Submission on notified proposal for plan change



About preparing a submission on a proposed plan change

You must use the prescribed form

- <u>Clause 6</u>, Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) requires submissions to be on the prescribed form.
- The prescribed form is set out in <u>Form 5</u>, Schedule 1 of the Resource Management (Forms, Fees, and Procedure) Regulations 2003.
- This template is based on Form 5. While you do not have to use this template, your submission must be in accordance with Form 5.

Your submission and contact details will be made publicly available

- In accordance with <u>clause 7</u> of Schedule 1 of the RMA, the Council will make a summary of your submission publicly available. The contact details you provide will also be made publicly available, because under <u>clause 8A</u> of Schedule 1 of the RMA any further submission supporting or opposing your submission must be forwarded to you by the submitter (as well as being sent to Council).
- <u>Section 352</u> of the RMA allows you to choose your email to be your address for service. If you select this option, you can also request your postal address be withheld from being publicly available. To choose this option please tick the relevant boxes below.

Reasons why a submission may be struck out Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- o it is frivolous or vexatious
- o it discloses no reasonable or relevant case
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further
- o it contains offensive language
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

To Kāpiti Coast District Council

Submission on Proposed Plan Change 2 to the Operative Kapiti Coast District Plan 2021

Su	bm	itter	de	tail	S
	-		Name and Address of the Owner, where the Owner, which is the Owner, where the Owner, which is the Owner, where the Owner, which is the Owner, whic	-	

Full name of submitter: Mary Dorothy Pritchard

Contact person (name and designation, if applicable):

Postal address (or alternative method of service under section 352 of the RMA):

Telephone: 0292 318115

Electronic address for service of submitter (i.e. email): admineseoniz. CoonZ

address withheld from being publicly available [select box if applicable] Scope of submission The specific provisions of the proposed plan change that my submission relates to are: glive details] Opposition to the Council utilising the report, Käpiti Coast Coastal Hazards Susceptibility and Vulnerability sassessment Report Volume 2: Results (Jacobs Volume 2) as a basis for the Coastal Qualifying Matter Precinct (QMP). Opposition to the Council's interpretation and application of NZCPS Policy 24 (Hazard identification) and Policy 2 utility on, use, and development in areas of coastal hazard risk). Opposition to the Council's interpretation and application of section 6 of the RMA. Opposition to the inconsistent approach the Council has adopted to providing for a qualifying area based on tential for coastal erosion.	I would like my	address for service to be my small (as to the	
Scope of submission The specific provisions of the proposed plan change that my submission relates to are: glive details] Opposition to the Council utilising the report, Käpiti Coast Coastal Hazards Susceptibility and Vulnerability seasurent Report Volume 2: Results (Jacobs Volume 2) as a basis for the Coastal Qualifying Matter Precinct 20MP). Opposition to the Council's interpretation and application of NZCPS Policy 24 (Hazard identification) and Policy 2 ubdivision, use, and development in areas of coastal hazard risk). Opposition to the Council's interpretation and application of section 6 of the RMA. Opposition to the inconsistent approach the Council has adopted to providing for a qualifying area based on tential for coastal erosion.	I have selected	small as must be to be my email [select box if applicable]	V
The specific provisions of the proposed plan change that my submission relates to are: give details! Opposition to the Council utilising the report, Käpiti Coast Coastal Hazards Susceptibility and Vulnerability sessment Report Volume 2: Results (Jacobs Volume 2) as a basis for the Coastal Qualifying Matter Precinct 20MP). Opposition to the Council's interpretation and application of NZCPS Policy 24 (Hazard identification) and Policy 2 ubdivision, use, and development in areas of coastal hazard risk). Opposition to the Council's interpretation and application of section 6 of the RMA. Opposition to the inconsistent approach the Council has adopted to providing for a qualifying area based on tential for coastal erosion.	address withhal	d from being multiple	
The specific provisions of the proposed plan change that my submission relates to are: give details Opposition to the Council utilising the report, Kapiti Coast Coastal Hazards Susceptibility and Vulnerability sessment Report Volume 2: Results (Jacobs Volume 2) as a basis for the Coastal Qualifying Matter Precinct CAMP). Opposition to the Council's interpretation and application of NZCPS Policy 24 (Hazard identification) and Policy 2 ubdivision, use, and development in areas of coastal hazard risk). Opposition to the Council's interpretation and application of section 6 of the RMA. Opposition to the inconsistent approach the Council has adopted to providing for a qualifying area based on tential for coastal erosion.	The state of the s	a from being publicly available [select box if applicable]	
The specific provisions of the proposed plan change that my submission relates to are: give details Opposition to the Council utilising the report, Kapiti Coast Coastal Hazards Susceptibility and Vulnerability sessment Report Volume 2: Results (Jacobs Volume 2) as a basis for the Coastal Qualifying Matter Precinct CAMP). Opposition to the Council's interpretation and application of NZCPS Policy 24 (Hazard identification) and Policy 2 ubdivision, use, and development in areas of coastal hazard risk). Opposition to the Council's interpretation and application of section 6 of the RMA. Opposition to the inconsistent approach the Council has adopted to providing for a qualifying area based on tential for coastal erosion.	Scope of subm	ission	
Opposition to the Council utilising the report, Kāpīti Coast Coastal Hazards Susceptibility and Vulnerability sasssment Report Volume 2: Results (Jacobs Volume 2) as a basis for the Coastal Qualifying Matter Precinct CQMP). Opposition to the Council's interpretation and application of NZCPS Policy 24 (Hazard Identification) and Policy 2 ubdivision, use, and development in areas of coastal hazard risk). Opposition to the Council's interpretation and application of section 6 of the RMA. Opposition to the inconsistent approach the Council has adopted to providing for a qualifying area based on tential for coastal erosion.	The specific prov	visions of the proposed plan change that my submission relates to are	
Opposition to the Council's interpretation and application of NZCPS Policy 24 (Hazard identification) and Policy 2 ubdivision, use, and development in areas of coastal hazard risk). Opposition to the Council's interpretation and application of section 6 of the RMA. Opposition to the inconsistent approach the Council has adopted to providing for a qualifying area based on tential for coastal erosion.			
Opposition to the Council's interpretation and application of section 6 of the RMA. Opposition to the inconsistent approach the Council has adopted to providing for a qualifying area based on tential for coastal erosion.	ssessment Report CQMP).	Council utilising the report, Kāpiti Coast Coastal Hazards Susceptibility and Vulnera Volume 2: Results (Jacobs Volume 2) as a basis for the Coastal Qualifying Matter	ability Precinct
Opposition to the inconsistent approach the Council has adopted to providing for a qualifying area based on tential for coastal erosion.	Opposition to the Gubdivision, use, an	Council's interpretation and application of NZCPS Policy 24 (Hazard identification) and development in areas of coastal hazard risk).	and Policy 28
	Opposition to the (Council's interpretation and application of section 6 of the RMA.	
	Opposition to the in otential for coastal e	nconsistent approach the Council has adopted to providing for a qualifying area baserosion.	sed on
	9	Continue on a separate sheet in	

Submission	
My submission is: [include whether you support or oppose the specific providence amended; and reasons for your views]	isions or wish to have them
I support CRU's submission.	
a)	
	• •
Continue on a	separate sheet if necessary

Leack the fallendard to the	
I seek the following decision from the Kāpiti Co	oast District Council: [give precise details]
a) Delete the proposed CQMP which has been based on	
b) Replace the proposed CQMP with a new enlarged are and policies already addressed in the District Plan.	a CQMP based on further advancing the NZCPS objectives
i. As a minimum, CQMP to include all land identified as the maps.	ne "Adaptation Area" in the Takutai Kāpiti GIS Map Viewer
ii. Alternately, if the Council chooses instead to base the cinclude only that land and those properties that are currer 'relocatable' coastal hazard zones.	CQMP on coastal hazard identification, CQMP should ntly identified in the District Plan as within the 'no build' and
iii. The alternative above at (ii) is not CRU's preferred app defensible approach given the Council's failure to have im proposed coastal hazard provisions under NZCPS 2010 v	Injemented NZCDS Policy 24 by
c) Such further or consequential relief as is required to give	e effect to this submission.
	Continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Hearing Submissions [select appropriate box]	
I wish to be heard in support of my submission.	
I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission.	V
If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case	se with them at a hearing.
If others make a similar submission, I will not consider presenting a joint	t case with them at a hearing.
14th Septemb	per 2022
Signature of Submitter Date	
(or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter)	
A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic me	eans.
Trade Competition [select the appropriate wording] If you are a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition to make a submission may be limited by clause 6(4) of Part 1 of Sched Management Act 1991. I could / I could not ✓ gain an advantage in trade competition the submission of the s	ule 1 of the Resource
If you could gain an advantage in trade competition through this s	
n you <u>board</u> gain an advantage in trade bompetition in bught this s	
the following:	and the second section of the second
the following:	
I am / I am not directly affected by an effect of the subject ma	
	atter of the submission that—
I am / I am not directly affected by an effect of the subject ma (a) adversely affects the environment; and	atter of the submission that—
I am / I am not directly affected by an effect of the subject ma (a) adversely affects the environment; and (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade	atter of the submission that— competition.
I am / I am not directly affected by an effect of the subject ma (a) adversely affects the environment; and	atter of the submission that—
I am / I am not directly affected by an effect of the subject material (a) adversely affects the environment; and (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade Email your submission to district.planning@kapiticoast.govt.nz or post/deliver to:	competition. For office use only
I am / I am not directly affected by an effect of the subject ma (a) adversely affects the environment; and (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade Email your submission to district.planning@kapiticoast.govt.nz or	competition. For office use only Submission No:
I am / I am not directly affected by an effect of the subject ma (a) adversely affects the environment; and (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade Email your submission to district.planning@kapiticoast.govt.nz or post/deliver to: Attn: District Planning Team	competition. For office use only Submission No:

From: Mary Pritchard

To: Mailbox - District Planning

Subject: Submission on Proposed Plan Change 2 to the Operative Kapiti Coast District Plan 2021

Date: Wednesday, 14 September 2022 8:58:26 pm

Attachments: CCE14092022.pdf

Hi District Planning Team,

Attached submission on Proposed Plan Change.

Mary Pritchard