

# Kapiti Coast District Council

## Long-term Plan for 2021-41 Consultation Full Report



24/05/2021



# Long Term Plan 2021-41 Consultation Interim Report

This report contains the full results of the Long-Term Plan 2021-41.

# Do you think these are the right priorities for Council at this time?



Column % n	Age				Location								Total n = 670
	15 - 39 n = 53	40 - 59 n = 111	60 + n = 175	Not answered n = 331	Paekākāriki n = 30	Raumati n = 77	Paraparaumu n = 154	Waikanae n = 134	Te Horo n = 10	Ōtaki n = 50	Not answered n = 191	Outside of Kāpiti n = 24	
Yes	57% 30	57% 63	57% 99	24% 81	57% 17	57% 44	40% 61	43% 58	40% 4	36% 18	30% 57	58% 14	41% 273
No	6% 3	13% 14	14% 24	13% 42	7% 2	16% 12	19% 30	11% 15	10% 1	18% 9	7% 14	0% 0	12% 83
Missing priorities	4% 2	7% 8	7% 12	6% 21	7% 2	3% 2	8% 13	10% 14	10% 1	10% 5	2% 4	8% 2	6% 43
Not answered	34% 18	23% 26	23% 40	56% 187	30% 9	25% 19	32% 50	35% 47	40% 4	36% 18	61% 116	33% 8	40% 271

The chart above represents open-ended comments coded to support and oppose.

# Do you think these are the right priorities for Council at this time? – General feedback

Overall support for priorities	Count
General support	221
Total	221

Overall opposition to priorities	Count
Focus on core infrastructure and services only	33
General opposition	31
Opposed to rate increases	18
Opposed to Kāpiti Gateway	7
Responsible fiscal management needed	6
Total	95

General comments on priorities	Count
Make priorities more specific	16
Reorder priorities	12
Concern about how priorities will be achieved/maintained/measured	9
Total	25

*The table above highlights the key themes coded from responses to open ended questions. Count = the number of times the theme was identified from the responses.*

# Right priorities - Mana Whenua and Council have a mutually mana-enhancing partnership

Support	Count
Support, as long as Council remains in control of assets	52
General support	30
Treaty of Waitangi needs to be referenced	6
Total	88

Oppose	Count
Opposed to partnership	13
Concern over benefits associated with race	6
Total	19

*The table above highlights the key themes coded from responses to open ended questions. Count = the number of times the theme was identified from the responses.*

# Right priorities - Our communities are resilient, safe, healthy, thriving and connected

Support	Count
Resilience is important	57
General support	18
Focus on climate emergency	6
Total	81

Oppose	Count
General opposition	5
Total	5

*The table above highlights the key themes coded from responses to open ended questions. Count = the number of times the theme was identified from the responses.*

## Right priorities - Our local economy is prosperous

Support		Count
Develop transport network	<div></div>	22
Develop/maintain the airport	<div></div>	15
General support	<div></div>	17
Total		39
Oppose		Count
General opposition	<div></div>	5
Total		5

*The table above highlights the key themes coded from responses to open ended questions. Count = the number of times the theme was identified from the responses.*

# Right priorities - Our natural environment is restored as we transition to a low-carbon future

Support	Count
General support	20
Focus on climate change emergency	9
Total	29

Oppose	Count
General opposition	6
Total	6

*The table above highlights the key themes coded from responses to open ended questions. Count = the number of times the theme was identified from the responses.*



# Right priorities - Our people have access to suitable housing in Kāpiti



Support	Count
Ensure infrastructure is developed at pace with housing	48
General support	23
Councils only role is to be an enabler	11
Make more land available	5
Support, but not at the expense of existing infrastructure	10
Should be achieved alongside environmental protection	5
Total	97

Oppose	Count
Housing is Central Government / market responsibility	38
General opposition	5
Total	43

*The table above highlights the key themes coded from responses to open ended questions. Count = the number of times the theme was identified from the responses.*

# Do you think investing for resilience and growth is the right approach for Council to take at this time?



Column % n	Age				Location								Total n = 670
	15 - 39 n = 53	40 - 59 n = 111	60 + n = 175	Not answered n = 331	Paekākāriki n = 30	Raumati n = 77	Paraparaumu n = 154	Waikanae n = 134	Te Horo n = 10	Ōtaki n = 50	Not answered n = 191	Outside of Kāpiti n = 24	
Yes	62% 33	60% 67	62% 108	30% 99	57% 17	62% 48	45% 70	49% 65	30% 3	42% 21	36% 69	58% 14	46% 307
No	6% 3	14% 15	14% 24	10% 33	0% 0	13% 10	19% 29	13% 17	10% 1	22% 11	3% 6	4% 1	11% 75
Not answered	32% 17	26% 29	25% 43	60% 199	43% 13	25% 19	36% 55	39% 52	60% 6	36% 18	61% 116	38% 9	43% 288

The chart above represents open ended comments coded to support and oppose.

# Do you think investing for resilience and growth is the right approach for Council to take at this time?

Support	Count
General support	205
Invest with care	55
Support resilience	34
Invest in airport as part of resilience	9
Need to develop with climate change in mind	16
Support, within reason	7
Important for future generations	6
With ongoing consultation	5
Total	328

Oppose	Count
General opposition	27
Opposed to rate increases	25
Focus on core infrastructure and services only, not growth	16
Opposed to growth	13
Invest in resilience only, not growth	13
Opposed to incurring/maintaining debt	11
Central Government / market responsibility	9
Opposed to Kāpiti gateway	9
Opposed because of COVID-19	7
Reduce Council spending	6
Opposed given council's poor track record with management	5
Total	141

Ideas	Count
Invest in infrastructure (at pace with growth)	56
Create business and employment opportunities	6
Total	62

General comments	Count
Make more specific	6
Total	6

The table above highlights the key themes coded from responses to open ended questions. Count = the number of times the theme was identified from the responses.

Council has determined that it is appropriate to change the limits for rates, capital spending and borrowing for the 2021–41 Long-term Plan given the extra costs that need to be met and the challenges the district is facing – particularly to be able to replace vital infrastructure in the future. What do you think?

Support	Count
General Support	100
Opposed to rate increases/percentage of rate increase	12
Borrow while interest is low	10
Total	122

Oppose	Count
Opposed to rate increases (above inflation)	93
Council should reduce spending/keep within current budget	36
Focus on core infrastructure and services only	31
General opposition	22
Opposed to Kāpiti Gateway	15
Opposed to incurring/maintaining debt	18
Opposed given council's poor track record with management	13
Rating system needs to be changed	6
Total	234

Ideas	Count
Invest in infrastructure	91
Invest in airport	80
Invest in infrastructure generally	6
Invest in transport infrastructure	5
Total	91

*The table above highlights the key themes coded from responses to open ended questions. Count = the number of times the theme was identified from the responses.*

# If we experience a resurgence of COVID-19, are there particular things you would like Council to do in response? The same things we did previously? Other things?

Positive feedback	Count
Maintain same level of involvement/response	40
Total	40

General opposition to council response	Count
Central Governments responsibility, not Local Council	26
Opposed to rates increase	5
Do nothing	5
Total	36

Improvements	Count
Council's previous role in pandemic questioned	20
Capacitate NGO's/community groups/schools	16
Provide marae with emergency supplies	3
Communication with community	13
Support the most vulnerable (Elderly, Disabled, Mental health)	9
Support local economy/ businesses	8
Have appropriate medical care/PPE available	7
Reduce Council spending	6
Total	79

*The table above highlights the key themes coded from responses to open ended questions. Count = the number of times the theme was identified from the responses.*

# What are the positives that have come out of the pandemic you would like us to keep doing or support in the community?

Positives	Count
Community/individual related benefits	23
Increased neighbourly support	17
Less cars on the road	6
Benefits from Council	23
Communication from Council	9
Community support	7
Local business support	7
Health benefits	7
Total	53

Negatives	Count
Not much / no positives	18
Not sure what Council did	11
Opposed to rate increases	5
Total	34

*The table above highlights the key themes coded from responses to open ended questions. Count = the number of times the theme was identified from the responses.*

# Access to housing: If you have any views on access to housing generally, please comment here:



Support	Count
Support Council's is to be an enabler	298
Make more land available	174
Housing and airport land	79
Housing developments on airport land provided it can still run	64
Do not take airport land/restrict operation of airport	13
Support closing the airport for housing development	2
Encourage smaller/higher density housing	31
Streamline building consents for private building	26
Work with organisations/Mana Whenua/Central Government	22
Allow for more subdivisions	9
Adjust zoning	7
General support	61
Support Council involvement in social/pensioner housing	36
Housing prices need to be affordable	21
Total	416

Oppose	Count
Housing is Central Government / market responsibility	63
Opposed to social/council housing	25
Opposed to rate increases	8
Total	96

Ideas	Count
Limit environmental impacts/maintain green spaces	18
Invest in infrastructure at pace with housing	15
Construct houses around public transport	6
Total	39

*The table above highlights the key themes coded from responses to open ended questions. Count = the number of times the theme was identified from the responses.*

We have made good progress on reducing Council’s emissions, however, achieving further gains will cost more. Should we continue to prioritise emissions reduction within Council?



Column % n	Age				Location								Total n = 670
	15 - 39 n = 53	40 - 59 n = 111	60 + n = 175	Not answered n = 331	Paekākāriki n = 30	Raumati n = 77	Paraparaumu n = 154	Waikanae n = 134	Te Horo n = 10	Ōtaki n = 50	Not answered n = 191	Outside of Kāpiti n = 24	
Yes	15% 8	21% 23	31% 54	20% 66	37% 11	40% 31	29% 45	30% 40	10% 1	22% 11	5% 9	13% 3	23% 151
No	6% 3	10% 11	9% 16	12% 40	7% 2	14% 11	13% 20	15% 20	0% 0	14% 7	5% 9	4% 1	10% 70
Not answered	79% 42	69% 77	60% 105	68% 225	57% 17	45% 35	58% 89	55% 74	90% 9	64% 32	91% 173	83% 20	67% 449

The chart above represents open ended comments coded to support and oppose.



We have made good progress on reducing Council's emissions, however, achieving further gains will cost more. Should we continue to prioritise emissions reduction within Council?












Support	Count
General support	114
Only if reductions are significant/cost effective	16
Total	130

Oppose	Count
General opposition	24
Opposed to increase in costs	10
Other, more important, priorities that need urgent attention	7
Concern around justification for replacing EVs	6
Adaption planning preferred	5
Total	52

Ideas	Count
Invest in active travel and (electric) public transport	24
Improve waste management/reduction	14
Work with community groups/local iwi	13
Practice carbon off-setting	10
Restore wetlands and fresh water	5
Total	66



*The table above highlights the key themes coded from responses to open ended questions. Count = the number of times the theme was identified from the responses.*

# As climate change impacts become more severe and costs to respond increase, how do we ensure equity across the district?

Sea levels and erosion		Count
Ensuring equity		95
Rates increase for those on seashore/unfair for others to pay		58
Protect public infrastructure and not private housing		15
Opposed to building sea walls		11
Apply equity in all decision making		6
Protect all coastal/river areas		5
Total		95
Paekākāriki sea wall		Count
Support		26
General support		26
Opposed		34
Prefer alternative solution		34
Prefer a managed retreat		31
Total		60

*The table above highlights the key themes coded from responses to open ended questions. Count = the number of times the theme was identified from the responses.*

We have developed a strategic framework to guide our decision making (pages 40-41) and we want to know if you think we've got it right. What are your views?



Column % n	Age				Location								Total n = 670
	15 - 39 n = 53	40 - 59 n = 111	60 + n = 175	Not answered n = 331	Paekākāriki n = 30	Raumati n = 77	Paraparaumu n = 154	Waikanae n = 134	Te Horo n = 10	Ōtaki n = 50	Not answered n = 191	Outside of Kāpiti n = 24	
Yes	6% 3	7% 8	15% 26	11% 37	17% 5	23% 18	14% 21	16% 22	0% 0	2% 1	3% 6	4% 1	11% 74
No	4% 2	6% 7	12% 21	9% 30	7% 2	16% 12	12% 19	6% 8	0% 0	18% 9	5% 10	0% 0	9% 60
Not answered	91% 48	86% 96	73% 128	80% 264	77% 23	61% 47	74% 114	78% 104	100% 10	80% 40	92% 175	96% 23	80% 536

The chart above represents open ended comments coded to support and oppose.

# We have developed a strategic framework to guide our decision making (pages 40-41) and we want to know if you think we've got it right. What are your views?

Support	Count
General support	60
Support, but need to start acting	6
Total	66
Concerns	Count
Not enough focus on certain areas	22
Focus on managed retreat/adaptation	7
Focus on reducing road travel/public transport	5
Focus on greening buildings	5
Focus on basics	5
Scope of framework	20
Clarify priorities/be more specific	15
Proposal needs to reflect climate emergency	5
Engagement	9
Lack of public engagement and support	9
Financial concerns	11
Concern with the cost/benefits	9
Mitigations are funded by polluters	2
Do not understand/have not heard of the framework	10
Concerns regarding implementation	10
Needs constant reviewing, benchmarking and reporting	7
Needs collaboration with other tiers of government	7
Central Government should fund more infrastructure works	2
Work off of MFE's National Adaptation Plan for consistency	2
General disagreement	6
Total	102
Ideas	Count
Treaty agreement should be at the forefront of framework	5
Total	5

The table above highlights the key themes coded from responses to open ended questions. Count = the number of times the theme was identified from the responses.

# As our district grows, what do you think good growth looks like?

Ideas		Count
Infrastructure		159
Focus on specific infrastructure		95
	Improve transport infrastructure and services	41
	Increase/maintain amenities	19
	Focus on active travel	17
	Keep the airport open	10
	Improve health infrastructure	8
Focus on infrastructure in general		64
	Growth will be limited by demands on infrastructure/planning	37
	Focus on core infrastructure and services only	21
	Developers should pay for infrastructure	6
Housing		93
	More housing and more variety/density	27
	Council administration	16
	Make more land available	16
	Maintain community aesthetic and feel	19
	Affordable and quality housing	17
	No high rise/high density developments	9
	Reduce urban sprawl	5

The table above highlights the key themes coded from responses to open ended questions. Count = the number of times the theme was identified from the responses.

# As our district grows, what do you think good growth looks like?

Community		58
Fostering community ties		32
Improve communication with public		16
Improve consultations with the community		11
Focus on iwi partnership		4
Foster strong community relationships		8
More community spaces/events		8
Lifestyle		26
Equity in community		7
Environment		62
Environmental protection		22
Develop green/recreational spaces		20
Sustainability		14
Reduced carbon emissions		6
Economic		43
Local economy		33
Encourage business/local business/shops/jobs		33
Council finances		10
Reduced Council debt/spending		5
Opposed to rates increase		5
Council		13
Promote limited/measured growth		13
Opposed to growth		9
Total		437

The table above highlights the key themes coded from responses to open ended questions. Count = the number of times the theme was identified from the responses.

# What else can Council do to help build community resilience?

Ideas	Count
Infrastructure	156
Focus on specific infrastructure	91
Airport necessary in disaster/medical emergency	86
Build hospital for the District	5
Focus on core infrastructure and services only	57
Build with resilience in mind	8
Community	74
Communication/ consultation with the public	32
Listen to feedback from community	4
Improve community ties and networks	22
Community readiness	10
Council to educate community	10
Protect/engage with the most vulnerable	10
Governance	13
Council responsibilities	13
Have a detailed long and short term plan at the ready	7
Strong action, be disaster ready	6
Economic	15
Community finances	10
Opposed to rate increases	10
Council finances	5
Insurance	5
Housing	5
Address housing availability	5
Total	263

The table above highlights the key themes coded from responses to open ended questions. Count = the number of times the theme was identified from the responses.

# How can Council encourage households' emergency preparedness?

Ideas	Count
Increased/better/more targeted communication	54
Facilitate community plans	34
Host community training sessions/provide education	24
Emergency kit information for community	5
Host competitions/events	5
Promote water tanks and solar panels	11
Provide each resident with a free/at cost emergency grab bag	11
Encourage public to take responsibility	6
<b>Total</b>	<b>116</b>



  

Geneal comments	Count
Maintain current level of support	17
Council cannot do too much as it is an individual response	7
<b>Total</b>	<b>24</b>

*The table above highlights the key themes coded from responses to open ended questions. Count = the number of times the theme was identified from the responses.*



# Should we explore different options for how we insure our assets?



'Not answered' removed

Column % n	Age				Location								Total n = 670
	15 - 39 n = 53	40 - 59 n = 111	60 + n = 175	Not answered n = 331	Paekākāriki n = 30	Raumati n = 77	Paraparaumu n = 154	Waikanae n = 134	Te Horo n = 10	Ōtaki n = 50	Not answered n = 191	Outside of Kāpiti n = 24	
Yes - explore other options	2%	6%	5%	6%	0%	5%	8%	10%	0%	4%	3%	4%	6%
	1	7	8	21	0	4	12	13	0	2	5	1	37
Self insure more/increase our reserves	8%	6%	9%	3%	0%	13%	7%	7%	0%	2%	3%	4%	6%
	4	7	15	11	0	10	11	9	0	1	5	1	37
Reduce our cover/increase our excess	0%	1%	3%	5%	3%	4%	3%	4%	0%	8%	2%	8%	3%
	0	1	6	16	1	3	5	5	0	4	3	2	23
Consider a combination	0%	2%	5%	2%	3%	5%	3%	2%	0%	2%	2%	0%	2%
	0	2	8	6	1	4	4	3	0	1	3	0	16
No - do not explore other options	2%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	1	1	0	1	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	3
Not answered	89%	84%	79%	83%	93%	73%	78%	77%	100%	84%	92%	83%	83%
	47	93	138	276	28	56	120	103	10	42	175	20	554

# Should we explore different options for how we insure our assets?

Proposed options	Count
Self-insure more/increase reserves (support)	36
Reduce cover/increase excess (support)	21
Support, with no proposed option chosen	15
Combination of both options	11
Total	83

Ideas	Count
Only insure certain assets	10
Increase excess and build reserves on non-core assets	5
Continuously review	5
Total	20

General comments	Count
Need more information	8
Council/experts should know what to do	7
Total	15

*The table above highlights the key themes coded from responses to open ended questions. Count = the number of times the theme was identified from the responses.*

## With the changes the Government is making to regulation and supply for three waters services (drinking water, wastewater and stormwater): What's important for you about Council's role?

Council's role		Count
Governance		159
KCDC should maintain control		28
Support Government change		23
Represent community interests		20
Ensure cost effectiveness/reduce debt		19
Maintain infrastructure		17
Ensure residents get value for money/no cross subsidisation		15
Waste water management		10
Work collaboratively with other Councils/Central Government		9
Storm water management		8
Meter supplies		5
Partner/consult with the community in decision making		5
Water quality		49
Maintain water quality/supply		31
Environmental protection		10
Prevent pollution in waterways		8
General comments		5
Council should be commended for its work to date		5
Total		213

*The table above highlights the key themes coded from responses to open ended questions. Count = the number of times the theme was identified from the responses.*


# With the changes the Government is making to regulation and supply for three waters services (drinking water, wastewater and stormwater): What should we advocate for?

What should Council advocate for		Count
Governance		108
Council's role		71
KCDC to maintain control		21
Advocate for local community		14
Democratic governance/community consultation		12
Representation of mana whenua		6
Lower rates/costs		9
Ensure residents get value for money/no cross subsidisation		9
Metering/minimise usage and wastage		6
Central Government		24
Support from Central Government		10
Letting Central Government take over		8
Collaborate with Government and other Councils		6
Water quality		25
Maintain water quality and supply		12
Reduce water pollution		7
Waste water treatment		6
Infrastructure		5
Future-proofed/future growth in infrastructure		5
Total		138

*The table above highlights the key themes coded from responses to open ended questions. Count = the number of times the theme was identified from the responses.*

# Key project 1: Should Council take a bigger role in Housing?


Yes - Council should take a bigger role in housing # = 251: 37.5%



No - Council should not take a bigger role in housing # = 189: 28.2%

Not answered # = 230: 34.3%

Yes - Council should take a bigger role in housing # = 251: 57.0%



No - Council should not take a bigger role in housing # = 189: 43.0%

'Not answered' removed

Column % n	Age				Location								
	15 - 39 n = 53	40 - 59 n = 111	60 + n = 175	Not answered n = 331	Paekākāriki n = 30	Raumati n = 77	Paraparaumu n = 154	Waikanae n = 134	Te Horo n = 10	Ōtaki n = 50	Not answered n = 191	Outside of Kāpiti n = 24	Total n = 670
Yes - Council should take a bigger role in housing	49%	46%	49%	27%	50%	52%	44%	42%	30%	44%	19%	46%	37%
	26	51	85	89	15	40	68	56	3	22	36	11	251
No - Council should not take a bigger role in housing	8%	25%	31%	31%	10%	36%	38%	38%	40%	30%	14%	8%	28%
	4	28	55	102	3	28	59	51	4	15	27	2	189
Not answered	43%	29%	20%	42%	40%	12%	18%	20%	30%	26%	67%	46%	34%
	23	32	35	140	12	9	27	27	3	13	128	11	230

# Key project 1: Should Council take a bigger role in housing? If you have any views on this, please comment here:


Support	Count
Support Council's role as an enabler	212
Make more land available	43
Allow for smaller/high density/infill housing	28
Partnership with Central Government/Developers/Specialists/NGOs	33
Partner with mana whenua	9
Streamline consent process	30
Reduce consent fees	12
Develop infrastructure at pace with housing	14
Ensure sustainability	14
Do not consent to builds in areas affected by climate change	4
Make laws less restrictive	11
Change zoning	8
Support development close to transport hubs	7
Approve more building permits	5
Don't build on airport land	5
Incentivise developers	5
Assist first home buyers	5
General support	91
Increase housing accessibility/affordability	34
Support Council as a housing provider/developer	46
Create more Council housing	21
Build/retain retirement housing	16
<b>Total</b>	<b>349</b>
<b>Oppose</b>	<b>Count</b>
Housing is Central Government / market / NGOs responsibility	103
Focus on core infrastructure and services only	29
Opposed to using rates for housing	11
<b>Total</b>	<b>143</b>

*The table above highlights the key themes coded from responses to open ended questions. Count = the number of times the theme was identified from the responses.*

# Key project 2: Should we renew the Paekākāriki seawall a different way?


Yes - replace it like-for-like in timber at an estimated cost of \$17 million # = 239: 35.7%

No - Proceed with the design already agreed, at the revised estimated cost of \$27 million # = 98: 14.6%



Not answered # = 333: 49.7%

Yes - replace it like-for-like in timber at an estimated cost of \$17 million # = 239: 70.9%



No - Proceed with the design already agreed, at the revised estimated cost of \$27 million # = 98: 29.1%

'Not answered' removed

Column % n	Age				Location								Outside of Kāpiti n = 24	Total n = 670
	15 - 39 n = 53	40 - 59 n = 111	60 + n = 175	Not answered n = 331	Paekākāriki n = 30	Raumati n = 77	Paraparaumu n = 154	Waikanae n = 134	Te Horo n = 10	Ōtaki n = 50	Not answered n = 191			
Yes - replace it like-for-like in timber at an estimated cost of \$17 million	28%	48%	42%	29%	23%	49%	45%	53%	40%	34%	13%	38%	36%	
	15	53	74	97	7	38	69	71	4	17	24	9	239	
No - Proceed with the design already agreed, at the revised estimated cost of \$27 million	13%	19%	19%	11%	30%	32%	19%	10%	0%	10%	8%	4%	15%	
	7	21	33	37	9	25	29	14	0	5	15	1	98	
Not answered	58%	33%	39%	60%	47%	18%	36%	37%	60%	56%	80%	58%	50%	
	31	37	68	197	14	14	56	49	6	28	152	14	333	

## Key project 2: Should we renew the Paekākāriki seawall a different way? If you have any views on this, please comment here:

Support	Count
Support Timber (\$17m)	52
Support Concrete (\$27m)	53
Support for sea wall replacement with no selected option	39
Not funded by ratepayers	37
Homes along seawall should pay for replacement (higher rates)	37
<b>Total</b>	<b>181</b>



Oppose	Count
Prefer a different option	46
Prefer a managed retreat	32
Place concrete boulders/gabions/groynes	14
General opposition	18
<b>Total</b>	<b>64</b>

Ideas	Count
Need to consult with local iwi and community	5
<b>Total</b>	<b>5</b>

*The table above highlights the key themes coded from responses to open ended questions. Count = the number of times the theme was identified from the responses.*



# Significant proposal 1: Should we set up a Council Controlled Organisation?



Column % n	Age				Location								Total n = 670
	15 - 39 n = 53	40 - 59 n = 111	60 + n = 175	Not answered n = 331	Paekākāriki n = 30	Raumati n = 77	Paraparaumu n = 154	Waikanae n = 134	Te Horo n = 10	Ōtaki n = 50	Not answered n = 191	Outside of Kāpiti n = 24	
Yes - we should set up a CCO	62% 33	56% 62	47% 83	24% 81	37% 11	51% 39	44% 67	40% 53	20% 2	32% 16	31% 59	50% 12	39% 259
No - we should not set up a CCO	11% 6	30% 33	38% 67	29% 97	37% 11	34% 26	38% 58	40% 54	50% 5	36% 18	15% 28	13% 3	30% 203
Not answered	26% 14	14% 16	14% 25	46% 153	27% 8	16% 12	19% 29	20% 27	30% 3	32% 16	54% 104	38% 9	31% 208

# Significant proposal 1: Should we set up a Council Controlled Organisation? If you have any views on this, please comment here:

Support	Count
Use as a means to play a role in airport	88
General support for a second income stream/lower rates	54
Ensure it is cost effective/independent of rates	13
Council must take accountability	10
Use as a means to address housing	8
<b>Total</b>	<b>173</b>



Oppose	Count
General opposition	52
Not a core business/should not take on commercial activities	42
Not cost effective/viable	41
Unnecessary/ineffective Council with lack of skills	28
Not sure of purpose/needs a clear goal/business idea	26
Concern around independency of CCO	8
<b>Total</b>	<b>197</b>

Ideas	Count
Hire qualified people to run CCO	13
<b>Total</b>	<b>13</b>

General comments	Count
Need more information	20
Need more consultation around what CCO would be implemented	11
<b>Total</b>	<b>31</b>

*The table above highlights the key themes coded from responses to open ended questions. Count = the number of times the theme was identified from the responses.*

# Significant proposal 2: Should Council explore ways to have a role in the airport?



'Not answered' removed

Column % n	Age				Location									Total n = 670
	15 - 39 n = 53	40 - 59 n = 111	60 + n = 175	Not answered n = 331	Paekākāriki n = 30	Raumati n = 77	Paraparaumu n = 154	Waikanae n = 134	Te Horo n = 10	Ōtaki n = 50	Not answered n = 191	Outside of Kāpiti n = 24		
Yes - Council should explore ways to have a role in the airport	72% 38	73% 81	70% 123	40% 131	40% 12	66% 51	67% 103	57% 77	50% 5	42% 21	43% 83	88% 21	56% 373	
No - Council should not explore ways to have a role in the airport	8% 4	21% 23	21% 37	21% 70	37% 11	25% 19	21% 32	25% 34	40% 4	24% 12	12% 22	0% 0	20% 134	
Not answered	21% 11	6% 7	9% 15	39% 130	23% 7	9% 7	12% 19	17% 23	10% 1	34% 17	45% 86	13% 3	24% 163	

# Significant proposal 2: Should Council explore ways to have a role in the airport? If you have any views on this, please comment here:

Support	Count
Important for Kāpiti (economic asset/transport infrastructure)	185
Important for emergencies/resilience	131
General support	75
As long as it is self sustaining/not costed to rate payers	20
With Central Government support	7
Get involved, but don't buy	6
Total	424

Oppose	Count
General opposition	45
Not a core business/not within scope or level of expertise	31
Does not generate income/costs are too high	27
Use land to build houses	22
Operational airport not in line with climate emergency	13
Central Government should take responsibility	9
Rather improve access to other airports	6
Total	153

Ideas	Count
Role of local iwi	70
Local Iwi should be given land	29
Joint ownership between Council and local Iwi	21
More consultation needed with local Iwi	10
Resolve land claims queries before any involvement	5
Needs to be governed by Treaty obligations	5
Total	70

The table above highlights the key themes coded from responses to open ended questions. Count = the number of times the theme was identified from the responses.

# Do you have any views on the Waikanae Library key project?

Support	Count
General support	69
Rebuild the library/build new library	21
Library was/should be developed into community hub	23
Total	113

Oppose	Count
World going digital	10
Current library/location is fine	6
Opposed to incurring/maintaining debt	5
Share library with Paraparaumu	5
Total	26

*The table above highlights the key themes coded from responses to open ended questions. Count = the number of times the theme was identified from the responses.*

# Do you have any views on the Link Road key project?

Support	Count
General support	41
Current road layout is congesting Kāpiti road	2
Total	43

Oppose	Count
General opposition	8
Too costly, other more important priorities	4
Rather focus on active travel/public transport	2
Total	14

Ideas	Count
Increase road network and access points	5
Engage with local mana whenua	3
Total	8

*The table above highlights the key themes coded from responses to open ended questions. Count = the number of times the theme was identified from the responses.*

# Do you have any views on the waste minimisation key project?

Support	Count
General support	37
Support education	6
Support recycling	6
Total	49

Oppose	Count
Opposed to incurring/maintaining debt	3
Total	3

Ideas	Count
Do not close Waikanae Green Waste and recycling centre	18
Rates should include recycling/inhouse collection	12
Have only one truck/company collecting garbage	10
Legislation against non-recyclable packaging	5
Total	45

*The table above highlights the key themes coded from responses to open ended questions. Count = the number of times the theme was identified from the responses.*

# Do you have any views on the indoor sports centre key project?

Support	Count
General support	38
Availability for community use	5
Support a feasibility study	3
No indoor facilities currently available	3
Need a facility in Paraparaumu over and above the one in Ōtaki	2
Total	51

Oppose	Count
Reduce Council spending	11
Unnecessary	7
Benefits are not evenly distributed	5
Total	23

Ideas	Count
Available for older people	2
Convert Raumati swimming pool	2
Total	4

*The table above highlights the key themes coded from responses to open ended questions. Count = the number of times the theme was identified from the responses.*



# Do you have any views on the Maclean Park key project?

Support	Count
General support	16
Total	16

Oppose	Count
Park is fine as is	4
Other more pressing concerns	3
General opposition	2
Unfair allocation of support to Mclean Park	2
Opposed to spending money on artwork	2
Total	13

Ideas	Count
Improve toilets/make them wheelchair accessible	6
Improve the natural environment of MacLean Park	3
Total	9

*The table above highlights the key themes coded from responses to open ended questions. Count = the number of times the theme was identified from the responses.*

# Do you have any views on the Community boards proposals key project?

Support	Count
General support	11
Total	11

Oppose	Count
Unnecessary/bureaucratic/waste of time	6
Opposed to incurring/maintaining debt	2
Total	8

Ideas	Count
Council should make the role of community board more known	3
Provide more wards representing rural areas and Māori	2
Total	5

*The table above highlights the key themes coded from responses to open ended questions. Count = the number of times the theme was identified from the responses.*

# Do you have any views on Te Newhanga Kāpiti Community Centre key project?

Support	Count
General support	32
Total	32

Oppose	Count
General opposition	6
Unnecessary	5
Will become a liability to ratepayers	4
Total	15

*The table above highlights the key themes coded from responses to open ended questions. Count = the number of times the theme was identified from the responses.*

# Do you have any views on the Drinking water safety and resilience key project?

Support	Count
General support	46
Total	46

Ideas	Count
Build a dam/water storage	8
Stop fluoridation	4
Riparian planting	2
Total	14

*The table above highlights the key themes coded from responses to open ended questions. Count = the number of times the theme was identified from the responses.*

# Do you have any views on the Footpaths key project?

Support	Count
General support	45
Make footpaths safer/more accessible	20
Total	65

Oppose	Count
Unnecessary	4
Opposed to incurring/maintaining debt	2
Total	6

Ideas	Count
Multiuse access for cyclists	7
Multiuse access for horses	3
Footpaths should not be multiuse	2
Total	12

*The table above highlights the key themes coded from responses to open ended questions. Count = the number of times the theme was identified from the responses.*

# Do you have any views on the Ngā Manu Nature Reserve key project?

Support	Count
General support	38
Needs to be protected/have a conservation corridor	2
Total	40
Oppose	Count
Private facility	4
Ratepayers should not be paying/Council in too much debt	2
Total	6
Ideas	Count
Local discount on entry	2
Total	2

*The table above highlights the key themes coded from responses to open ended questions. Count = the number of times the theme was identified from the responses.*

# Do you have any views on the Ōtaki Pool upgrade stage 2 key project?

Support	Count
General support	19
Total	19

Oppose	Count
Unnecessary	4
Opposed to incurring/maintaining debt	1
Total	5

Ideas	Count
Entry costs should be minimal	2
Solar panels needed to be used in pool upgrades	1
Total	3

*The table above highlights the key themes coded from responses to open ended questions. Count = the number of times the theme was identified from the responses.*

# Do you have any views on the Town centres key project?

Support	Count
General support	16
Improve Waikanae town centre	9
Improve Paraparaumu town centre	9
Improve Ōtaki town centre	8
Total	42

Oppose	Count
Reduce Council spending	5
General opposition	5
Focus on economic development	2
Total	12

Ideas	Count
Pedestrian friendly town centres (Housing/Toilets/Walkability)	9
Exemplify the culture of the community	2
Use local businesses for upgrades	2
Improve outdated/abandoned shops	2
Total	15

*The table above highlights the key themes coded from responses to open ended questions. Count = the number of times the theme was identified from the responses.*



# Do you have any views on the Stormwater upgrades key project?

Support	Count
General support	47
Support, but don't spend excessively	4
Increase the amount of maintenance	4
Total	55

Oppose	Count
Outsource responsibility	2
Total	2

*The table above highlights the key themes coded from responses to open ended questions. Count = the number of times the theme was identified from the responses.*

# Do you have any views on the Ōtaki community facilities key project?

Support	Count
General support	13
Total	13

Oppose	Count
Unnecessary	2
Total	2

General comments	Count
More information needed	4
More consultation needed	3
Total	7

*The table above highlights the key themes coded from responses to open ended questions. Count = the number of times the theme was identified from the responses.*

# Do you have any views on the Playgrounds key project?

Support	Count
General support	18
Support playground development in Ōtaki	3
Improve Reikorangi playground	2
Renovate Waikanae Memorial Park	2
Total	25

Oppose	Count
Unnecessary	7
Only maintain areas	2
Total	9

Ideas	Count
Playgrounds for older children	7
Improve safety of the playgrounds	4
Add lighting to playgrounds	1
Build basketball court in Waikanae	2
Total	13

*The table above highlights the key themes coded from responses to open ended questions. Count = the number of times the theme was identified from the responses.*

# Do you have any views on the Kāpiti Gateway – Te Uruhi key project?

Support	Count
Support, if it was scaled down	10
General support	8
Good economic investment	5
Total	23

Oppose	Count
Waste of money	35
Not necessary	35
Other more pressing issues	15
General opposition	32
Not financially viable	25
Community does not want this	20
Lack of consultation	8
Negative impact on parking/local cafes	8
Building in tsunami/climate change impact zone	6
Total	169

Ideas	Count
Existing buildings that could be renovated	2
Raising fees to go to Kāpiti island	2
Project needs rethinking	2
Total	6

*The table above highlights the key themes coded from responses to open ended questions. Count = the number of times the theme was identified from the responses.*

# Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the rating system?

Support	Count
General support /if it stops the increase	66
Supports land value rating	8
As long as it is fair	5
Total	79

Oppose	Count
Opposed to rate increases	89
Opposed to rate increases	66
Rates increase should not exceed inflation	13
Rate increases with average wage/pension increases	10
General opposition	23
Maintain the status quo	20
Reduce Council spending	18
Rates increases are not equitable/fair	10
Increase in those asking for rate remissions	7
Rebates for residents not using Council services	6
Total	173

Ideas	Count
Increased transparency is needed	6
Total	6

General comments	Count
Difficult to understand	11
Total	11

The table above highlights the key themes coded from responses to open ended questions. Count = the number of times the theme was identified from the responses.

# Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the rates remission policy?

Support	Count
General support	99
Threshold is too low/will not help enough given increase	20
Total	119

Oppose	Count
Opposed to rates increase leading to need for remissions	30
General opposition	14
Ratepayers should not pay for it	8
Reduce Council spending	7
Only benefits a few when a lot of people are affected	5
Total	64

*The table above highlights the key themes coded from responses to open ended questions. Count = the number of times the theme was identified from the responses.*

# Do you have any views on the changes to fees and charges?

Support	Count
General support	81
Improve service with increased fees	6
Support swimming pool fees	6
Support dog registration fees	5
Total	98

Oppose	Count
Opposed to swimming pool fees	40
Opposed to swimming pool fee for spectators	11
General opposition	34
Opposed to increase in building consent fees	22
Opposed to minor building work consent fees	3
Mana whenua should be exempt from building consents	2
Opposed to increasing dog registration costs	9
Opposed to LIM price increase	9
Opposed to increase in building costs	8
Opposed to rent increases for elderly	8
Total	130

Ideas	Count
Charges should be aligned to costs	6
Total	6

The table above highlights the key themes coded from responses to open ended questions. Count = the number of times the theme was identified from the responses.

# Do you have any views on the change to service levels?

Support	Count
General support	33
Total	33

Oppose	Count
General opposition	36
Bad for environment - emissions from driving further	23
Use facility	22
Recycling is good - facility promotes this	21
Will lead to illegal dumping/green waste in general waste	20
Do not get curbside collection/have no other option	14
Rather increase service in other areas to be the same	8
Opposed to rates increase for closing a service	7
With population growth the site will become more important	6
Paraparaumu and Ōtaki have similar facilities (not equalising)	5
Total	162

Ideas	Count
More info/consultation needed and listen to community feedback	8
Total	8

The table above highlights the key themes coded from responses to open ended questions. Count = the number of times the theme was identified from the responses.



# Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the revenue and financing policy?

Support	Count
General support	35
Total	35

Oppose	Count
Opposed to rate increases/percentage increase	14
General opposition	10
Reduce Council spending	5
Total	29

Ideas	Count
Review / Audit Council spending / Costs	4
More consultation needed	4
Total	8

General comments	Count
Difficult to understand	13
Total	13

*The table above highlights the key themes coded from responses to open ended questions. Count = the number of times the theme was identified from the responses.*

# Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the rates remission policy?

Support	Count
General support	46
Total	46
Oppose	Count
Opposed to rate increases as results in need for remission	11
General opposition	9
Total	20
Ideas	Count
More consultation needed	3
Should be means tested	2
Total	5
General comments	Count
Needs more information	3
Total	3

*The table above highlights the key themes coded from responses to open ended questions. Count = the number of times the theme was identified from the responses.*

# Do you have any views on the proposed changes to the development contributions policy?



Support	Count
General support	25
Developers should pay for infrastructure	3
Total	28

Oppose	Count
General opposition	11
Lack of consultation with affected parties	3
Total	14

General comments	Count
Difficult to understand	2
Total	2



*The table above highlights the key themes coded from responses to open ended questions. Count = the number of times the theme was identified from the responses.*

# Do you agree with our criteria for assessing significance?



Column % n	Age				Location								Total n = 670
	15 - 39 n = 53	40 - 59 n = 111	60 + n = 175	Not answered n = 331	Paekākāriki n = 30	Raumati n = 77	Paraparaumu n = 154	Waikanae n = 134	Te Horo n = 10	Ōtaki n = 50	Not answered n = 191	Outside of Kāpiti n = 24	
Yes	9%	18%	26%	4%	17%	23%	17%	19%	40%	4%	1%	4%	12%
	5	20	45	13	5	18	26	25	4	2	2	1	83
No	6%	13%	7%	5%	0%	5%	12%	8%	0%	14%	4%	0%	7%
	3	14	13	18	0	4	19	11	0	7	7	0	48
Not answered	85%	69%	67%	91%	83%	71%	71%	73%	60%	82%	95%	96%	80%
	45	77	117	300	25	55	109	98	6	41	182	23	539

# Do you agree with the thresholds to help determine if a matter may be significant?



'Not answered' removed

Column % n	Age				Location								Total n = 670
	15 - 39 n = 53	40 - 59 n = 111	60 + n = 175	Not answered n = 331	Paekākāriki n = 30	Raumati n = 77	Paraparaumu n = 154	Waikanae n = 134	Te Horo n = 10	Ōtaki n = 50	Not answered n = 191	Outside of Kāpiti n = 24	
Yes	8% 4	13% 14	21% 37	4% 14	7% 2	18% 14	13% 20	18% 24	20% 2	8% 4	1% 2	4% 1	10% 69
No	8% 4	16% 18	9% 16	5% 18	3% 1	10% 8	14% 21	8% 11	10% 1	12% 6	4% 8	0% 0	8% 56
Not answered	85% 45	71% 79	70% 122	90% 299	90% 27	71% 55	73% 113	74% 99	70% 7	80% 40	95% 181	96% 23	81% 545

# Do you understand our framework for determining how and when we seek community feedback on key activities?

No # = 75: 11.2%

Yes # = 83: 12.4%

Not answered # = 512:  
76.4%



Yes # = 83: 53%

No # = 75: 47%

'Not answered' removed

Column % n	Age				Location								Total n = 670
	15 - 39 n = 53	40 - 59 n = 111	60 + n = 175	Not answered n = 331	Paekākāriki n = 30	Raumati n = 77	Paraparaumu n = 154	Waikanae n = 134	Te Horo n = 10	Ōtaki n = 50	Not answered n = 191	Outside of Kāpiti n = 24	
Yes	9% 5	16% 18	22% 38	7% 22	3% 1	18% 14	19% 30	16% 21	40% 4	14% 7	3% 5	4% 1	12% 83
No	8% 4	19% 21	17% 30	6% 20	3% 1	19% 15	18% 27	16% 22	0% 0	10% 5	3% 5	0% 0	11% 75
Not answered	83% 44	65% 72	61% 107	87% 289	93% 28	62% 48	63% 97	68% 91	60% 6	76% 38	95% 181	96% 23	76% 512

If the long-term plan is adopted by Council with the work programme and proposals recommended, this would mean an average rates increase of 7.8 percent for 2021/22. Tell us what best indicates your views:



'Not answered' removed

Column % n	Age				Location								Total n = 670
	15 - 39 n = 53	40 - 59 n = 111	60 + n = 175	Not answered n = 331	Paekākāriki n = 30	Raumati n = 77	Paraparaumu n = 154	Waikanae n = 134	Te Horo n = 10	Ōtaki n = 50	Not answered n = 191	Outside of Kāpiti n = 24	
I accept it and I support the proposals	8%	17%	22%	11%	23%	29%	17%	24%	20%	8%	2%	8%	15%
	4	19	39	36	7	22	26	32	2	4	3	2	98
I accept it, but I will find it hard to manage	8%	9%	11%	6%	10%	16%	12%	10%	10%	2%	2%	8%	8%
	4	10	20	19	3	12	18	13	1	1	3	2	53
I don't accept it and I think that Council should find a different way to deal with cost increases	21%	34%	37%	26%	7%	38%	43%	31%	50%	54%	15%	8%	30%
	11	38	64	87	2	29	66	41	5	27	28	2	200
Not answered	64%	40%	30%	57%	60%	18%	29%	36%	20%	36%	82%	75%	48%
	34	44	52	189	18	14	44	48	2	18	157	18	319

# Do you have any views on Rates for 2021-2022?

Support	Count
General support	23
As long as there is value for the increase	8
Support, if increase is lowered	5
<b>Total</b>	<b>36</b>



Oppose	Count
Opposed to rate increases/hardship/unaffordable	141
Rates increase should not exceed inflation	11
Still recovering from COVID-19	9
Increase should be less than proposed increase	4
Reduce Council spending/find alternative ways	61
Council spending on unnecessary projects	26
Opposed to Kāpiti Gateway	7
Inequitable increases unfair	10
General opposition	7
Rates should reflect services received/proportionality principle	7
<b>Total</b>	<b>226</b>

Ideas	Count
More consultation needed	5
<b>Total</b>	<b>5</b>

The table above highlights the key themes coded from responses to open ended questions. Count = the number of times the theme was identified from the responses.



# Do you support Council exploring other ways to generate income?



Column % n	Age				Location								Total n = 670
	15 - 39 n = 53	40 - 59 n = 111	60 + n = 175	Not answered n = 331	Paekākāriki n = 30	Raumati n = 77	Paraparaumu n = 154	Waikanae n = 134	Te Horo n = 10	Ōtaki n = 50	Not answered n = 191	Outside of Kāpiti n = 24	
Yes	30% 16	48% 53	54% 94	31% 101	33% 10	68% 52	56% 86	46% 62	50% 5	54% 27	9% 18	17% 4	39% 264
No	9% 5	10% 11	13% 22	9% 31	3% 1	17% 13	14% 21	11% 15	30% 3	8% 4	6% 12	0% 0	10% 69
Not answered	60% 32	42% 47	34% 59	60% 199	63% 19	16% 12	31% 47	43% 57	20% 2	38% 19	84% 161	83% 20	50% 337

# Do you have any views on Council exploring other ways to generate income?

Support	Count
General support	30
As long as it is cost effective	12
Subject to regular auditing/expert input/transparency	11
Opposed to rate increases	5
Total	58

Oppose	Count
Focus on core infrastructure and services only	26
Reduce Council spending	17
General opposition	12
Unsure if Council has the expertise	9
Opposed to CCO	7
Unlikely to be cost effective	6
Total	77

Ideas	Count
Income generation through airport	12
More consultation needed	11
Tourism	5
Total	28

*The table above highlights the key themes coded from responses to open ended questions. Count = the number of times the theme was identified from the responses.*

# Do you have any other feedback on the long-term plan?

Governance	Count
Better management by Council	55
More consultation needed	33
Listen to community concerns	23
Concern regarding Council's management	14
Promote equity and access	8
Improve plan	21
LTP is unclear/confusing/lacks information	18
Reduce Council spending/unnecessary projects	18
Focus on core infrastructure and services only	7
Total	101

Infrastructure	Count
Transport/roads/active travel	43
Improve roading and road network	14
More spent on active travel	14
Improve public transport	9
Safer roads	6
More/better community amenities/facilities needed	23
Maintain airport	10
Focus on core infrastructure and services only	6
Improve water management and infrastructure	6
Total	88

The table above highlights the key themes coded from responses to open ended questions. Count = the number of times the theme was identified from the responses.

# Do you have any other feedback on the long-term plan?

Environmental	Count
Environmental reserves/restoration/protection needed	21
Reduce carbon emissions	16
Encourage electric vehicles/more electric vehicles	10
Stop/reduce/better manage waste	12
Focus on Climate change	7
Total	56

General comments	Count
LTP consultation process	36
Concerns regarding consultation	20
Appreciate consultation	16
General support for LTP	12
Improve Kāpiti	4
General opposition to LTP	3
Total	55

Housing	Count
Support for Council involvement	10
Total	10

Economic	Count
Opposed to rate increases	11
Total	11


Community	Count
Promote active and engaged communities	7
Total	7

The table above highlights the key themes coded from responses to open ended questions. Count = the number of times the theme was identified from the responses.




# DEMOGRAPHICS

# Locations of participants




Column % n	Age				Location								Total n = 670
	15 - 39 n = 53	40 - 59 n = 111	60 + n = 175	Not answered n = 331	Paekākāriki n = 30	Raumati n = 77	Paraparaumu n = 154	Waikanae n = 134	Te Horo n = 10	Ōtaki n = 50	Not answered n = 191	Outside of Kāpiti n = 24	
Paraparaumu	26%	24%	29%	19%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	23%
	14	27	50	63	0	0	154	0	0	0	0	0	154
Waikanae	9%	19%	27%	18%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	20%
	5	21	48	60	0	0	0	134	0	0	0	0	134
Raumati	17%	19%	12%	8%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	11%
	9	21	21	26	0	77	0	0	0	0	0	0	77
Ōtaki / Te Horo	8%	4%	14%	8%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	100%	0%	0%	9%
	4	4	25	27	0	0	0	0	10	50	0	0	60
Paekākāriki	4%	6%	5%	4%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4%
	2	7	8	13	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30
Outside of Kāpiti	0%	4%	5%	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	4%
	0	4	9	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	24	24
Not answered	36%	24%	8%	40%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	29%
	19	27	14	131	0	0	0	0	0	0	191	0	191

# Ethnicity



Column % n	Age				Location								Total n = 670
	15 - 39 n = 53	40 - 59 n = 111	60 + n = 175	Not answered n = 331	Paekākāriki n = 30	Raumatī n = 77	Paraparaumu n = 154	Waikanae n = 134	Te Horo n = 10	Ōtaki n = 50	Not answered n = 191	Outside of Kāpiti n = 24	
NZ/European	83%	80%	86%	13%	57%	71%	58%	51%	70%	46%	27%	58%	49%
	44	89	150	44	17	55	90	69	7	23	52	14	327
Other Ethnicity	6%	8%	3%	1%	3%	1%	3%	4%	10%	2%	3%	4%	3%
	3	9	6	3	1	1	5	5	1	1	6	1	21
Māori	8%	2%	1%	0%	0%	3%	1%	0%	0%	8%	1%	0%	1%
	4	2	2	1	0	2	1	0	0	4	2	0	9
Asian	2%	3%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	1%
	1	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	0	4
Not answered	2%	7%	10%	85%	40%	23%	38%	45%	20%	44%	67%	38%	46%
	1	8	17	283	12	18	58	60	2	22	128	9	309


# Age



Column % n	Age				Location								Total n
	15 - 39 n = 53	40 - 59 n = 111	60 + n = 175	Not answered n = 331	Paekākāriki n = 30	Raumati n = 77	Paraparaumu n = 154	Waikanae n = 134	Te Horo n = 10	Ōtaki n = 50	Not answered n = 191	Outside of Kāpiti n = 24	
15 - 39	100%	0%	0%	0%	7%	12%	9%	4%	0%	8%	10%	0%	8%
	53	0	0	0	2	9	14	5	0	4	19	0	53
40 - 59	0%	100%	0%	0%	23%	27%	18%	16%	10%	6%	14%	17%	17%
	0	111	0	0	7	21	27	21	1	3	27	4	111
60 +	0%	0%	100%	0%	27%	27%	32%	36%	80%	34%	7%	38%	26%
	0	0	175	0	8	21	50	48	8	17	14	9	175
Not answered	0%	0%	0%	100%	43%	34%	41%	45%	10%	52%	69%	46%	49%
	0	0	0	331	13	26	63	60	1	26	131	11	331




# Iwi affiliation of participants



Column % n	Age				Location								Total n = 670
	15 - 39 n = 53	40 - 59 n = 111	60 + n = 175	Not answered n = 331	Paekākāriki n = 30	Raumati n = 77	Paraparaumu n = 154	Waikanae n = 134	Te Horo n = 10	Ōtaki n = 50	Not answered n = 191	Outside of Kāpiti n = 24	
Ngāti Raukawa ki te Tonga	2%	0%	1%	2%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	10%	1%	4%	1%
	1	0	2	7	0	1	1	1	0	5	1	1	10
Ngāti Toa Rangatira	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	3%	1%	0%	0%	4%	0%	4%	1%
	0	0	2	4	0	2	1	0	0	2	0	1	6
Te Ātiawa ki Whakarongotai	0%	1%	1%	2%	0%	1%	0%	2%	0%	4%	0%	4%	1%
	0	1	1	5	0	1	0	3	0	2	0	1	7
Other iwi or hapū (please state iwi)	6%	0%	5%	3%	0%	1%	3%	2%	0%	18%	1%	13%	3%
	3	0	8	11	0	1	4	3	0	9	2	3	22

# Individual / Organisation



Column % n	Age				Location								Total n = 670
	15 - 39 n = 53	40 - 59 n = 111	60 + n = 175	Not answered n = 331	Paekākāriki n = 30	Raumati n = 77	Paraparaumu n = 154	Waikanae n = 134	Te Horo n = 10	Ōtaki n = 50	Not answered n = 191	Outside of Kāpiti n = 24	
Individual	91%	87%	91%	84%	93%	88%	88%	93%	100%	82%	81%	88%	87%
	48	97	160	279	28	68	136	125	10	41	155	21	584
Organisation	0%	1%	1%	9%	0%	1%	3%	4%	0%	4%	8%	4%	5%
	0	1	1	29	0	1	5	6	0	2	16	1	31
Not answered	9%	12%	8%	7%	7%	10%	8%	2%	0%	14%	10%	8%	8%
	5	13	14	23	2	8	13	3	0	7	20	2	55

A person is working at a desk. In the foreground, their hands are typing on a laptop keyboard. To the left, a monitor displays several charts and graphs, including a 'Marketing Chart' with a bar chart and a line graph. The background is slightly blurred, showing a desk with a pen holder and some papers. The entire image has a blue overlay.

# PublicVoice Limited

[www.publicvoice.co.nz](http://www.publicvoice.co.nz)