# Further submission in support of, or in opposition to, submission on notified proposed plan change



#### About preparing a further submission on a proposed plan change

### You must use the prescribed form

- <u>Clause 8</u>, Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) requires further submissions to be on the prescribed form.
- The prescribed form is set out in <u>Form 6</u>, Schedule 1 of the Resource Management (Forms, Fees, and Procedure) Regulations 2003.
- This template is based on Form 6. While you do not have to use this template, your submission must be in accordance with Form 6.

### Certain persons may make further submissions

- Under clause 8, Schedule 1 of the RMA the following persons may make a further submission, in the prescribed form, on a proposed plan to the relevant local authority:
  - o any person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest
  - o any person that has an interest in the proposed policy statement or plan greater than the interest that the general public has
  - o the local authority itself.
- You will need to explain why you meet one of these categories (space is provided in the form for this below).

#### Your further submission and contact details will be made publicly available

• <u>Section 352</u> of the RMA allows you to choose your email to be your address for service. If you select this option, you can also request your postal address be withheld from being publicly available. To choose this option please tick the relevant boxes below.

### Note to person making the submission

 A copy of your further submission must be served on the original submitter within 5 working days after it is served on the local authority (Kāpiti Coast District Council).

## Reasons why a further submission may be struck out

Please note that your further submission (or part of your further submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the further submission (or part of the further submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further
- it contains offensive language
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

#### To Kāpiti Coast District Council

Further Submission in Support of (or Opposition to) a Submission on Proposed Plan Change to the Operative Kapiti Coast District Plan 2021

Plan Change Number: Private Plan Change 4

Plan Change Name: Welhom Developments

Further submitter details	
Full name of person making further submission: Katherine Penelope Corich	
Contact person (name and designation, if applicable):	
Postal address (or alternative method of service under section 352 of the RMA):	
<b>Telephone:</b> +64 21 223 8917	
Electronic address for service of person making further submission (i.e. email):	
kcorich@sysdoc.co.nz katherine.corich@sysdoc.co.uk	
I would like my address for service to be my email [select box if applicable]	<b>✓</b>
I have selected email as my address for service, and I would also like my postal address withheld from being publicly available [select box if applicable]	<b>✓</b>
State whether you are [select appropriate box]	
a person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest.	
a person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has.	<b>~</b>
In this case, also please explain the grounds for saying that you come within this category Adjacent Property  Please note that I am submitting in my capacity as Executor of the Estate of Penelope Ann Corich.	
the local authority for the relevant area.	
Scope of further submission	
I support   ✓ oppose the submission of: [select the appropriate wording]	
Original Submitter's Name and Address for Service:  Katherine Corich 181 Otaihanga Road	
Submission number of original submission:	

	the submission I support (or oppose) are:
provisions of the propo	parts of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant osal. While it is not a requirement, it would be helpful if you could state the ber as listed in the summary of decisions requested document.
	of Penelope Ann Corich, I support the Welhom Developments Proposed Plan Change 4.
	quality housing, notably for an ageing population, and this proposal will contirubute to this
goal.	
	Continue on a conserts about if necessary
	Continue on a separate sheet it necessary
	Continue on a separate sneet il necessary
The reasons for my	
-	Continue on a separate sheet if necessary support (or opposition) are:
[give reasons]	support (or opposition) are:
[ <i>give reasons</i> ] (CDC has re-zoned seve	support (or opposition) are:  eral areas of the region and as an adjacent neighbouring property, it is logical that a plan
[ <i>give reasons</i> ] (CDC has re-zoned seve	support (or opposition) are:  eral areas of the region and as an adjacent neighbouring property, it is logical that a plan
[ <i>give reasons</i> ] (CDC has re-zoned seve	support (or opposition) are:  eral areas of the region and as an adjacent neighbouring property, it is logical that a plan
[ <i>give reasons</i> ] CDC has re-zoned seve	support (or opposition) are:  eral areas of the region and as an adjacent neighbouring property, it is logical that a plan
[ <i>give reasons</i> ] CDC has re-zoned seve	support (or opposition) are:  eral areas of the region and as an adjacent neighbouring property, it is logical that a plan
give reasons] CDC has re-zoned seve	support (or opposition) are:  eral areas of the region and as an adjacent neighbouring property, it is logical that a plan
give reasons] CDC has re-zoned seve	support (or opposition) are:  eral areas of the region and as an adjacent neighbouring property, it is logical that a plan
give reasons] CDC has re-zoned seve	support (or opposition) are:  eral areas of the region and as an adjacent neighbouring property, it is logical that a plan
[ <i>give reasons</i> ] CDC has re-zoned seve	support (or opposition) are:  eral areas of the region and as an adjacent neighbouring property, it is logical that a plan
give reasons] CDC has re-zoned seve	support (or opposition) are:  eral areas of the region and as an adjacent neighbouring property, it is logical that a plan
[ <i>give reasons</i> ] CDC has re-zoned seve	support (or opposition) are:  eral areas of the region and as an adjacent neighbouring property, it is logical that a plan
[ <i>give reasons</i> ] CDC has re-zoned seve	support (or opposition) are:  eral areas of the region and as an adjacent neighbouring property, it is logical that a plan
[ <i>give reasons</i> ] (CDC has re-zoned seve	support (or opposition) are:  eral areas of the region and as an adjacent neighbouring property, it is logical that a plan
[ <i>give reasons</i> ] (CDC has re-zoned seve	support (or opposition) are:  eral areas of the region and as an adjacent neighbouring property, it is logical that a plan
[ <i>give reasons</i> ] (CDC has re-zoned seve	support (or opposition) are:  eral areas of the region and as an adjacent neighbouring property, it is logical that a plan
[ <i>give reasons</i> ] (CDC has re-zoned seve	support (or opposition) are:  eral areas of the region and as an adjacent neighbouring property, it is logical that a plan
[ <i>give reasons</i> ] (CDC has re-zoned seve	support (or opposition) are:  eral areas of the region and as an adjacent neighbouring property, it is logical that a plan
[ <i>give reasons</i> ] (CDC has re-zoned seve	support (or opposition) are:  eral areas of the region and as an adjacent neighbouring property, it is logical that a plan
[ <i>give reasons</i> ] (CDC has re-zoned seve	support (or opposition) are:  eral areas of the region and as an adjacent neighbouring property, it is logical that a plan
[ <i>give reasons</i> ] (CDC has re-zoned seve	support (or opposition) are:  eral areas of the region and as an adjacent neighbouring property, it is logical that a plan
[ <i>give reasons</i> ] (CDC has re-zoned seve	support (or opposition) are:  eral areas of the region and as an adjacent neighbouring property, it is logical that a plan
[ <i>give reasons</i> ] (CDC has re-zoned seve	support (or opposition) are:  eral areas of the region and as an adjacent neighbouring property, it is logical that a plan
[ <i>give reasons</i> ] (CDC has re-zoned seve	support (or opposition) are:  eral areas of the region and as an adjacent neighbouring property, it is logical that a plan
[give reasons]	support (or opposition) are:  eral areas of the region and as an adjacent neighbouring property, it is logical that a plan

Continue on a separate sheet if necessary

I seek that [select ap	propriate box]					
The whole ✓ or part	of the original submission b	e allowed	disallowed			
[describe precisely whi	ch part below]					
			Continue (	on a s	separate sheet if nece	essary
Hearing Submission	s [select appropriate box]					
I wish to be heard in su	pport of my further submissior	า.				<b>√</b>
I do not wish to be heard in support of my further submission.				$\overline{\Box}$		
If you wish to be heard	, please tick one of the followi	ng				
If others make a similar	submission, I will consider pr	esenting a jo	int case wit	h the	m at a hearing.	П
If others make a similar hearing.	submission, I will not conside	er presenting	a joint case	with	them at a	
			3/2025			
Signature of person ma (or person authorised to of person making further		Date				
A signature is not requir	ed if you make your submission	on by electro	nic means.			
Email your further subm post/deliver to:  Attn: District Planning T	ission to <u>district.planning@ka</u>	piticoast.govt			office use only her submission No:	
Kāpiti Coast District Co 175 Rimu Road Paraparaumu 5032						