## TO: Kapiti Coast District Council:

Either



Deliver to 175 Rimu Road, Paraparaumu 5032 Attention District Planning Team or

You can email this submission to: district.planning@kapiticoast.govt.nz

SUBMISSION ON PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 2 TO THE OPERATIVE KAPITI COAST DISTRICT PLAN 2021.

Full Name of Submitter: Mical S J Treadwell

Contact Person (name and designation if applicable): Mical Treadwell

Postal Address (or alternative method of service under section 352 of the RMA:

Telephone: 0274300850

Electronic address for service of submitter (ie email): mical@treadwells.co.nz

I would like my address for service to be my email

YES/ (delete one)



I have selected email as my address for service, and I would also like my postal address withheld from being publicly available

YES (delete one)

## SCOPE OF SUBMISSION

# My submission relates to:

- 1. The need for an enlarged Coastal Qualifying Matter Precinct.
- 2. The need for Beach Residential Qualifying Matter Precincts.
- The zoning of Local Centre Zones and the application of Residential Intensification Precinct B
  around Local Centre Zones.

#### My submission is that:

- The landward (eastern) boundary of the Coastal Qualifying Matter Precincts for the District (marked PRECx3) should be amended to be the landward boundary of the area shown as Coastal Environment in the District Plan.
- Alternatively, if submission 1 is not accepted, that the landward (eastern) boundary of the Coastal Qualifying Matter Precincts for the District (marked PRECx3) should be amended to be the landward boundary of the areas shown as the Adaptation Zones which the Kapiti Coast District Council recently determined and published on its Takakutai Kapiti Coastal Hazard Susceptibility Assessment maps. (<a href="https://maps.kapiticoast.govt.nz/portal/apps/storymaps/stories/dbc000c7263f4d63b8978047ed0e826b">https://maps.kapiticoast.govt.nz/portal/apps/storymaps/stories/dbc000c7263f4d63b8978047ed0e826b</a>)
- 3. Further, or alternatively, that existing Beach Residential Precincts become Beach Residential Qualifying Matter Precincts under PC2 and that accordingly:



- a. Residential Intensification Precinct B PRECx2 be removed from all Beach Residential Qualifying Matter Precincts; and
- b. All existing Beach Residential Precinct plan provisions continue to apply to the Beach Residential Qualifying Matter Precincts.
- 4. Further, or alternatively, in relation to Local Centre Zones:
  - a. That the Local Centre Zone at Ngarara be specifically identified on the District Plan Maps and that Residential Intensification Precinct B PRECx2 be applied to a relevant walkable catchment at that Local Centre.
  - b. That the Local Centre Zone at Te Moana be re zoned general residential (but allowing for continued operation of established businesses under existing use and/or existing resource consent as exists with the Waikanae Beach 4 Square and The Long Beach and Front Room cafes) alternatively that Residential Intensification Precinct B be limited to the actual Local Centre Zone or such smaller zone to the East of the Waikanae Beach Residential Precinct, or otherwise as the IHP determines.
  - c. Such other consequential amendments to other Local Centre Zones as are required to give effect to a Beach Residential Qualifying Matter Precinct or enlarged Coastal Qualifying Matter Precinct.
- 5. Such further or other consequential relief as is required to give effect to the submissions above.

## The Reasons for My submissions

Given the large volume of documentation provided in the support of PC2 and the very short time given to submitters to consider, absorb and respond, these reasons are necessarily high level.

#### I/We consider:

- 1. Part 2 of the RMA, in particular sections 5, 6(a) and (h), 7(c) and(i) supports the submissions made above.
- 2. The submissions are consistent with Council's ability to exclude areas to which the MDRS provisions apply under Section 77G of the RMA.
- 3. The submissions are consistent with Section 77L of the RMA.
- 4. The submissions are consistent with the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement, in particular Policy 25 (a),(b),(c) and (d) and current District Plan Coastal Environment area as noted in the District Plan maps, whereas the application of MDRS zoning in the area subject to coastal hazards including increases in the risk of inundation due to climate change is contrary to Policy 25 (a),(b),(c) and (d).
- 5. The submissions are consistent with the National Adaptation Plan process.
- 6. The submissions are consistent with the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management particularly in relation to wetland, flood and stormwater management.
- 7. The submissions are consistent with other non-statutory documents produced in consultation with the community by the Council and previous decisions of the Council.
- 8. It is appropriate to consider the merits of existing Local Zones.

Policy 25 of the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement 2010 is as follows:

Subdivision, use, and development in areas of coastal hazard risk

"In areas potentially affected by coastal hazards over at least the next 100 years:

- (a) avoid increasing the risk of social, environmental and economic harm from coastal hazards;
- (b) avoid redevelopment, or change in land use, that would increase the risk of adverse effects from coastal hazards;



- (c) encourage redevelopment, or change in land use, where that would reduce the risk of adverse effects from coastal hazards, including managed retreat by relocation or removal of existing structures or their abandonment in extreme circumstances, and designing for relocatability or recoverability from hazard events;
- (d) encourage the location of infrastructure away from areas of hazard risk where practicable;
- (e) discourage hard protection structures and promote the use of alternatives to them, including natural defences; and
- (f) consider the potential effects of tsunami and how to avoid or mitigate them."

Planning Change 2 is contrary to NZCPS 2010 Policy 25, since it permits redevelopment in the form of intensification by way of the MDRS (3 dwelling/3 storeys – and 4 stories in some areas) zoning in the area of Kapiti District exposed to coastal hazards, specifically the hazards of inundation, which will be exacerbated by sea level rise. Since the application of MDRS zoning in these areas would violate Policy 25 of the NZCPS 2010 that constitutes a "coastal qualifying matter" which is the basis for MDRS not to be applied to that area.

PC2 includes a "Coastal Qualifying Matter Precinct" but that is confined to a narrow strip of coast and solely related to erosion risk. The relief sought is that the Coastal Qualifying Matter Precinct landward boundary should be much further east so the precinct includes the entire area subject to the coastal hazard of inundation.

At present the District Plan includes an area designated as the "Coastal Environment" area. That is the best available delineation in the District Plan of the "area potentially affected by coastal hazards over at least the next hundred years" where Policy 25 requires that zoning:

- (a) avoid increasing the risk of social, environmental and economic harm from coastal hazards;
- (b) avoid redevelopment, or change in land use, that would increase the risk of adverse effects from coastal hazards

Note from NZCPS 2010: Risk is often expressed in terms of a combination of the consequences of an event (including changes in circumstances) and the associated likelihood of occurrence (AS/NZS ISO 31000:2009 Risk management – Principles and guidelines, November 2009).

Clearly, intensification will increase the risk of harm from coastal hazards in this area and thus intensification violates the requirement to avoid redevelopment that would increase the risk of adverse effects from coastal hazards.

Thus the relief sought is that the Coastal Qualifying Matter Precinct landward boundary should be extend so the precinct includes the full area designated as Coastal Environment on the Distruct Plan.

The identification of the area subject to coastal hazards is governed by Policy 24 of the NZCPS 2010. Review and refinement of the delineation of the "area potentially affected by coastal hazards over at least the next hundred years" has been the subject of litigation and controversy regarding conformity to the provisions of Policy 24.

The Council has published maps which include delineatation of areas described as Adaptation Zones with the remainder of the district being described as "Outside Coastal Influence". These maps however show changes in potential for flooding in the area "Outside Coastal Influence" as being affected by rising sea level. These maps do establish unequivocally that flooding in the in the areas delineated as Adaptation Zones is affected by sea level and is therefore a coastal hazard, with the Adaptation Zones therefore are unequivocally an "area potentially affected by coastal hazards over at least the next hundred years" and thus subject to Policy 25.



The Council argues that provisions in PC2 that habitable floors of dwellings must be above the AEP 1% level and other related provisions ensure PC2 MDRS intensification does not violate Policy 25. It is obvious that the increase in the risk of economic harm from coastal hazards in areas subject to flooding influenced by sea levels is not eliminated just because habitable floor levels are required to be above the AEP 1% level. Intensification would materially increase the private assets exposed to loss, vehicles being just one example. Intensification would also inevitably increase the infrastructure and other public assets exposed to loss.

The Kapiti Coast is defined by its coastal plain leading to the hills of the Tararua Ranges. The coastal environment itself is a significant asset for the Council and local communities. The Council adopted beach residential precincts to recognise and provide for particular areas that contribute to the outstanding amenity of the Kapiti Coast. The impact of height in these sensitive areas will be significant and out of proportion to the loss of potential further intensification. The loss of these beach residential precincts would be contrary to Part II of the RMA and the purpose of the Act is better achieved by keeping the beach residential precincts.

The Council has not undertaken a full and proper section 32 analysis.

### **HEARING SUBMISSIONS**

I/We wish to be heard in support of our submission

NO

If others make a similar submission I will consider presenting a joint case with them YES

Signature of Submitter

Note A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.

Trade Competition [select the appropriate wording]

If you are a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission, your right to make a submission may be limited by clause 6(4) of Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991.

I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

From: <u>Mical Treadwell</u>

To: <u>Mailbox - District Planning</u>

**Subject:** Submission on Proposed Plan Change 2 to the Operative Kapiti Coast District Plan

**Date:** Monday, 12 September 2022 11:01:37 am

Attachments: image001.png

image002.png

Submission MSJT on PPC2.pdf

Please see attached signed Submission.

Kind Regards



**Mical Treadwell** 

Partner





Tel: (04) 472 0929 | Fax: (04) 473 7106 | <u>www.treadwells.co.nz</u> Level 14, Midland Chambers, 45 Johnston Street, Wellington 6011 | PO Box 859, Wellington 6140 | DX SP20005

The information transmitted in this email is confidential and may be legally privileged. If you have received this email in error, please notify us immediately by return email and delete this email from your system. We use standard virus protection software but accept no responsibility for viruses or anything similar in this email or its attachments. We also accept no responsibility for changes made to this email or to its attachments after it leaves our information system.

**PLEASE NOTE**: As a consequence of recent changes to the Anti-Money Laundering and Countering Financing of Terrorism Act 2009, from 1 July 2018 law firms will be required to collect additional information from clients undertaking certain categories of activity. We will advise you if we need to obtain such information from you. You can read more about the law change <a href="here">here</a>.