

MINUTES	MEETING	TIME
KĀPITI COAST DISTRICT COUNCIL	MONDAY 14 MAY 2018	9.00 AM

Minutes of the meeting of the Kāpiti Coast District Council on Monday 14 May 2018, commencing at 9.00 am in Council Chambers, Ground Floor, Kāpiti Coast District Council, 175 Rimu Road, Paraparaumu.

PRESENT	Mayor	K	Gurunathan	Chair
	Cr	M	Benton	
	Cr	A	Buswell	
	Cr	M	Cardiff	
	Cr	J	Cootes	
	Cr	J	Elliott	
	Cr	J	Holborow	
	Cr	J	Howson	
	Cr	M	Scott	
	Cr	F	Vining	
ATTENDING	Mr	P	Edwards	Chair, Paekākāriki Community Board
	Ms	C	Papps	Chair, Ōtaki Community Board
	Ms	J	Prvanov	Chair, Waikanae Community Board
	Mr	J	Best	Chair, Paraparaumu-Raumati Community Board
	Mr	W	Maxwell	Chief Executive
	Mr	M	Pedersen	Group Manager, Community Services
	Ms	N	Tod	Group Manager, Regulatory Services
	Mr	K	Black	Acting Group Manager, Strategy and Planning
	Ms	J	McDougall	Acting Group Manager, Corporate Services
	Ms	L	Belcher	Acting Manager, Democracy Services

The Mayor welcomed everyone to the meeting and read the Council blessing.

KCDC 18/05/256

(a) APOLOGIES

There were no apologies.

(b) DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

There were no declarations of interest.

KCDC 18/05/257

MEMBERS' BUSINESS

(a) Leave of Absence

There was no leave of absence requested.

(b) Matters of an Urgent Nature

There were no matters of an urgent nature.

KCDC 18/05/258

HEARING OF SUBMITTERS TO THE LONG TERM PLAN 2018-2038 (WHERE THE SUBMITTER INDICATED THEY WISH TO SPEAK)

18LTP-376 – Ben Knight spoke on behalf of the Guardians of Kapiti Marine Reserve Trust requesting:

- Raumati Pools site redevelopment, engage with GoKMR and the Kapiti Economic Development Agency to investigate a feasibility study of establishing a community marine

education, research and activity centre. Requesting \$20,000 in the 2018/19 financial year to co-fund the study

- KDCD/GWRC prioritise and fund the management and reduction of impacts on marine habitats from coastal erosions and land use impacts such as forest debris and sedimentation
- Specific environmental challenges that we believe KDCD must address in the LTP in order to protect our 'natural advantage' are Coastal erosion, rural land/forestry erosion, pollutants

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- We have received many requests from public and other organisations to use this facility
- Do you know the costs of establishing this site?
- Do you receive funding for other projects?

The Submitter commented as follows:

- Our organisation has received positive support from recent public meeting, facebook and from around the country supporting this idea
- The costs of establishing have been estimated at 4-5million, but the feasibility study will allow better indication of costs
- We work with central government agencies, councils and organisations to secure funding for a range of successful projects, our last project allowed 90 students to complete a wildlife project
- This organisation has a large number of volunteers keen to support

18LTP-147 – Graham Orchard and Sandra Vidulich spoke on behalf of the Ōtaki Players Society requesting:

- Funding to repair the building and meet legal requirements which includes, requesting approx. \$60,000:
 - Roof structure, unsealed in parts
 - Public toilet block upgrades, due to age of facilities in disrepair, no disabled access to either facility or toilets, requirements for two toilets backstage
 - Kitchen/meeting room area, due to age facilities in disrepair and don't meet Health and Safety, and
 - Fireproofing

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- Do you apply for funding elsewhere?
- What does the Council currently charge you?
- What is your contribution?

The Submitter commented as follows:

- We receive no funding from anywhere else
- Our rates are \$1200 a year and lease is \$130 per month
- We contribute continually, we recently installed fire proof curtains, painted, lighting and upgraded back area
- Other groups use our facilities at no charge
- We have recently secured rights to 'Mamma Mia' which we have had to cover with a large portion of our funds
- We rely totally on volunteers, provide huge opportunities for Ōtaki, we produce a children's only production where we enhance their lives as they feel like part of the social fabric of the community

18LTP-244 – Sam Buchanan spoke of the need for effectively publicising and leveraging of existing visitor attractions and work with other organisations to promote and integrate attractions suggesting:

- Publicise family attractions such as the Raumati Marine Gardens and Ōtaki Forks
- Review effectiveness of currently funded promotional organisations
- Support community-led projects and increase funding disbursement at a community level

- Work with DOC and GWRC to publicise attraction and integrate them with local services
- Reduce bureaucratic burden on small business as much as possible, including support the liquor licensing of small bars and café rather than large establishments

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- Is St Peter's Hall being provided proper support, particularly around liquor licensing?

The Submitter commented as follows:

- Council should support any of St Peter's requests as they are very popular and host national and international events

18LTP-175 – Kathy Spiers and a representative spoke on behalf of Kapiti Health Advocacy Group requesting:

- The Provision and monitoring of "Health & Social Services" be included in the Long Term Plan
- That KCDC continues to advocate for increased health services closer to Kapiti due to distance of other hospitals
- That KCDC continue to provide administrative support for the Kapiti Health Advocacy Group monthly meetings

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- Thank you for working tirelessly in the field, how do you see health in the LTP?
- Have you been in contact with Regional Health?

The Submitter commented as follows:

- Thank you to Council staff for the administrative support and to the Mayor for setting up the Group
- We see Social Wellbeing along with Environmental in the LTP this will give the public confidence the Council cares about their community's wellbeing

18LTP-237 – Sarah Biddiscombe spoke on behalf of Well-Able and of the need to develop a disability and age-friendly strategy which should be developed collaboratively with a wide range of individuals and organisations.

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- Is there a cross over with the accessibility policy?

The Submitter commented as follows:

- Yes there is cross over with accessibility policy, Horizon Council has a combined policy
- We have identified 3 gaps within the Kāpiti community, these are Strategic Overview, disability awareness within the Community and across the organisation. This Policy will show KCDC is proud and an enabler of people with disabilities

18LTP-130 – Kevin Burrows spoke on behalf of Grey Power and that it was disappointing to see that while the preferred options were prices, the alternatives were not and raised the following points:

- Financial strategy, efforts have been made to reduce costs but increases continues to exceed to the CPI. Rate encroachments would bring in revenue for the Council
- Economic Development, introduction of a commercial targeted rate for business is long overdue
- Rates review, medium income in Kāpiti is 75% of national medium and the rates review is welcome
- Stormwater, we see this as a top priority for Council
- Coastal Protection, Paekākāriki concrete wall, this only seems to involve local community, ignoring wishes of the wider community
- Housing, we support what the Council is doing in this area

- Rubbish and Recycling, we suggest the licence is amended to allow for some flexibility around pickups
- Paraparaumu bus stop, believe this is being moved to old State Highway 1, better location would be on the Eastern side of the station

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- Are you aware Council Officers have to apply the cost index for Local Government, not the CPI
- You want us to keep rates down but you want us to fund stormwater at \$24m?
- How many Grey Power members are in Paekakariki and have you consulted with them?

The Submitter commented as follows:

- The public perception is council use the CPI
- The stormwater is a very important issue and needs to be addressed.
- Paekakariki Grey Power members all have a different opinion but this is district wide issue.

The meeting adjourned at 10.10am and reconvened at 10.26am..

Cr Holborow left the meeting at 10.26am and returned at 11.32am.

18LTP-242 – John Hayes spoke on behalf of the Kapiti Older Persons' Council and raised the following points.

- Housing Older Persons, the increase proposed seems to be in line with the pension increase, what about other living expenses that have also increased?
- Stormwater, prioritise it as it is really an issue, we have had a lot of flooding issues recently. The infrastructure was never intended for the city we have become

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- The opportunity for rates postponement, your submission eludes to the fact it doesn't support current system
- If we made process less demeaning would there be more of an uptake
- Housing, we graduated slight increase, are you aware when last increase was? It has been subsidised for a long time
- Managed retreat is a hugely complex issue that every Council faces. Mazengarb Road, Whyte Street and Kapiti Road areas have been problematic from day one. What is your view on that area?
- You are aware that Kena Kena Park was a rubbish dump

The Submitter commented as follows:

- Elderly people can be very sensitive around their privacy. When asked for bank statements can feel very demeaning. The Auckland Council system appears more acceptable. Not sure if the uptake will increase if we can alleviate current process.
- From a pensioners point of view the housing increase has taken up all their pension.
- Council need to look at where it is allowing building especially on zones that are prone to flooding.

18LTP-348 – Jill Stansfield spoke on behalf of the Kapiti Older Persons' Council requesting that KDCDC adopt the NZS4121 2001 as a standard planning documents for all future development on the Kāpiti Coast, it will be a huge step towards making Kāpiti an "Age Friendly" district.

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- How long have you been a battler for this area of work?

The Submitter commented as follows:

- 2002, it started when I got Ngatoto Trig listed as an historic site

18LTP-314 – Lisa Jones spoke on behalf of Capital Football and the football clubs requesting:

- Otaraua Park, we have been advised it will be four years before we see any changing facilities. We urge Council to include funding in Long Term Plan as it is very high priority. We don't schedule senior games on these fields as there are no facilities for men to change
- Mazengarb Park, we fully support the proposal for the upgrade of this park. Few training grounds have lights, we are seeing decline in number of children playing but have put this down to the lack of training facilities as grounds are closed more often than not

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- Is there a large decline in percentages?
- Pay for lights? Some groups pay for their own lights?
- What costs are players charged?

The Submitter commented as follows:

- Yes there is decline in percentages, but I don't think we will cope with future increases, if we don't address these issues
- Mazengarb Park is owned by all as a community facility
- \$126 per season. About \$30 goes to Capital Football. New Zealand Football doesn't give us money, we give them money

*The meeting adjourned at 11.09am and reconvened at 11.30am.
Cr M Scott left the meeting at 11.10am and returned at 11.32am.*

18LTP-362 – Sandy Nimmo spoke on behalf of the Rimutaka Inline Hockey Club Inc requesting a group of representatives be formed to look into the Raumati Pools site redevelopment, not only for our sport but to accommodate numerous other sport groups.

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- Have you looked at other vacant commercial premise for your sport?
- Otaki has large sports facility building, how does that fit?
- We have had a lot of submissions offering solutions to site, are you aware of them?

The Submitter commented as follows:

- Yes have looked at many commercial sites in area but many are too small or have pillars that will require removal. There is a need for large multi sport venue in Kāpiti
- The Ōtaki sport facility has a large glass area which is not suitable for our sport
- There are a lot of groups interested in this facility, I think it is important for Council to form a group of interested parties and start to work through what is best for the facility and the community

18LTP-201 – Penelope Eames expressed her disappointment in the content of the Long Term Plan, asking:

- Where is the imagination and innovation, where is the Arts and Cultural Wellbeing?
- Income generation, where you can create jobs but not necessarily fulltime
- Using the untapped wealth within the region, we don't use the specialists within our own community
- Where is the public art, where is the icon that welcomes people to the region?
- Waikanae Beach needs:
 - Lobby government for a new school
 - Waikanae Beach needs a hall fit for purpose
 - Escape route, work with Community Board and Council, looking at the golf course

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- This is the first time we have heard the Arts Trail negatively presented
- Have you presented ideas for public art?

- Are you aware that the reason people had to register to be part of Arts Trail was because it morphed into something else and we had people outside region setting up outside residents homes to sell their wares

The Submitter commented as follows:

- The Arts Trail in Kapiti is the only one that charges the public to attend and the local artists a registration fee
- Council should form a public art committee
- We should look at the bigger event than just Kapiti, allowing outsiders in to sell but set up markets, also look at transport around the area for the day, publicise free train

The meeting adjourned at 12.00pm for lunch and reconvened at 1.00pm.

18LTP-363 – Kay Brown spoke on behalf of The Field Collection Trustees requesting:

- Council's confirmation that funding for the Council share of the Mahara Gallery redevelopment remain in its Long Term Plan, we cannot continue to hold this collection in limbo for much longer. We need to confirm a permanent home in near future, would prefer it to be in Kāpiti but if redevelopment of gallery is not confirmed we will need to look elsewhere
- 2019 is going to be a big year for Frances Hodgkins as NZ celebrates 150 years since her birth. Her ashes rest in a family plot in Waikanae, so let's hope her paintings can remain here also

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- When you loan out paintings is there money exchanged?
- This is not a money saving exercise, it hasn't been a decision of the Council to delay this project, and it is not in our hands

The Submitter commented as follows:

- We do not charge for galleries to loan the paintings, they are required to have full insurance, we do not make money from this, our time is voluntary
- We are not passing this onto our children, the painting need a permanent home and we really hope that the Mahara Gallery is that fit for purpose place

18LTP-247 – Janet Bayly, Karen Burroughs, Annabelle Weise and Leo Cameron spoke on behalf of the Mahara Gallery in support of the contribution that Mahara Gallery makes as the districts public gallery to making the Kāpiti Coast a vibrant, diverse and thriving place to live, work and play and supporting the development of a distinct district identity. Karen Burroughs, Annabelle Weise and Leo Cameron sung a waiata.

18LTP-276 – Kevin Ramshaw spoke on behalf of the Mahara Gallery requesting:

- Supporting the redevelopment project, which will allow the housing of the Field Collection which is an economic as well as cultural asset
- Increasing Council's operating grant, currently provides \$134,706, our operating expenses have risen by 30%, we urge Council to review and increase the gallery's annual operating grant

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- Do you receive grants from GWRC?
- Do you receive enough support from regional organisations given you are a regional gallery?
- The publications you produce - do you sell them? Do the returns cover the costs?

The Submitter commented as follows:

- We receive funding from the Regional Amenities Fund for our IT and cameras, we received three years of grants
- Most galleries are supported directly by their own Councils
- The Phillip Family Foundation Grant heavily subsidises the publications, this allows us to give every child a copy

Cr Benton left the meeting at 1.37pm and returned at 1:40pm.

18LTP-343 – Charlie Cordwell spoke on behalf of Surf Life Saving New Zealand requesting:

- Funding in the Long Term Plan as follows:
 - 2018-19 \$56,921.90 + GST
 - 2019-20 \$58,060.34 + GST
 - 2020-21 \$59,221.54 + GST

Funding of the Regional Lifeguard service to the level recommended in the Coastal Public Survey for the expenses identified by SLSNZ (Point 4.4 of the Provision of a Regional Lifeguard Service Kapiti Coast report)

- The clubs have volunteers on weekends. Run beach education programmes, schools are charging \$13 per student for full day. Preventive actions, if we have to rescue someone then we see that we have failed. We always try and employ local youth

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- Does Council pay for services and Surf Life Saving NZ pay for equipment?
- Is this the same across the country?
- What is the life expectancy of the Paekākāriki Building?
- A lot of rescues in Paekākāriki, what is the reason for this?

The Submitter commented as follows:

- We get money from KCDC that is distributed to pay wages etc and yes some goes to the national body. Members don't pay subs as they are volunteers
- It is the same across the country, I run 17 across the country
- Work is being done on the Paekākāriki Building but it looks like it is short to medium term
- Paekākāriki rescues are mainly due to those outside flags being caught in rips

18LTP-301 – Pryor Rowland spoke on behalf of the Kapiti Districts Restoration Group spoke about the environmental group in Kāpiti are concerned about negative effects by cats in the district on the natural environment. There are currently no animal control of cats in Kapiti. It is proposed that suitable controls on cats be developed as part of the Long Term Plan.

On December 8 December 2017 the Kapiti Restoration Group carried the motion that the Group moved that the Council recognise that cats are a problem from a predator point of view, and that Council put a plan in place to deal with this on a short and long term basis.

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- How many feral cats are in the Kāpiti region?
- Are you in touch with organisations that deal with feral cats? Horizon District Council deals with this issue have you been in touch with them?
- How does microchipping stop predator tendencies?
- Is Wellington City Council's bylaw effective in terms of microchipping?
- You can't control cats, the red tape issue that makes local government really unpopular with residents
- Instead of rushing into it, what if we wait and see what happens with other Councils?

The Submitter commented as follows:

- We do not know the number of feral cats in this area
- In July 2017 local governments in NZ passed remit to seek protection of our wildlife and native species by seeking regulatory powers, we need a national cat management strategy
- Microchipping does not stop tendencies, but if the cats is trapped it will be identified and returned
- Wellington City Councils Bylaw had a phasing in period and compulsory February this year
- Remembering that cats need to be treated humanely. If we can reduce the numbers owned it is a start in right direction
- Agree that monitoring other Councils approach, monitoring, statistics and outcomes will be of benefit but there is still a need for the intention to move forward with management of cats in the Long Term Plan

18LTP-323 – Anna Carter and representatives spoke on behalf of the Reikorangi Residents Association requesting:

- Community Reserve and Hall:
 - Hall requires urgent repairs, but the question is whether the hall in its current size and layout is fit for purpose, there is no disability ramp
 - Immediate action, we would like the reserve management plan to be brought forward to 2018/19 financial year and following elements consider: (i) childrens playground (ii) provision for freedom camping site and (iii) access to hall for Te Araroa walkers and facility for tents
- Roading Infrastructure:
 - Immediate attention to installation of signage to encourage drivers in vehicles to drive considerately when passing cyclists, pedestrians and horse riders
 - Medium term (2-5 years) funding required for the removal of large trees along Mangaone South Road, Ngatiawa Road and Kent Road
 - Immediate attention to widening the road between Waikanae Quarry and just before Devil's Elbow. Seeking road safety audit of Reikorangi Road as part of speed limit review
 - Long term (5 years plus) providing long term solutions for cyclists, pedestrians and horse riders
- Ecosystem Health:
 - Immediate attention, we would like Council to provide rat and stoat traps and provide a liaison officer to support the programme
 - Medium term (2-5 years) we would like Councils pest plant and animal control programme to extend to its own land in particular all the esplanade reserves and native bush reserves
- Tourism:
 - Immediate action, we would like Council to work with DOC to provide an ablution facility at the Mangaone South carpark
 - Medium term (2-5 years), we would like Council to undertake road safety audit to determine safety for all users, extending beyond speed limits but considers width of road and numbers of types of users on road

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- What numbers of people use the walking tracks?
- Do many tracks around the country have facilities?
- Have you spoken to Council officers about removing the pine trees?
- You could seek funding for the ablution block and other facilities through the Waikanae Community Board Capital Improvement Grant which is now open

The Submitter commented as follows:

- 80% overseas using tracks and 20% and feedback received is there is definite lack of facilities in this area. Numbers using track has gone from 350 to 550 in last year to an expected 750. It is currently known as the 'poop' trail
- We know that Ōtaki Forks do, down south most do and New Zealand is slipping behind other countries.
- We were advised by Council officers that the pine trees were too expensive to remove

18LTP-197 – Trevor Hunter spoke on behalf of the Otaki Boating Club requesting permission to continue with present arrangement of occupying 37 Moana Street, Ōtaki until decision is made on the application to occupy a section of land on Marine Parade.

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- Why not just stay where you are?

The Submitter commented as follows:

- It may be better option to stay where we are but we would need some sort of long term arrangement.

The meeting adjourned at 2.40pm and reconvened at 2.46pm.

18LTP-236 – Jake Roos and Deirdre Kent spoke on behalf of the Low Carbon Kapiti and emphasised the following points around their submission:

- Remind Council how bad climate change is and everyone has to do their part.
- Where are you going with climate change mitigation? Have you actually put in measures to get you to the 80%? We have given you a formula of how to reach this in our submission
- We suggest strongly the installation of LED lights
- Look into power purchase agreements with community
- Electrify everything and plant trees, for example, electric fleet cars, heat pumps in the pools
- Put up display at Coastlands and public will really embrace it

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- Carbon forests on Council Land some are already claimable
- Gum trees by water treatment plant. In terms of carbon credits what if we removed them and replaced with natives?
- What about planting trees by the expressway?
- Do you think we should be making big decision on that or waiting for LGNZ?
- Mitigation and adaptation, Central Government saying we need to work on adaption. Are you aware of the costs of adaptation?

The Submitter commented as follows:

- Anything planted before 1990 cannot be included
- You are storing carbon in gum trees near treatment plant. What is the best species to store carbon? Gum would store more than natives
- Areas around the expressway could include closed canopy, look at small diverse areas.
- So many benefits, no risk for adaptation. Now is always good time for mitigation

MOVED (Mayor Gurunathan/Vining)

That Standing Order 4.2 is suspended to allow the meeting to continue.

CARRIED

18LTP-219 – Sue Lusk spoke on behalf of Destination Waikanae requesting:

- Way-Finding Signage, Council currently conducting audit to be to completed in September. There are key attractions in Kāpiti and if we are to maximise Kāpiti's appeal to visitors, we have to improve these basic deficiencies quickly
- Second crossing for Waikanae East, you cannot continue to develop in this area without a second crossing, there was paper road across to Hadfield Road on the map for years and the provision of a second exit road for Waikanae East needs to remain in the Long Term Plan, congestion from commuter parking is getting steadily worse

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- Has it reached capacity at the railway carparking?
- What do you think about reducing the old State Highway down to one lane?
- Waikanae Community Board have grants available which could assist with signage
- The expressway and signage conditions through board of enquiry, it is hard going getting signs on motorway, but not off the motorway

The Submitter commented as follows:

- The parking hasn't completely reach capacity but at peak times. There are a lot of people that go through red lights over railway tracks. When you have flooding everyone catches train. It hasn't been future proofed
- If we reduce to one lane, an accident could cause issues with huge trucks coming through. Happy to reduce the speed

Christine Papps left at 3.24pm.

18LTP-212 – Bill Roberston and Kim Nye spoke on behalf of A Safe Kapiti Trust requesting ongoing support and funding from Council. Currently receives \$15,000 and average grant from other Local Authority is \$27,341.

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- Have you looked into alternative funding avenues and spoken to Council staff?
- Otaki is a low social economic area, what service do you provide there
- It is hard to measure what service we deliver through social services for example Citizens Advice Beau provides advice and has no follow up system to know outcome. How do you know?

The Submitter commented as follows:

- We only receive funding from Council to cover overheads and programme expenses and have not spoken to Council officers about alternative funding sources
- Our boundary only goes as far as Peka Peka
- We have deliverables for example we take on 60 cases each year and work with families

18LTP-319 – Steph Smith (on behalf of Mr and Mrs Williams) spoke against the wastewater from the Treatment Plant, that comes down through Ratanui Lakes and into Mazengarb Drain. We want drastic action, the Councils main priority should be infrastructure as the way the district is growing it is crucial.

18LTP-377 – Amy Braddock and members of the Youth Council spoke on behalf of the Kāpiti Coast Youth Council and the unique consultation it held recently at Coastlands Mall, collecting data from 12-21 year olds, it covered:

- vision
- cultural diversity
- connected
- wellbeing and safety
- employment
- stuff, and
- environment

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- Very impressed with the consultation and your presentation
- How is the Youth facility coming along?
- How many of you have employment during holidays?

The Submitter commented as follows:

- The Youth facility is vital for Youth
- X3 indicated they have employment during holidays, most had sport or worked as volunteers during spare time and weekends

18LTP-329 – Ellen Blake spoke on behalf of Living Streets Aotearoa asking for good pedestrian infrastructure, slower speeds that is encouraged by good urban designs. Walking is people's favourite leisure activity so better connected suburbs and parks, footpaths and reserves will be popular with residents. We would like Council to:

- Have funding for walkway maintenance
- Come up with some really cool projects to get people walking, there has been decline on number of children walking to school, so target children getting to school
- More promotion of public transport

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- How do we promote walking to school? It seems to be generation thing where after a number of incidents parents started taking to children to school
- If they are not getting dropped off to school, do you think they are cycling?

The Submitter commented as follows:

- We need to educate parents and children on the benefits and provide safe solutions within the community
- Yes many children still cycle to school

18LTP-347 – Lyndy McIntyre spoke on kerbside recycling and waste minimisation requesting that Council return to the provision of a kerbside recycling services with a waste to landfill collection services that incentivises that waste.

The new system says it offers each household a choice of service they would like based on what provider offers. However, every household does not have a service they would like to use as there is no service that achieves the goals of encouraging waste minimisation and maximising recycling. The Council signed up to the Waste Minimisation plan. This can lead to the dumping of rubbish in public places.

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- Bringing back in would mean increase 6 to 8% increase in rates, knowing that how do you feel about it
- Waste Minimisation we are performing well in terms of what is required. Do you have anything to validate we are not
- Do you still want bags or a regular service?
- With minimising rubbish, are communities getting together to work out a system that works for them?
- What about a free recycling service?
- As we don't own a landfill or tip and ours was closed by law. Our contractors own landfill, where do we go to find land?

The Submitter commented as follows:

- Look at the aquatic centre, money didn't materialise from donors and Council ended up funding
- Let's get a group of people together and work it out, this is a 20 year plan
- This is a about a system that give incentive to reduce waste
- Residents are coming together to work out what is best for them, but a free service that either took bag or recycling would be a step up
- Don't send any rubbish to Hokio Beach next to marae.

Cr Benton left the meeting at 4.52pm.

18LTP-2 – Karen Parker spoke on requesting funding of \$200,000 for a feasibility study for multi-use Community Sports Centre. Kāpiti Coast is an expanding community but the facilities are not there to meet this growth. It will see an increased member in each sport and be a huge promotion for the region. We would then seek a further \$500,000 to build the premise be put into the 2019-2025 Long Term Plan and 2025-2038 years a further \$200,000 each year for operational costs.

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- Have you put in a formal request prior?
- Why do we replicate what we have got?
- If you want \$200,000 where do we find that money? How do you feel about the current rates review?
- Have you look at the Wellington Spatial Plan?
- Have you ever built a building this big? Have you approached feasibility agencies to assist with your study?
- No one disputes this isn't a good idea for the region, but where do you think it should go?

The Submitter commented as follows:

- Yes I put in a formal request for \$60,000 about two years ago
- The public transport to and from the current venues is not easily accessible
- I am putting in a request and it is up to you to prioritise where the money will be allocated from, the rates go up each year and there is nothing we can do about that
- We lost the Sports Wellington offices in Kāpiti due to a decision Council made
- I work in the construction industry, if you know where else I can source funding please let me know
- There are three options of council land currently available, would make sense to have this facility close to council offices and public transport

The meeting adjourned at 5.11pm for short break and reconvened at 5.35pm.

Cr M Scott left the meeting at 5.35 pm and returned at 5.53pm.

Cr Vining left the meeting 5.35 pm and returned at 5.55pm.

18LTP-283 – Tina Pope spoke to her submission on the following issues:

- Climate change, Council should be carbon neutral by 2025, and the actions outlined by Low Carbon Kapiti outline this
- Environment protection, the "Tilley triangle" area is prone to flooding, we encourage Council to work with NZTA, DOC and GWRC to turn this area into native wetlands
- Parks and Playgrounds, we support the submission by Liana Stupples about creating wild play areas for our child and visitors
- Housing affordability, I support the Paekakariki Housing Trust's submission, the Council need to do more in particular by making affordable housing a priority, with work other agencies to use land for affordable housing and develop community-based precinct plan for the Perkins Farm property, use council-held rights of first refusal with NZTA, reducing and waiving Council fees and levies for residential development, lease Council social housing to community providers. Enable community boards to manage social housing

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- Community Housing has to be affordable, there is only so much cash, so if you were allowed one project to achieve this, what would it be?
- What is your view on Paekākāriki?

The Submitter commented as follows:

- The one project I would like to see is the community based initiatives
- Paekākāriki is a regional asset, don't miss out on developing it

18LTP-254 – Tina Pope and Keith Johnston spoke on behalf of Paekakariki Housing Trust about accessible and affordable housing in Paekākāriki and how the trust is now completing its first house purchase with funds contributed by community members.

What we want is to move out of the belief this is a central government problem and that we do not have the money. We want to see Council as the enablers of the community. We have eight actions outlined in our submissions that we would like to see included in the Long Term Plan.

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- How is it fair to subsidise one person and not another?
- Any new subdivision in Paekākāriki would have to have its own sewage treatment plant

The Submitter commented as follows:

- It should be a redistribution system like the way our tax system works

Cr Elliott joined the meeting at 6.05pm.

18LTP-340 – Philip Edwards spoke on behalf of the Paekākāriki Community Board and spoke of the passion of the Paekākāriki community and how the residents volunteer and work together. The Board is requesting the Council action the following:

- Seawall replacement need to keep progressing this to ensure safety of Council assets.
- Te Araroa Trail, this was passed in the 2017/18 Annual Plan but need to progress
- Speed limit plan for Kāpiti, there is a plan to do more open roads, we want to see this reserved to ensure safer community
- SH1 Revocation, work with community before 2020 so the revocation is ready to go
- Purchase the Paekākāriki escarpment opposite railway station, cost part of revocation, land swap between KCDC and NZTA

Cr Buswell left the meeting at 6.17pm and returned at 6.20pm.

18LTP-330 – Christine Papps and Shelley Warwick spoke on behalf of the Ōtaki Community Board about the importance of signalling to the Council and the Ōtaki community that there are a number of significant projects which need to be addressed and that in the 20 year Long Term Plan should be considered for inclusion, these are outlined in our submission, some we would like to see actioned in shorter timeframe but majority are place markers for the future development in Ōtaki. Additionally we would like the following addressed:

- Otaki Players, there is currently only one toilet and we would like a second toilet as it is putting pressure on staff
- Bus service, the current service does not provide enough times for those who work in the area and miss the train

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- What are the priorities on your list?
- In terms of Otaki College, why is there a difference between Community Board request of \$600,000 and Otaki Principal \$300,000
- Learn to swim programmes being free, someone has to pay and if we make it free someone picks up the costs
- There was a group that used to offer free swimming lessons, do they still do this?
- The high school is on private land

The Submitter commented as follows:

- Our priorities would be the toilets and the college gym upgrade
- The Community Board put in its submission prior to college, the Principal had spoken to Councillors and had changed amount to work within the strategy
- We would like to give at least 4 to 5 children to opportunity to learn to swim for free

- Not sure if the group still offering lessons but there are a lot of families in our community that are unable to afford it

18LTP-287 – Jocelyn Prvanov spoke on behalf of the Waikanae Community Board requesting funding and reallocation for:

- Waikanae Town Centre, we are highly supportive of the development of the town centre and keen to be involved in this process, we ask that:
 - Waikanae Town Centre Plan Change, we seeks support from Council to secure staff time through the Long Term Plan to secure a plan change to the operative plan to facilitate residential development
 - Waikanae Library, we note this project has been pushed out to 2028/2031 and very disappointed it will not occur sooner but we fully support this project
 - Mahara Gallery, we continue to support the gallery and pleased to support KCDC in its endorsement of the gallery in the current rounds of funding applications
- Economic Development, we strongly support the budgeted funds for development of strategy and implementation plan, we submit to request specific funds in the Long Term Plan as the town centre is adversely affected by the opening of the expressway, to help the town be identified by signage and other means
- Local Outcomes Statement, we identified 16 actions points for development and would like these prioritised, we are requesting funding for these for both Waikanae and the Reikorangi Local Outcome Statements

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- Is the Waikanae Basketball Court a half court?
- You have said there has been no money spent on economic development in Waikanae, is that true?

The Submitter commented as follows:

- Yes the Waikanae Basketball Court is a half court
- Not sure if any money has been spent to date on economic development in Waikanae

18LTP-367 – Jonny Best spoke on behalf of the Paraparaumu-Raumati Community Board requesting.

- That the town centre money is allocated to Raumati in year 1 and 2 of the plan. There are a number of health and safety concerns and we would like to bring that work forward
- McLean Park, any money not used we would like to see this plan accelerated
- Beach market, due to development the market will have to relocate. We would like Council to facilitate moving it to McLean Street so can extend into park over summer
- Raumati pool, there is no funding till 2026
- Flooding, the board would like to see this work accelerated
- Council website, this is not easy to navigate
- Argentine Ants, we need to provide information to property owners about how to manage them
- Kapiti Gateway facility to Kāpiti Island, need to look at accessing funds from Governments provincial development fund

Cr Vining left the meeting at 6:46pm and returned at 6:48pm.

Cr Elliott returned to the meeting at 6:53pm.

18LTP-204 – Jayne O’Neill spoke on behalf of the Paekākāriki Orchard and Gardens Inc requesting the Council consider providing land for the development of community gardens in Paekākāriki. We currently have a MOU with Council that recognises the partnership between the Council and the group for the purpose of optimising reserve development for public enjoyment while protecting and restoring the natural environment.

Along with a community garden there is opportunity to develop an edible food forest as part of a neighbourhood park, as opportunities become available and NZTA surplus land becomes available we would like Council to consider this for this purpose.

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- How long till you need more land?

The Submitter commented as follows:

- We are currently thriving on the land we have available, but would like Council to consider the opportunity of NZTA surplus land when it comes available for us to widen our projects

18LTP-225 – Jayne O’Neill spoke on the District Plan being upheld as NZTA land becomes surplus and ask that local community is fully consulted so we can all consider the long-term vision for Paekākāriki.

18LTP-356 – Heather Hutchings spoke on behalf of the Kapiti Coast Chamber of Commerce outlining their view that the proposed Long Term Plan is not sending the right message, it is not vibrant and has two key assumptions, keeping the debt level down and not increasing rates for residential ratepayers, these two drivers will create poor and struggling community. More focus is needed on:

- Supporting a strong local economy, this should be the first priority
- Rating System review, we agree should be reviewed but we do not believe the proposed option is the correct approach
- Visitor attraction, the Council hasn’t got the infrastructure or facilities and this needs to be addressed
- Social Investment, Council’s recently adopted Social Investment Program has flaws in introduction of those and shows a failure of process

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- Austerity, do you not think we are managing our debt level
- What do you think about us still having an i-SITE? And as they closing elsewhere round the country, do you think money would be better spent elsewhere?
- Do you think the Long Term Plan could be simplified?
- You prefer rating system to remain the same, but businesses here pay the least amount of rates in the country? There is an argument about where the town centre is and two large buildings remain empty so maybe they are asking too much rent

The Submitter commented as follows:

- Is now the best time to be managing debt levels with the region growing
- The i-SITE is of value to the community, but may be needed to brought up with the times.
- If you try and simplify the plan you run into danger or challenge of that
- Yes we suggest that reducing the budget and extending the programme over a longer timeframe will have negative impact on business in our town centres

18LTP-365 – Heather Hutchings and Jane Stevenson spoke on their concern over reducing the budgets and extending the town centres projects will cause problems with economic development. There is a need to make them welcoming and appealing. Council need to work to strengthen local business and attract new business.

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- Do you think employing another Economic Officer would pay for itself?

The Submitter commented as follows:

- Yes we do think another Economic Officer role would pay for itself, a role to promote local business and encourage development in the region. That team should contribute to

creating strong and vibrant town centres and encourage initiative to make areas more attractive, interesting and inviting to businesses, shopping and visitors

18LTP-333 – Keith Wood spoke on behalf of Manaaki Kapiti about McLean Park and Kapiti Gateway, these are two important infrastructure projects which will bring a huge and positive impact on the development of the region in the longer term. The region is light on iconic visitor attractions that have capacity to draw in many tourists, national and international.

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- Do you think the i site money would be better spent elsewhere?

The Submitter commented as follows:

- Yes we do think the i-SITE money could be put to better use to promote region.

The meeting concluded at 8.09pm and was scheduled to reconvene on Tuesday 15 May 2018 at 9.00am.

MINUTES	MEETING	TIME
KĀPITI COAST DISTRICT COUNCIL	TUESDAY 15 MAY 2018	9.00 AM

Minutes of a meeting of the Kāpiti Coast District Council on Tuesday 15 May 2018 resuming at 9.00am in Council Chambers, Ground Floor, Kapiti Coast District Council, 175 Rimu Road, Paraparaumu.

PRESENT	Mayor	K	Gurunathan	Chair
	Cr	M	Benton	
	Cr	A	Buswell	
	Cr	M	Cardiff	
	Cr	J	Cootes	
	Cr	J	Elliott	
	Cr	J	Holborow	
	Cr	J	Howson	
	Cr	M	Scott	
	Cr	F	Vining	
ATTENDING	Mr	P	Edwards	Chair, Paekākāriki Community Board
	Ms	J	Prvanov	Chair, Waikanae Community Board
	Mr	J	Best	Chair, Paraparaumu-Raumati Community Board
	Ms	S	Warwick	Member, Ōtaki Community Board
	Mr	M	Maxwell	Chief Executive
	Mr	M	Pedersen	Group Manager, Community Services
	Ms	N	Tod	Group Manager, Regulatory Services
	Mr	K	Black	Acting Group Manager, Strategy and Planning
	Ms	J	McDougall	Acting Group Manager, Corporate Services
	Ms	L	Belcher	Acting Manager, Democracy Services

18LTP-251 – Paul Dunmore spoke on behalf of Coastal Ratepayers United Inc about Council infrastructure strategies in the Long Term Plan are inadequate and also inconsistent, no thought has been given to it. In the meantime Council should look at approaches such as beach renourishment, which can provide a substantial and buffer in front of the hard protection structures. Management of hazards needs Council to prioritise this and money spent on it now.

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- How do you think we can fund this without rates rising so high?
- The Adelaide sand projects are not invasive and process is natural. How would your members feel about targeted rate for these projects?
- The Adelaide projects cost them 6 million so this would mean huge rates increase
- You commented there was lack of inter connection in Council around consent, do you think GRWC has this problem?

The Submitter commented as follows:

- Reduce expenditure, Councillors are elected to prioritise expenditure and to give effect to risks of community and increase in rates for this type of expenditure is palatable
- We would be fairly comfortable with targeted rates, if they were linked to specific projects
- Our beach is only 2-3 kms, Adelaide's beach project is a lot larger than ours
- Yes GRWC consenting process is much more difficult and Council needs to make a stronger case

18LTP-326 – Peter Gush and Dr Stephen Palmer spoke on behalf of Regional Public Health on the importance of the organisations continuing to work together in building resilient infrastructure and communities. The Long Term Plan process provides Council's pathway to addressing the management of drinking water, waste water and stormwater and provides opportunity to engage the community in awareness around these issues.

The Havelock North Inquiry has resulted in a regional drinking water group being formed and now this is being established within Wellington Region but requires mandate and support from Councils. The Government is also moving Fluoride to the Health Boards

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- Emergency health in the event of a major earthquake, resulting in the region being split and inaccessible, what is your response?
- From the Havelock North Inquiry there is an idea of having to regulate, what is your take on us being separate from rest of Wellington region and having our own water supply?
- Social Services do not seem to cover Ōtaki even though it is part of Ōtaki, how can we address that?
- Cross services boundary review 2013. We are now getting together a working party to relook at this document

The Submitter commented as follows:

- In the event of major earthquake Councils need to make sure their infrastructure such as water supply and public transport are in a position to recover and enough staff trained in emergency management
- Many water supplies do not comply with drinking water standard. Havelock North shows us what can happen with public health and economy on small scale
- Since 1993 the DHB line has been drawn at Peka Peka
- We will provide you with a document that outlines services we do provide vs what Mid Central provide

18LTP-273 – Andy Fraser and Kirsty Doyle spoke on behalf of Ōtaki College requesting:

- Funding for the maintenance and refurbishment of the Ōtaki College gym of \$50,000 per annum of the next six years, the college will seek support from funders to make up the difference required, the Council has supported other High Schools in the area.
- The costs proposed for the project of work was estimated at \$500,000 excl GST. The Ministry of Education is unable to prioritise the proposed works. We have undertaken to refurbish and re-surface the floor
- Our hope is to see an even greater use of this facility not just for sport but also teaching and office space, outside of school hours and community group use

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- You provide support to members of your community can you provide example?
- What has the Ministry of Education provided? Minister is advocating that schools are used as hubs as they are only occupied during school hours
- Would it be useful if we write to the Ministry of Education and reemphasis what is happening?
- Have you asked lotteries commission for funding?
- If the Council give you the required funding can guidelines be drawn up so community can use?
- Is the Ministry of Education property funding specific? And do you have a schedule of previous building maintenance

The Submitter commented as follows:

- We want as many people in our community involved without anything stopping them, we work with family members, seeking advice on their behalf. An example being one family living in their car, we spent 2-4 weeks organising interviews until a position was found, enabling them to find accommodation and source of income

- We have been a community hub for the last 10 years and have never required funding and we are waiting until the Ministry of Education creates something like that. The Ministry of Education have a works schedule and this upgrade is not on that plan
- We have sought \$50,000 over the next 6 years from the lotteries commission
- Ministry of Education funding for property is specific and targeted, we do have a schedule of building maintenance

Cr Buswell left the meeting at 10.06am.

18LTP-222 – Peter Jones spoke on one rating system that everyone knows about, residential rates are too high and commercial sectors need to start paying their way. Coastal properties should be charged a special rate to pay their share or a capital contribution. Individual protection should be a plan set up by Council. The Raumati Pool building needs to be converted into a useable community facility.

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- How many people are in the table tennis club and where are they located?

The Submitter commented as follows:

- We have about 50 members and we host it at the Kapiti Uniting Parish Church

Cr M Scott left at 10:09am and returned at 10:10am.

18LTP-361 – Nicky Sheriff spoke on behalf of Sport Wellington acknowledging the many challenges Council are tasked with addressing, and they remain ready to partner with Council on sport and active recreation matters where they can add value. Sports Wellington is currently developing a regional spaces and facilities guide creating stocktake of facilities available with the intent to understand what it looks like across the region and prioritise what is needed.

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- Which area of Council do you mainly deal with?
- Can we see the list of priorities?

The Submitter commented as follows:

- We mainly deal with Parks and the Development of Communities Facilities Strategy has helped in this area
- As the stocktake is not complete, there is not a lot of data to share until we have finished, we are currently running four months behind schedule

18LTP-191 – Shelley Warwick spoke on behalf of the Waitohu Home and School Association requesting:

- Funding of \$3,500 per year over next 3 years for the annual fireworks extravaganza
- This event has been running for 25 years, very much a district wide event, the cost to run event is \$15,000 before making profit, it is now the only fireworks display in Kāpiti
- We want this to remain affordable to all the community and keep ticket prices at pre-sell of \$5, and \$8 on the night, the profit is used to contribute to school activities

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- Can fireworks be stored for a year in the event you have to cancel?
- In the event of bad weather will it be postponed rather than cancelled?

The Submitter commented as follows:

- Not sure how long they are able to be stored
- Yes we would definitely postpone as this is about a fundraising event that is affordable

*Cr Benton left the meeting at 10:40am and returned at 11.34am.
Cr Holborow left the meeting at 10:41am.*

The meeting adjourned at 10:45am for morning tea and reconvened at 11:00am.

18LTP-210 – Paul Turner spoke on behalf of Landlink raising the following point:

- There is simply not enough land to accommodate current rate of growth, the NZTA land that becomes surplus along expressway could be utilised. The NPS-UDC directs local Councils to provide sufficient development capacity in their resource management plans
- Responding to risk of sea level rise, flooding and climate change to date has been reactive and haphazard, spending on resilience and growth could result in targeted rates. Strong decisions come from strong understanding of the issues, there is expertise in our community that is underutilised

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- Development contributions, National Policy Statement, medium growth area is set by MBIE and this is what we use for our projections. Are you saying that is wrong?
- In regards to flooding, consultation has given us a measurement, are you saying we need to review?
- We need to find a happy medium for growth figure. We are constantly criticised about allowing building in areas that floods.
- What is your take on assessment of growth, more rural land should be rezoned?
- Expressway land currently surplus. How do you see Council involved in this.

The Submitter commented as follows:

- Not in terms of development contributions, it is in terms of making land available for growth, the policy statement state this
- No the information on flooding is correct, it's a regional problem and it has been acknowledged by GWRC
- No just rezoning rural land, there are opportunities for residential land that is already serviced
- The current surplus land should be dealt with in proactive approach to land owners to encourage them to get together and see how to develop land. Horowhenua Council has Development Enabler Officers which contributes to a positive approach to land development

Cr Vining left the meeting at 11:07am and returned at 11:09am.

18LTP-209 – Paul Turner spoke on behalf of Sue Stapleton reemphasising the points in their submission and advising that the Wharemauku Block Wall ownership and management of this strip of land needs to be dealt with and Council needs to have a more proactive approach to coastal assets.

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- Communication around building development and meaningful relationship, how do you see this?
- Do you find Horowhenua Council easier to deal with?
- Problematic problem with Old Coach Road, owners have tried to negotiate sale because of problems with it. The wall was erected in response to emergency to protect council asset and private property

The Submitter commented as follows:

- Communication is better via phone or in person rather than a report we cannot understand
- Horowhenua Council has a more transparent process, their facilitator is a positive approach and I understand the pressure as growth in area is huge
- A rational discussion on the issues with both parties would result in a better outcome

18LTP-125 – Gary Simpson and John Craig spoke on behalf of the Te Araroa Wellington Trust requesting:

- Funding of \$5,000 per annum for the Escarpment Track maintenance costs, an increase from the current \$3,000 per year the Council currently provides. It also requests that Council change method of payment to an annual grant paid directly to TAWT to improve efficiency
- Escarpment, Northern Entrance, Paekākāriki we installed a counter for 1 year and showed 40,000 people walking track. Monthly figures show Feb was 700 and April was 800
- We have Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with Council and would like Council to sign this agreement to enable a structured relationship
- We are the only regional walkway that is not owned by a council

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- Have you tried any other avenues of funding?
- What sort of counter and how does it work?
- Have you thought about gold coin donation?
- How crucial is it to have MOU signed?
- How much funding do you receive from Porirua City Council?

The Submitter commented as follows:

- We are currently trying to establish ongoing funding for maintenance but it is hard to get grants. We are also unable to exercise authority if that track is closed due to slip, even if we put up signs, we don't have same authority Council has
- The counter is located at Pukerua Bay; it counts bodies and which direction you are going. It is wireless so we access figures remotely and the cost was around \$5,000
- Yes the gold coin donation is something we are looking into, we looked at the donating online app but the setup and ongoing costs were expensive
- The MOU would allow us to get lump sum of money rather than dividing up costs of invoices between Councils and would show we have solid relationship
- We receive from \$15,000 per year Porirua Council, in a lump sum and report back to a Committee each year. The Track is a 80/20 split between Porirua and KCDC

Cr Benton left the meeting at 11:46am and returned at 11:47am.

18LTP-158 & 266 – Mahina-a-rangi Baker spoke on behalf of Te Whakaminenga o Kāpiti and Te Atiawa ki Whakarongati Charitable Trust about the really positive experience manu whenua around consultation and the process would be better if iwi could present prior to developing the Long Term Plan. TWOK have identified four priorities areas and recommendations as follows:

- Managed Retreat; efficient spending that reduces the risks of life posed by climate change. Recommendation 1. Council work collaboratively to support the development of a managed retreat strategy which identifies the risks posed to Kapiti by climate change and the work programme require to implement managed retreat in the District, including the involvement of mana whenua in civil emergency response
- Solid Waste Disposal; ensuring that Council maintains control over the sustainability of Kāpiti waste disposal. Recommendation 2. Council will require that disposal at a sustainable alternative to Hokio landfill as a condition of the next contract they sign for solid waste disposal
- Affordable Housing; enabling Council to ply its part in increasing the availability of affordable housing. Recommendation 3. (a) Council and TWOK develop a joint strategy to work with Crown agencies to secure financial relief for the development of affordable

housing especially on 'Maori.' 3(b). Council work with mana whenua to develop a Papakainga Plan Change to the District Plan

- Environmental Standards; ensuring that Council are able to meet legal requirements to achieve the District Plan. Recommendation 4: Initiate the implementation of an environmental and mahinga kai monitoring programme for stormwater in Kapiti

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- Affordable housing, is this for Maori or across the board?
- Solid waste and Hokio, my understanding is when it goes to Otaihanga Landfill it belongs to the contractor

The Submitter commented as follows:

- I am representing the Trust. It is targeted at Maori, but will provide a good model.
- Highlights issues with environmental regulations and shows us problems. Will this Council take ownership and deal with this issue?

The meeting adjourned at 12:15pm for lunch and reconvened at 1.00pm.

18LTP-184 – Judith Bell spoke on behalf of the Citizens Advice Bureau Ōtaki requesting:

- Funding of \$12,000 per annum over the next three year to continue to provide our services, we are 100% run by volunteers with no paid staff, with rent being major component of our annual expenses
- Funding of \$6,000 one off to hire a contractor with marketing experience for a six month period
- We are actively exploring options to become more self-reliant and sustainable. We are developing a plan to reach out to the local business community and to engage more proactivity with other service providing organisations in our community

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- You have been meeting with Council contractor to improve business process and reduce costs, hoping this can help you stand alone. How is that going?
- You are looking at hiring contractor for marketing, tell us about that position
- If you find savings, maybe this will pay for the marketing contractor

The Submitter commented as follows:

- Yes contractor is supporting us to find ways to reduce costs, we are looking at moving to Zero accounting package. Can the Council provide us space?
- The marketing position would suit someone local looking at coming back to work on part time basis with enough experience and commitment to fulfil function setup and also the crucial follow through
- It will be some time before we find savings

18LTP-161 – Sandra Daly and representatives spoke on behalf of the Citizens Advice Bureau Kapiti requesting:

- Funding of \$15,000 per annum over the next three year to continue to provide our services, we are 100% run by volunteers with no paid staff, our reach is great but our financial needs are low compared to other NGOs
- Funding to improve the safe, confidential spaces that clients need when they visit, the fitout to date is basic and functional but we wish to improve security, safety and privacy of our staff and clients

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- Where were your officers located previously?
- Have you thought of using the service centre?

The Submitter commented as follows:

- We were located behind Grey Power and Budget Office but had an audit report done by Risk Assessor due to nature of people coming and they suggested we move to safer premise
- Yes we would like to use the service centre, but would need a two year lease

18LTP-274 – Adrian Gregory spoke on the “Birthright” Building in Ōtaki requesting for inclusion in the Long Term Plan:

- Council to support proposal for the establishment of a community facility/hub in the “Birthright” Building for the Ōtaki Community
- Council re-assigns the property to an appropriate governance body (yet to be established) for the community hub, and as the building is currently not fit for purpose, provides the resources to reinstate/upgrade the property
- If Council do not approve this submission that Council should provide on-ongoing practical assistance in identifying an alternative facility
- In 1993 the property was leased to Birthright Otaki. The lease is rent free, with all the maintenance of the property and rates the responsibility of Birthright. The proposal from Birthright in 1993 refers to the property being available by a community group. In 2015 Birthright suspended services to its clients and released its two fieldworkers, effectively ceasing to use the property as a facility centre

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- What is the earthquake rating on the building?
- Would permanent tenants be allowed to occupy the building?
- What maintenance is required on the building? And was any maintenance done on premise?
- What is the building currently being used for?
- Where you have asked that Council ‘actively supports’, what do you mean?
- Have you looked at the possibility of this being an emergency housing possibility?

The Submitter commented as follows:

- The building has been previously checked by KCDC and not deemed to be at risk in the event of natural disaster
- I am presenting concept at this stage and not sure what tenants should be offered long term space
- The kitchen and bathroom need upgrading and the windows and guttering need maintenance. Not sure of what maintenance was done as I am only new to Birthright
- The building was an overflow storage facility, but this has been removed, the container out the back also belongs to Birthright and will be removed
- I want Council to support that we are heading in the right direction
- No have not looked at the emergency housing option

18LTP-309 – Peter Davis and Chloe Kasoulides spoke on behalf of Lawrence Kirby, Paraparaumu College Board of Trustees requesting the Hall is included in the Long Term Plan, given there are approximately 11 years left on the Deed of Licence. The following are key matters for discussion as soon as possible:

- The long term position of the College on future involvement in the hall
- Undertaking an earthquake prone buildings assessment
- Applying the asbestos legislation and compiling a management plan moving forward.
- Agreeing timing and costs where appropriate, of renewals based on the SPM assets conditions survey and
- Significant renewals/capital investment, both up to and after 2029

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- Would you say the hall is underutilised after hours? Is it being used as a district wide venue?

- Is there a current record of Council Funding?
- Do you have any money for maintenance?
- Is there Carparking and lighting for night use? and are the kitchen facilities used?
- This was the first time Council and a school went into partnership. MOU states that after 50 years the building design was to be added onto once lease was up. Its disconcerting the maintenance was not kept up, when was the last time the Committee met?

The Submitter commented as follows:

- Not sure how the hall is utilised after hours as Council manages that
- Yes there are records of Council funding, a recent Council report shows that \$135,000 is needed for urgent repairs
- Ministry of Education targets all maintenance, we have not been able to get any agreement from Council as this is a 50/50 split on maintenance for co-owned building
- Yes there is carparking and outside lighting for night use, the kitchen facilities are not being utilised due to condition it is in
- We are not quite sure when it all fell apart, building maintenance wise, but fully confident we can move things forward

18LTP-235 – Kerry Walker spoke on rates requesting the rating system remain as it is, there is equity problem with reducing the proportion of fixed rate charges especially with regard to roading and particularly in the rural area. This area gets small benefit per rate dollar for example no footpaths, street lighting but we pay larger portion. A \$1500 rate increase is a lot of money.

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- Are you alone in this or are other farmers in the same boat?

The Submitter commented as follows:

- Most people had a drop in capital. I will be paying approximately \$10,000 for a 300 acre farm

Cr Elliott left the meeting at 2:23pm and returned at 2:26pm.

18LTP-183 – Vicky Noon spoke on dog areas in Paekākāriki, waste management and weed spraying requesting:

- A fenced area in the Ames Street Domain, this would allow dogs to run free and socialise and remain away from penguin area. The current areas are not feasible due to bait being laid and the dead carcasses
- Dog hooks, there is one outside dairy but would like to see more
- Doggie bins, the one currently at Queen Elizabeth park deters people from putting in general rubbish, can we please have more bins in the area
- Waste Management, request Council to make a contract change that private waste management companies provide recycling bins at end of major streets to enable the trend of recycling to continue
- Can you please remove the significant amount of wandering jew along the sand track pathway?

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- Paekākāriki has a community grant fund available which you can request the hooks and the bins
- You can log a service request for the removal of the wandering jew

The Submitter commented as follows:

- I was not aware there was a grant fund available nor that I could log a service request

18LTP-9 – Greg Rzesniowiecki spoke on the Trans Pacific Partnership (TPP) asking Council to consider the evidence paper and recommendations for 2018 Long Term Plan processes included in his submission.

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- Which Council are you presenting to next?

The Submitter commented as follows:

- My next point of call is Taihape Council then around the north island and down south

Cr M Scott returned at 2:31pm.

18LTP-259– Terence Huxford spoke on Raumati Pool complex future use highlighting:

- Whilst I support the concept and efforts by the Guardians of the Kapiti Marine Reserve Trust to request the facility to build a Marine Discovery Centre, I think their concept would be better suited co-sited with the proposed Kapiti Gateway and Biosecurity Centre at Paraparaumu Beach
- I understand that the pool complex is currently earmarked to be converted into an indoor recreation centre and funding is earmarked but no time frame, so I ask that if the pool complex is used for another purpose that Council commit to develop a new plan for an indoor recreation facility

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- When it was decided to first close submission, the Long Term Plan submissions were analysed then. So it has been quite some time

The Submitter commented as follows:

- Yes it has been some time but the Council plan states it will be redeveloped

18LTP-285 – David Elwood Sherar spoke on:

- Waikanae needs a second railway crossing, you have allowed new subdivisions and it is far from acceptable in the event of the crossing being blocked or there is fire or medical emergency on the east side of the line
- Districtwide SH1 revocation, this should be put on hold, this project is looking to spend money rather than looking for what is needed and wanted. The community needs to be consulted
- Any costs Central Government impose on Councils that don't belong to local government should be pushed back to central government

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- Borrowing vs spending, options Councillors have, for example, waiving food act fees, but this would go onto ratepayers but you say stop borrowing. So what is your opinion?

The Submitter commented as follows:

- Those types of fees have been imposed by central government, so Councils in support with ratepayers need to lobby government.

MOVED (Mayor Gurunathan/Buswell)

That Standing Order 4.2 is suspended to allow the meeting to continue.

CARRIED

The meeting adjourned at 3:00pm for afternoon tea and reconvened at 3:15pm.

Cr Elliott left the meeting at 3.15pm and returned at 3.24pm.

Cr Vining left the meeting at 3.15pm and returned at 4.16pm.

18LTP-286 – Mike Stringfellow – cancelled.

18LTP-312 – Pherne Tancock and representatives spoke on behalf of Maypole Environmental Limited around Development Contributions (DC) highlighting:

- Maypole recognises that the district's infrastructure costs, which are needed to support new growth, must be contributed to by developers but it has some concerns about the Council's approach in the draft DC Policy, and Council needs to clearly display DC activities and we are seeking from Council the wording from the Act be reinstated back into the Council Policy as the Council wording is really restrictive
- We request that reserves be treated as a development contribution to streamline Council's approach. We also seek that the Policy is amended to explicitly refer to s200 and setting this section out in its entirety to make it clear that there is no double counting or dipping in terms of mitigation, financial contributions or Augier conditions as part of the development and development contributions that Council seeks to collect

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- We understand you are talking with staff with the high level discussions
- What is the price of your affordable housing?

The Submitter commented as follows:

- The prices on our affordable housing range from \$375,000 to \$475,000 and applicants have to meet the affordable housing criteria

18LTP-317 – Richard Mansell spoke on behalf of Coastlands Shopping Town highlighting:

- Growth projections, we urge Council to urgently review the growth forecast in conjunction with the development community to achieve an agreed growth projection
- Rates, we are open to changing the status quo but we have concerns with the approach so far, Commercial property owners who have targeted rates do not get to vote in council election, therefore, the introduction of any changes should take account of their views and the effect any change could have on our business
- Capital Value, we disagree with the use of CV to determine roading and request the original method continues
- Targeted Commercial Rate, two issues with this first is identifying who benefits from the spend on economic development, second is the control of the spending rate
- Town Centre, we were convinced to spend money and KCDC reneged what it said it would do
- Development Contributions, we are highly concerned about the effect the change in DCs will have on discouraging residential and non-residential development

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- Where you say you were advised by email that centre work had been cancelled, what do you mean?
- Commercial Rate, it is just a proposal what would you suggest we spend it on?
- Capital value disincentive for upgrading building, how?

The Submitter commented as follows:

- We were advised by email that we were to meet with staff, the email subject line was "Town Centre Plan Cancelled"
- We would like to see a report done on assessment of impact on retail where the expressway has gone in and promotion of the area

- The disincentive for upgrading is that owners realise people are just as likely to rent buildings that haven't been renovated for cheaper rent

18LTP-178 – Margaret Delbridge spoke on living within our means, Councillors need to think like ratepayers. Let us tread our own path instead of following the herd. What is good for Wellington and Auckland may not be good for us. Do something with our assets that are just sitting vacant. We need a second way in or underpass for Waikanae East.

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- "Buy Kapiti" Policy, how would we implement it?
- Waikanae Community Board have alternative crossing South of Waikanae, Te Moana Road for emergency vehicles
- Are you aware we have gone from 26 to 21 major infrastructure projects and we spend the money as if it was our own money

The Submitter commented as follows:

- The 'Buy Kapiti' policy would mean supporting our own community
- The emergency vehicles crossing does not help parents picking children up from school

18LTP-7 – Christopher Drinkwater spoke on health perspective as a caregiver that pushes people on our roads in wheelchairs. What I am asking you to consider is putting one footpath one each side of the road, also consider when putting in driveways the curved dipped ones don't work for wheelchairs or mobility scooters.

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- The Government has now release money for footpaths so we are in the process of actioning this
- Are you aware the Council has a group called Accessibility Advisory Group that are actively looking at footpaths?

The Submitter commented as follows:

- Was not aware of the group but am happy to come and talk to them

18LTP-109 – David Edwards spoke on:

- Expressway and Transmission Gully, what are the consequences of these projects? I believe the Council is ill prepared for growth demand that will deluge the area with next 5 to 10 years. Both water and waste will be placed under demand and Council is not attempting to manage infrastructure
- We need a bold vision for the waterfront, the coast line is the Councils largest asset and it does little to enhance its appeal for both public and tourist
- I cannot see reference to the expensive Community Facilities Strategy exercise the Council undertook, the community requires all weather facilities. Hockey is the most popular due to the wonderful facilities on Mazengarb Road

18LTP-304 – Glen and Kay Wiggs spoke on restructuring of rates. Conclusion does not comply with best practice regulations. Option B will see Waikanae rates go down but the beach will not. So beach will subsidise the rest.

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- NZ best practical principles regulations who draft these?
- Have you read the other submissions where people will be in the same boat?
- And how much is your increase?

The Submitter commented as follows:

- Treasury drafts the principles
- No, I have not read through the other submissions
- Our rates increase is \$823.00 27% increase

Cr Elliott left the meeting at 4:35pm and returned at 4.45pm.

Cr M Scott declared a Declaration of Interest.

18LTP-339 – Gordon Shroff, Glen Wiggs and Penny Eames spoke on requesting through the Long Term Plan a provision for a new Waikanae Beach Hall. We request Council staff explore the feasibility of securing and purchase arrangement under which a site might be acquired for community hall purposes and report back within three months on the options. We also request current provisions for maintenance and renovations of the current hall be retained in the LTP and budget.

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- Have you thought about fundraising?
- You are asking us to retain funds for maintenance, but people still use hall, so this money will need be used on repairs

The Submitter commented as follows:

- It is too early to start fundraising yet
- Yes minor repairs will still need to be done

18LTP-313 – Mia King spoke on requesting Council to build a community playground for children in the Tasman Lakes, Peka Peka area. There is council reserve land available, not many facilities in Peka Peka.

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- Have you talked with other children in the area and do they support the idea? Have you thought about getting a petition together?
- What sorts of equipment would you like to see there?

The Submitter commented as follows:

- Yes spoken to other families in area and got support, no have not thought about getting petition together
- Would like the same as Te Horo school junior playground

The meeting adjourned at 4:54pm for a short break and reconvened at 5:33pm.

Cr Vining left the meeting at 5.33pm and returned at 5.40pm.

Cr M Scott left the meeting at 5.33pm.

18LTP-344 – Paul Hughes spoke on behalf of the Kapiti Coast Communities Housing Task Force firstly, submitting amended report and secondly, supporting the following provisions that Council has made in the Long Term Plan:

- Housing, future management of Councils older person flat stock
- Housing, influencing housing issues we support Council plan to do more work to investigate options Council has to influence housing issues, and
- Rating system review, we support the Council plan to recover district wide roading costs on a capital value basis

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- Have you been talking with local Iwi and the plan change will help alleviate the housing issues
- What land is available in the Raumati area?
- Local Councils cannot access subsidy from Government which allows residents to pay not more than 25% wages towards rent

The Submitter commented as follows:

- yes we have met with local Iwi and working around issues regarding their land not zoned residential
- The motorway plan was moved so the original properties not used by NZTA are vacant in Raumati

18LTP-370 – Paul Hughes spoke to his submission highlighting the following points:

- Paekākāriki water supply catchment purchase, after the Havelock North event, it is imperative that we do not allow this to happen to our watersupply. I ask Council to purchase half of our water supply catchment that is in private pine forest and replant it in native to minimise pathogens and silt in the interests of the resilience and health of people and Wainui stream ecology
- Grow Paekākāriki was developed in 2012, I asked that Council work with other organisations to implement the recommendations to gain the benefits as documented in the Project Kakariki case
- Ecological assets and responsibilities, I ask Council to stocktake and monitor all ecological assets and work with experts, community and organisations to support the foundation of Council long term goals
- Complete Te Aro O Whareroa Trail, I ask Council to resource completion in conjunction with the NZTA revocation process and resources
- Uphold new District Plan integrity, I ask council do not expend any rates changing our new District Plan in the Paekākāriki area rural zones

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- What is the next step in the Grow Paekākāriki project?

The Submitter commented as follows:

- The projects next steps are we are looking at purchasing land and asking other agencies for assistance

18LTP-154 – Ruth Halliday and Gavin Welsh spoke to their submission highlighting the following points.

- We would like to thank Council for its work and ongoing support, we have seen establishment of advisory group and bridle path along expressway and horse stiles and mounting blocks installed
- The equestrian industry in the region employs a large number of workers and small to large business
- We need continued support for such activities as Pony Club, Adult riding clubs, event opportunities, competitions, Equestrian business and tourism and trail riding
- We would like to see Waikanae Park renamed to “Waikanae Equestrian Park”
- Otaki Maori Racecourse, is the only one in world like it, greater partnership is required to grow and promote activities and events at racecourse, the facility could be put to wider use
- We want Council to promote us as an equestrian-friendly district, to improve facilities and understand the potential for events and tourism opportunities
- Long-term investment into facilities in Otaraua Park, redevelopment of stable block and camp ground and canter track. Also investigate the development of a high country equestrian park

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- Is there a register for horses owned in the area?
- With the original intent of Waikanae Park being used for horses, is it actually utilised a lot?
- Is there a decent relationship between equestrian community and Council?
- During the 2013 emergency response in Ōtaki, it was noted that there were 600 horses in the Te Horo area alone. How many members at the Waikanae Pony Club?

The Submitter commented as follows:

- No there is not a register, race horses are registered and vets have clients on books, we would welcome a register
- Waikanae Park is used a lot and equestrian eventing and ownership is on the rise. The Park is used by pony club, adult riding club for club rallies, large events which attract people from around the region
- Yes there is a good relationship between community and Council
- Waikanae Pony Club currently has 60 members

18LTP-373 – Roger Sowry, Carolyn Thompson and Sue Spellacey spoke on behalf of the Coastlands Kapiti Sports Turf requesting:

- Funding for the current turf to be replaced and is brought from the 2022/23 financial year to the 2019/20 year in accordance with advice the Council has already received
- Funding and proper planning steps occur for the design and implementation of a second hockey turf
- Council approval to allocate Council officials work with us in a project arrangement over the next 12 months to investigate what would be the best plan going forward
- An economic study done in conjunction with Council was very positive. We have our own premier team and 18 teams from out of district. We have formed relationship with Argentinian teams and hosted them over last 2 years. NZ Hockey and 8 nations will be playing and if we are able to set up second turf we will be able to host these

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- Presume the Butterfly design is the one you would prefer? 10 Years ago we future proofed that design and building to allow for second turf
- Council is currently trying to pay back debt? Why do you think this should be prioritised?
- How well is the club utilised?
- The carparks are always full, if a second turf goes in, will mean other sports may lose parking, have you spoken to them?
- It is an incredible facility and what goes on there, you have also done an incredible job at fundraising

The Submitter commented as follows:

- Yes Butterfly design is the best option, as used by clubs overseas, Council officers want to place second turf 200 metres away from other turf as the costs to move roads to accommodate butterfly are too great.
- Most of the other clubs are Council funded, we are standalone and pay for everything.
- We will lose our carpark, there is a large carpark at back of grounds but we understand most of the other sport will move to Otaraua.

Cr Cootes left the meeting at 6:42pm and returned at 6:44pm.

18LTP-338 – Gerald Rys spoke on behalf of the Waikanae Beach Residents Society Inc on their submission requesting:

- Council implement the Waikanae Beach Future Directions document in the Long Term Plan
- Increased rates, any household with greater than twice the average rates increase to have these staggered over the next three years and we call on Council to provide larger servicing of needs at beach to reflect greater rates they pay

- Waikanae Beach School, We would like to work with Ministry of Education and Council to identify possible sites for primary school and secondary school
- Emergency Management, Council to commit to supporting residents to ensure a high level of emergency preparedness by creating logical safe and accessible emergency evacuation routes
- Refresh of public space/parks at Waikanae Beach, Council to conduct a comprehensive study and management plan of the parks and reserves to see if facilities are fit for purpose and meeting changing needs of community, enforce freedom camping, signage reflecting Maori and European history, planting, disabled access and health and safety addressed
- Flooding and stormwater, we would like to know what provision Council is putting in place to address storm water from council land to private properties, for example I have council storm water draining into my fishpond at back of property

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- Are there houses that are affected by stormwater and become uninhabitable?

The Submitter commented as follows:

- There are many areas below road level especially when the weather events include high tide coinciding with a lot of rain

18LTP-21 – Joshua Smith – did not attend.

18LTP-118 – Joanna Poole spoke on rates increases highlighting:

- I don't support the rate increase, a large proportion of the community rates are higher than 4.7%, in my case its 12.5%
- It is based on revaluations that have gone up 50% due to what the "Johnny comes lately" will pay for a house
- Its unaffordable to many ratepayers, a large portion of ratepayers are on fixed income or pension and the costs of living is always rising
- Assumption is we will continue to pay higher and higher rates to a Council that provides less and less

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- Are you aware we have asked Council officers to reduce capital projects to 26 million. That the rates drop off in the outer years and we are committee to closing the depreciation gap
- What will your proposed rate be?

The Submitter commented as follows:

- With the proposed rate increase, I will be paying \$6,150 per year

18LTP-280 – Quentin Poole – did not attend.

18LTP-334 – Sarah Helm – cancelled.

The meeting concluded at 7.14pm and was scheduled to reconvene on Wednesday 16 May 2018 at 9.00am.

MINUTES	MEETING	TIME
KĀPITI COAST DISTRICT COUNCIL	WEDNESDAY 16 MAY 2018	9.00 AM

Minutes of a meeting of the Kāpiti Coast District Council on Wednesday 16 May 2018 resuming at 9.00 am in Council Chambers, Ground Floor, Kapiti Coast District Council, 175 Rimu Road, Paraparaumu.

PRESENT	Mayor	K	Gurunathan	Chair
	Cr	M	Benton	
	Cr	A	Buswell	
	Cr	M	Cardiff	
	Cr	J	Cootes	
	Cr	J	Elliott	
	Cr	J	Holborow	
	Cr	J	Howson	
	Cr	M	Scott	
	Cr	F	Vining	
ATTENDING	Mr	P	Edwards	Chair, Paekākāriki Community Board
	Ms	J	Prvanov	Chair, Waikanae Community Board
	Ms	S	Warwick	Member, Ōtaki Community Board
	Mr	M	Maxwell	Chief Executive
	Mr	M	Pedersen	Group Manager, Community Services
	Ms	N	Tod	Group Manager, Regulatory Services
	Mr	K	Black	Acting Group Manager, Strategy and Planning
	Ms	J	McDougall	Acting Group Manager, Corporate Services
	Ms	L	Belcher	Acting Manager, Democracy Services

18LTP-290 – John Le Harivel spoke to his submission highlighting the following:

- Unclear about allocation of variable road charges works across district
- Stormwater priorities list sections as fourth priority but does not appear on the timeline – are sections dealt with after 45 years?, there needs to be individual catchment areas and the Waikanae river need to be dredged
- Infrastructure and financial strategies, needs to be clear presentation about options and there is no mention of operating costs
- Off-loading housing to private provider does not mean a better service, there has been no public consultation on this matter

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- The Council does not have pension flats on any agenda
- We are reducing the capex work programme as we also don't have the staff capacity to action it
- The rental increases for pensioner flats did not occur as Councillors at the time decided not to and as tenants left they would go up. Are you aware of that?

The Submitter commented as follows:

- You should increase rent to same as social housing which is 25% of wages

18LTP-328 – Liz Koh spoke on behalf of the Kapiti Economic Development Agency (KEDA) to their submission highlighting the following:

- KEDA has just become an incorporated society; we are all about making things happen in Kāpiti. We are volunteer based but need a proper funding base going forward
- The Long Term Plan is silent on economic development, it is disappointing to see little progress has been made on the implementing of the Strategies
- We have approached GWRC regarding WREDA, we think it needs to be a hub and spoke model, one centralised WREDA and hubs in the district

- This needs to be done in conjunction with Council, Council cannot be a regulator and implementer
- If businesses are to be charged a commercial rate, they should have a say, through an independent agency, in how the funds are spent. 40% of people work from home.
- It's about making investments that make a return, money spent wisely
- Telling Kāpiti's Story, we need unique Kāpiti identity not telling world we are broke and cutting back on our spending as the Long Term Plan currently does

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- Councillor Chris Laidlaw made a comment about putting bomb under WREDA, should we ask him if this is what they intend to do with it?, with your vision who would you see getting the funding? Your organisation?
- Austerity area is debt management, do you see that as a good thing?
- Do you agree this area should not be the responsibility of one agency but a group of agencies?
- How do you handle conflicts of interest? Members would pitch for work and get paid for it
- Your idea of "Telling Kāpiti Story", how would you see us doing this?
- Have you met with Economic Development Manager?
- We currently spend \$2.7 million on economic development area and are working on transparency around this
- You refer to community project, if you were going to promote one project what would it be?
- What about the marine project?
- Do you see the i-SITE as value for money or are we better to spend this money elsewhere?

The Submitter commented as follows:

- Not sure how Councillor Laidlaw would respond, our organisation was set as placeholder for this type of agency, we are not saying it will be final
- Yes this type of decision making should include more than one agency and community involvement
- We do not see it as conflicts of interest, even if people put in pro bona work on project, it would still needed to be tendered out and there are no guarantees
- "Telling Kāpiti Story", we have talked to Councillors and staff about asking a company in Auckland that specialises in this type of work to research the project with an outside looking in perspective
- Met with the Economic Development Manager, a fantastic resource that speaks the right language, we want to work in partnership but hold Council to account and generate debate
- "Telling Kāpiti Story" is a small project, the Kapiti Gateway Project is really important as we talking bio security and gateway area
- The Marine project is exciting and we see this as our first project and see if we can make it happen
- There was a big review done at the i-SITE, and we do need some kind of presence. Online may be the way to go, at the moment the feedback is that locals are visiting the i-SITE to get brochures for outsiders

18LTP-341 – Peter Handford spoke to his submission highlighting the following:

- Paekākāriki Seawall, congratulate Council on the support of the process to develop a design for the structure with the community
- Council support construction funding for seawall and money in the LTP for construction and design and prioritise this work. Ensure that open and ongoing contact is maintained with community throughout entire process
- Surplus NZTA Land at Paekākāriki; Council to support a community driven process for Long term planning of best use of surplus NZTA land including Perkins Farm

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- We have received other submissions saying the seawall is being funded districtwide and the whole community should be able to have a say, what do you think?
- We have had submissions questioning if we need seawall

- We have also received a number of submissions around the surplus NZTA with aspirations as to how Council should deal with this, what are your thoughts?
- Transmission gully land needs to remain rural and Council cannot afford to buy it

The Submitter commented as follows:

- I think the submitters have valid comments and the wider community should be able to have a say as it can have positive or negative impact on whole community, but also important directly impacted community has more input
- Everyone around the country is grappling with climate change, we don't see seawalls as final options, but the road and beach is critical to community. If you don't do anything it could have negative economic impact for next 30-40 years
- The surplus land, you need to understand the land resource and get objectives together, then look at ways of facilitating meetings with the community to get some sort of cohesive ideas and vision
- Council should be advocate for the community when it comes to dealing with NZTA surplus land

18LTP-206 – Ian Jensen spoke on rate increases highlighting whilst supporting an increase of 4.7% his increase is 11.25%. Council have been promoting fair and equitable rates, also set against a nominal 5% household income, for my property neither of those apply, even remotely. It is not a fair and equitable system we are in.

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- What do you think would be an alternative? Do you think there should still be lifestyle differential?
- Are you under pressure to subdivide?

The Submitter commented as follows:

- Any income I make off the land goes towards maintenance and rates, there are different types of lifestyle block and farming blocks
- Yes we are trying to sub divide

18LTP-75 – Peter James Goodenough-Bayly spoke on rate increases highlighting that their increase was 36%, we have incurred additional costs 10,000l water tank and a soak pit so not connected to Council stormwater, unlike the rest of residents in Avion Terrace who are paying around \$3,500 per year yet they use more of the Council resources but we are being penalised because we have a view. Our income has not increased but just because our property value has does not mean we can justify paying an extra \$1206 per year in rates. I would like justification about why there has been such an increase.

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- Answers will be provided to all submissions, the rating system is a blunt tool. QV is independent body and we cannot get involved. Are you aware you can object? As we cannot rate properties individually it's impossible

The Submitter commented as follows:

- I was not aware I could object, but I do like the new value of my property

18LTP-145 – Peter Katz spoke on rates increases highlighting land based rates are not equitable and distort peoples options to choose where they lives as income falls. Land values have different things to consider e.g. incumbent, sea views or height restrictions. There is no special plan or commitment to invest the high rates in land protection on beach front properties. Council could consider a path breaking rates model that addresses the high risk areas in the region, flood plains, river and coastal erosion, slips and earthquakes.

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- If we put money aside should it be invested or put into low interest account?
- Do you think we should have higher percentage of fixed charges?

The Submitter commented as follows:

- The money has to be well used, but not consolidated funds. Invested back into Council, but it's the trusts money
- Argument for more fix charges as people feel liked they are being pushed out due to higher rates

Cr Buswell left the meeting at 10:37am and returned at 10:39am.

18LTP-196 – Ken Rand spoke on rates increases highlighting he opposed the proposal to reduce the proportion of fixed rates charges and believes strongly that the status quo, although not ideal, must remain as the fairest model across all ratepayers.

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- Do you accept the system we use to come up with the rates is a blunt tool? 25% of the population is pensioner. 43% receive another form of income. What is your view?
- How many other Councils use capital for the roading?

The Submitter commented as follows:

- I don't see any reason for this to be a fair system.
- I used the information off KCDC website, so am unsure of what other Councils use.

18LTP-187 – Neil Hobson spoke on rate increases highlighting:

- We have lived in Waikanae for 10 years, our rates increase will be 31% \$932.40 and we shouldn't be punished because we have provided for our future
- We all use the roads and therefore should pay for them.
- We need to really promote and be proud of Kāpiti Island
- Three small issues I have are footpaths are totally overgrown, freedom camping needs to be monitored and dogs on leashes and not picking up poo. How many fines have been issued?

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- Are you aware you can put in a service requests to deal with the overgrown footpaths, we rely on residents to help us with this.

The meeting adjourned at 10:57am for a short break and reconvened at 11:12am.

18LTP-243 – Robert Bunch spoke on rate increases highlighting:

- I don't support the change in the rating system, a fixed charge across the board for roading is needed, who actually had rate increases and who had reduction? Where is this information? The rate rise is unsustainable in anyone's book
- I question the need to redevelop Mazengarb Park
- What is an older persons' park?

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- An older persons park is an exercise area suitable for older people, should probably be renamed. If we set the rate at 4.7% across the board it would mean we couldn't deliver

The Submitter commented as follows:

- Yes but we see increases in large amounts every year

18LTP-46 – Russell Bain spoke on the roading fixed charged highlighting:

- Don't agree and it needs to remain as fixed cost, why should one home owner pay more for roading than another and houses receive virtually same service
- There is a fundamental difference between the roading fixed charge and a charge relative to property's capital value

18LTP-166 – Brian Colegate spoke on his admiration for the Councils bold approach and as a frequent user of the aquatic centre sought reassurance from Councillors that the envisaged revenue will meet the goal of 30% recovery of operating costs, or there is a need to increase costs.

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- Are you aware submitters are against roading increase?
- When you move to Metlifecare will you still use the pool?

The Submitter commented as follows:

- I think those submitters make a fair point about road charges
- I will continue to use the facility as I use it as a social network

18LTP-281 – Jenna Lea Philpott – cancelled.

18LTP-10 – Leonie Grimmatt spoke on storm water infrastructure needing immediate attention highlighting:

- I live in Waimarie Avenue and the flooding comes so close to my house, it is water and sewage that comes out of my gulley trap, making this a health and safety issue
- Parking in our street is overcrowded by those catching train and working at coastlands, I would like to see a limited time zone placed on this so visitors and maintenance people can park there

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- Has flooding always been a problem? When you brought the property were you aware of the flooding?
- How do you suggest we fix it? Have you spoken to staff?

The Submitter commented as follows:

- I have been there two and half years, since the 2015 flooding I have been on at Council to do something. When I brought property I wasn't aware it wasn't fixable
- The stream would be dredged further down to alleviate problem. Council Officers told me to get a plumber, who said I could get a return valve but this would push problem onto neighbours

18LTP-336 – Jan Nisbet spoke to her submission highlighting the following:

- I want to see that affordable housing principles are included in the Long Term Plan, something needs to be done for those that cannot afford to buy housing and working with community groups and being actively involved in obtaining surplus NZTA land
- I am disappointed that rubbish is sent out of the district, our current situation does not support the stated aims of long term plan of sustainability.
- Can you provide definite date the seawall is to be fixed
- When planting out the Paekākāriki hill please don't plant pines

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- Jan is the backbone for the bike library which is a national success story
- We all understand it is not the Council that sets the property prices it is the market

- If we bring rubbish back in house, there will be an increase of rates of 6-8%. Staff currently looking at monthly collection with operators and Council Officers are looking at waste minimisation education

The Submitter commented as follows:

- Yes I understand that market set rate of house prices, but there must be something we can do
- The world is drowning in plastic, this council used to get green awards. Now there is no incentive for waste minimisation

The meeting adjourned at 12:00pm for a short break and reconvened at 1:00pm.

18LTP-332 – Bernie Randall spoke to his submission urging the Council to expedite the spending programme for the work on the Kena Kena catchment outlining:

- 20-40 homes are affected and regularly flooded
- Council has allocated money to this project and given it priority but it needs to be brought forward

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- Does your garage have a permit? I am aware that there are a number of garages without permits in the area that get flooded
- The project is planned over next 8 years

The Submitter commented as follows:

- I am not aware of which properties garages are permitted
- My understanding from Council officers is that this work could be carried out within the next four years

18LTP-232 – Peter Moyes spoke to his submission outlining:

- You are imposing a tax on those who have larger capital values, its wrong and a money making exercise, I already pay high rates for living on Parade and you are talking about increasing these further to pay for roads. Everyone uses the same roads, this is completely wrong.
- The seawall you have gone on and on about fixing it for year, now it has been extended to 2020, if that wall fails the costs will be endless.

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- Do you think rates should be completely fixed?
- What is your rates increase per year?
- How did the rating system work in Scotland?

The Submitter commented as follows:

- I don't believe you should be taxed on where you live.
- My rates increase is \$2000 per a year.
- In Scotland it was initially done on capital value and then changed by person.

18LTP-286 – Mike Stringfellow – cancelled Tuesday and offered another slot on Wednesday, but cancelled.

18LTP-253 – Josette Howell spoke to her submission highlighting the following:

- My family first came to Kāpiti in 1840
- Rate rebates, I support any increase in the threshold in line with inflation on current level. I don't support increasing threshold level for households on higher income level

- Housing, building consent fees should seriously be decreased on the building of small houses and increased on large. Economical housing should be encouraged
- McLean Park, improve toilets and shower facilities but hold off on elaborate changes.
- Kapiti Island Gateway, leave to commercial interest groups
- Waste Management and recycling, I support the submission from Lyndy McIntyre and Kevin Burrows

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- How do you see us being able to achieve affordable housing?
- Are you aware that if we bring rubbish back in house, there will be an increase of rates of 6-8%. The current private price is approximately \$120 per year, if it comes back in house this will be approximately \$230 per year

The Submitter commented as follows:

- Kāpiti has a chance to lead the way on building smaller homes
- Yes I am aware of the setup costs to bring rubbish back in house, but I only put out one very small bag each week, I know Council are currently investigating with operators if there could be monthly pickup

18LTP-156 – Chris Maclean spoke on the rate increases highlighting:

- The problem with rates is well known but there are no solutions in your document
- The house in Fieldway, Waikanae was purchased for \$14,000 in 1974. The rates will now be \$7,000 per year
- We have a lot of absent landlords, so maybe we should look at what they do overseas. New York has 3 layers of tax based on if you live in city, suburb or out of town
- I beg the Council to apply your imagination and innovation into finding a system that is fair

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- The Council does not have a climate change policy and it is not addressed in this document, but stormwater goes out to sea. If the seas rises the stormwater wont go out to sea. What is your suggestion

The Submitter commented as follows:

- Need to create large areas of wetlands like they have along expressway or look at McLean Park

18LTP-275 – Mike Alexander spoke to his submission highlighting the following:

- I have objected to the latest rates increase, the last three have been between 9-10% but this one is 18%.
- Raise affected houses, larger storm water pipes and drains do not help. There is sewage running down to QE2 Park.
- Roads need better signage in particular when there are road works and after to ensure people drive to conditions of road, it may have prevented latest fatality.

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- Road work signs would be up to the contractors and the police did investigation

The Submitter commented as follows:

- I investigated it and found that the contractors finished the work and left with signs. The Council is responsible for signs as part of the off road management plan and you are required to maintain this.

Cr Benton left the meeting at 1:55pm and returned at 2.42pm.

Cr M Scott returned to the meeting at 1:59pm.

18LTP-28 – Christine Thomson spoke to her submission highlighting:

- It's good to see Council factoring in climate change but I think more needs to be done in this area, more comprehensive education and providing incentives or providing subsidies to people to do things in their own homes
- A lot more to get people out of cars and onto public transport but also need to be more covered bus stops

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- What is a carbon calculator?
- Solar panels, it is said the cost of buying, installing and parts it takes years to see any cost benefit

The Submitter commented as follows:

- The carbon calculator is way to work out your carbon footprint, it is hard to find a good one. 2 tonne of carbon if we want to live within our 2%. 4 tonnes to travel to Europe
- Yes solar panels, there are offers from companies to install these with low costs and they take energy or could be mandatory for all new builds. Watch the video online called "Keep a cool world"

18LTP-349 – Chris Deardin on behalf of Viola Palmer spoke to her submission highlighting:

- Crux of resilience is being prepared
- Our streams and reserves are not prepared for big storms
- Cycle access to Waikanae, Ngaio Road needs more room for cyclists
- Council needs to acknowledge the Palmers work over the years somehow

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- Council is aware on the contribution you and the Palmers make to the region. It is GWRC who are responsible for the things you mention that we cannot fix, they are easily fixed
- Applications for the Community and Civic Awards nominations close on the 31 May 2018
- Greendale reserve received it name by proxy. So any suggestion to rename it is welcome

The Submitter commented as follows:

- I think it would be more appropriate that the Council put forward the suggestion to recognise the Palmers for their contribution

18LTP-194 – Prue Hyman spoke to her submission highlighting:

- I support Lyndy McIntyre's submission
- It is time for Council to return to the provision of kerbside recycling service with a waste to landfill collection service which incentivises minimising that waste
- I thoroughly object to paying to a money making company that is not efficient, the current system will encourage more waste, the objectives in the Waste Minimisation Plan are not met with this structure

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- Are you aware that if we bring rubbish back in house, there will be an increase of rates of 6-8%
- The other councils that have rubbish collection do they have their own landfills?

The Submitter commented as follows:

- No I don't think they have their own landfills, rather send it out of the area

18LTP-174 – Peter Rankin and Graham Cole spoke to their submission asking that the Long Term Plan include support and resourcing of a community driven planning process for surplus NZTA land associated with construction of NZTA land, including Perkins Farm. The Council has a

mechanism, a precinct structure plan which it has used before for considering land use changes on significant blocks.

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- Does the aerial photo show all the farm?
- Other submitters have highlighted same issues. NZTA will sell to the highest bidder. The District Plan acknowledges all the key aspects of the land.

The Submitter commented as follows:

- The farm actually has a lot of titles it is not just one big farm.
- NZTA will sell the land and I am asking Council to set a precinct plan with a best practice of land use.

18LTP-350 – Brian Kelly spoke to his submission about the concerns of flooding and the Mazengarb drain outlining:

- Please deal with this major problem in Kāpiti, it is budgeted for and but then moved down prioritised list
- The liquid waste that each of you in this room and the rest of population create everytime you pull the plug is running through our property when it floods, my concern is also over the ever-rising volume of badly polluted waste water from the sewerage treatment plant
- The drains are not cleared or maintained enough and the under sized culvert under Mazengarb Road needs to be replaced

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- Has the flooding got worse?

The Submitter commented as follows:

- The flooding has not got worse, but how often are the drains cleared? Last time GWRC gave consent for one side of drain to be cleared, this process needs to be easier

18LTP-165 – David Goldsack on behalf of Jay Goldsack spoke to his submission about the concerns of flooding around his properties on Nathan Avenue outlining:

- The properties have been badly flooded twice this year, a builder is now working under insurance instruction to bring properties back to habitable condition
- There are alarms on the pipes but no one attends when they go off to either check or clear them
- We would like assurances from Council this will not continue to happen, we would also like to know how often these drains are unblocked and maintained

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- When the property was purchased was there any indication it was prone to flooding?
- We need to find out how the alarm system works and as it is high risk area it should be cleared more often. Downers have the contract

The Submitter commented as follows:

- When the property was purchased we were advised was in flood prone area, but neighbours advised it had not happened for years

MOVED (Mayor Gurunathan/Benton)

That Standing Order 4.2 is suspended to allow the meeting to continue.

CARRIED

18LTP-352 – Rhea Dasent and Max Lutz spoke on behalf of Federated Farmers of New Zealand outlining the following:

- Rates are a significant burden to farmers, particularly because they often don't see much value for money, they're expecting Councils to show financial restraint and stick to core services and equitable rating policies
- Fixed charges, the Council seems to have the perception that fixed charges lead to inequity and unaffordability
- Rooding, we are disappointed to learn that you have gone from fixed district-wide rooding charge to a property value rate, we used KCDC as a good example for reducing discrepancies between ratepayers
- Equitable and affordable, we are concerned that this applies to urban residential ratepayers and it will be achieved by imposing expensive rates on rural ratepayers instead
- We urge Council to retain its fixed charge District Wide Rooding rate and not replace it with a Capital Value Rooding Rating

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- All the Council's you submit to, which do you think had fairest system?
- Another farmer rates going up \$1,500 per year, when we look at value and size it comes into context
- Do you think ratepayers that do not receive service shouldn't pay?
- How much are your rooding charges to be?

The Submitter commented as follows:

- We think the fairest system was the fixed one used by KCDC, it was fair and equitable. Most Councils rate on capital land and differential
- The rating system should be looked at as to what is that farmer receiving for that money?
- We don't believe they should pay rates for services they do not receive, that is why we support Council's with good targeted rates systems
- The rooding charges for my farm in Ōtaki Gorge will be \$8,000. I maintain the road with other residents a lot of the time, we deal with major slips including removing debris and fallen trees. We are always ready at any time of the day or night to assist and inform Council, we have done this work several times at no charge for the Council

Cr M Scott left the meeting at 3:56pm and returned at 3.58pm.

18LTP-359 – Amanda Dobson spoke on behalf of Toimata Foundation the national support organisation for Enviroschools and Te Aho Tu Roa highlighting:

- We would like to acknowledge Council for supporting our programme since 2007 and the reference in the environmental sustainability section of the LTP
- We request that Council continue to support us from 2018-28 and beyond by providing funding and strengthening the partnership through a long-term agreement

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- Which school in the area uses your programme most often?
- Mayor has promised a think tank around waste management, do you think you should get involved?

The Submitter commented as follows:

- Kapiti College is a good example, they are very aware of all the environmental issues and take up opportunities available
- We would welcome opportunity to get involved in think tank and include young people to be part of that

Cr Buswell left the meeting at 4:01pm.

18LTP-387 – John McLachlan spoke on behalf of Kapi Mana Forest and Bird highlighting:

- The plan needs to pledge greater support to the substantial volunteer effort in the district. Landscape and climate are our greatest assets and we seeking to protect that. Council should show leadership and support, I suggest you lobby GWRC and DoC to provide additional support in this area
- Public safety, Kaitawa Reserve people jumping stream for Ehipa Street and entering the reserve, if it floods there is no way to cross safely. You need to provide a swing bridge.

Summary of Discussion Points Raised by Elected Members:

- What a credit it is to you for what you and all the volunteers have done in the past 40 years. There was a bridge, a big log got wedged under it and GWRC said there was no consent to for the bridge and it would not go back

18LTP-56 – Paul Henry Bewick – did not attend.

The meeting closed at 4.13pm.

Signed



10th August / 2018

Mayor K Gurunathan

