7	3.9.3, 3.10.1 and 3.10.3	Accept in Part	Accept minor amendment to the wording of Policy 4.6 for clarity.	Yes
595-K		<i>Protection of natural dunes</i>	Seek KCDC leadership in total dune restoration on appropriate beaches and that this is included in the PDP.	3.9.3	Accept	Policy 4.7 has been amended to enable dune restoration.	Yes
597-H	Steve and Emily Simpson	<i>Protection of natural dune</i>	Oppose Amend Proposed District Plan to include accretion and increase dune restoration activity.	3.9.1, 3.9.2 and 3.9.3	Accept in Part	Policy 4.7 has been amended to enable dune restoration.	Yes
598-E	Grant and Pamela Boyd	<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Retention of the existing provisions of the Operative District Plan pending reviews.	3.3	Reject	The provisions of the PDP are considered to be more appropriate than those of the Operative District Plan.	No
600-B	Noel and Joanna Todd	<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Oppose all objectives, policies and rules contained in Chapter 4 of Proposed District Plan and associated provisions in the rest of the Proposed District Plan.	3.3	Reject	Chapter 4 is consistent with the RMA and the NZCPS.	No



Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
600-C		<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Remove Chapters 2, 4 and 9 from the Proposed District Plan.	3.3	Reject	It is not appropriate to remove Chapters 2, 4 and 9 from the PDP.	No
600-H		<i>Protection of natural dune</i>	Oppose Oppose Policies 4.6 and 4.7	3.10.1 and 3.10.3	Reject	Policy 4.6 should not be deleted.	No
601-A	Geoff Monk and Margarete Treacher	<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Support submission by North Otaki Beach Residents Association Inc and seek same relief.	3.9.1, 3.8.2, 3.8.3, 3.9.1, 3.9.2, 3.9.3, 3.10.1, 3.10.2 and 3.10.3	Accept in Part	It is considered that Policy 4.6 already acknowledges existing inlet management practices. Generally, the dune systems which are being protected are located within open space and/ non-developable land. Explanations are being removed from the PDP. Explanations are being removed.	No
602-1	Peter van der Sman	<i>Stream and river maintenance works at the coast</i>	Oppose Seek that the management of the Waitohu inlet continues.	3.10.1 and 3.10.2	Reject	The PDP enables these activities.	No

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
603-1	Angela van der Sman	<i>Stream and river maintenance works at the coast</i>	Oppose Seek that the management of the Waitohu inlet continues.	3.10.1 and 3.10.2	Reject	The PDP enables these activities.	No
604-1	Stuart and Mary Pritchard	<i>Stream and river maintenance works at the coast</i>	Oppose Seek that the management of the Waitohu inlet continues.	3.10.1 and 3.10.2	Reject	The PDP enables these activities.	No
605-B	Philip and Dorothy Tortell	<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Delete Chapter 4 from the Proposed District Plan.	3.3	Reject	It is not appropriate to delete Chapter 4 from the PDP.	No
605-C		<i>Restoration of natural character, protection of natural dune</i>	Oppose Delete Policies 4.4, 4.6 and 4.7	3.9.1, 3.9.3, 3.10.1 and 3.10.3	Reject	Policy 4.6 and 4.7 should not be deleted.	No
605-D		<i>Public access</i>	Support Support Policy 4.5.	3.8.1 and 3.8.2	Accept in Part	Acknowledge that public access structures can affect amenity, however consider that Policy 4.5 provides adequate protection.	No

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
605-P		<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Delete all rules in the Rules and Standards Table (General) and replace with new rules arising from new or amended policies.	3.3	Reject	Rules relating to areas of outstanding natural character and areas of high natural character are necessary in order for the PDP to give effect to the NZCPS.	Yes
609-A	Libby Hakaraia	<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Seek relief of North Otaki Beach Residents Association (NOBRG)			See recommendations in relation to that submission	
617-I	Stewart and Catherine Fraser	<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Seek relief of the submission by Rob Crozier and Joan Allin (#451) including where they seek relief in more detail than or in addition to, this submission.			See recommendations in relation to that submission	
617-1		<i>Removal of coastal yard</i>	Oppose Seek inclusion of 7.5m coastal yard.	3.13	Accept	Refer to Living Environment section 42A report.	Yes
618-C	David and Helen Walshaw	<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Seek relief of the submission by Rob Crozier and Joan Allin (#451) including where they seek relief in more detail than or in addition to, this submission.			See recommendations in relation to that submission	
618-E		<i>Protection of natural dune</i>	Support in part Support Policy 4.6 in recognition of the natural	3.9	Accept	Support noted	No

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			dunes systems in Te Horo.				
618-1		<i>Removal of coastal yard</i>	Oppose Seek inclusion of 7.5m coastal yard.	3.13	Accept	Refer to Living Environment section 42A report.	Yes
619-H	Jessica Moller	<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose all policies in Section 4.1.1 including 4.6 and 4.7	3.8.3, 3.9.3, 3.10.1 and 3.10.3	Accept in Part	Provide for minor amendments to the wording of Policy 4.6 for clarity.	Yes
620-A	Susan DeDera	<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Oppose all objectives and policies contained in Chapter 4 of Proposed District Plan and associated provisions in the rest of the Proposed District Plan.	3.3	Reject	Chapter 4 is consistent with the RMA and the NZCPS.	No
622-G	Shona Moller	<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Oppose all policies in 4.1.1 particularly policies 4.6 & 4.7	3.8.3, 3.9.3, 3.10.1 and 3.10.3	Accept in part	Provide for minor amendments to the wording of Policy 4.6 for clarity.	Yes
624-E	Poole Family Trust	<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Oppose Policies 3.22 and 3.23 and all of chapter 4 and chapter 9, relevant definitions and maps.	3.3	Reject	Chapter 4 is consistent with the RMA and the NZCPS.	No
633-B	Colleen M Kelly	<i>Coastal provisions in</i>	Oppose Oppose all of Chapter 4.	3.3	Reject	Chapter 4 is consistent with the RMA and the	No

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
		<i>general</i>				NZCPS.	
637-A	Susan Jane Baird	<i>Identification and protection of natural character</i>	Oppose Support the Submission of Rob Crozier and Joan Allin (#451)			See recommendations in relation to that submission	
637-B		<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Coastal Environment chapter.	3.3	Reject	Chapter 4 is consistent with the RMA and the NZCPS.	No
637-G		<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Seek relief of the submission by Rob Crozier and Joan Allin (#451) including where they seek relief in more detail than or in addition to, this submission.			See recommendations in relation to that submission	
637-J		<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Seek the inclusion of objectives, policies and rules to enable appropriate activities, including buildings and development, in the coastal environment, with express reference to this in objectives and policies.	3.3	Accept in part	Clarification that activities not in areas of outstanding natural character or areas of high natural character are provided for in the underlying zones is recommended.	Yes
637-K		<i>Removal of coastal yard</i>	Oppose Retain the 7.5m coastal yard in the Te Horo Beach residential zone	3.13	Accept	Refer to Living Environment section 42A report.	Yes

<b>Sub. No.</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Topic</b>	<b>Decision Sought</b>	<b>Section of this Report</b>	<b>Officer's Recommendation</b>	<b>Officer's Reasons / Comments</b>	<b>Recommended Amendments to PDP?</b>
638-A	Patrick Murray	<i>Identification and protection of natural character</i>	Oppose Support the Submission of Rob Crozier and Joan Allin (#451)			See recommendations in relation to that submission	
638-B		<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Coastal Environment chapter.	3.3	Reject	Chapter 4 is consistent with the RMA and the NZCPS.	No
638-G		<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Seek relief of the submission by Rob Crozier and Joan Allin (#451) including where they seek relief in more detail than or in addition to, this submission.			See recommendations in relation to that submission	
638-K		<i>Removal of coastal yard</i>	Oppose Retain the 7.5m coastal yard in the Te Horo Beach residential zone	3.13	Accept	Refer to Living Environment section 42A report.	Yes
641-A	Barbara and Murray Scott	<i>Identification and protection of natural character</i>	Oppose Support the Submission of Rob Crozier and Joan Allin (#451)			See recommendations in relation to that submission	
641-B		<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Coastal Environment chapter.	3.3	Reject	Chapter 4 is consistent with the RMA and the NZCPS.	No

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
641-G		<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Support the Submission of Rob Crozier and Joan Allin (#451)			See recommendations in relation to that submission	
641-K		<i>Removal of coastal yard</i>	Oppose Retain the 7.5m coastal yard in the Te Horo Beach residential zone	3.13	Accept	Refer to Living Environment section 42A report.	Yes
644-F	Esther Bullen	<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Seek addition of a Policy to provide for engineering measures that will maintain and develop The Parade (Paekakariki) therefore protecting the residential area running behind this road.	3.12	Reject	Not within the scope of the District Plan.	No
645-D	Jens Andreas	<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Seek addition of a Policy to provide for engineering measures that will maintain and develop The Parade (Paekakariki) therefore protecting the residential area running behind this road.	3.12	Reject	Not within the scope of the District Plan.	No
646-D	Anthony Good	<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Oppose all Policies in Section 4.1.1 and in particular policies 4.6 and 4.7 relating to shoreline retreat.	3.8.3, 3.9.3, 3.10.1 and 3.10.3	Accept in Part	Provide for minor amendments to the wording of Policy 4.6 for clarity.	Yes
647-D	Zoe Moller	<i>Coastal provisions in</i>	Oppose Oppose all Policies in Section 4.1.1 and in particular	3.8.3, 3.9.3,	Accept in Part	Provide for minor amendments to the	Yes

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
		<i>general</i>	policies 4.6 and 4.7 relating to shoreline retreat.	3.10.1 and 3.10.3		wording of Policy 4.6 for clarity.	
649-B	Rod Cavaye	<i>Coastal provisions</i>	Oppose Amend Objectives of the Regional Coastal Plan 4.1.8 to read "Public access along and within the Coastal Marine Area is maintained and enhanced and that Marine Parade in particular which is an essential arterial transport route will have the 50 year Managed Retreat line located on the seaward side of this road."	3.12	Reject	Not within the scope of the District Plan.	No
651-G	Heather Paul and Peter Jones	<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Seek removal of KCDC car parking area and boat landing ramp on south side of Wharemauku Stream, adjacent to Raumati Swimming Pool, and replace with sacrificial dune above high tide level or with a seawall that absorbs rather than deflects wave energy.	3.12	Reject	Not within the scope of the District Plan.	No
653-A	Quentin Poole	<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Oppose Chapter 4, and delete it in its entirety, from the Proposed District Plan.	3.3	Reject	It is inappropriate to delete Chapter 4.	No
653-B		<i>Restoration of natural character,</i>	Oppose Delete Policies 4.4 and 4.6	3.10.1 and 3.10.3	Reject	Do not consider that policies 4.4 or 4.6 should be deleted.	No



Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
		<i>protection of natural dune</i>					
653-M		<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Delete all Rules included in the Rules and Standards Table (general) and replace with new Rules arising from new or amended Policies.	3.3	Reject	Rules relating to areas of outstanding natural character and areas of high natural character are necessary in order for the PDP to give effect to the NZCPS.	No
653-U		<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Seek that Council make an explicit attempt to provide for the wellbeing and safety of people and communities who live on the coast.	3.3	Reject	The provisions achieve the purpose of the RMA.	No
653-1		<i>Identification of the coastal environment</i>	Oppose Recognise through policy that private residential properties are located within the coastal strip giving Kapiti District its distinctive character.	3.4	Reject	Policy 4.1 defines the matters that relate to the coastal environment. Further technical work has resulted in the extent of the coastal environment being reduced.	No
<i>FS229 – Ken Moselen</i>			<i>Support entire submission</i>	<i>3.4</i>	<i>Reject</i>	<i>See above</i>	<i>No</i>
657-A	R K Gibbons	<i>Identification and protection of</i>	Oppose Oppose Policy 4.3 c, d, e and f and any associated	3.6	Reject	Policy 4.3 is necessary in order to give effect to the requirements of the	No

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
		<i>natural character, Coastal provisions in general</i>	policies.			NZCPS	
659-G	West Family Trust	<i>Tsunami and other hazards</i>	Oppose Seek the removal of the stormwater outfalls from the beach to reduce storm surge damage.		Reject	Not within the scope of the District Plan	No
661-M	Grant Burston	<i>Identification and protection of natural character</i>	Oppose Delete protection measures (a) and (f) from Policy 4.3	3.6	Reject	Natural dune functioning plays an important role in the coastal environment.	No
664-A	Ian Sheen	<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Oppose all of Chapters 4 and 9 and relevant definitions.	3.3	Reject	Rules relating to areas of outstanding natural character and areas of high natural character are necessary in order for the PDP to give effect to the NZCPS.	No
664-E		<i>Improvements to wording of provisions and</i>	Oppose Seek provisions be revised to use clear and consistent language, appropriate provisions are included, default rules are appropriate and all	3.3	Accept	A number of amendments are proposed to improve consistency with higher order documents,	Yes

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
		<i>simplification of maps</i>	provisions are legally valid.			readability and cross referencing within the PDP.	
664-F		<i>Protecting private property rights, coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Seek that the rules do not force property owners or occupiers to rely on section 10 of the RMA unless the use is clearly inappropriate.	3.3	Accept in part	Clarification that activities not in areas of outstanding natural character or areas of high natural character are provided for in the underlying zones is recommended.	Yes
664-G		<i>Improvements to wording of provisions and simplification of maps</i>	Oppose Seek cross referencing be used and maps simplified.	3.3	Accept in part	Appropriate cross-references to other sections of the District Plan have been recommended.	Yes
664-1		<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Provisions do not give effect to NZCPS or RPS.	3.3	Reject	Rules relating to areas of outstanding natural character and areas of high natural character are necessary in order for the PDP to give effect to the NZCPS.	No
664-		<i>Improvement</i>	Oppose	3.3	Accept in part	Chapter 4 has been	Yes

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
2		<i>s to wording of provisions and simplification of maps</i>	Definitions are not applied consistently or appropriately.			reviewed to ensure that definitions are being used consistently and appropriately	
664-4		<i>Improvements to wording of provisions and simplification of maps</i>	Oppose Seek that cross references are used to improve usability.	3.3	Accept in part	Appropriate cross-references to other sections of the District Plan have been recommended.	Yes
669-H	Michael Williams	<i>Protecting private property rights, Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Seek commitment from Kapiti Coast District Council to lead community in extension of Kapiti Coast District Council built sea wall (opposite The Esplanade in Raumati South) to mitigate effects of erosion and rising sea levels.	3.12	Reject	Not within the scope of the District Plan	No
669-I		<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Seek Council investigate the impacts of the building of Centennial Highway on the sediment supply to the coast and seek a solution to reduce future erosion in this area.	3.12	Reject	Not within the scope of the District Plan	No
670-		<i>Protecting</i>	Oppose	3.10.1	Reject	Policy 4.6 should not be	No

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
1		<i>private property rights</i>	Oppose Policy 4.6.	and 3.10.3		deleted	
671-C	Don and Jannie Hunn	<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Oppose Chapter 4 and the section 32 report.		Reject	Rules relating to areas of outstanding natural character and areas of high natural character are necessary in order for the PDP to give effect to the NZCPS.	No
<i>FS229 – Ken Moselen</i>			<i>Support entire submission</i>		<i>Reject</i>	<i>See above</i>	<i>No</i>
<i>FS241 – Lowell Manning</i>			<i>Support - That the Proposed District Plan be adopted "as is" and that further research is undertaken with a view to subsequent revision of the adopted plan by means of a plan change.</i>		<i>Reject</i>	<i>See above</i>	<i>No</i>
673-C	Peter Clemerson	<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Support and seek the same relief as Dr & Mrs Tortell.	3.8.1 and 3.8.2	Accept in Part	Acknowledge that public access structures can affect amenity, however consider that Policy 4.5 provides adequate protection.	No
674-A	Brain Pegler	<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Oppose parts of Section 4 (including applicable maps and definitions) which are inaccurate in the	3.3	Reject	The provisions of Chapter 4 have been reviewed for accuracy.	No

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			Otaki Beach area.				
675-G	John Mills	<i>Protecting private property rights</i>	Oppose Add new rules to allow for new structures and the protection of new and existing structures.	3.3	Accept in part	Clarification that activities not in areas of outstanding natural character or areas of high natural character are provided for in the underlying zones is recommended.	Yes
675-K		<i>Protecting private property rights</i>	Oppose Oppose continuation of rock revetment along the remaining 1.2 kilometres to the northern end of The Parade. Propose, instead, a concrete wall in front of existing old timber wall.	3.12	Reject	Not within the scope of the District Plan	No
675-1		<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Oppose chapter 4 and delete or amend policies.	3.3	Reject	Chapter 4 is consistent with the requirements of the RMA and the NZCPS.	No
678-H	Elizabeth O'Brien	<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Oppose sections 4.1 of the Proposed District Plan.	3.3	Reject	Chapter 4 is consistent with the requirements of the RMA and the NZCPS.	No
679-G	Joanna Poole	<i>Improvements to wording or provisions and simplification</i>	Oppose Oppose all of chapter 4 and relevant definitions and maps.	3.3	Reject	Chapter 4 is consistent with the requirements of the RMA and the NZCPS.	No

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
		<i>of maps, coastal provisions in general</i>					
679-H		<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Withdraw Chapters 4 from the Proposed District Plan and rewrite with more robust scientific basis, a serious and meaningful public involvement process, which leaves as many options open for as long as possible.	3.3	Reject	Chapter 4 is consistent with the requirements of the RMA and the NZCPS.	No
679-N		<i>Improvements to wording of provisions and simplification of maps</i>	Oppose Seek that Council make it easier for residents to understand Council policies and rules by being consistent in the terminology and definitions used in the Proposed District Plan, letters to residents and maps.	3.3	Accept	A number of amendments are proposed to improve consistency, readability and cross referencing within the PDP.	Yes
687-D	Ian and Penny Redward	<i>Protection of natural dune</i>	Oppose Seek that Council continue to support dune restoration work and clearly state this in the District Plan.	3.9.1, 3.9.2 and 3.9.3	Accept	Amend Policy 4.7 to enable dune restoration.	Yes
687-G		<i>Protection of natural dune</i>	Oppose Seek guarantee that soft management, in particular dune restoration programmes, will continue until	3.9.1, 3.9.2 and 3.9.3	Accept	Amend Policy 4.7 to enable dune restoration.	Yes

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			irrefutable evidence shows that it is not working.				
688-L	Gil Retter	<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Support and adopt the relief sought in pages 9 to 17 of the submission by Joan Allin and Rob Crozier.			See recommendations in relation to that submission.	
697-C	Otaki Surf Lifesaving Club	<i>Public access, Coastal provisions in general</i>	Seek that buildings and lookout structures required for water safety organisations to undertake their safety role be a permitted or controlled activity.	3.8.1, 3.8.2 and 3.8.3	Reject	The Otaki Surf Life Saving Club is located within the Open Space zone which contains rules which provide sufficient flexibility for their continued operation.	No
689-A	Philippa Poole	<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Delete Chapter 4 in its entirety.	3.3	Reject	The provisions of Chapter 4 Coastal Environment are necessary in order to ensure that the District Plan gives effect to the NZCPS.	No
698-I		<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Seek any alternative decision that would appropriately address the concerns and reasons in the submission.			See above	
699-A	Rosalind Poole	<i>Coastal provisions in</i>	Oppose Delete Chapter 4 in its entirety.	3.3	Reject	The provisions of Chapter 4 Coastal Environment are	No



Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
		<i>general</i>				necessary in order to ensure that the District Plan gives effect to the NZCPS.	
699-B		<i>Restoration of natural character</i>	Oppose Delete Policy 4.4	3.7	Reject	Policy 4.4 gives effect to the NZCPS.	No
699-C		<i>Protection of natural dunes</i>	Oppose Delete Policy 4.6 Or Balance with a new policy to protect, to the extent possible, the built environment along the coast	3.10.1 and 3.10.3	Reject	Do not consider that Policy 4.6 should be deleted or new policy inserted.	No
699-M		<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Delete and replace all the Rules in the Rules and Standards Table (general) with new Rules arising from new or amended policies.	3.3	Reject	Rules relating to areas of outstanding natural character and areas of high natural character are necessary in order for the PDP to give effect to the NZCPS.	No
703-A	Peter Nelson	<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Oppose Chapter 4 in its entirety for the Paekakariki urban area and retain the provisions in the operative District Plan.	3.3	Reject	The provisions of Chapter 4 Coastal Environment are necessary in order to ensure that the District Plan gives effect to the	No

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
						NZCPS.	
703-H		<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Seek consultation with the local community to develop a plan that is relevant to the Paekakariki Urban area.			Process would be outside the current PDP process.	No
703-1		<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Object to chapter 4. Seek that current provisions remain in place.	3.3	Reject	The provisions of Chapter 4 Coastal Environment are necessary in order to ensure that the District Plan gives effect to the NZCPS.	No
705-I	Neil Butler	<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Oppose section 4.1.1 of Proposed District Plan.	3.3	Reject	The provisions of Chapter 4 Coastal Environment are necessary in order to ensure that the District Plan gives effect to the NZCPS.	No
705-J		<i>Restoration of natural character, Identification of natural character, protection of</i>	Oppose Oppose Policies 4.4, 4.5, 4.6, and 4.7.	3.8.1, 3.8.2, 3.8.3, 3.10.1 and 3.10.3	Accept in Part	Accept where submitter seeks re-wording of policies 4.3(b) and 4.5 for clarity and consistency with NZCPS, reject where submitter considers that policies 4.3, 4.5 and 4.6	Yes

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
		<i>natural dune</i>				restrict private property right or that policies 4.3, 4.5 and 4.6 do not give adequate weight to community wellbeing or that they should be deleted.	
705-1		<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Provisions are based on an incorrect interpretation of RMA and NZCPS.	3.3	Reject	The provisions of Chapter 4 Coastal Environment are considered to be consistent with the RMA and to give effect to the NZCPS.	No
707-I	Dianne and Malcolm Macaskill	<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Seek that the Council hold pre-hearing meetings with the residents with a view to quickly resolving the issue.			Prehearing meetings on Chapter 4 Coastal Environment were held in 2015.	
711-B	Peter Katz	<i>Protection of natural dunes</i>	Amend Policy 4.7 to include positive actions to reduce the rate of dune erosion, such as Spinifex grass planting.	3.9.1 and 3.9.3	Accept	Amend Policy 4.7 to enable dune restoration.	Yes
711-C		<i>Stream and river maintenance works at the</i>	Oppose Seek professional independent advice to establish impact on Waikanae south beach when the river is deliberately cut.		Reject	Required information is outside of PDP process	No

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
		<i>coast</i>					
711-E		<i>Identification and protection of natural character, restoration of natural character, Protection of natural dunes</i>	Clarify how Policy 1 and 4 from the Operative KCDP are being interpreted and implemented.	3.10.1	Reject	Required information is outside of PDP process	No
712-A	Ms Marion Edmond	<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Delete Chapter 4 and associated parts of the Proposed District Plan.	3.3	Reject	The provisions of Chapter 4 Coastal Environment are necessary in order to ensure that the District Plan gives effect to the NZCPS.	No
714-C	Robert Kessler	<i>Identification and protection of natural character, Coastal provisions in</i>	Oppose Oppose statements in Chapter 4 that indicate intent to restore 'natural conditions' by eliminating man-made structures.	3.6	Reject	Policy 4.3(d) is consistent with the requirements of the NZCPS.	No

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
		<i>general</i>					
715-C	Sharif Family Trust	<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Oppose sections 4.1.1 and 4.2.	3.8.1, 3.8.2 and 3.8.3	Reject	Do not consider that section 4.1.1 is inconsistent with the NZPCS, RMA or is too restrictive	No
715-Q		<i>Restoration of natural character, Identification and protection of natural character, public access, protecting natural dune</i>	Oppose Oppose Policies 4.4, 4.5, 4.5 and 4.7	3.8.1, 3.8.2, 3.8.3	Accept in Part	Accept where submitter seeks re-wording of policies 4.3(b) and 4.5 for clarity and consistency with NZCPS, reject where submitter considers that policies 4.3 and 4.5 restrict private property right or that policies 4.3 and 4.5 do not give adequate weight to community wellbeing or that they should be deleted.	Yes
715-1		<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Provisions contrary to RMA and fails to give effect to NZCPS.	3.3	Reject	The provisions of Chapter 4 Coastal Environment are considered to be consistent with the RMA and to give effect to the	No

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
						NZCPS.	
715-2		<i>Improvements to wording of provisions and simplification of maps</i>	Oppose Some of the provisions of the PDP are invalid and many are confusing and poorly drafted including errors, gaps and inconsistencies. We also consider that the overall form and structure of the PDP is unwieldy and cumbersome and very difficult to comprehend and identify all provisions relevant to a site or location.	3.3	Accept in part	Provisions in Chapter 4 Coastal Environment have been subject to review and amendment throughout the preparation of this section 42A report, to address matters raised by the submitter.	Yes
716-E	Jenny Parry	<i>Protecting private property rights, Public access</i>	Oppose Seek reassurance that the beachfront will continue to be maintained and upgraded.	3.8.1, 3.8.2 and 3.8.3	Reject	The policy framework provides for maintenance and upgrading of public space and community assets for community well-being where appropriate	No
716-F		<i>Protecting private property rights</i>	Oppose Seek clarification on what submitter can do regarding the maintenance of their property.	3.3	Accept in part	Clarification that activities not in areas of outstanding natural character or areas of high natural character are provided for in the underlying zones is recommended	Yes
716-1		<i>Public access,</i>	Oppose Chapter 4 does not acknowledge Council's statutory	3.8.1, 3.8.2	Reject	The policy framework provides for maintenance	No

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
		<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	roll of improving communities, including maintenance and upgrading of beachfront.	and 3.8.3		and upgrading of public space and community assets for community well-being where appropriate	
722-C	John Morrison	<i>Costal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Support and adopt the submission of Rob Crozier and Joan Allin.			See recommendations in relation to that submission.	
726-B	Peter Evans	<i>Public access</i>	Oppose Oppose chapter 4 including specifically comments under Public Access - Section 4, page 2.	3.8.2 and 3.8.3	Reject	Public access in the context of the Coastal Environment chapter is about enabling access to the beach and foreshore and other Crown or Council owned property. Furthermore, the provision of esplanade reserves and access through new subdivision to the beach and foreshore stems from legislative requirements such as the NZCPS and achieves community and cultural wellbeing, meeting the purpose of the RMA	No

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
726-G		<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Delete all provisions in Chapter 4, all Policies in Chapters 3, 7 and 9 that are referred to in Chapter 4.	3.3	Reject	Provisions in Chapter 4 Coastal Environment are necessary to ensure that the District Plan gives effect to the NZCPS.	No
730-C	Joe Nolan	<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Withdraw coastal environment section of the Proposed District Plan and re-examine the scientific basis, discussing options with the community and engaging in productive community discussion.	3.3	Reject	The submission appears to be largely in relation to the coastal hazard provisions, which have been withdrawn. The remaining provisions of Chapter 4 Coastal Environment are necessary in order to ensure that the District Plan gives effect to the NZCPS.	No
730-H		<i>Protecting private property rights, Improvements to wording of provisions and</i>	Oppose Seek clarification on whether a property owner could rebuild in the event of a fire, earthquake or other natural disaster.	3.3	Reject	The submission appears to be largely in relation to the coastal hazard provisions, which have been withdrawn. The underlying zone rules cover the types of activities that the submitter	No



Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
		<i>simplification of maps</i>				is querying.	
FS128 – Kotuku Ltd			Support	3.3	Reject	See above.	No
734-1	Henry Fullerton-Smith	<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Provisions contrary to RMA and NZCPS.	3.3	Reject	Chapter 4 Coastal Environment is considered to be consistent with the RMA and NZCPS.	No
736-I	Peter Stevens and Michelle Glogau	<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Withdraw the Proposed District Plan and treat it as a consultative document.	3.3	Reject	The provisions of Chapter 4 Coastal Environment are necessary in order to ensure that the District Plan gives effect to the NZCPS.	No
737-A	Lindy McIntyre	<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Withdraw Chapter 4 and associated parts of the Proposed District Plan, in their entirety, from the Proposed District Plan.	3.3	Reject	The provisions of Chapter 4 Coastal Environment are necessary in order to ensure that the District Plan gives effect to the NZCPS.	No
737-B		<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Rewrite the coastal section of the Proposed District Plan, re-examining the scientific basis, discussing options with the community, and engaging in productive community discussion on local response	3.3	Reject	The submission appears to be largely in relation to the coastal hazard provisions, which have been withdrawn. The	No

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			to climate change before re-introducing the coastal hazards section as a variation to the District Plan.			remaining provisions of Chapter 4 Coastal Environment are necessary in order to ensure that the District Plan gives effect to the NZCPS.	
737-F		<i>Tsunami and other hazards. Protecting private property rights</i>	Oppose Seek clarification on rights around rebuilding in the case of fire, earthquake or other natural disasters.		Reject	The submission appears to be largely in relation to the coastal hazard provisions, which have been withdrawn. The underlying zone rules cover the types of activities that the submitter is querying.	No
738-F	Denis and Jan Toohey	<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Oppose all related provisions to the maps covered in Chapter 4 and other chapters of the Proposed District Plan.	3.3	Reject	The provisions of Chapter 4 Coastal Environment are necessary in order to ensure that the District Plan gives effect to the NZCPS.	
738-G		<i>Identification and</i>	Support in Part Amend Policy 4.3 to exclude coastal esplanades for	3.8.1, 3.8.2	Reject	Explanations are to be removed from the PDP.	No

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
		<i>protection of natural character, public access</i>	Otaki Beach if this means the same thing as coastal walkways.	and 3.8.3			
738-2		<i>Stream and river maintenance works at the coast</i>	Oppose River mouth management is a critical factor for hazard management and needs to be provided for.	3.10.1, 3.10.2 and 3.10.3	Reject	Rule framework is sufficient.	No
738-3		<i>Protection of natural dunes</i>	Oppose Restriction of vehicles on beach would protect dunes and dune systems.	3.8.1, 3.8.2, 3.8.3 and 3.9.1	Accept	Insert new rule which allows appropriate structures which can manage dune access.	Yes
743-A	Paul and Grace Dunmore	<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Delete Chapter 4 pending further consultation and study, as it does not comply with NZCPS policies, with the intention that it be replaced by way of an amendment to the Plan in the future as part of a coherent coastal strategy which balances the preservation of natural and community value.	3.3	Reject	The provisions of Chapter 4 Coastal Environment are necessary in order to ensure that the District Plan gives effect to the NZCPS.	No
743-D		<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Seek amendment to Section 4.1 (pages 4-1 to 4-3) to reflect an explicit distinction between the nature of	3.4	Reject	Technical work to redefine the extent of the coastal environment has meant	No

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			the urban and rural coastal environments; to recognise explicitly that existing built assets, private and public and infrastructural, are an important part of the character and amenity of the urban coastal environment and are to be protected as far as is reasonably feasible; to include in the Management Approach section an explicit statement of intention to make the greatest feasible use of protective measures other than hard structures, and to permit hard structures when no other method is feasible, unless a hard structure is itself clearly infeasible. Managed retreat should be listed as one response among several, not as the preferred response.			that little of the urban environment is now contained within the coastal environment.	
743-1		<i>Improvements to wording of provisions and simplification of maps</i>	Oppose Oppose the ambiguity of rules and provisions.	3.3	Reject	Rules relating to areas of outstanding natural character and areas of high natural character are not considered to be ambiguous.	No
756-J	Pascal Odijk	<i>Protection of natural dune, coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Oppose section 4.1.1, in particular, Policies 4.6 and 4.7.	3.8.3, 3.10.1 and 3.10.3	Reject	Section 4.1.1 is not inconsistent with the NZCPS or RMA and is not too restrictive. Policy 4.6 should not be deleted.	No

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
756-V		<i>Identification and protection of natural character, restoration of natural character, Public access</i>	Oppose Oppose Policies 4.3, 4.4 and 4.5.	3.8.1 and 3.8.3	Accept in Part	Accept where submitter seeks re-wording of Policy 4.3(b) for clarity and consistency with NZCPS.	Yes
<i>FS128 – Kotuku Park Ltd</i>			<i>Support</i>	<i>3.8.1 and 3.8.3</i>	<i>Accept in part</i>	<i>See above</i>	Yes
757-J	Marianne Tavenier	<i>Protection of natural dune. Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Oppose section 4.1.1, in particular, Policies 4.6 and 4.7.	3.8.3, 3.10.1 and 3.10.3	Reject	Section 4.1.1 is not inconsistent with the NZCPS or RMA and is not too restrictive. Policy 4.6 should not be deleted.	No
757-V		<i>Identification and protection of natural character,</i>	Oppose Oppose Policies 4.3, 4.4 and 4.5.	3.8.1 and 3.8.3	Accept in Part	Accept where submitter seeks re-wording of Policy 4.3(b) for clarity and consistency with NZCPS.	Yes

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
		<i>restoration of natural character, Public access</i>					
758-A	Anthony Willis	<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Oppose Chapter 4 and Policies in Chapters 3, 7 and 9 referred to in Chapter 4.	3.3	Reject	The submission appears to relate largely to the coastal hazard provisions, which have been withdrawn.	No
759-C	Don Wignall	<i>Public access, Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Seek clarification on what would happen to coastal road link, footpath, services and other infrastructure along Marine Parade.	3.8.1, 3.8.2 and 3.8.3	Reject	Consider that the proposed policy framework will provide guidance on when, where, if and how any upgrading or maintenance of community assets should occur	No
759-F		<i>Protecting private property rights</i>	Oppose Seek cost benefit analysis of strengthening/improving existing defences.	3.12	Reject	The submission appears to relate largely to the coastal hazard provisions, which have been withdrawn. Within the coastal environment, other	No

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
						than in areas of outstanding natural character and areas of high natural character, strengthening/improving existing defences are provided for in the underlying zone provisions.	
761-A	Jeffery Ashby	<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Oppose the whole of Chapter 4.	3.3	Reject	The Council is required to give effect to the NZCPS2010, which requires the inclusion of provisions for the coastal environment within the PDP.	No
761-F		<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Seek relief sought in submissions of Rob Crozier and Joan Allin and Coastal Ratepayers United Incorporated submission.			Refer to recommendations for those submissions.	
<i>FS235 – Larry Paul</i>			<i>Support entire submission</i>		<i>Reject</i>	<i>See above</i>	<i>No</i>
762-A	Lydia Johnston	<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Chapter 4 'Introduction'.	3.3	Reject	The introduction to Chapter 4 appropriately sets out the focus of the	No

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
						chapter.	
762-B		<i>Removal of coastal yard provision, Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Amend Section 4.1 to include reference and appropriate linkage to the Living Environment Provisions – particularly reference to what used to be the Coastal Yard requirements for amenity purposes.	3.3	Accept in part	A link to the Living Environment chapter is recommended, particularly in relation to coastal yard requirements.	
762-C		<i>Identification and protection of natural character, Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Amend Section 4.1 to: include reference to enabling appropriate activities in the coastal environment, including subdivision and land use; provide an appropriate definition for 'areas of high natural character; revise inappropriate terminology and provide accurate references, including to the NZCPS.	3.8.1 and 3.8.3	Accept in Part	Insert new permitted activity rule to enable appropriate structures	Yes
762-D		<i>Identification and protection of the natural character</i>	Oppose Amend Section 4.1 to refer to 'natural character of the coastal environment' rather than 'natural character in the coastal environment' to convey correct wording in s6(a) of the RMA.	3.3	Accept	Amendment will ensure that Chapter 4 Coastal Environment is consistent with the NZCPS.	Yes
762-F		<i>Improvements to wording of provisions and</i>	Oppose Amend Section 4.1 to remove the reference to 'outside of areas subject to coastal hazard risks'	3.3	Accept	The amendment more accurately reflects Policy 6 of the NZCPS.	Yes



Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
		<i>simplification of maps</i>					
762-G		<i>Identification and protection of natural character</i>	Oppose Delete Policy 4.2 or amend to clarify what it means by 'natural coastal features' and how this relates to Policy 4.3, and to only deal with high natural character and omit priority areas for restoration.	3.6	Accept in part	Policy 4.2 is recommended to be amended to better give effect to the NZCPS	Yes
762-H		<i>Identification and protection of natural character</i>	Oppose Delete Policy 4.3 or amend to clarify what 'significant natural coastal features and habitat' means, how they are mapped and their extent and how Policy 4.3 relates to Policy 4.2	3.6	Accept in part	Policy 4.3 is recommended to be amended to better give effect to the NZCPS.	Yes
762-I		<i>Identification and protection of natural character</i>	Oppose Delete Policy 4.3(c) or amend to qualify the references to structures.	3.6	Accept	The NZCPS makes reference to 'redundant' structures and a similar amendment is recommended to Policy 4.3(c).	Yes
762-J		<i>Identification and protection of natural character</i>	Oppose Delete Policy 4.3(e) or clarify the reference to 'new' activities.	3.6	Accept	Amending the policy will increase clarity.	Yes

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
762-K		<i>Identification and protection of natural character</i>	Oppose Delete Policy 4.3 or amend to remove extreme words such as 'avoiding', and 'preventing' in order to enable appropriate activities.	3.8.1, 3.8.2, 3.8.3	Reject	Do not consider that it is appropriate to remove 'avoiding' from Policy 4.3(b).	No
762-L		<i>Identification and protection of natural character, Protection of natural dunes</i>	Oppose Revise the explanation to Policy 4.3 by deleting the reference to structures being seen from the beach, by qualifying the reference to long-term erosion occurring, and by deleting the last sentence, with its reference to private land.		Accept	A plan wide amendment has been recommended to remove policy explanations	Yes
762-M		<i>Identification and protection of natural character, Protection of natural dunes</i>	Add new rule which allow appropriate structures as permitted activities. (eg. Bollards).	3.8.1 and 3.8.3	Accept	Insert new permitted activity rule to enable appropriate structures	
762-N		<i>Restoration of natural character</i>	Oppose Delete Policy 4.4 or amend to: i. Remove reference to 'development' given the wide definition of development and statements by Council staff that	3.7	Accept	Qualifications are recommended to the policy to make it consistent with the NZCPS and the RMA.	Yes

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<p>priority areas for restoration apply to subdivision.</p> <p>ii. Make the policy less extreme and more appropriately focussed and qualified.</p> <p>iii. Make appropriate reference to enabling activities in the coastal environment and not inappropriately restricting activities on private land.</p> <p>iv. Be based on the provisions for priority areas for restoration in the PDP including only appropriate land areas being revised so they are not inappropriate, confusing and conflicting. The maps need to identify appropriate areas for restoration.</p> <p>v. Make (e) and (f) less extreme in relation to structures.</p> <p>vi. Give effects to the NZCPS and RMA</p> <p>vii. Revise the explanation as it does not correctly explain the effects of the rules.</p>			<p>Provisions for priority areas for restoration were removed in 2014.</p> <p>A plan-wide amendment is recommended to delete policy explanations</p>	
762-O		<i>Public access</i>	<p>Oppose</p> <p>Delete Policy 4.5 or amend to make it: more focussed, less confusing and all-encompassing; not refer to 'development' given the wide definition of development; enable appropriate activities on</p>	3.8.1, 3.8.2 and 3.8.3	Accept in Part	<p>Accept where submitter seeks re-wording of Policy 4.3(b) for clarity and consistency with NZCPS, Accept where the</p>	Yes

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<p>private land and not unreasonably restrict such activities.</p> <p>The term 'pedestrian' in the explanation should be changed to 'public'.</p>			<p>submitter seeks that permitted activity rules for appropriate structures should be inserted into the PDP, reject where submitter considers that Policy 4.3(b) and 4.5 do not give adequate weight to community well-being where they consider that Policy 4.3(b) and 4.5 unnecessarily restrict private property rights.</p>	
762-P		<i>Protection of natural dunes, stream and river maintenance works at the coast.</i>	<p>Oppose</p> <p>Retain parts of Policy 4.6 that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. Reference the Kapiti Coast including urban areas in Otaki, Te Horo, Peka Peka and Waikanae which have a natural dune system adjacent to the beach.</li> </ul> <p>Amend Policy 4.6 to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. Delete 'In all areas the control of additional development in close proximity to the coast will ensure that natural shoreline movements can be</li> </ul>	3.9.3	Accepted in Part	<p>Amendment to Policy 4.6 to replace 'accommodated' with 'addressed'.</p> <p>Reject amendments to explanation.</p>	Yes

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			<p>accommodated.</p> <p>Amend the explanation for Policy 4.6 to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. Address make wording and language less extreme and include reference to appropriateness or some other qualification, and distinguish between areas where development has already occurred and other areas.</li> </ul> <p>Generally:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. Ensure that the river training works referred to in the explanation are supported by permitted activities in the PDP which are not overridden by conflicting provisions in other chapters.</li> </ul>				
762-Q		<i>Identification and protection of natural character, protection of natural dunes, retention of coastal yards</i>	Add a new policy which enables appropriate activities in the coastal environment and to deal with development setbacks (coastal yards) that should remain in place to retain the natural character of the beach and amenity.	3.3	Accept in part	Clarification that activities not in areas of outstanding natural character or areas of high natural character are provided for in the underlying zones is recommended	Yes

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
762-R		<i>Public access</i>	Oppose Policy 4.7 is amended is qualified to enable appropriate activities and reconsidered once the policy about managed retreat has been decided.	3.9.3, 3.9.2 and 3.9.3	Accept in Part	Accept to enable appropriate activities to occur as part of dune management.	Yes
762-HH		<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Oppose all of the rules and standards in Chapter 4 and revise all rules after a revised hazard assessment, and preferably after the regional hazard management strategy has been prepared by the Regional Council.	3.3	Reject	The submission appears to relate largely to the coastal hazard provisions, which have been withdrawn. Rules and standards relating to activities in areas of outstanding natural character and areas of high natural character are necessary in order to give effect to the NZCPS.	No
762-MM		<i>Improvements to wording of provisions and simplification of maps</i>	Oppose Seek appropriate terms be defined and defined terms to be identified by italics and used consistently and appropriately in each case.	3.3	Accept	Changes have been recommended to Chapter 4 Coastal Environment to clarify which terms are defined.	Yes
762-NN		<i>Improvements to wording</i>	Oppose Seek cross references to make the Proposed	3.3	Accept in part	Some cross-references to other sections of the Plan	Yes

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
		<i>of provisions and simplification of maps</i>	District Plan more user-friendly.			have been identified and recommended for inclusion.	
762-OO		<i>Improvements to wording of provisions and simplification of maps</i>	Oppose Delete unnecessary overlapping of zoning and notations on the maps, which result in the need to look at numerous provisions in various chapters.	3.3	Accept in part	Various amendments are recommended to improve the clarity of the Plan, including Chapter 4 Coastal Environment.	Yes
762-PP		<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Seek the relief sought in the submissions by Coastal Ratepayers United Incorporated and Rob Crozier and Joan Allin.			Refer to those submissions for recommendations.	
762-1		<i>Improvements to wording of provisions and simplification of maps</i>	Oppose References such as 'ensure' are too extreme.	3.3, 3.5 and 3.6	Reject	Use of the word 'ensure' is appropriate within policies in Chapter 4 Coastal Environment in order to give effect to the NZCPS.	No
<i>FS128 – Kotuku Park Ltd</i>			<i>Support – Coastal Policies. Amend to recognise that restrictive provisions can impose unreasonable and avoidable costs on the community and individuals.</i>	3.3	<i>Accept in part</i>	<i>Clarification that activities not in areas of outstanding natural character or areas of high natural character</i>	Yes

Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
						<i>are provided for in the underlying zones is recommended</i>	
	<i>FS229 – Ken Moselen</i>		<i>Support entire submission</i>	3.3	<i>Reject</i>	<i>Chapter 4 Coastal Environment is necessary in order to give effect to the NZCPS.</i>	<i>No</i>
	<i>FS241 – Lowell Manning</i>		<i>Oppose – Deletion of all or part of Chapter 4</i>	3.3	<i>Accept</i>	<i>Chapter 4 Coastal Environment is necessary in order to give effect to the NZCPS.</i>	<i>No</i>
763-A	Bernard Parker	<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Oppose Chapter 4 and the Council approach to the coastal environment.	3.3	Reject	Chapter 4 Coastal Environment is necessary in order to give effect to the NZCPS.	No
769-C	Denise Church and Michael Veneer	<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Support Amend objectives, policies and rules to enable appropriate activities, including building and development, in the coastal environment.	3.3	Accept in part	Clarification that activities not in areas of outstanding natural character or areas of high natural character are provided for in the underlying zones is recommended	Yes
769-D		<i>Removal of coastal yard</i>	Oppose Seek inclusion of the 7.5m coastal yard	3.13	Accept	See Living Environment section 42A report	Yes



Sub. No.	Submitter	Topic	Decision Sought	Section of this Report	Officer's Recommendation	Officer's Reasons / Comments	Recommended Amendments to PDP?
			requirements in the Te Horo Beach Residential zone for amenity purposes.				
769-L		<i>Coastal provisions in general</i>	Oppose Seek relief sought in submission of Rob Crozier and Joan Allin.			Refer to recommendations for that submission.	
772-F	Kotuku Park Ltd	<i>Public access, protection of natural dune</i>	Oppose Delete Policies 4.4, 4.6 and 4.7 or amend to remove the assumption of managed retreat and removal of structures apparent in these policies.	3.10.1 and 3.10.3	Reject	It is not appropriate to delete Policy 4.6.	No
772-1		<i>Improvements to wording of provisions and simplification of maps</i>	Oppose Structure of plan is hard to follow and rules do not seem to be coordinated properly.	3.3	Accept in part	Various amendments are recommended to improve the clarity of the Plan, including Chapter 4 Coastal Environment.	Yes
773-F	William Garlick	<i>Protection of natural dunes</i>	Oppose Seek that Kapiti Coast District Council develops a plan to reduce the erosion through selective planting.	3.9.1 and 3.9.3	Accept	Amend Policy 4.7 to enable natural dune function and restoration.	Yes