

Long-term Plan 2024–34



Respondent No. 152

Response ID 5662583

Date of contribution Apr 20 24 06:36:09 pm

Personal information

First name Anonymous

Last name Anonymous

I'm providing a submission (choose one): as an individual

Please let us know what ward you live in Paekākāriki

Do you want to speak to Council about your submission at our public hearings on 2 May? No

Are you happy for your name to be published with your feedback: I do not want my name published with my feedback

Submission

Proposal 1: Three waters funding
Which option should we choose? (select one option)

Option 1: Fund \$4.7 million shortfall with an additional 5% rates increase in Year 1.

Proposal 2: Proactively reduce Council's debt
Which option should we choose? (select one option)

Option 1: Apply average rates increases of 8% per year from 2025/26 to 2033/34

Proposal 3: Transfer Council's housing for older people
Which option should we choose? (select one option)

Option 2: Older persons' housing is delivered by an existing Community Housing Provider with less influence from Council

Would you like to expand on your answer for option 2?

Option 2 only if the existing community housing provider is a not-for-profit community Trust

New climate action rate

Which option should we choose? (select one option)

Option 1: Introduce a new targeted climate action rate based on a property's capital value rather than the current land-value based general rate

If you have any views on these policies, please comment here:

I agree with policy intentions as outlined

If you have any views on these other items, please comment here:

Too much fine detail for me to consider given I have no appreciation of staff costs.....the underlying rate of \$179/hr is only slightly above minimum charge out rate for my plumber, which seems fair in that for both of you its money heading towards a gurgler

Is there anything else you'd like to tell us about this LTP?

Intended residual debt level seems still too high..... but how can I judge how the distribution sits across funding for present activities that should be paid by present ratepayers and that which is fairly on behalf of future ratepayers and is a charge into the future. There is, however, a decided limit for existing ratepayers, and that is surely a topic for wider discussion