Further submission in support of, or in opposition to, submission on notified proposed plan change



About preparing a further submission on a proposed plan change

You must use the prescribed form

- <u>Clause 8</u>, Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) requires further submissions to be on the prescribed form.
- The prescribed form is set out in <u>Form 6</u>, Schedule 1 of the Resource Management (Forms, Fees, and Procedure) Regulations 2003.
- This template is based on Form 6. While you do not have to use this template, your submission must be in accordance with Form 6.

Certain persons may make further submissions

- Under clause 8, Schedule 1 of the RMA the following persons may make a further submission, in the prescribed form, on a proposed plan to the relevant local authority:
 - any person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest
 - o any person that has an interest in the proposed policy statement or plan greater than the interest that the general public has
 - o the local authority itself.
- You will need to explain why you meet one of these categories (space is provided in the form for this below).

Your further submission and contact details will be made publicly available

• <u>Section 352</u> of the RMA allows you to choose your email to be your address for service. If you select this option, you can also request your postal address be withheld from being publicly available. To choose this option please tick the relevant boxes below.

Note to person making the submission

 A copy of your further submission must be served on the original submitter within 5 working days after it is served on the local authority (Kāpiti Coast District Council).

Reasons why a further submission may be struck out

Please note that your further submission (or part of your further submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the further submission (or part of the further submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further
- it contains offensive language
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

To Kāpiti Coast District Council

Further Submission in Support of (or Opposition to) a Submission on Proposed Plan Change to the Operative Kapiti Coast District Plan 2021

Plan Change Number: PC2

Plan Change Name: Proposed Plan Change 2 to the Operative Kapiti Coast District Plan 2021 (District Plan)

<u>Further submitter details</u>	
Full name of person making further submission: Paul Geoffrey Turner	
Contact person (name and designation, if applicable): Paul Turner	
Postal address (or alternative method of service under section 352 of the RMA): Unit 15 Rimu Village, 72 Rimu Road, Raumati Beach 5032	
Telephone: 02108338403	
Electronic address for service of person making further submission (i.e. email):	
pgeoffreyt@gmail.com	
I would like my address for service to be my email [select box if applicable]	'
I have selected email as my address for service, and I would also like my postal address withheld from being publicly available [select box if applicable]	V
State whether you are [select appropriate box]	
a person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest.	
In this case, also please specify the grounds for saying that you come within this category	
a person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has.	V
In this case, also please explain the grounds for saying that you come within this category	
My residence is adjacent to the area of land that may be affected by its development.	
the local authority for the relevant area.	
Soons of further cubmission	
Scope of further submission I support oppose the submission of: [select the appropriate wording]	
Original Submitter's Name and Address for Service:	
Barbara Ruth Halliburton, halliburtonbarbara@gmail.com	
Submission number of original submission: S079.01	

r articular parts	s of the submission I support (or oppose) are:
	hich parts of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant proposal. While it is not a requirement, it would be helpful if you could state the
	number as listed in the summary of decisions requested document.
	ssion that any new building at 96 through 114 Main Road South should not exceed four storeys.
	Continue on a separate sheet if necessary
The reasons fo	r my support (or opposition) are:
The reasons for [give reasons]	
[give reasons] Although it may be locharacter of its neigh	
[give reasons] Although it may be locharacter of its neight should be taken to e	egal to build up to six storeys, an edifice so high would completely change for the worse the hbourhood. There may be no intention to build a tall structure but if there is then great care
[give reasons] Although it may be locharacter of its neight should be taken to e	egal to build up to six storeys, an edifice so high would completely change for the worse the hbourhood. There may be no intention to build a tall structure but if there is then great care
[give reasons] Although it may be locharacter of its neight should be taken to e	r my support (or opposition) are: egal to build up to six storeys, an edifice so high would completely change for the worse the hbourhood. There may be no intention to build a tall structure but if there is then great care
[give reasons] Although it may be locharacter of its neight should be taken to e	egal to build up to six storeys, an edifice so high would completely change for the worse the hbourhood. There may be no intention to build a tall structure but if there is then great care
[give reasons] Although it may be locharacter of its neight should be taken to e	egal to build up to six storeys, an edifice so high would completely change for the worse the hbourhood. There may be no intention to build a tall structure but if there is then great care
[give reasons] Although it may be locharacter of its neight should be taken to e	egal to build up to six storeys, an edifice so high would completely change for the worse the hbourhood. There may be no intention to build a tall structure but if there is then great care
[give reasons] Although it may be locharacter of its neight should be taken to e	egal to build up to six storeys, an edifice so high would completely change for the worse the hbourhood. There may be no intention to build a tall structure but if there is then great care

Continue on a separate sheet if necessary

I seek that [select appropri	iate box]					
The whole ✓ or part of	he original submission b	e allowed 🗸 disallo	wed			
[describe precisely which part below]						
All of it.						
		Conti	nue on a separate sheet if necessary			
Hearing Submissions [se	lect appropriate box					
I wish to be heard in support of my further submission.						
I do not wish to be heard in support of my further submission.						
If you wish to be heard, plea			Ш			
If others make a similar subr	nission, I will consider p	esenting a joint case	with them at a hearing.			
If others make a similar submission, I will not consider presenting a joint case with them at a						
hearing.			ш			
Signature of person making a	a further submission	18 Nover	mber 2022			
(or person authorised to sign of person making further subr	on behalf					
A signature is not required if	ou make your submissi	on by electronic mea	nns.			
Email your further submission post/deliver to: Attn: District Planning Team Kāpiti Coast District Council	to district.planning@ka	piticoast.govt.nz or	For office use only Further submission No: S222.FS.1			
175 Rimu Road						
Paraparaumu 5032						

From: Paul Turner

To: Mailbox - District Planning
Cc: Barbara Halliburton
Subject: Further submission PC2

Date: Friday, 18 November 2022 9:42:46 am
Attachments: KCDC form-6 further submission PC2.pdf

Hello,

Please note my attached Further Submission to the Proposed Change 2.

Regards, Paul Turner.