

Further submission in support of, or in opposition to, submission on notified proposed plan change



About preparing a further submission on a proposed plan change

You must use the prescribed form

- [Clause 8](#), Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) requires further submissions to be on the prescribed form.
- The prescribed form is set out in [Form 6](#), Schedule 1 of the Resource Management (Forms, Fees, and Procedure) Regulations 2003.
- This template is based on Form 6. While you do not have to use this template, your submission must be in accordance with Form 6.

Certain persons may make further submissions

- Under clause 8, Schedule 1 of the RMA the following persons may make a further submission, in the prescribed form, on a proposed plan to the relevant local authority:
 - any person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest
 - any person that has an interest in the proposed policy statement or plan greater than the interest that the general public has
 - the local authority itself.
- You will need to explain why you meet one of these categories (space is provided in the form for this below).

Your further submission and contact details will be made publicly available

- [Section 352](#) of the RMA allows you to choose your email to be your address for service. If you select this option, you can also request your postal address be withheld from being publicly available. To choose this option please tick the relevant boxes below.

Note to person making the submission

- A copy of your further submission must be served on the original submitter within 5 working days after it is served on the local authority (Kāpiti Coast District Council).

Reasons why a further submission may be struck out

Please note that your further submission (or part of your further submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the further submission (or part of the further submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further
- it contains offensive language
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

To Kāpiti Coast District Council

Further Submission in Support of (or Opposition to) a Submission on Proposed Plan Change to the Operative Kapiti Coast District Plan 2021

Plan Change Number: 2

Plan Change Name: Intensification 122.112

Further submitter details

Full name of person making further submission: Anne White

Contact person (name and designation, if applicable): Anne White

Postal address (or alternative method of service under section 352 of the RMA):



Telephone: 021897972

Electronic address for service of person making further submission (i.e. email):

anne.white@xtra.co.nz

I would like my address for service to be my email *[select box if applicable]*



I have selected email as my address for service, and I would also like my postal address withheld from being publicly available *[select box if applicable]*



State whether you are *[select appropriate box]*

a person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest.



In this case, also please specify the grounds for saying that you come within this category

a person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has.



In this case, also please explain the grounds for saying that you come within this category

I live in the area of the proposed plan change.

the local authority for the relevant area.



Scope of further submission

I support oppose the submission of: *[select the appropriate wording]*

Original Submitter's Name and Address for Service:

W G T Wiggs
glen@wiggsy.com

Submission number of original submission:

Particular parts of the submission I support (or oppose) are:

Clearly indicate which parts of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal. While it is not a requirement, it would be helpful if you could state the submission point number as listed in the summary of decisions requested document.

I support the whole submission of Mr Wiggs.

To reiterate:

There are two main reasons why allowing the development of 5 storey buildings in this area are a dumb idea.

1. Flooding (covered in depth (pun intended) in Glen Wiggs' submission)
2. Sand

Flooding: If the Wellington wonk who thought this was a good idea would like to put his bicycle on the train and come on up to take a look at all the surface water and flooding lying around in some of these properties, plus the pumps pumping the water out in to the street drains, perhaps he would change his mind. Do they not know that the water table throughout the whole of the Kapiti district has risen a lot over the past few years, most of it recently? Some properties have inland lakes that take weeks to disburse.

Sand: When the house next door was being built (a single level dwelling), the piles had to go down up to 6 metres through sand before the engineers deemed it safe enough to issue a building permit. To think about building 5 storey buildings is just plain nuts.

I also fully support the submission of John Tocker, 26 Rangihiroa Street, Waikanae Beach.

Continue on a separate sheet if necessary

The reasons for my support (or opposition) are:

[give reasons]

It seems totally inappropriate, given the flooding and the sand, to build anything taller than 2 storeys in this neighbourhood.

Continue on a separate sheet if necessary

I seek that [select appropriate box]

The whole or part of the original submission be allowed disallowed

[describe precisely which part below]

Continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Hearing Submissions [select appropriate box]

I wish to be heard in support of my further submission.

I do not wish to be heard in support of my further submission.

If you wish to be heard, please tick one of the following

If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.

If others make a similar submission, I will not consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.

24 November 2022

Signature of person making a further submission
(or person authorised to sign on behalf
of person making further submission)

Date

A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.

Email your further submission to district.planning@kapiticoast.govt.nz or
post/deliver to:

Attn: District Planning Team
Kāpiti Coast District Council
175 Rimu Road
Paraparaumu 5032

For office use only
Further submission No:
S240.FS.1

From: anne.white@xtra.co.nz
To: [Mailbox - District Planning](#)
Subject: PLAN CHANGE 2: Intensification - Waikanae Beach
Date: Thursday, 24 November 2022 12:29:35 pm
Attachments: [KCDC form 6.pdf](#)

Please find my submission in opposition to the proposed plan change for Kainga Ora intensification in Waikanae Beach.

Please note that I fully support the submissions of John Tocker and Glen Wiggs in this regard.

Regards

Anne White

28 Rangihiroa Street
Waikanae Beach
Kapiti Coast 5036
021 897 972