Submission on notified proposal for plan change



About preparing a submission on a proposed plan change

You must use the prescribed form

- <u>Clause 6</u>, Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) requires submissions to be on the prescribed form.
- The prescribed form is set out in <u>Form 5</u>, Schedule 1 of the Resource Management (Forms, Fees, and Procedure) Regulations 2003.
- This template is based on Form 5. While you do not have to use this template, your submission must be in accordance with Form 5.

Your submission and contact details will be made publicly available

- In accordance with <u>clause 7</u> of Schedule 1 of the RMA, the Council will make a summary of your submission publicly available. The contact details you provide will also be made publicly available, because under <u>clause 8A</u> of Schedule 1 of the RMA any further submission supporting or opposing your submission must be forwarded to you by the submitter (as well as being sent to Council).
- <u>Section 352</u> of the RMA allows you to choose your email to be your address for service. If you select this option, you can also request your postal address be withheld from being publicly available. To choose this option please tick the relevant boxes below.

Reasons why a submission may be struck out Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- o it is frivolous or vexatious
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further
- it contains offensive language
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

To Kāpiti Coast District Council

Submission on Proposed Plan Change 2 to the Operative Kapiti Coast District Plan 2021

Submitter details

Full name of submitter: Kapiti Cycling Action (Kapiti Cycling Incorporated)

Contact person (name and designation, if applicable): John Baldwin

Postal address (or alternative method of service under section 352 of the RMA):

Telephone: 02102408562

Electronic address for service of submitter (i.e. email): jelly_beansz@hotmail.com

I would like my address for service to be my email [select box if applicable]	~
I have selected email as my address for service, and I would also like my postal address withheld from being publicly available [select box if applicable]	V
Scope of submission	
The specific provisions of the proposed plan change that my submission relates to are: [give details]	

Absence of any specific requirement (that we can see) under Proposed Plan Change 2, to add to or upgrade existing and inadequate Active Transport facilities & infrastructure, primarily on arterial routes, ahead of any future development along these routes under the Government imposed Medium Density Residential Standards.

We note general reference in a number of instances to "the provision of walkways, cycleways and bridle ways" under Matters of Discretion or Control" but these facilities are undefined and it is unclear where they are to be provided.

Secondly we fully support the requirement for secure bike parking facilities. However, good quality bikes are expensive, ebikes costing more than many used cars and owners understandably are nervous about storing them anywhere other than in a secure fully enclosed lockup facility.

The pictorial example on page 7, section 6 Design Guidelines, 6.1 Site Layout, Access and Bicycle Parking is insufficiently secure both visually and physically.

Continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Submission

My submission is: [include whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended; and reasons for your views]

We wish to see under Proposed Plan Change 2, the requirement to add to or upgrade existing and inadequate Active Transport facilities & infrastructure, primarily on arterial routes, ahead of future development arising under the Government imposed Medium Density Residential Standards.

Proposed Plan Change 2 enables significant intensification of population density within zones and precincts that are " close to centres and public open spaces with good access to public transport" but notably no reference is made to good access to active transport facilities.

Much of the existing active transport infrastru some cases by decades and is either non existent, lacking in continuity, underdesigned, inadequately maintained or unsafe for users.

We acknowledge the key driver behind the MDRS is the current housing crisis. However, there is another crisis and arguably a more important one, Climate Change.

Here in NZ, Energy & Transport emissions are 2nd behind agriculture. If NZ is to achieve it's goal of changing to a low emissions economy, PPC2 needs to embrace active transport modes by specifically identifying, defining and providing for modern day safe facilities required by walkers and cyclists now.

We quote from the Governments first Emissions Reduction Plan in May 2022 - "Improving Access to Affordable Sustainable Transport Options.....by improving travel choices and accessibility by providing people with more convenient affordable buses and trains as well as safer walkways and cycle lanes"

Secondly we would like to see the the pictorial example on page 7, section 6 Design Guidelines, 6.1 Site Layout, Access and Bicycle Parking replaced with an image of a fully enclosed lockup bike storage facility for the reasons given previously.

To review the Plan from the adding to or upgrare routes and serving the development occurs.	m an ao ding of,	ctive transport p	erspective and adequate Activ	to explicitly to explicitly for	provide wh	nere appro	opriate in the ture; primarily	on arterial
Secondly we would like Access and Bicycle Pa								Layout,
i,								
		¥						
					4			
-								
					æ			
					Continu		parate sheet i	if naccessan

Hearing Submissions [select appropriate box] I wish to be heard in support of my submission. I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission. If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing. If others make a similar submission, I will not consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing. 14 September 2022 Signature of Submitter Date (or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means. Trade Competition [select the appropriate wording] If you are a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission, your right to make a submission may be limited by clause 6(4) of Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991. I could / I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission. If you could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission, please complete the following: I am | / I am not | directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that— (a) adversely affects the environment; and (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition. Email your submission to district.planning@kapiticoast.govt.nz or For office use only post/deliver to: Submission No: 170 Attn: District Planning Team Kāpiti Coast District Council 175 Rimu Road Paraparaumu 5032

Received by
Kapiti Coast District Council
at Paraparaumu

15 SEP 2022

By Nosley Time 2.18

District Planning Tram Kapiti Coast Pistrict council

175 Rimu Road

Plan Charge 2

PARAPARAUMU 5032.