

Q1. **Full name of Submitter:** Frederick Davey

Q2. **Contact person (name and designation, if applicable):** Fred Davey

Q3. **Postal address (or alternative method of service under section 352 of the RMA):**

[REDACTED]

Q4. **Telephone:** +6421595564

Q5. **Electronic address for service of submitter (i.e. email):** fdavey@actrix.co.nz

Q6. **I would like my address for service to be:** my email

Q7. **I have selected email as my address for service, and I would also like my postal address withheld from being publicly available** Yes

Q8. **The specific provisions of the proposed plan change that my submission relates to are: [give details]**

1. Extent of the new Coastal Qualifying Matter Precincts, 2. Rules around application of the Coastal Qualifying Matter Precincts all along the coast in Local Centres and Working Zones 3. DO-03 item 4: 4. 1.12 description re Paraparaumu Beach: 5. 1.12 description re Otaihanga: sort your language out - its obtuse. 6. 2.5, Policy UFD-P4. 2. 7. 3.0 Chapter 5 8. 4.10 and 4.11 9. 6.1 and 6.5 10. 7.0, 7.1, 7.6 and 7.7 11. Maps I submitted recommendations on several planning issues such as side yards, natural light needs, but as you ignored them last time I won't resubmit.

Q9. My submission is: [include whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended; and reasons for your views]

1., 2. My main concern is with the application of the new Coastal Qualifying Matter Precincts, that is the area where coastal processes, including erosion and inundation, may occur. The concept is good but as building life is anticipated to be "at least" 50 years, then one needs to look at the possibilities for 2070 and later. The precincts shown on the various maps too small, they should extend for at least 300 - 400 m inland from the coast - all along the coast. I would emphasise the latter. I guess that Council have used their Jacobs report, but that needs updating in view of more recent data and understanding. All coastal communities will be affected. As a corollary, all future building permits should have some caveat on them stating that the builder accepts the responsibility for any result of future sea-level rise and coastal inundation. I will leave you to put in the appropriate rule and policy changes as that is your job. 3. DO-03 item 4: "natural hazard events" should exclude "coastal zone" until a better understanding of future sea-level rise is known. 4. 1.12 description re Paraparaumu Beach: change text from proposed "managing" back to "avoiding" along the coastal edge. 5. 1.12 description re Otaihanga: sort your language out - its obtuse. 6. 2.5, Policy UFD-P4. 2. Delete or define "walkable" and "adjacent". Some people walk 30 km. 7. 3.0 Chapter 5. (and the derivative DO-0x4 - 10) Presumably including this is a direct instruction from Government (DIA)? Otherwise some clarity in definitions is needed. 8. 4.10 and 4.11 - should apply to all the coastal development. Revise the policy to be inclusive. 9. 6.1 and 6.5 should also apply to Coastal Qualifying Matter Precincts in working zones in Paraparaumu Beach 10. 7.0 proposes changes to the Local Centres Chapter, 7.1, 7.6 and 7.7 should be extended to include Paraparaumu Beach. 11. Maps - the limits of the Residential Intensification Precinct B seem a bit arbitrary. I submitted recommendations on several planning issues such as side yards, natural light needs, but as you ignored them last time I won't resubmit.

Q10. I seek the following decision from the Kāpiti Coast District Council: [give precise details]

Extend the limits of the Coastal Qualifying Matter Precincts and make flow-on changes. Make the changes proposed in points 3 - 10 above Modify map of Residential Intensification Precinct B for Paraparaumu Beach to give a more consistent range

Q11. Hearing Submissions [select appropriate box] I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission.

Q12. Hearing Submissions [select appropriate box] not answered

Q13. If you are a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission, your right to make a submission may be limited by clause 6(4) of Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991. I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

Q14. If you could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission, please complete the following: not answered
