

Further submission in support of, or in opposition to, submission on notified proposed plan change

About preparing a further submission on a proposed plan change

You must use the prescribed form

Clause 8, Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) requires further submissions to be on the prescribed form.


The prescribed form is set out in Form 6, Schedule 1 of the Resource Management (Forms, Fees, and Procedure) Regulations 2003.

This template is based on Form 6. While you do not have to use this template, your submission must be in accordance with Form 6.

Certain persons may make further submissions

Under clause 8, Schedule 1 of the RMA the following persons may make a further submission, in the prescribed form, on a proposed plan to the relevant local authority:

- *any person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest*
- *any person that has an interest in the proposed policy statement or plan greater than the interest that the general public has*
- *the local authority itself.*



You will need to explain why you meet one of these categories (space is provided in the form for this below).

Your further submission and contact details will be made publicly available

Section 352 of the RMA allows you to choose your email to be your address for service. If you select this option, you can also request your postal address be withheld from being publicly available. To choose this option please tick the relevant boxes below.

Note to person making the submission

A copy of your further submission must be served on the original submitter within 5 working days after it is served on the local authority (Kāpiti Coast District Council).

Reasons why a further submission may be struck out

Please note that your further submission (or part of your further submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the further submission (or part of the further submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious*
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case*
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further*
- it contains offensive language*
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.*

To Kāpiti Coast District Council

Further Submission in Support of (or Opposition to) a Submission on Proposed Plan Change to the Operative Kāpiti Coast District Plan 2021

Plan Change Number: 1L

Plan Change Name: Maclean Park Paraparaumu Beach to rezone from Natural Open Space to Open Space Zone (Recreation Precinct)

Further submitter details

Full name of person making further submission:	Zena Knight
Contact person (name and designation, if applicable):	
Postal address (or alternative method of service under section 352 of the RMA):	5 Marine Parade Paraparaumu Beach
Telephone:	
Electronic address for service of person making further submission (i.e. email):	no email address or internet
I would like my address for service to be my email [select box if applicable]	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
I have selected email as my address for service, and I would also like my postal address withheld from being publicly available [select box if applicable]	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

State whether you are [select appropriate box]

a person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<i>In this case, also please specify the grounds for saying that you come within this category:</i> Rm210149 is currently being processed thru a hearing process and the plan change IL is a part of the RC non compliance. I am an affected party of Gateway project & 11 non complying activities.	
a person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<i>In this case, also please explain the grounds for saying that you come within this category</i> I am a resident at Paraparaumu Beach and my view is into Maclean Park I live within the Paraparaumu Beach village community and use the park on a regular basis I am a business owner in Paraparaumu Beach village that overlooks the entirety of Maclean Park.	
the local authority for the relevant area.	<input type="checkbox"/>

Scope of further submission

I support <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> oppose <input type="checkbox"/> the submission of: [select the appropriate wording]
Original Submitter's Name and Address for Service: Frederick John Davey fdavey@actix.co.nz
Submission number of original submission: S2

Particular parts of the submission I support (or oppose) are:

Clearly indicate which parts of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal. While it is not a requirement, it would be helpful if you could state the submission point number as listed in the summary of decisions requested document.

Para 1. Completely agree – I strongly oppose the plan to rezone Maclean Park to Open Space (Recreation Precinct). This proposal should be deleted.

I support this submission in its entirety as I specifically object to the following policies

Policy OSZ- R5

I object to the building increasing from 6 metres to 8 metres tall

I object to the maximum building coverage being increased from 2% to 5%

I object to the maximum gross floor area increasing from 350 square metres to

500 square metres

Policy OSZ-R8

I object to this policy as Retail should NOT be part of this park

the maclean management plan did not address any need of change to the current Natural open space zone in 2017.

Continue on a separate sheet if necessary

The reasons for my support (or opposition) are:

[give reasons]

I support this applications for these reasons:

I live within a one minute walk to the park which I use on a daily basis

I live across the road from the park

I enjoy the natural open space of the park.

I value the park as it is and do not want to see it destroyed by more buildings etc

see attached sheet

Continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Supplementary sheet for Plan Change 1L Submission

DO-03

The bulk & location of structures that a plan change would allow will create an urban landscape rather than a natural landscape. The existing roped off areas and new plantings protect the natural dunes and manage the separation from activity of the open spaces while allowing the regeneration of the natural fauna and flora to develop unchallenged

DO-02

The increased development and larger site coverage the proposed plan change 1L allows will negatively effect the ecology, biodiversity and natural environment of the coastal dune environment.

DO-017

With building of 3 story's (8 metres) and foot prints of up to 500m² under the plan change, existing coastal open space sees the view splintered and fractured by urban sprawl. The type of intensification is a complete contradiction to DO-04 & DO-17.

Shading of open space by 'large structures' further compromises the natural environment. The huge compliance requirements of geotech foundations, artificial stormwater management, landscape modification alteration of natural ponding pathways hugely compromises the landscape and the natural balance in this regenerative environment.

DO-08

The current natural regeneration is taking place at MacLean Park is further adding to the ecology and biodiversity of the natural dune formations.

The proximity to the local business district provides an abundance of food & beverage facilities that already contributes to 'strong communities'. There is no need for these facilities within the park when a one minute walk will allow access to this.

The open 'green fields' and walking tracks align with 'active living & healthy wellbeing'.

Uninterrupted views to Kapiti Island, greenery & beach landscape best aligns with 'wellbeing & active living'.

DO-01

'build' environments, business activity, food preparation, smells, artificial light and sound all negatively impacts the natural open space and disrupts the mauri balance with urban build structures.

I seek that [select appropriate box]

The whole or part of the original submission be allowed disallowed

[describe precisely which part below]

All of the submission be allowed.

Continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Hearing Submissions [select appropriate box]


I wish to be heard in support of my further submission.

I do not wish to be heard in support of my further submission.

If you wish to be heard, please tick one of the following

If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.

If others make a similar submission, I will not consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.



Signature of person making a further submission
(or person authorised to sign on behalf
of person making further submission)

5/9/22

Date

A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.

Email your further submission to district.planning@kapiticoast.govt.nz or
post/deliver to:

Attn: District Planning Team
Kāpiti Coast District Council
175 Rimu Road
Paraparaumu 5032

For office use only
Further submission No:

7