
PROPOSED KAPITI COAST DISTRICT PLAN 2012

s42A Report & 32AA Evaluation: Proposed
Variations 4 (A-H) – Miscellaneous Changes and
Corrections Provisions



Executive Summary:

1. This report advises on the status and decision process for the proposed Variations 4 (A-H) – Miscellaneous Changes and Corrections to the Proposed Kāpiti Coast District Plan 2012 (Appeals version 2018).
2. Variations 4 (A-H) proposed the following changes:
 - Variation 4A - Amend three definitions: Household Unit, Ancillary, and Kitchen;
 - Variation 4B - Amend Policy 3.14 to manage the effects of earthworks on natural landforms, amenity values and rural character;
 - Variation 4C - Amend Rule 5A.1.6.11 yard setback standard for non-residential activities in the Living Zones to clarify that these do not apply to home occupations;
 - Variation 4D - Amend the rules in Chapter 6 which manage the interface between the Living Zones and Working Zones to simplify and remove duplication from the standards;
 - Variation 4E - Amend Rural Zone subdivision standard 7A.3.2.1 to limit the number of lots which can gain access to a road via a right of way; Amend Rule 11P.2.1.1 Shared Car Parking requirements; and Amend Rule 11E.1.3 – Standard 12 to make the terminology consistent with the transport network hierarchy;
 - Variation 4F - Amend Chapter 12 standards for temporary events in Chapter 12; Insert a new definition for regular market and permitted activity provisions for these;
 - Variation 4G - Amend Rule 11P.1.2 parking requirements for shared residential accommodation such as supported living and boarding houses.
 - Variation 4H - Amend Rural Zone Rule 7A.5.7 to clarify the activity status of general retail activities in the Rural Zone. This Variation had immediate legal effect from the date of notification as a result of the Environment Court decision (Decision Number [2019] NZEnvC 169). The reason for this was to protect the integrity of the Regional Policy Statement and PDP objectives and policies that emphasise the importance of ensuring retail development is consolidated within identified urban centres.
3. The report also considers the submission received by Kāpiti Coast District Council (the Council) in relation to the relevant rules and standards as they apply to Variation 4F – Temporary Events.
4. One submission was received following notification and no further submissions were received on Variations 4 (A-H). The submission received sought amendments to Variation 4F Permitted Activity rules and standards in relation to traffic effects.

5. A hearing has not been held for Variations 4 (A-E) and (G-H) as no submissions were received. A hearing has not been held for Variation 4F as the submitter does not wish to be heard on their submission.
6. The submission sought to improve the way traffic impacts are considered under the proposed permitted activity standards for temporary events.
7. In response, some changes to the wording of Variation 4F permitted activity standard 10 for Temporary Events is recommended. These changes have been further evaluated under s32AA of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) and will be efficient and effective in achieving the purpose of the RMA, the relevant objectives of this plan and other relevant statutory documents.
8. As no other submissions were received, Variations 4(A-E) and (G-H), are currently being treated as operative (as required by the RMA) and this report recommends that the Committee completes the plan making process and formally approve their inclusion into the Proposed Kāpiti Coast District Plan 2012 (Appeals version 2018).

Table of Contents

Executive Summary:	1
Interpretation	4
Introduction	5
1.1 Report Author	5
1.2 Purpose of this Report	5
Section 2: Statutory considerations	7
2.1 The Operative Kāpiti Coast District Plan 1999	7
2.2 The Proposed Kāpiti Coast District Plan 2012 (Appeals Version 2018).....	7
2.3 Objectives, provisions and rules	8
2.4 Statutory Considerations – Resource Management Act 1991	8
2.5 New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement	11
2.6 National Policy Statements	12
2.7 National Environment Standards	13
2.8 Regional Policy Statement.....	14
2.9 Management plans and strategies under any other Acts	15
2.10 Council’s functions under the RMA	18
2.11 Consistency with adjacent territorial authorities	19
2.12 Process - Hearing not needed	19
Section 3: Consideration of Submission	20
3.1 Report evaluation	20
3.2 Variation 4F – Limit and measurement of traffic impacts.....	20
3.2.1 Matters Raised by Submitter	20
3.2.2 Assessment	22
3.2.3 Recommendations	23
3.3 Variation 4F – Parking for temporary events	24
3.3.1 Matters Raised by Submitters	24
3.3.2 Assessment	24
3.3.3 Recommendations	25
3.4 Variation 4F – Transport Management Plan.....	25
3.4.1 Matters Raised by Submitters	25
3.4.2 Assessment	25
3.4.3 Recommendations	26
3.5 Conclusion on the Variation provisions	26
Section 4: S32AA Evaluation of changes	27
Section 5: Overall Recommendation	30
Section 6: Recommendations on Submission	32
Appendices	35

Interpretation

This report utilises a number of abbreviations for brevity's sake as set out in the glossary below:

Abbreviation	Means...
"the Act"	Resource Management Act 1991
"the Council"	Kāpiti Coast District Council
"the operative Plan"	Operative Kāpiti Coast District Plan 1999
"the proposed Plan" or "PDP"	Proposed Kāpiti Coast District Plan 2012 (Appeals version 2018)
"GWRC"	Greater Wellington Regional Council
"NES"	National Environmental Standard
"NPS"	National Policy Statement
"RMA"	Resource Management Act 1991
"WRPS"	Wellington Regional Policy Statement 2013

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Report Author

- 1 My name is Laura Willoughby and I am employed by the Kāpiti Coast District Council as a Senior Policy Planner. I hold the qualification of Bachelor of Resource and Environmental Planning.
- 2 I am a full member of the New Zealand Planning Institute. I have 10 years experience in local government resource management planning and 10 years experience in central government land use/transportation planning. My main role at the Kāpiti Coast District Council is to prepare and process District Plan changes and variations.
- 3 This report includes my assessment and recommendations to accept or reject points made in submissions on the Proposed Variations 4 (A-H) to the Kāpiti Coast District Council Proposed District Plan – Miscellaneous Changes and Corrections. Ultimately, the final decision-making responsibility rests with the Strategy and Operations Committee.

1.2 Purpose of this Report

- 4 The primary purpose of the report is to assist the Council's Strategy and Operations Committee in evaluating and deciding on the issues raised in the submission that has been made on Proposed Variations 4 (A-H) to the Kāpiti Coast District Council Proposed District Plan – Miscellaneous Changes and Corrections.
- 5 The components of Variations 4 (A-H) are as follows:
 - Variation 4A - Chapter 1: Review of a number of defined Terms.
 - Variation 4B – Chapter 3: Review of Earthworks Policies
 - Variation 4C – Chapter 5: Changes to Living Zone
 - Variation 4D – Chapter 6 Changes to the Working Zone
 - Variation 4E – Changes to Traffic and Transportation related rules
 - Variation 4F – Amendments to temporary event provisions.
 - Variation 4G – Amendments to Rule 11P.1.2 parking requirements for Residential Activities.
 - Variation 4H – Amend Rural Zone Rule 7A.5.7 to clarify the activity status of general retail activities in the Rural Zone.
- 6 This report has been prepared in accordance with Section 42A of the RMA to consider all submissions and further submissions received following the public notification of Variations 4 (A-H) and to make recommendations on those submissions. This report is also prepared to meet the requirements of Section 32AA with regard to undertaking a further evaluation for the recommended changes in response to the submission.

- 7 This report:
- Outlines the statutory provisions relevant to the Variation process
 - Discusses the submission received following notification of the Variation
 - Makes recommendations as to whether or not the submission should be accepted or rejected; and
 - Concludes with a recommendation for changes to the Variation provisions based on the preceding discussion in the report.
- 8 A change to the wording of proposed Variation 4F (amendments to temporary events provisions) is recommended to take into account matters raised in the submission received. This is detailed in the recommendations section 5 of the report. A summary of all recommendations on the submission received is contained in Section 6 of this report.

SECTION 2: STATUTORY CONSIDERATIONS

2.1 The Operative Kāpiti Coast District Plan 1999

- 9 Variations 4 (A-H) have been prepared to amend the provisions of the Proposed Kāpiti Coast District Plan 2012 (Appeals version 2018) only, therefore the Operative District Plan remains unchanged.

2.2 The Proposed Kāpiti Coast District Plan 2012 (Appeals Version 2018)

- 10 The Proposed District Plan was notified on 28 November 2012 and decisions were released on 22 November 2017. There were 18 appeals on the decisions and at the time of writing this report four of these appeals are yet to be resolved. None of the proposed amendments within Variations 4(A-H) relate to matters under appeal.
- 11 The purpose of proposed Variations 4 (A-H) is to improve the efficiency and effectiveness of the PDP rules in achieving the PDP's objectives. Proposed Variations 4 (A-H) amend various rules and one policy of the Kāpiti Coast Proposed District Plan (PDP) to address interpretation difficulties and gaps that have been identified since public notification of the PDP.
- 12 Proposed Variations 4 (A-H) were notified on 23 October 2019 with submissions closing on 21 November 2019. There was one submission received and a summary of submissions was notified on 4 December 2019. There were no further submissions received.
- 13 Variation 4H (proposed amendments to Rule 7A.5.7 - retailing in the Rural Zone) had immediate legal effect from the date of notification (23 October 2019) following the Environment Court decision (Decision Number [2019] NZEnvC 169).
- 14 As no submissions were lodged on Variations (A-E) and (G-H), the rules in these Variations must be treated as operative from 19th December 2019, when the submission period had closed (s.86F(1)(a) of the RMA). The previous provision versions of the affected Rules in the PDP must be inoperative.
- 15 Therefore, under the RMA, the wording of the Rules in Variations (A-E) and (G-H) have been deemed to be accepted and the rules are currently in use for District Planning purposes. The Committee now has the role of exercising its delegations to complete the plan making process and formally adopt these provisions into the Proposed District Plan.

2.3 Objectives, provisions and rules

- 16 The Variations do not include any new objectives, rather the provisions of the Variations are derived from the existing objectives in the proposed District Plan.
- 17 One amendment is made to policy in Variation 4B as there is currently little policy guidance for managing potential effects on all natural landforms, amenity values and rural character and it has become apparent that this is a substantive gap in the policy framework that has the potential to impede achievement of Objectives 2.9 and 2.11.
- 18 The other Variations relate to changes to rules and definitions within the PDP. An assessment of the appropriateness of the method of each provision and the actual and potential effects on the environment of the rules and definitions proposed within the Variations have been evaluated in the section 32 evaluation report. This evaluation report is attached in Appendix 1.3 of this report.
- 19 Further evaluation under s32AA of the Act has also been undertaken for a recommended change to Variation 4F that has arisen since the Variation was first notified and in response to submission. This evaluation is contained within section 4 of this report. The evaluation finds that alternative methods were less effective and/or efficient in the implementation of the objectives of the Plan and the purpose of the variation.
- 20 Proposed Variations 4 (A-H) are more appropriate than the status quo at achieving the Plan's proposed objectives on the whole.

2.4 Statutory Considerations – Resource Management Act 1991

- 21 There are a number of key statutory documents which must be noted as part of considering Variations 4 (A-H).
- 22 The RMA sets out the statutory functions and duties for Councils and provides the framework for preparing and implementing changes to the District Plan. The process for preparing a plan change is set out in Schedule 1.
- 23 Part 2 of the Act sets out the purpose and principles of the Act, which underpin the exercise of Council's functions, duties, and powers.
- 24 The purpose under section 5 of the RMA is to “...*promote the sustainable management of natural and physical resources*”. In this context, sustainable management means:

Managing the use, development and protection of natural and physical resources in a way, or at a rate, which enables people and communities to provide for their social, economic, and cultural well-being and for their health and safety while [emphasis added] –

- (a) *Sustaining the potential of natural and physical resources (excluding minerals) to meet the reasonably foreseeable needs of future generations; and*
- (b) *Safeguarding the life-supporting capacity of air, water, soil and ecosystems; and*
- (c) *Avoiding, remedying, or mitigating any adverse effects of activities on the environment.*

- 25 In achieving this purpose, authorities need also to recognise and provide for the matters of national importance identified in s6, have particular regard to other matters referred to in s7 and take into account the principles of the Treaty of Waitangi referred to in s8.
- 26 The following section 6, 7 and 8 matters are relevant to the proposed plan Variations 4 (A-H):

Section	Relevant Matter
6(a)	the preservation of the natural character of the coastal environment (including the coastal marine area), wetlands, and lakes and rivers and their margins, and the protection of them from inappropriate subdivision, use, and development
6(b)	the protection of outstanding natural features and landscapes from inappropriate subdivision, use, and development:
7(b)	the efficient use and development of natural and physical resources
7(c)	the maintenance and enhancement of amenity values
7(f)	maintenance and enhancement of the quality of the environment
8	In achieving the purpose of this Act, all persons exercising functions and powers under it, in relation to managing the use, development, and protection of natural and physical resources, shall take into account the principles of the Treaty of Waitangi (Te Tiriti o Waitangi).

- 27 The purpose of proposed Variations 4 (A-H) is to improve the efficiency and effectiveness of the Proposed District Plan rules to achieve outcomes that are consistent with Part 2 of the RMA. The provisions have been developed in response to the matters in s.6(a), 6(b), 7(b), 7(c), and 7(f).

- 28 In response to Section 8 matters, the Council has a partnership approach with iwi for resource management matters. Te Whakaminenga o Kāpiti is one of the longest lasting partnerships between tāngata whenua and Local Government in New Zealand. The partners are the Kāpiti Coast District Council and the mana whenua (people with 'authority over the land') on the Kāpiti Coast: Te Āti Awa ki Whakarongotai, Ngāti Raukawa ki te Tonga, and Ngāti Toa Rangatira.
- 29 A copy of draft Variations 4 (A-H) was forwarded to each of the mana whenua iwi authorities on 10 June 2019. No response was received. Mana whenau iwi authorities were also advised of the proposed Variations (A-H) at the time of notification and no submission was received in response.
- 30 Under ss73 and 74 of the RMA the Council is required to have a district plan that is in accordance with its functions under s31 and Part 2 of the Act, in respect to Variations 4 (A-H) these specifically include:
- to achieve integrated management of the effects of the use, development or protection of land and associated natural and physical resources;
 - to ensure that there is sufficient development capacity in respect of housing and business land to meet the expected demands of the city;
 - the control of the effects of the use, development, or protection of land; including in respect of natural hazards, contaminated land and maintaining indigenous biodiversity.
- 31 Under ss73(4) and (5), the Council must amend a district plan to give effect to a regional policy statement within specified timeframes if:
- (a) *the statement contains a provision to which the plan does not give effect; and*
 - (b) *one of the following occurs:*
 - (i) *the statement is reviewed under section 79 and not changed or replaced; or*
 - (ii) *the statement is reviewed under section 79 and is changed or replaced and the change or replacement becomes operative; or*
 - (iii) *the statement is changed or varied and becomes operative.*
- 32 Under s74(b)(i), when preparing or changing a plan, a territorial authority is required to have regard to “any management plans and strategies prepared under other Acts.”
- 33 This assessment against section 74(b)(i) requirements is carried out in Section 2.9 below.
- 34 Under s74(2A) the Council:
- must take into account any relevant planning document recognised by an iwi authority and lodged with the territorial authority, to the extent*

that its content has a bearing on the resource management issues of a region.

35 An assessment against section 74(2A) requirements is carried out within section 2.9 below.

36 Section 75(3) of the RMA requires that district plans must give effect to –

- (a) *any national policy statement; and*
- (b) *any New Zealand coastal policy statement; and*
- (c) *any regional policy statement.*

37 An assessment against section 75(3) requirements is carried out within sections 2.5 – 2.7 below.

38 Under s75(4), district plans must not be inconsistent with –

- (b) *a regional plan for any matter specified in section 30(1).*

39 An assessment against section 75(4) requirements is carried out within section 2.8 below.

40 It is noted that a district plan is only one means for a council to undertake its functions under s31 of the RMA and to achieve the purpose of the RMA.

2.5 New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement

41 The purpose of the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement 2010 (NZCPS) is to state objectives and policies in order to achieve the purpose of the RMA in relation to the protection and enhancement of the coastal environment of New Zealand. The NZCPS 2010 took effect on 3 December 2010.

42 The New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement (NZCPS) has relevance to Variations 4(A-H) as many of the changes apply to residential communities located within the coastal environment. The extent of the coastal environment in the District is extensive, including all the District's main urban areas.

43 The NZCPS is most relevant to the proposed amendments to address Policy 3.14 Guidance for Earthworks Effects on Natural Landforms, Amenity Values and Rural Character. In particular, clarifying how the Proposed District Plan intends to manage the effects of earthworks on amenity values, rural character and on natural landforms that don't qualify as s.6 RMA outstanding natural features or landscapes.

44 The proposed addition to Policy 3.14 will better give effect to the above objectives and policies of the NZCPS. Objective 2 of the NZCPS is not limited to s.6 RMA outstanding natural features and landscapes. Expanding the scope of Policy 3.14, to include other natural landforms, will better accord with NZCPS Objective 2 and NZCPS Policy 4. The area of the coastal environment in the District is extensive, and includes many remnant inland

dune formations which are not identified as outstanding natural features or landscapes. Many of these remnant dunes are important characteristic landforms that contribute to the natural character of the coastal environment.

2.6 National Policy Statements

- 45 There are currently four national policy statements:
- National Policy Statement on Urban Development Capacity (2016);
 - National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management (as amended 2017);
 - National Policy Statement for Renewable Electricity Generation (2011); and
 - National Policy Statement on Electricity Transmission (2008).
- 46 The National Policy Statement on Urban Development Capacity (NPSUDC) is potentially relevant because the objectives of the NPSUDC apply to all plan making decisions affecting an urban environment. The NPS sets out the objectives for providing development capacity under the RMA. It seeks to ensure that urban environments:
- enable people and communities and future generations to provide for their social, economic, cultural and environmental wellbeing (OA1)
 - have sufficient opportunities for the development of housing and which provide choices that will meet the needs of people and communities and future generations (OA2)
 - develop and change in response to the changing needs of people and communities and future generations. (OA3)
 - enable urban development which provides for the social, economic, cultural and environmental wellbeing of people and communities and future generations in the short, medium and long-term (OC1)
 - Urban environments where land use, development, development infrastructure and other infrastructure are integrated with each other (OD1)
 - Coordinated and aligned planning decisions within and across local authority boundaries (OD2)
- 47 The NPSUDC sets out the following relevant policies for providing development capacity under the RMA.
- PA3: When making planning decisions that affect the way and the rate at which development capacity is provided, decision-makers shall provide for the social, economic, cultural and environmental wellbeing of people and communities and future generations, whilst having particular regard to:
 - a. Providing for choices that will meet the needs of people and communities and future generations for a range of

- dwelling types and locations, working environments and places to locate businesses;
 - b. Promoting the efficient use of urban land and development infrastructure and other infrastructure; and
 - c. Limiting as much as possible adverse impacts on the competitive operation of land and development markets.
- PA4: When considering the effects of urban development, decision-makers shall take into account:
 - a. The benefits that urban development will provide with respect to the ability for people and communities and future generations to provide for their social, economic, cultural and environmental wellbeing; and
 - b. The benefits and costs of urban development at a national, inter-regional, regional and district scale, as well as local effects.
- 48 Proposed Variations 4 (A-H) make no changes to the PDP provisions that influence the supply of land for urban development. Therefore, proposed Variations 4 (A-H) do not cause the PDP to be inconsistent with the NPSUDC in this respect. The proposed Variation 4D amendments to the permitted activity standards for buildings in the Working Zones have the potential to positively affect business development capacity by making the construction of buildings more viable, and this is consistent with the NPSUDC objectives and policies.
- 49 There are no other NPS relevant to Proposed Variations 4 (A-H).
- 50 The National Planning Standards (gazetted in April 2019) have effect but the Council has seven years to give effect to the requirements of the Standards and is not required to comply with the Standards in the interim. Although opportunities to give effect to any relevant parts of the NPS have been considered under Variations 4(A-H), giving full effect to the NPS will be addressed separately by a future Plan Change(s).

2.7 National Environment Standards

- 51 There are six National Environmental Standards currently in force:
- a. National Environmental Standards for Air Quality (2004);
 - b. National Environmental Standard for Sources of Drinking Water (2007);
 - c. National Environmental Standard for Telecommunication Facilities (2016);
 - d. National Environmental Standard for Electricity Transmission Activities (2009);
 - e. National Environmental Standard for Assessing and Managing Contaminants in Soil to Protect Human Health (2011); and
 - f. National Environmental Standard for Plantation Forestry (2018).

52 None of the provisions of proposed Variations 4 (A-H) conflicts with these National Environment Standards.

2.8 Regional Policy Statement

53 Section 75(3)(c) the district plan must give effect to the Regional Policy Statement for the Wellington region (WRPS). The WRPS was made operative on 24 April 2013.

54 The WRPS provides an overview of the resource management issues in the Wellington region, and the ways in which integrated management of the region's natural and physical resources will be achieved.

55 The WRPS has the following objectives and policies relevant to this Proposed Variation. The most relevant are:

- **Objective 22** which identifies a compact, well designed and sustainable regional form that has an integrated, safe and responsive transport network and in particular clauses:
 - (b) an increased range and diversity of activities in and around the regionally significant centres to maintain vibrancy and vitality;
 - (h) integrated public open spaces; and
 - (i) Integrated land use and transportation.
- **Policy 30:** Maintaining and enhancing the viability and vibrancy of regionally significant centres – district plans
- **Policy 56:** Managing development in rural areas – consideration.
- **Policy 57:** Integrating land use and transportation - consideration.
- **Policy 58:** Co-ordinating land use with development and operation of infrastructure – consideration.
- **Objective 23** which identifies that the region's iwi authorities and local authorities work together under Treaty partner principles for the sustainable management of the region's environment for the benefit and wellbeing of the regional community, both now and in the future.
- **Policy 48:** particular regard shall be given to: (a) the principles of the Treaty of Waitangi; and (b) Waitangi Tribunal reports and settlement decisions relating to the Wellington region.

56 These objectives and policies were considered in preparing Variations 4 (A-H), in addition these policies have been considered in relation to the matters raised in the submission received.

57 Proposed Variations 4 (A-H) include a number of minor changes which are not significant in terms of the regional perspective of the WRPS. However, the amendments proposed by Variation 4H are potentially affected by, and give effect to, some provisions of the WRPS.

- 58 Variation 4H seeks amendment to Rural Zone Rule 7A.5.7 to specify that retailing, that is not ancillary to primary production activities, is a non-complying activity.
- 59 This amendment gives effect to RPS Objective 22 and Policies 30 and 56 by ensuring retail activities (including large format retail) do not proliferate in rural areas, resulting in the loss of vitality and viability of the Paraparaumu Town Centre, which is identified as one of the Sub-Regional Centres of importance under Objective 22.

2.9 Management plans and strategies under any other Acts

- 60 Section 74 of the RMA requires the Council to have regard to management plans and strategies prepared under other Acts when preparing or changing its district plan.

Council Strategies

- 61 The Kapiti Coast District Council has in place the following potentially relevant strategies prepared under other legislation:
- 62 Coastal Strategy (2006) - This strategy is a guiding document which aims to ensure the community's vision to restore and enhance the wild and natural feel of the coast is achieved. The strategy focuses on the coastal margins, and aims to set out a framework for managed change over a 20-year period.
- 63 Community Facilities Strategy (2017) - The purpose this strategy is to plan for the current and future needs of the community for community facilities. The strategy also outlines a decision-making process to determine how the Council can consider future requests for facilities support.
- 64 Development Management Strategy (2007) - This strategy sets out Kāpiti Coast District Council's strategy for the management of development and settlement patterns on the Kāpiti Coast.
- 65 Economic Development Strategy 2015-18 - This strategy provides a roadmap for Council, business and the community to continue to foster a thriving economy in the District. It outlines how Council and businesses can work together to harness local knowledge, skills and resources, while setting goals to help make the best decisions.
- 66 Open Space Strategy (2012) - This strategy sets up the vision for the provision and management of open space in the Kāpiti Coast District for the next 20-50 years.
- 67 Sustainable Transport Strategy (2008) - This strategy takes a long term and realistic view of the future options and opportunities and casts that in the light of the impacts of peak oil, climate change and national and regional transport

initiatives. The strategy is concerned with reshaping the local transport system so that it has the characteristics of a sustainable system and dealing with key problems which are a barrier to sustainable outcomes.

- 68 The most relevant of these strategies is the Open Space Strategy, which identifies open spaces as important community assets for providing venues for local, regional and national events, which provide economic and social benefits to the community. The approach proposed by Variation 4F for temporary events and regular markets is consistent with the intentions of the Open Space Strategy. The amendments provide for events and regular community markets on Council-owned sites (including open spaces).

Other Council Plans

- 69 The following plans, prepared under other legislation, are also potentially relevant:
- 70 Long Term Plan 2018-38 - The long term plan 2018-38 is a blueprint for the future of our district and shows how council intends to contribute to achieving our vision of a thriving environment, vibrant economy and strong communities in Kāpiti.
- 71 The Kāpiti Coast District's Long Term Plan 2018-38 sets out 'A positive response to our distinct district identity' as one of the relevant 10 year outcomes sought for the Kāpiti Coast district. Variation 4F seeks to simplify and better enable temporary events to occur within the Kāpiti Coast district, Variation 4B seeks to better manage the effects of earthworks on natural landforms, amenity values and rural character and Variation G seeks to clarify controls on general retail activities in the Rural Zone. These Variations, in particular, help to contribute to the identity of the Kāpiti Coast district.
- 72 Reserve Management Plans - Reserve Management plans contain the overall vision for the reserves and include policies that help shape the use, management and development of our parks and reserves in Kāpiti. The Council has reserve management plans in place for nineteen reserves. Reserve management plans will be relevant to the proposed non-RMA method for managing temporary events and 'regular markets' within Council reserves, and will apply in addition to the proposed PDP rules.

Council Policies and Bylaws

- 73 The Kapiti Coast District Council has the following other policies and bylaws which are relevant to Variation 4F:
- Public Places Bylaw 2017;
 - Trading in Public Places Policy 2017; and
 - Traffic Bylaw 2010.

- 74 All of the above bylaws are highly relevant to the amendments proposed by Variation 4F to the provisions for temporary events and regular community markets.
- 75 The Public Places Bylaw and Trading in Public Places Policy provide an authorisation process for temporary events and ‘regular markets’ on Council-owned land. This authorisation process sits outside of the RMA, and therefore offers an established alternative approach to managing many events and markets in the District.
- 76 The Traffic Bylaw sets the requirements for parking and control of vehicular traffic on any road, public carpark, reserve or other public place owned by the Kapiti Coast District Council. The bylaw is therefore of importance to the proposed changes to provisions for temporary events and ‘regular markets’ where carried out on public places owned by the Council, or places under the control and management of the Council.

Planning Documents Recognised by Iwi Authorities

- 77 There are four documents recognised by iwi authorities in the Kapiti Coast District. These comprise:
- Ngāti Raukawa Ōtaki River and Catchment Iwi Management Plan 2000;
 - Nga Korero Kaupapa mo Te Taiao: Policy Statement Manual for Kapakapanui: Te Runanga O Ati Awa ki Whakarongotai Inc;
 - Te Haerenga Whakamua – A Review of the District Plan Provisions for Māori: A Vision to the Future for the Kāpiti Coast District Council District Plan Review 2009-12 – 2012; and
 - *Whakarongotai o te moana o te wai’* Kaitiakitanga Plan for Te Atiawa ki Whakarongotai (2019).
- 78 As Proposed Variations 4 (A-H) apply to the entire district, all of these documents are considered below:
- 79 Proposed Ngāti Raukawa Ōtaki River and Catchment Iwi Management Plan 2000 – The Proposed Plan establishes a vision for Ngati Raukawa exercise of Kaitiakitanga, in respect of the Otaki River and its catchments, and provides policy to guide the fulfilment of that vision. The policy is aimed at providing for the ongoing development of a comprehensive framework from which Ngati Raukawa can engage in management of the Otaki River and its resources to ensure fulfilment of its Kaitiakitanga responsibilities. Despite the status of the plan being ‘proposed’, the Council was advised by Te Runanga O Raukawa in a letter dated 1 June 2001 that the plan was made operational by the Runanga on 10 April 2001. No inconsistencies between proposed Variations 4(A-H) and the proposed Management Plan were identified.
- 80 Nga Korero Kaupapa mo Te Taiao - The document outlines the vision, intent and objectives for compliance with tikanga standards for protection and

management of the environment as determined by Te Runanga O Ati Awa ki Whakarongotai Inc with respect to disposal and treatment of effluent, stormwater runoff, heritage protection and management, and representation. The content of Variations 4(A-H) do not address any of the above matters.

- 81 Te Haerenga Whakamua - Input from tangata whenua was an important part of developing the PDP, with 23 meetings held from December 2010 through October 2012 between Council staff and a Tangata Whenua working party nominated by Te Whakaminenga o Kāpiti.
- 82 The Tāngata Whenua Working Party was established in 2010 as a mechanism for iwi to participate in the review of the District Plan and to represent the District's three iwi (Te Āti Awa ki Whakarongotai, Ngāti Raukawa ki te Tonga and Ngāti Toa Rangatira). The mandate for the working party was to review all aspects of the District Plan on behalf of Te Whakaminenga o Kāpiti and recommend to this forum the direction for iwi policy and Māori world view within this process.
- 83 This process resulted in the document Te Haerenga Whakamua being approved by Te Whakaminenga o Kāpiti in March 2012 and endorsed by Council on 27 September 2012. None of the proposed Variations 4 (A-H) provisions are identified as being inconsistent with Te Haerenga Whakamua.
- 84 Whakarongotai o te moana o te wai Kaitiakitanga Plan - This Plan identifies the key kaupapa, huanga and tikanga values, objectives and policies of Te Ātiawa ki Whakarongotai to guide kaitiakitanga. The document is internally focused, in order to support the kaitiaki practice of the iwi, but also to inform other agencies. The provisions of proposed Variations 4 (A-H) do not alter any of the provisions of Chapter 2 or 2A of the PDP as they relate to kaitiakitanga. The proposed amendment to Policy 3.14 (addressing the effects of earthworks on natural landforms) potentially enhances the policy framework enabling kaitiakitanga.
- 85 None of the provisions of proposed Variations 4 (A-H) conflicts with any of the objectives, tikanga or five-year priorities set out in Whakarongotai o te moana o te wai.

2.10 Council's functions under the RMA

- 86 Variations 4 (A-H) involves the establishment of methods to achieve integrated management of the effects of the use, development, or protection of land and associated natural and physical resources for the Kapiti Coast district. In addition, the Variations aims to control the actual or potential effects of the use, development, or protection of land.
- 87 Accordingly, the Variation is designed to accord with and assist the Council to carry out its s31 functions.

2.11 Consistency with adjacent territorial authorities

- 88 Variations 4 (A-H) all involve minor changes and corrections to the existing provisions of the Kāpiti Coast Proposed District Plan. The changes amend current provisions within the Plan to address implementation issues and improve efficiency and effectiveness of the Plan. There are no significant policy shifts or cross boundary issues that would require consistency with adjacent territorial authorities.

2.12 Process - Hearing not needed

- 89 No hearing is required in relation to Variations 4 A, B, C, D, E, G and H as no submissions were received, pursuant to Clause 8C of Schedule 1 of the RMA. As they are beyond challenge changes to rules under these variations must be treated as operative and previous rule inoperative in accordance with RMA section 86F.
- 90 One submission was received in relation to Variation 4F (temporary events provisions), from Sean Mallon, Group Manager of Infrastructure Services, Kāpiti Coast District Council on 20th November 2019.
- 91 The written submission indicated that the Council's Infrastructure Services Group wished to be heard in support of their submission. A letter has since been received formally withdrawing this request to be heard (letter from Sean Mallon dated 16 January 2020).
- 92 Following the withdrawal of the submitter's request to be heard, no hearing is necessary for Variation 4F, pursuant to Clause 8C of Schedule 1 of the RMA.

SECTION 3: CONSIDERATION OF SUBMISSION

3.1 Report evaluation

- 93 The purpose of this evaluation is to inform the Strategy and Operations Committee of the relevant information and issues regarding Variations 4 (A-H), along with recommendations on the submission received.
- 94 The recommendations contained within this report relate to the written submission received and any information accompanying that submission. I emphasise that the conclusions and recommendations made in this report are my own, based on the information to hand at the time of writing this report, and are not binding upon the Strategy and Operations Committee. It should not therefore be assumed that the Committee will reach the same conclusion.
- 95 One submission was received in total. The submission sought amendments to Variation 4F to improve the way traffic impacts are considered under the proposed permitted activity standards for temporary events, including:
- i. Issue 1 – increasing the limits for vehicle movements associated with temporary events and changing the measurement of vehicle movements within the permitted activity standards
 - ii. Issue 2 – greater clarity for on-site car parking requirements for different types of temporary events.
 - iii. Issue 3 – introducing a requirement for a Traffic Management Plan for all temporary events to be prepared and submitted to Council to cover specific traffic related matters in relation to traffic control of temporary events.
- 96 The following evaluation should be read in conjunction with the summary of the submission and the submission itself (Appendix 1.2).
- 97 For assessment purposes, a copy of Proposed Variations 4 (A-H), as notified, is included in Appendix 1.1 of this report.

3.2 Variation 4F – Limit and measurement of traffic impacts

3.2.1 Matters Raised by Submitter

- 98 Submission 1, Sean Mallon, Group Manager of Infrastructure Services, Kāpiti Coast District Council supported Variations 4F. A copy of the submission is included in Appendix 1.2 of this report.

- 99 The submitter sought specific amendments to the wording of Variation F temporary events, in particular to Rule 12B.1.1, permitted activity standard 10 relating to the measurement and limit of vehicle movements. The overall reason for the requested amendments were to further enable temporary events as a permitted activity, and improve clarity and consistency of these provisions.
- 100 The original issue with the Temporary Events standard 10 was identified within the s.32 report as being:
- Measurement of the per hour number of vehicle movements - this did not reflect events where attendance occurred at a particular time rather than over the course of a day.
 - Limit of 50 vehicle movements per hour standard – was assessed as being unduly restrictive for temporary events.
 - Monitoring of the number of per hour vehicle movements – was considered to be difficult to monitor and would require many staff hours to enforce on a consistent basis.
- 101 Proposed standard 10, as notified in Variation 4F, reads as follows (underlining shows proposed additional text, ~~strikethrough~~ shows proposed deletion):
- Traffic*
10. Traffic expected to be generated by the temporary event must not exceed of 50 vehicle movements in any one hour or 800 vehicle movements per day, whichever is greater.
- 102 Submission 1 requests that standard 10 is re-written, as follows:
10. Patrons expected to attend the event must not exceed 450 in any hour up to a maximum of 3,600 people per day.
- Note: this equates to a maximum of 150 vehicle movements per hour or 1,200 vehicle movements per day based on an assumption of 1 car per 6 patrons.
- 103 The submission proposes that the limit for the permitted activity standard is changed and effectively raised from the existing 50 vehicle movements per hour or 800 vehicle movements per day, to a proposed 150 vehicle movements per hour or 1,200 vehicle movements per day. The submissions states that 150 vehicle movements per hour can easily be accommodated within the existing legal road network.
- 104 To further support this requested change to the limits for traffic movements the submitter has also provided an analysis of the information contained

within temporary event forms that Council has received between October 2018 to November 2019. This analysis concludes that the current and proposed limits (as notified) would be set too low for a permitted activity standard, resulting in events that had previously been held with no traffic issues now requiring a resource consent for traffic impacts. Raising the maximum limit to 1,200 vehicle movements per day (or 3,600 people per day based on 6 patrons per car) would mean that the vast majority (98%) of the temporary events analysed would now meet the permitted activity standards for vehicle movements generated and would not trigger the need for resource consent.

- 105 One of the reasons provided by the submitter for the proposed changes is that the wording in the notified version of standard 10 was thought to be confusing and difficult to interpret, and the revised wording was suggested to be more enabling and consistent with other rules in the plan.
- 106 The change from 'vehicle movements' as a measurement of traffic impact to 'patrons attending' was justified in the submission because it was thought that event organisers typically work on the basis of number of visitors and do not fully understand how to apply the definition of vehicle movements in the PDP to temporary events.

3.2.2 Assessment

- 107 The specific amendments sought to Variation 4F, Rule 12B.1.1, permitted activity standard 10 are addressed in detail below.
- 108 The submission also requests that the permitted activity standard 10 which specifies the limit for people attending/vehicle movements is raised to enable more temporary activities to be considered under the permitted activity category. I consider the evidence and analysis provided from the submitter on traffic movements of temporary events held in the previous 12-month period (October 2018 - November 2019) to be compelling. I note that a number of the events in the information provided didn't specify the vehicle movements as the information was not provided on the temporary event form. However, the information provided does still provide useful insight into the scale and impact of the temporary events occurring in the District and the impact of the Variation 4F permitted activity provisions.
- 109 I also note that there have been very few traffic complaints received by Council in relation to temporary events across the same time period. The submission states that the change to 150 vehicle movements per hour can be easily accommodated without impacting on the functioning of the legal road network for the District. As the submitter is the road controlling authority for the District and is ultimately responsible for ensuring a safe and efficient local road network, I accept this assertion.

- 110 I also agree with the request to use both the measurements of ‘vehicle movements’ and ‘patrons attending’. In my view this approach will provide some clarity to users of the Proposed District Plan when considering the temporary events provisions, although it is my view that consistently using the term ‘people’ rather than ‘patrons’ would be even clearer. The wording of the subsequent note beneath standard 10 provides an opportunity to explain how the number of attendees relates and converts to the vehicle movement standards.
- 111 The text proposed in the written submission slightly alters the intent of standard 10 in that an hourly limit is described up to a maximum amount. This is different to the standard that was proposed and notified which provided an hourly limit or maximum limit, whichever was greater. It is my view that the use of ‘or’ provides the greatest flexibility to reflect the varied nature of the temporary events. I note that the submitter has also used the term ‘or’ in the note explaining the standard and I recommend that this is retained.
- 112 On this basis, I concur with the submitter that raising the permitted activity standard threshold in standard 10 in relation to vehicle movements and providing both the number of vehicle movements and the number of people attending the event would be appropriate. I consider the changes proposed within the submission would achieve an appropriate balance of enabling temporary events to occur within an acceptable envelope of traffic impacts.

3.2.3 Recommendations

- 113 I recommend that the written submission seeking amendments to the wording of Variation 4F, Rule 12B.1.1, permitted activity standard 10 is **accepted in part**.
- 114 For greater clarity for standard 10, I recommend retaining the vehicle movements measurement in the standard but incorporating the number of people comparison in the ‘note’ below. Raising the levels of permissible traffic movements/people attending the events will enable a more balanced approach to managing traffic effects for temporary events. I have also recommended additional explanatory text to the note to provide greater clarity to plan users.
- 115 I therefore recommend the following changes to the wording for standard 10 should be as follows:
- Traffic
10. Traffic expected to be generated by the *temporary event* must not exceed ~~of 50~~ 150 *vehicle movements* in any one hour or ~~800~~ 1,200 *vehicle movements* per day, whichever is greater.

Note: For the purpose of estimating vehicle movements under this standard, these vehicle movement thresholds This equates to up to 450 people attending the event in any hour or 3,600 people attending per day, whichever is greater, based on an assumption of 1 car per 6 people.

3.3 Variation 4F – Parking for temporary events

3.3.1 Matters Raised by Submitters

116 In submission 1, S Mallon, Group Manager of Infrastructure Services, Kāpiti Coast District Council proposes amendments to permitted activity standard 11, proposed under Rule 12B.1.1, as follows:

11. Parking for the event must comply with the following:
 - a) For temporary events (such as performances) which have a specific start and finish time parking must be provided as entertainment activities in accordance with rule 11P.1.10.
 - b) Parking for the all other events must be accommodated provided on the site of the temporary event or by other off-street parking arrangements shown in the transport management plan to accommodate expected patrons per hour.

117 The submitter states that the amendments will recognise and seek to better manage the effects that different events will have on the network. In particular, the intent of the submission is to better address the impacts of temporary events on traffic and transportation where visitors arrive at a site all at once and leave at the same time.

3.3.2 Assessment

118 I concur with the intent of the submission, in that different types of events generate different types of traffic effects and should be managed accordingly. The submission highlights the difference between the traffic effects of an event that commences and finishes at a single point in time (and therefore all people arrive and depart at the same time) when compared to an event that runs across a defined time period and people have flexibility of when they attend and depart the event within that time period.

119 The second set of changes suggested in the submission requires that the parking arrangements must be outlined within a transport management plan and must be designed to accommodate the number of people attending per hour. A separate newly proposed standard (see 3.6.1 of this report) requires the transport management plan to be provided to Council.

120 I note that the submission proposes two new standards for permitted activity Rule 12B.1.1 to address traffic effects for temporary events. One relates to addressing on-site car parking and the other relates to traffic management

planning (predominantly on-site measures). On-site car parking provisions and traffic control measures for temporary events were not proposed to change under Variation 4F. Variation 4F sought to address the impact of vehicle movements on the operating legal road network only.

- 121 Although the issues raised are valid on-site parking considerations, I consider they fall out of scope of proposed Variation 4F and accordingly cannot be considered. Variation 4F sought to address vehicle movements to and from temporary events within the existing legal road network and did not suggest any changes to the parking provisions. Changes to parking provisions were not evaluated and members of the public did not have adequate opportunity to consider and comment on changes to the parking standard. Legal advice has been sought and has confirmed that this point of the submission be considered to be outside of the scope of Variation 4F.

3.3.3 Recommendations

- 122 I recommend that the content of the additional permitted activity standard 11, proposed in the submission received, is out of scope for this Variation. Rule 12B.1.1, standard 11 remains unchanged in the Proposed District Plan. Accordingly, I recommend this part of the submission be **rejected**.

3.4 Variation 4F – Transport Management Plan

3.4.1 Matters Raised by Submitters

- 123 Submission 1 from Sean Mallon, Group Manager of Infrastructure Services, Kāpiti Coast District Council proposes a new permitted activity standard 12, proposed under Rule 12B.1.1, as follows:

12. A Transport Management Plan must accompany the *management plan* required by standard 8 above setting out the methods by which compliance with the standards 10 and 11 will be achieved. The Management Plan must identify:
- number of people expected;
 - vehicle access, servicing and car parking arrangements;
 - any temporary traffic management measures on *legal road*;
 - cycle and pedestrian access and (including cycling parking);
 - any methods to encourage the use of alternative transport modes (such as public transport, cycling and walking); and
 - complaints procedures.

3.4.2 Assessment

- 124 The proposed standard from Submitter 1 seeks that the anticipated traffic effects of the temporary event are documented through a transport management plan. This document will demonstrate the event organiser's

ability to comply with the required traffic permitted activity standard. This management plan approach is not unique; in fact, it is proposed that the transport management plan should be submitted as part of a management plan already required under standard 8 (relating to managing noise effects). The transport management plan would be subject to the same requirements under existing standard 8, e.g. be submitted to Council 10 working days prior to the event.

- 125 My view is that the issues raised are valid traffic event management considerations but they fall out of scope of proposed Variation 4F. Variation 4F sought to improve an existing provision in the District Plan that managed vehicle movements for temporary events within the existing legal road network. The submission seeks changes to deal with a range of matters beyond vehicle movements. Legal advice has been sought and has confirmed that this point of the submission is outside of the scope of Variation 4F, and therefore cannot be considered.

3.4.3 Recommendations

- 126 I recommend that the additional permitted activity standard 12, proposed in the submission received is out of scope for Variation 4F. Rule 12B.1.1, standard 12 remains unchanged in the Proposed District Plan. Accordingly, I recommend this part of the submission be **rejected**.

3.5 Conclusion on the Variation provisions

- 127 I consider that the one submission on Variation 4F should be accepted in part and that provisions in chapter 12 of the Proposed District Plan be amended as set out in section 5 below. My reasons for this are set out in Sections 3.2 - 3.4 of this report.
- 128 Variations 4 (A-E) and (G-H) received no submissions from the public and are therefore currently being treated as operative in accordance with RMA section 86F, as of 19 December 2019. This report recommends that these Variations are all formally accepted with no amendments.
- 129 My overall conclusion is that Proposed Variations 4 (A-E), (F – as amended) and (G-H) will be efficient and effective in achieving the purpose of the RMA, the purpose of the variations, the relevant objectives of this plan and other relevant statutory documents as discussed in this report.

SECTION 4: S32AA EVALUATION OF CHANGES

- 130 Section 32AA of the RMA requires that a proposal is further evaluated for any changes that have been made to a proposal since the previous evaluation report was undertaken. The proposal must be undertaken at a level of detail that corresponds to the scale and significance of the change.
- 131 The previous evaluation report outlined that the main issue relevant to Variation 4F and the temporary event permitted activity standards in the Proposed District Plan was:
- 132 *The vehicle movement limit of 50 vehicle movements per hour was not practical for temporary events where most people show up at a particular time as opposed to showing up over the course of a few hours. The standard is also difficult to monitor and enforce.*
- 133 In response, an amendment was proposed to simplify the standards applicable to temporary events, making them more achievable for most temporary events, as follows:
- 134 Amend Rule 12B.1.1 – Standard 10 as follows:
- Traffic*
10. *Traffic expected to be generated by the temporary event must not exceed of 50 vehicle movements in any one hour or 800 vehicle movements per day, whichever is greater.*
- 135 The amendment under Variation 4F introduced a ‘per day’ limit option for vehicle movements which introduced flexibility to better accommodate the nature of temporary events.
- 136 The proposed amendments were assessed as having low scale and significance of effects and the evaluation approach was simplified: the option was compared with a single alternative option (the status quo PDP provisions) and the evaluation was made at a broad district-wide level.
- 137 The s32 report concludes that the changes proposed in Variation 4F are the most appropriate way to achieve the PDP objectives, by comparison with the reasonably practicable alternative approaches. In achieving the PDP

objectives, the proposed amendments will also contribute to achieving the sustainable management purpose of the RMA.

- 138 Following analysis of the submission received, further changes to Variation 4F are recommended as follows:

Traffic

10. Traffic expected to be generated by the *temporary event* must not exceed ~~of 50~~ 150 *vehicle movements* in any one hour or ~~800~~ 1,200 *vehicle movements* per day, whichever is greater.

Note: For the purpose of estimating *vehicle movements* under this standard, these *vehicle movement* thresholds equate to up to 450 people attending the event in any hour or 3,600 people attending per day, whichever is greater, based on an assumption of 1 car per 6 people.

- 139 The proposed changes will increase the permitted activity limit for vehicle movements association with temporary effects and provide an informative note that provide a conversion of vehicle movements to number of people attending the event to enable better understanding of the standard.
- 140 The first change will allow for a significant proportion of temporary events to occur within permitted activity vehicle movement standards. Council's Infrastructure Services Group have stated that the legal road network is able to accommodate the new hourly vehicle movement limits.
- 141 The second change will provide greater clarity for plan users in understanding how to interpret and apply the vehicle movement plan requirements. Event organisers will better understand how many people can attend the event and how the Council converts that figure into vehicle movements.
- 142 The two changes have been evaluated and will:
- provide a more practical basis for managing the actual and potential adverse effects of temporary events
 - further remove obstacles to permitted activity temporary events and remove the need for (and cost of) resource consents.
 - better enable the economic and employment benefits of temporary events.
 - be straightforward and readily able to be implemented, with no administrative PDP inefficiency.
 - enhance the ability to achieve the PDP community wellbeing objectives.
- 143 Further evaluation under s32AA shows the changes to the proposed amendments do not affect the conclusions of the s32 evaluation.

- 144 Overall, I consider that the changes will be efficient and effective in achieving the purpose of the RMA, the relevant objectives of this plan and other relevant statutory documents for the reasons set out in the Section 32 & 32AA analysis undertaken and the assessment included in Section 3 of this report.

SECTION 5: OVERALL RECOMMENDATION

- 145 Based on the analysis contained within this report, the submission received, the Section 32 report and the evaluation of changes under s32AA and other relevant statutory matters, it is recommended that the Strategy and Operations Committee approve Variations 4 (A-H) as follows:
- 146 Approve the following Variations with no changes (as shown in Appendix 1.1 but excluding Variation 4F):
- Variation 4A - Chapter 1: Review of a number of defined Terms.
 - Variation 4B – Chapter 3: Review of Earthworks Policies
 - Variation 4C – Chapter 5: Changes to Living Zone
 - Variation 4D – Chapter 6 Changes to the Working Zone
 - Variation 4E – Changes to Traffic and Transportation related rules
 - Variation 4G – Amendments to Rule 11P.1.2 parking requirements for Residential Activities.
 - Variation 4H – Amend Rural Zone Rule 7A.5.7 to clarify the activity status of general retail activities in the Rural Zone.
- 147 Approve with amendment:
- Variation 4F – Amendments to temporary event provisions.
- 148 The recommended amendment to Variation 4F is to Rule 12B.1.1, Standard 10 of the Proposed District Plan, is as follows:

Table 12B.1. Permitted Activities

The following activities are permitted activities, provided that they comply with all corresponding permitted activity standards in this table, and all relevant rules and permitted activity standards in other chapters (unless otherwise specified).

Permitted Activities Standards

...

Traffic

10. Traffic expected to be generated by the *temporary event* must not exceed ~~of 50~~ 150 *vehicle movements* in any one hour or ~~800~~ 1,200 *vehicle movements* per day, whichever is greater.

Note: For the purpose of estimating *vehicle movements* under this standard, these *vehicle movement* thresholds equate to up to 450 people attending the event in any hour or 3,600 people attending per day, whichever is greater, based on an assumption of 1 car per 6 people.

SECTION 6: RECOMMENDATIONS ON SUBMISSION

Submission for Variation 4F – Temporary Events

Submission number	Name	Support/ oppose/ seek amendment	Decision sought	Further submission	Recommendation
1	Kāpiti Coast District Council	Support and seek amendment	<p>Amend Rule 12B.1.1 – standard 10 as follows:</p> <p>Traffic</p> <p>10. Traffic expected to be generated by the temporary event must not exceed of 50 vehicle movements in any one hour or 800 vehicle movements per day, whichever is greater.</p> <p>Insert new standards 10, 11 and 12 as follows:</p> <p>10. Patrons expected to attend the event must not exceed 450 in any hour up to a maximum of 3,600 people per day.</p> <p><u>Note: this equates to a maximum of 150 vehicle movements per hour or 1,200 vehicle movements per day based on an assumption of 1 car per 6 patrons.</u></p>	None	<p>Accept in part and amend Rule 12B.1.1 – standard 10 as follows:</p> <p>Traffic</p> <p>10. Traffic expected to be generated by the <i>temporary event</i> must not exceed of 50 <u>150</u> vehicle movements in any one hour or 800 <u>1,200</u> vehicle movements per day, whichever is greater.</p> <p><u>Note: For the purpose of estimating vehicle movements under this standard, these vehicle movement thresholds equate to up to 450 people attending the event in any hour or 3,600 people attending per day, whichever is greater, based on an assumption of 1 car per 6 people.</u></p>

Submission number	Name	Support/ oppose/ seek amendment	Decision sought	Further submission	Recommendation
			<p>Amend Rule 12B.1.1 – introduce new standard 11 as follows:</p> <p><u>11. Parking for the event must comply with the following:</u></p> <p>a) <u>For temporary events (such as performances) which have a specific start and finish time parking must be provided as entertainment activities in accordance with rule 11P.1.10.</u></p> <p>b) 2- <u>Parking for the all other events must be accommodated provided on the site of the temporary event or by other off-street arrangements shown in the transport management plan to accommodate expected patrons per hour.</u></p>	None	<p>Reject. Request is out of scope of Variation 4F.</p> <p>Rule 12B.1.1, standard 11 remains unchanged in the Proposed District Plan.</p>
			<p>Amend Rule 12B.1.1 – introduce new standard 12 as follows:</p> <p><u>12. A Transport Management Plan must accompany the management plan required by standard 8 above setting out the methods by which compliance with standards 10 and 11 will be</u></p>	None	<p>Reject. Request is out of scope of Variation 4F.</p> <p>Rule 12B.1.1, standard 12 remains unchanged in the Proposed District Plan.</p>

Submission number	Name	Support/ oppose/ seek amendment	Decision sought	Further submission	Recommendation
			<p><u>achieved. The Management Plan must identify:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Number of people expected;</u> • <u>Vehicle access, servicing and car parking arrangements;</u> • <u>Any temporary traffic management measures on <i>legal road</i>;</u> • <u>Cycle and pedestrian access and (including cycle parking);</u> • <u>Any methods to encourage the use of alternative transport modes (such as public transport, cycling and walking); and</u> • <u>Complaints procedures.</u> 		

APPENDICES

Appendix 1.1 - Variations 4 (A-H), as notified (23rd October 2019)

Appendix 1.2 – Submission received from Sean Mallon, Group Manager Infrastructure Services, Kāpiti Coast District Council, and summary of the submission.

Appendix 1.3 - Section 32 Evaluation Report (September 2019)