

Further submission in support of, or in opposition to, submission on notified proposed plan change



About preparing a further submission on a proposed plan change

You must use the prescribed form

- [Clause 8](#), Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) requires further submissions to be on the prescribed form.
- The prescribed form is set out in [Form 6](#), Schedule 1 of the Resource Management (Forms, Fees, and Procedure) Regulations 2003.
- This template is based on Form 6. While you do not have to use this template, your submission must be in accordance with Form 6.

Certain persons may make further submissions

- Under clause 8, Schedule 1 of the RMA the following persons may make a further submission, in the prescribed form, on a proposed plan to the relevant local authority:
 - any person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest
 - any person that has an interest in the proposed policy statement or plan greater than the interest that the general public has
 - the local authority itself.
- You will need to explain why you meet one of these categories (space is provided in the form for this below).

Your further submission and contact details will be made publicly available

- [Section 352](#) of the RMA allows you to choose your email to be your address for service. If you select this option, you can also request your postal address be withheld from being publicly available. To choose this option please tick the relevant boxes below.

Note to person making the submission

- A copy of your further submission must be served on the original submitter within 5 working days after it is served on the local authority (Kāpiti Coast District Council).

Reasons why a further submission may be struck out

Please note that your further submission (or part of your further submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the further submission (or part of the further submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further
- it contains offensive language
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

To Kāpiti Coast District Council

Further Submission in Support of (or Opposition to) a Submission on Proposed Plan Change to the Operative Kapiti Coast District Plan 2021

Plan Change Number: Plan Change 2

Plan Change Name: Proposed Plan Change 2 – Intensification

Further submitter details

Full name of person making further submission: Megan George & Ian Fenwick

Contact person (name and designation, if applicable): Megan George

Postal address (or alternative method of service under section 352 of the RMA):
[REDACTED]

Telephone: 04 297 1539

Electronic address for service of person making further submission (i.e. email):

georgefenwickfamily@gmail.com

I would like my address for service to be my email *[select box if applicable]*



I have selected email as my address for service, and I would also like my postal address withheld from being publicly available *[select box if applicable]*



State whether you are *[select appropriate box]*

a person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest.



In this case, also please specify the grounds for saying that you come within this category

a person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has.



In this case, also please explain the grounds for saying that you come within this category

We live in close proximity to Mazengarb Rd/the Avenue and our property backs on to the creek running through this area

the local authority for the relevant area.



Scope of further submission

I support **oppose** **the submission of:** *[select the appropriate wording]*

Original Submitter's Name and Address for Service:

Kinga Ora Homes and Communities

Submission number of original submission: 122.58

Particular parts of the submission I support (or oppose) are:

Clearly indicate which parts of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal. While it is not a requirement, it would be helpful if you could state the submission point number as listed in the summary of decisions requested document.

We oppose the Medium Density Residential Standards (MDRS) from being applied to the area around Mazengarb road/Guilford rd and the Avenue intersections

Continue on a separate sheet if necessary

The reasons for my support (or opposition) are:

[give reasons]

We oppose allowing the construction of 4-6 storey residential units on sites.

- The existing housing layout and type of homes built was not designed to have 15-18m storey buildings among them. Creates risk that existing homes will receive no sunlight and have no privacy. as well as general amenity loss to affected properties
- The area has already experienced an increase in traffic, particularly at the beginning and end of the school day. The Avenue/ Mazengarb intersection becomes gridlocked at these times.
- The current infrastructure is unable to support the amount of growth from the development that has occurred over last 10 years on Mazengarb rd, the Drive etc. The flooding of the creek passed the creek corridor and into the surrounding properties is regular occurrence with all heavy rain.
- other factors that need consideration in this area include geotechnical (presence of peat and soft sands), sea level rise (affecting drainage systems), extreme weather events, and instability in earthquakes
- Increased heights and levels of housing make sense in areas immediate adjacent to the railway stations and main shopping centres. They do not make sense in this suburban area. That height of development would be inappropriate and will have significant adverse effects on coastal character and amenity values.

Continue on a separate sheet if necessary

I seek that [select appropriate box]

The whole or part of the original submission be allowed disallowed

[describe precisely which part below]

Reject the Medium Density Residential Standards (MDRS) from being incorporated into the District plan.
Reject the amendment of UFD-Px as proposed by Kinga Ora Homes and Communities.

Continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Hearing Submissions [select appropriate box]

I wish to be heard in support of my further submission.

I do not wish to be heard in support of my further submission.

If you wish to be heard, please tick one of the following

If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.

If others make a similar submission, I will not consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.

23/11/2022

Signature of person making a further submission
(or person authorised to sign on behalf
of person making further submission)

Date

A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.

Email your further submission to district.planning@kapiticoast.govt.nz or
post/deliver to:

Attn: District Planning Team
Kāpiti Coast District Council
175 Rimu Road
Paraparaumu 5032

For office use only
Further submission No:
S236.FS.1

From: [Megan George](#)
To: [Mailbox - District Planning](#)
Cc: georgefenwickfamily@gmail.com
Subject: supporting submissions against proposed Residential Intensification Precinct B
Date: Wednesday, 23 November 2022 9:54:34 pm
Attachments: [Supporting Submission residential intensification Precinct B_5.pdf](#)
[Supporting Submission residential intensification Precinct B_4.pdf](#)
[Supporting Submission residential intensification Precinct B_2.pdf](#)
[Supporting Submission residential intensification Precinct B_3.pdf](#)
[Supporting Submission residential intensification Precinct B_1.pdf](#)

Please find attached my submissions opposing and supporting proposed changes to the district plan, as part of the further submissions process.

This is the first time I have completed forms like this. I only stumbled on the across the proposals late last week, so this has been a rush. Hannah McCashin has talked me through how to complete the forms, but please contact if there is anything missing or incorrect that I need to rectify.

Many thanks
Megan George

Classification: PROTECTED

The contents of this email and any attachments are confidential and may be legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient please advise the sender immediately and delete the email and attachments. Any use, dissemination, reproduction or distribution of this email and any attachments by anyone other than the intended recipient is prohibited.