


For office use only
Submission No: 216


Q1. **Full name of Submitter:** Allison Webber

Q2. **Contact person (name and designation, if applicable):** Allison Webber

Q3. **Postal address (or alternative method of service under section 352 of the RMA):**
136 The Parade

Q4. **Telephone:** 021465678

Q5. **Electronic address for service of submitter (i.e. email):** alliewebber@outlook.com

Q6. **I would like my address for service to be:** my email

Q7. **I have selected email as my address for service, and I would also like my postal address withheld from being publicly available** No

Q8. **The specific provisions of the proposed plan change that my submission relates to are: [give details]**

Proposal to increase height restrictions in and around Paekakariki village and the Paekakariki railway station to create more available space for housing near a transport hub.

Q9. **My submission is: [include whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended; and reasons for your views]**

I oppose this proposition because I believe it will targets the 'wrong' end of the market. The people who are currently 'locked out' of living in Paekakariki (because it is unaffordable) are largely low income families and mana whenua. (ten years ago 64 members of the Ngati Haumia hapu lived in the village, now there are only 4). This is shocking and speaks volumes to the need to address this issue. I don't believe the creation of high-rise apartments around the railway station will solve this problem. They are likely to be unaffordable and probably not suitable for the families wanting and needing them. This proposition is a 'one size fits all' and won't solve housing problems in Paekakariki. KCDC needs to look for solutions at the northern edge of the village, where the urban fringe connects to Queen Elizabeth Park and the Paekakariki Community Reserve. I realize this is outside the scope of this plan change. Further, I also submit that increased building heights and intensification in and around our town centre are completely inappropriate for the size and scale of a village with approximately 900 inhabitants. These new buildings will case a shadow over the existing village centre and impact the quality of street life people currently enjoy. The vibe of Paekakariki is centred on a relaxed casual way of life where people 'hang out with each other, shop and have coffee, hopefully in the sunshine. Increased building heights will cast a pall over this.

Q10. **I seek the following decision from the Kāpiti Coast District Council: [give precise details]**

I would like building heights in Paekakariki to stay at existing levels and encourage the Council to engage in a new planning initiative to expand opportunities for housing at the norther end of the village.

Q11. **Hearing Submissions [select appropriate box]** I wish to be heard in support of my submission

Q12. **Hearing Submissions [select appropriate box]**

If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.

Q13. **If you are a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission, your right to make a submission may be limited by clause 6(4) of Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991.**

I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

Q14. **If you could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission, please complete the following:**

not answered
