Further submission in support of, or in opposition to, submission on notified proposed plan change



About preparing a further submission on a proposed plan change

You must use the prescribed form	 <u>Clause 8.</u> Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) requires further submissions to be on the prescribed form. The prescribed form is set out in <u>Form 6.</u> Schedule 1 of the Resource Management (Forms, Fees, and Procedure) Regulations 2003. This template is based on Form 6. While you do not have to use this template, your submission must be in accordance with Form 6.
Certain persons may make further submissions	 Under clause 8, Schedule 1 of the RMA the following persons may make a further submission, in the prescribed form, on a proposed plan to the relevant local authority: any person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest any person that has an interest in the proposed policy statement or plan greater than the interest that the general public has the local authority itself. You will need to explain why you meet one of these categories (space is provided in the form for this below).
Your further submission and contact details will be made publicly available	 <u>Section 352</u> of the RMA allows you to choose your email to be your address for service. If you select this option, you can also request your postal address be withheld from being publicly available. To choose this option please tick the relevant boxes below.
Note to person making the submission	 A copy of your further submission must be served on the original submitter within 5 working days after it is served on the local authority (Kāpiti Coast District Council).
Reasons why a further submission may be struck out	 Please note that your further submission (or part of your further submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the further submission (or part of the further submission): it is frivolous or vexatious it discloses no reasonable or relevant case it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further it contains offensive language it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.
Further Sut	To Kāpiti Coast District Council bmission in Support of (or Opposition to) a Submission on Proposed Plan Change to the Operative Kapiti Coast District Plan 2021

Plan Change Number:	S156.	01		
Plan Change Name:	Rezone f	rom General	Rural Zone	to General Residentic
			8	Zone.

Further	submitter	details

Full name of person making further submission:

Contact person (name and designation, if applicable):

Postal address (or alternative method of service under section 352 of the RMA):

Telephone:

Electronic address for service of person making further submission (i.e. email):

I would like my address for service to be my email [select box if applicable]

I have selected email as my address for service, and I would also like my postal address withheld from being publicly available [select box if applicable]

State whether you are [select appropriate box]

a person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest.	N
In this case, also please specify the grounds for saying that you come within this category Due to it's dose proximity to the town centre and amenities and proven unsuitability to horticultur and agniculture, it seems logical to extend its designation as general vesidential land down to Bennetts, Road, Population pressure and the need to prese good heprticultural land is a huge factor in my consideration a person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has.	
In this case, also please explain the grounds for saying that you come within this category	

the local authority for the relevant area.

Scope of further submission

I supportJ opposethe submission of: [select the appropriate wording]Original Submitter's Name and Address for Service:MVMVLukeRichordsD.O. Box112Original submission:5156,01

Particular parts of the submission I support (or oppose) are:

Clearly indicate which parts of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal. While it is not a requirement, it would be helpful if you could state the submission point number as listed in the summary of decisions requested document.

I fully support residential development as the best use for use the lend under consideration.

Continue on a separate sheet if necessary

The reasons for my support (or opposition) are:

Igive reasons] This block of land (1) + 15 Te Rayporaha st.) is currently unclear ultilized as occasional run aff for dairy cattle. This use is expected to discanting in the near fature as Council has bought edgoining daig land and expressed the need to reduce emission caused by doiry farming in this aveal. Cauncil has also publicly expressed the need to preserve good hat cultural land for foca production which the land has proven insuitable for. In view drifts aleval proven about a the shortage of hearing and the need for further development to meet this short for this block in the heart of Otaki is very dose to all amenities and is in close walking distance to This block in the heart of Otaki is very dose to all amenities and is in close walking distance to this block in the heart of Otaki is very dose to all amenities and is in close walking distance to the very school of a proven allowed and college. Thus residential wind school, otaki primary school and college. Thus residential development is totally appropriate for to the residential development is totally appropriate for totaking distance to the school of the primary school and college. Thus residential development is totally appropriate for totaking distance to the school of the primary school and college. Thus residential development is totally appropriate for totaking distance to the school of the primary school and college. Thus residential development is totally appropriate for totaking distance to the school of the primary school and college. Thus residential development is totally appropriate for totaking distance to the school of the primary school and college. Thus residential to the school of the primary school and college.

I seek that [select appropriat	te box]		
The whole 🗸 or part 🗌 of the	original submission be allowed	disallowed	
[describe precisely which part l	below]		
		Continue on a	a separate sheet if necessary
Hearing Submissions [sele	ct appropriate box]		

I wish to be heard in support of my further submission.

I do not wish to be heard in support of my further submission.

If you wish to be heard, please tick one of the following

If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.

If others make a similar submission, I will not consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.

Signature of person making a further submission (or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making further submission)

2022 Date

A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.

Email your further submission to <u>district.planning@kapiticoast.govt.nz</u> or	For office use only
post/deliver to:	Further submission No:
Attn: District Planning Team Kāpiti Coast District Council 175 Rimu Road Paraparaumu 5032	S245.FS.1

Good afternoon

Reference:

S156.01: Rezone from General Rural Zone to General Residential Zone

The attached submission from Ms Barbara Devery, 60 County Road, Otaki, is forwarded in support of Mr Luke Richards' proposed plan change in respect of numbers 11 and 15 Te Rauparaha Street, Otaki.

Regards

Barbara

Barbara Devery