Further submission in support of, or in opposition to, submission on notified proposed plan change



About preparing a further submission on a proposed plan change

You must use the prescribed form

- <u>Clause 8</u>, Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) requires further submissions to be on the prescribed form.
- The prescribed form is set out in <u>Form 6</u>, Schedule 1 of the Resource Management (Forms, Fees, and Procedure) Regulations 2003.
- This template is based on Form 6. While you do not have to use this template, your submission must be in accordance with Form 6.

Certain persons may make further submissions

- Under clause 8, Schedule 1 of the RMA the following persons may make a further submission, in the prescribed form, on a proposed plan to the relevant local authority:
 - any person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest
 - o any person that has an interest in the proposed policy statement or plan greater than the interest that the general public has
 - o the local authority itself.
- You will need to explain why you meet one of these categories (space is provided in the form for this below).

Your further submission and contact details will be made publicly available

• <u>Section 352</u> of the RMA allows you to choose your email to be your address for service. If you select this option, you can also request your postal address be withheld from being publicly available. To choose this option please tick the relevant boxes below.

Note to person making the submission

 A copy of your further submission must be served on the original submitter within 5 working days after it is served on the local authority (Kāpiti Coast District Council).

Reasons why a further submission may be struck out

Please note that your further submission (or part of your further submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the further submission (or part of the further submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further
- it contains offensive language
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

To Kāpiti Coast District Council

Further Submission in Support of (or Opposition to) a Submission on Proposed Plan Change to the Operative Kapiti Coast District Plan 2021

Plan Change Number: PC2	
Plan Change Name:	

Further submitter details	
Full name of person making further submission: Elizabeth Thorn	
Contact person (name and designation, if applicable):	
Postal address (or alternative method of service under section 352 of the RMA):	
Telephone:	
Electronic address for service of person making further submission (i.e. email):	
lizzie.thorn@live.com	
I would like my address for service to be my email [select box if applicable]	'
I have selected email as my address for service, and I would also like my postal address withheld from being publicly available [select box if applicable]	'
State whether you are [select appropriate box]	
a person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest.	
a person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has.	~
In this case, also please explain the grounds for saying that you come within this category	
the local authority for the relevant area.	
Scope of further submission	
I support oppose ✓ the submission of: [select the appropriate wording]	
Original Submitter's Name and Address for Service:	
The Loyality Initiative c/o Land Matters Ltd	
Submission number of original submission: 26	

Particular parts of the submission I support (or oppose) are: Clearly indicate which parts of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal. While it is not a requirement, it would be helpful if you could state the submission point number as listed in the summary of decisions requested document. Oppose the whole submission Continue on a separate sheet if necessary The reasons for my support (or opposition) are: [give reasons] The submission incorrectly interprets the extent and significance of the flood hazard zone on this site and therefore the ability to develop the site. The flood risk issues have not been addressed and therefore the rezoning should not proceed as part of PC2. It did not satisfy the criteria set out in the Section 32 report for identifying land for rezoning to GRZ. Policy GRZ-Px2.. The submission is incorrect in relation to the purpose of qualifying matters, these are not to be resolved in accordance with Council's Land Development Min requirements or other matters that Council has reserved control over. Qualifying matters are qualifying matters in their own right and triggers a different consent status. The subdivision rules (Sub-Res-Rx1.2.b etc) currently have the correct purpose of limiting development to what was intended under the MDRS. In particular, the application of permitted activity baseline tests. It is still unclear if the proposed zoning enables suitable integration into the surrounding environment, therefore whether the proposed zoning is correct. In summary, 18 Huiawa Street is not available for inclusion in PC2 for rezoning as there are unanswered jurisdiction issues and the flood hazard issue (precursor to being included) that have not been resolved. In addition, the submission seeks to incorrectly amend the District Plan rules in order to overcome the above matters, which are in

Continue on a separate sheet if necessary

place to properly control development of this nature.

I seek that [select appropriate box]				
The whole ✓ or part of the original submission be	allowed	disallowed	d✓	
[describe precisely which part below]				
		Continue	on a separate sheet if nece	essary
Handa Ocholada Sadada anno sida bad				
Hearing Submissions [select appropriate box]				
I wish to be heard in support of my further submission.				<u> </u>
I do not wish to be heard in support of my further subm				
If you wish to be heard, please tick one of the following				
If others make a similar submission, I will consider pre				✓
If others make a similar submission, I will not consider	presenting	g a joint cas	e with them at a	
hearing.				
	23/1	1/22		
Signature of person making a further submission	Date			
(or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making further submission)				
A signature is not required if you make your submission	n by electro	onic means.		
Email your further submission to <u>district.planning@kapi</u>	iticoast.gov	<u>vt.nz</u> or	For office use only	
post/deliver to:			Further submission No.	:
Attn: District Planning Team			S158.FS.1	
Kāpiti Coast District Council 175 Rimu Road				

Paraparaumu 5032

From: <u>Lizzie Thorn</u>

To: Mailbox - District Planning
Subject: Lizzie Thorn further submission

Date: Wednesday, 23 November 2022 9:32:05 pm

Attachments: <u>form-6.pdf</u>

Cheers,

Lizzie Thorn 021 711 841