


For office use only
Submission No: 151


Q1. **Full name of Submitter:** Dan Foster

Q2. **Contact person (name and designation, if applicable):** Dan Foster

Q3. **Postal address (or alternative method of service under section 352 of the RMA):**



Q4. **Telephone:** 0210508377

Q5. **Electronic address for service of submitter (i.e. email):** dfoz79@gmail.com

Q6. **I would like my address for service to be:** my email

Q7. **I have selected email as my address for service, and I would also like my postal address withheld from being publicly available** Yes

Q8. **The specific provisions of the proposed plan change that my submission relates to are: [give details]**

Housing intensification, specifically 3 x 3 storey houses being built by developers with zero consideration for the impact on existing residents.

Q9. **My submission is: [include whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended; and reasons for your views]**

Strongly oppose the plan to allow extreme intensification in what was a great place to live. I understand you are going to copy and paste the standard "The district plan is required to enable intensification...." and my submission will be 'noted', but get the feeling the council is basically hiding behind this and paraphrasing Rob McCann, "You are going to get 3 storey townhouses crammed next to you, and you are going to LIKE IT". The reason people live in Kapiti is because it's NOT a city, it has space to move, it's not crammed full of people, and we can have our own little place to call our own. Having infill townhouses crammed onto existing sections benefits nobody except for the developers throwing these up, taking the money and moving on as quickly as possible. Why any council would want to devalue their district and make existing residents quality of life worse (privacy, existing views being built out, lack of sunlight from 3 storey monstrosities blocking out the sun) is beyond me, but hey at least you can say you're 'solving the housing crisis'. I've lived in Kapiti since 1984, but once in-fill housing starts showing up, it'll be time to find somewhere else to call home. I am heartbroken by this entire exercise, it's so over the top and the removal of the ability to stand up for your existing property rights is disgusting (I realise this is a nationwide problem).

Q10. **I seek the following decision from the Kāpiti Coast District Council: [give precise details]**

I'm seeking Waikanae to be exempted from the extreme intensification rules being proposed, and protection for existing homeowners from losing their quality of life.

Q11. **Hearing Submissions [select appropriate box]** I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission.

Q12. **Hearing Submissions [select appropriate box]**

not answered

Q13. **If you are a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission, your right to make a submission may be limited by clause 6(4) of Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991.**

I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

Q14. **If you could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission, please complete the following:**

not answered