Further submission in support of, or in opposition to, submission on notified proposed plan change



About preparing a further submission on a proposed plan change

You must use the prescribed form

- <u>Clause 8</u>, Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) requires further submissions to be on the prescribed form.
- The prescribed form is set out in <u>Form 6</u>, Schedule 1 of the Resource Management (Forms, Fees, and Procedure) Regulations 2003.
- This template is based on Form 6. While you do not have to use this template, your submission must be in accordance with Form 6.

Certain persons may make further submissions

- Under clause 8, Schedule 1 of the RMA the following persons may make a further submission, in the prescribed form, on a proposed plan to the relevant local authority:
 - any person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest
 - o any person that has an interest in the proposed policy statement or plan greater than the interest that the general public has
 - o the local authority itself.
- You will need to explain why you meet one of these categories (space is provided in the form for this below).

Your further submission and contact details will be made publicly available

Section 352 of the RMA allows you to choose your email to be your address for service. If you select this option, you can also request your postal address be withheld from being publicly available. To choose this option please tick the relevant boxes below.

Note to person making the submission

 A copy of your further submission must be served on the original submitter within 5 working days after it is served on the local authority (Kāpiti Coast District Council).

Reasons why a further submission may be struck out

Please note that your further submission (or part of your further submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the further submission (or part of the further submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further
- it contains offensive language
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

To Kāpiti Coast District Council

Further Submission in Support of (or Opposition to) a Submission on Proposed Plan Change to the Operative Kapiti Coast District Plan 2021

Plan Change Number: 2

Plan Change Name: Intensification

Further submitter details	
Full name of person making further submission: William Harry George Lambert	
Contact person (name and designation, if applicable):	
Postal address (or alternative method of service under section 352 of the RMA): newpantsnewshoes@gmail.com	
Telephone: +18572052915	
Electronic address for service of person making further submission (i.e. email): newpantsnewshoes@gmail.com	
I would like my address for service to be my email [select box if applicable]	V
I have selected email as my address for service, and I would also like my postal address withheld from being publicly available [select box if applicable]	/
State whether you are [select appropriate box]	
a person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest.	
In this case, also please specify the grounds for saying that you come within this category	
a person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has.	V
In this case, also please explain the grounds for saying that you come within this category	
Reside at Rangihiroa St Waikanae Beach.	
the local authority for the relevant area.	
Scope of further submission	
I support oppose ✓ the submission of: [select the appropriate wording]	
Original Submitter's Name and Address for Service:	
Oppose Kainga Ora and Support in Part Greater Wellington Regional Council	
Submission number of original submission: 122.112 and S097.01	

Particular parts of the submission I support (or oppose) are:

Clearly indicate which parts of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal. While it is not a requirement, it would be helpful if you could state the submission point number as listed in the summary of decisions requested document.

Oppose All of Kainga Ora

S097.01 - GWRC

S097.04 - GWRC - support in part - stormwater needs to be managed and allowed for as a qualifying matter and a qualifying matter precinct that aligns with natural hazards including inundation should adopted.

S097.06 - GWRC - support in part - climate change - amend PC 2 to introduce a qualifying zone to allow for managed retreat and risk of natural hazards including inundation.

S097.15 - GWRC - support in part - Natural Hazards - amend PC 2 to introduce a qualifying zone to allow for managed retreat and risk of natural hazards including inundation.

S097.21 - GWRC - support in part - Natural Hazards - amend PC 2 to introduce a qualifying zone to allow for managed retreat and risk of natural hazards including inundation.

S097.22 - GWRC - support if it is suggesting MDRS be excluded from the DP by way of a qualifying zone for natural hazards including stormwater so that intensification occurs in appropriate areas.

S097.28 - GWRC - support in part - intensification should only occur where there is sufficient three waters network capacity, including stormwater disposal.

S097.36 - GWRC - special character - support GWRC and special character should be a qualifying matter precinct.

S097.46 - GWRC - coastal qualifying matter precinct - support GWRC and the precinct should be enlarged to the entire coastal zone until KCDC has an effects based response to all matters of natural hazards in the coastal environment.

Continue on a separate sheet if necessary

The reasons for my support (or opposition) are:

_		 _	_	_		-	_	_		-	_	_	_	_	_
_	-		_												
	aive														

Department of Internal Affairs entitled "Vulnerable Communities Exposed to Flood Hazard" August 2022, and for the reasons identified in the further submissions of Glen Wiggs, John Tocker and Andrew Hazelton.

For the reasons provided in my primary submission, and for the reasons identified in the report prepared by the

Continue on a separate sheet if necessary

I seek that [select appropriate box]		
The whole ✓ or part of the original submissi	on be allowed disallow	red 🗸
[describe precisely which part below]		
Disallow Kainga Ora in whole.		
Allow GWRC in part.		
	Continu	
	Continu	ue on a separate sheet if necessary
Hearing Submissions [select appropriate bo	<u>ox]</u>	
I wish to be heard in support of my further submis	ssion.	✓
I do not wish to be heard in support of my further	submission.	
If you wish to be heard, please tick one of the fol	llowing	
If others make a similar submission, I will conside	er presenting a joint case	with them at a hearing.
If others make a similar submission, I will not con	nsider presenting a joint ca	se with them at a
hearing.		
	23 Noven	nber
Signature of person making a further submission	Date	
(or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making further submission)		
	, , , .	
A signature is not required if you make your subm	nission by electronic mear	S.
	Dismitian and many	
Email your further submission to <u>district.planning@</u> post/deliver to:	wkapiticoast.govt.nz or	For office use only
	Further submission No: S193.FS.1	
Attn: District Planning Team Kāpiti Coast District Council		0130.1 0.1

175 Rimu Road Paraparaumu 5032 From: William Lambert

To: <u>Mailbox - District Planning</u>

Subject: Further submissions on the proposed Plan Change 2: Intensification (PC2).

Date: Thursday, 24 November 2022 4:49:34 am

Attachments: form-6 further submission 23.11.2022-LambertWHG.pdf

To Whom it May Concern

Please find my further submission in opposition to Plan Change 2 Intensification (PC2).

Regards

William Lambert