## Further submission in support of, or in opposition to, submission on notified proposed plan change



## About preparing a further submission on a proposed plan change

You must use the prescribed form

- <u>Clause 8</u>, Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) requires further submissions to be on the prescribed form.
- The prescribed form is set out in <u>Form 6</u>, Schedule 1 of the Resource Management (Forms, Fees, and Procedure) Regulations 2003.
- This template is based on Form 6. While you do not have to use this template, your submission must be in accordance with Form 6.

Certain persons may make further submissions

- Under clause 8, Schedule 1 of the RMA the following persons may make a further submission, in the prescribed form, on a proposed plan to the relevant local authority:
  - any person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest
  - any person that has an interest in the proposed policy statement or plan greater than the interest that the general public has
  - o the local authority itself.
- You will need to explain why you meet one of these categories (space is provided in the form for this below).

Your further submission and contact details will be made publicly available  <u>Section 352</u> of the RMA allows you to choose your email to be your address for service. If you select this option, you can also request your postal address be withheld from being publicly available. To choose this option please tick the relevant boxes below.

Note to person making the submission  A copy of your further submission must be served on the original submitter within 5 working days after it is served on the local authority (Kāpiti Coast District Council).

Reasons why a further submission may be struck out

Please note that your further submission (or part of your further submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the further submission (or part of the further submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further
- it contains offensive language
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

To Kāpiti Coast District Council

Further Submission in Support of (or Opposition to) a Submission on Proposed Plan Change to the Operative Kapiti Coast District Plan 2021

Plan Change Number:	
Plan Change Name:	

Further submitter details	
Full name of person making further submission: Kirsty & Stare Dayle	
Contact person (name and designation, if applicable):	
Postal address (or alternative method of service under section 352 of the RMA):	***************************************
Telephone: 02/1020058	
Electronic address for service of person making further submission (i.e. email):	
Skedayleagmail.com	
I would like my address for service to be my email [select box if applicable]	V
I have selected email as my address for service, and I would also like my postal address withheld from being publicly available [select box if applicable]	H
State whether you are [select appropriate box]	
a person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest.	П
a person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general	
public has.	1
In this case, also please explain the grounds for saying that you come within this category  We curely reside on Rohui Road.	
the local authority for the relevant area.	
Scope of further submission	
I support oppose the submission of: [select the appropriate wording]	
Original Submitter's Name and Address for Service:  Sri Gazula - Srigazula 89 mail. Con	
Submission number of original submission:	

3 OF 4

## Particular parts of the submission I support (or oppose) are:

Clearly indicate which parts of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal. While it is not a requirement, it would be helpful if you could state the submission point number as listed in the summary of decisions requested document.

We oppose the submission made by Sri Gazula to request to change Rāhui Road from Rural Production to General Residential.

Within that submission, one of the reasons for the proposed change of Rahui Road, to General Residential was that it doesn't make sense to keep Rahui Road as Rural when it is much closer to the Main Road and Railway Station than other proposed areas that are being considered to be rezoned as General Residential,

A comparison was also made and questions raised as to why Rahui will not be changed when County Road has been and questions raised about land ownership and developer lobbying. We do not see why this is significant in the submitted proposal as we could also raise the question and ask why Te Roto Road is not within the submitters sights when this is closer still to the Main Road and Railway Station.

Continue on a separate sheet if necessary

## The reasons for my support (or opposition) are:

We, and I believe a number of residents on Rahui Road are opposed to being rezoned to General Residential. We believe that Rahui Road is an important green space within central Ōtaki, where many, many people exercise on foot, bikes and horses. With the fabulous Ōtaki Race Course, the redevelopment of Winstones Lake and the Friends of the River, Riverwalk this whole area will be treasured green space and we believe that it should remain this way.

Some years ago when due to high foot traffic for safety the KCDC put a footpath within the berm their reasoning for not putting in full concrete paths was to retain the rural character. We feel the same way.

There are a number of other reasons that we should remain Rural. Some selfish, we love where we live and have lived here for over ½ our lives. If Rahui Road is changed to General Residential will we be able to afford to live here, will the permitted land use change so we can't own / keep / do what we are currently allowed to do?

There is an abundance of wildlife that lives within our property and a River running through the back that needs to be protected. There is significant work currently being done to bring these waterways back to full health, housing intensification will not help with this process. We must also add that a large part of Rahui Road is in a ponding zone, so how can we be rezoned?

I seek that [select appropriate box]
The whole or part of the original submission be allowed disallowed.
[describe precisely which part below]
We propose that Sri Gazula request to change Rāhui Road from Rural Production to General Residential be disallowed.
Residential be disallowed.
Continue on a separate sheet if necessary
Hearing Submissions [select appropriate box]
I wish to be heard in support of my further submission.
I do not wish to be heard in support of my further submission.
If you wish to be heard, please tick one of the following
If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.
If others make a similar submission, I will not consider presenting a joint case with them at a
hearing.
$n^2$ in $n$
Klade 24th Navember 2022
Signature of person making a further submission (or person authorised to sign on behalf
of person making further submission)
A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.
The state of the found in you make your submission by electronic means.
Email your further submission to district planning Objective to
Email your further submission to district.planning@kapiticoast.govt.nz or post/deliver to:  For office use only
Further submission No:
Attn: District Planning Team S243.FS.1

175 Rimu Road Paraparaumu 5032 From: <u>Kirsty Doyle</u>

To: Mailbox - District Planning
Cc: sri.gazula@gmail.com

**Subject:** Opposition to, Submission on notified proposed plan change.

**Date:** Thursday, 24 November 2022 3:44:01 pm

Attachments: scan 20221124022540.pdf

Please find attached our opposition to a proposed plan change. Property owners - S and K E Doyle

Rahui Road, Otaki from Rural to General Residential.

Regards, Kirsty