

# Further submission in support of, or in opposition to, submission on notified proposed plan change



## About preparing a further submission on a proposed plan change

### You must use the prescribed form

- Clause 8, Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) requires further submissions to be on the prescribed form.*
- The prescribed form is set out in Form 6, Schedule 1 of the Resource Management (Forms, Fees, and Procedure) Regulations 2003.*
- This template is based on Form 6. While you do not have to use this template, your submission must be in accordance with Form 6.*

### Certain persons may make further submissions

- Under clause 8, Schedule 1 of the RMA the following persons may make a further submission, in the prescribed form, on a proposed plan to the relevant local authority:*
  - any person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest*
  - any person that has an interest in the proposed policy statement or plan greater than the interest that the general public has*
  - the local authority itself.*
- You will need to explain why you meet one of these categories (space is provided in the form for this below).*

### Your further submission and contact details will be made publicly available

- Section 352 of the RMA allows you to choose your email to be your address for service. If you select this option, you can also request your postal address be withheld from being publicly available. To choose this option please tick the relevant boxes below.*

### Note to person making the submission

- A copy of your further submission must be served on the original submitter within 5 working days after it is served on the local authority (Kāpiti Coast District Council).*

### Reasons why a further submission may be struck out

- Please note that your further submission (or part of your further submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the further submission (or part of the further submission):*
- it is frivolous or vexatious*
  - it discloses no reasonable or relevant case*
  - it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further*
  - it contains offensive language*
  - it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.*

## To Kāpiti Coast District Council

Further Submission in Support of (or Opposition to) a Submission on Proposed Plan Change to the Operative Kāpiti Coast District Plan 2021

Plan Change Number: 1L

Plan Change Name: Maclean Park Paraparaumu Beach to rezone from Natural Open Space to Open Space Zone (Recreation Precinct)

**Further submitter details**

Full name of person making further submission:	Karen Harkness
Contact person (name and designation, if applicable):	
Postal address (or alternative method of service under section 352 of the RMA):	[REDACTED]
Telephone:	
Electronic address for service of person making further submission (i.e. email):	kandiharkness@hotmail.com
I would like my address for service to be my email [select box if applicable]	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
I have selected email as my address for service, and I would also like my postal address withheld from being publicly available [select box if applicable]	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

**State whether you are [select appropriate box]**

a person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest.	<input type="checkbox"/>
<i>In this case, also please specify the grounds for saying that you come within this category:</i> I am an affected party in an ongoing Resource Consent for the Kapiti Gateway RC210149	
a person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has.	<input type="checkbox"/>
<i>In this case, also please explain the grounds for saying that you come within this category:</i> I am a resident at Paraparaumu Beach I live within the Paraparaumu Beach village community and use the park on a regular basis	
the local authority for the relevant area.	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Scope of further submission**

I support <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> oppose <input type="checkbox"/> the submission of: [select the appropriate wording]
Original Submitter's Name and Address for Service: Frederick John Davey fdavey@actix.co.nz
Submission number of original submission: S2

I seek that *[select appropriate box]*

The whole  or part  of the original submission be allowed  disallowed

*[describe precisely which part below]*

All of the submission be allowed.

*Continue on a separate sheet if necessary*

**Hearing Submissions** *[select appropriate box]*

I wish to be heard in support of my further submission.

I do not wish to be heard in support of my further submission.

*If you wish to be heard, please tick one of the following*

If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.

If others make a similar submission, I will not consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.

*KATHERINE*

Signature of person making a further submission  
(or person authorised to sign on behalf  
of person making further submission)

*6<sup>th</sup> Oct 2022*

Date

*A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.*

Email your further submission to [district.planning@kapiticoast.govt.nz](mailto:district.planning@kapiticoast.govt.nz) or post/deliver to:

Attn: District Planning Team  
Kāpiti Coast District Council  
175 Rimu Road  
Paraparaumu 5032

For office use only

Further submission No:

5

**Particular parts of the submission I support (or oppose) are:**

*Clearly indicate which parts of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal. While it is not a requirement, it would be helpful if you could state the submission point number as listed in the summary of decisions requested document.*

*Para 1. Completely agree – I strongly oppose the plan to rezone Maclean Park to Open Space (Recreation Precinct). This proposal should be deleted.*

*I support this submission in its entirety as I specifically object to the following policies*

**Policy OSZ- R5**

I object to the building increasing from 6 metres to 8 metres tall

I object to the maximum building coverage being increased from 2% to 5%

I object to the maximum gross floor area increasing from 350 square metres to

500 square metres

**Policy OSZ-R8**

I object to this policy as Retail should NOT be part of this park

*Continue on a separate sheet if necessary*

**The reasons for my support (or opposition) are:**

*[give reasons]*

I support this applications for these reasons:

I live within a one minute walk to the park which I use on a daily basis

~~I live across the road from the park-~~

I live within a five minute walk of the park and I and my family and friends use it on a regular basis

I enjoy the natural open space of the park.

I value the park as it is and do not want to see it destroyed by more buildings etc

*Continue on a separate sheet if necessary*

**From:** [Kandi Harkness](#)  
**To:** [Mailbox - District Planning](#)  
**Subject:** Fwd: Attached Image  
**Date:** Thursday, 6 October 2022 12:51:23 pm  
**Attachments:** [0335\\_001.pdf](#)

---

Please find attached

---

**From:** sccpscanner@gmail.com <sccpscanner@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, 6 October 2022 12:55 pm  
**To:** Karen Harkness <Karen@scevents.co.nz>  
**Subject:** Attached Image