## Comparison Table for Top MCDA Scored Pathway vs Top Economics Ranked Pathway

The management units that have a grey background show where the top pathway is also the top economically ranked pathway across all the economic metrics. Those with a light blue background is where the pathways differ between the MCDA and the economic metrics <u>or</u> where there are differences in rankings across all the economic metrics.

## **Erosion Management Units**

Unit	Top MCDA Scoring Pathway	Top Economic Ranked Pathway	Explanatory Notes
1A	Pathway 5 (87)	Pathway 5 (87)	All pathways within similar order of magnitude.
2A	Pathway 5 (87)	Pathway 5 (87) / Pathway 4 (74)	Pathway 4 has lower 'Cost + Loss' due to the lower cost of the pathway, and same 'Damages Avoided' as Pathway 5. The differences between the 'Cost + Loss' is small (<\$2 mill).
ЗА	Pathway 5 (87)	Pathway 1 (69)	Pathway 1 has more favourable economic metrics because of lower pathway costs (due to retreat of high number of properties in Pathway 5); however Pathway 1 is less effective than the other two pathways for reducing exposure to private property.
4A	Pathway 1 (72)	Pathway 1 (72)	
5A	Pathway 1 (71)	Pathway 1 (71)	
6AB	Pathway 5 (86)	Pathway 5 (86)	
8A	Pathway 1 (68)	Pathway 1 (68)	
9A	Pathway 4 (53)	Pathway 6 (52)/ Pathway 2 (52)	Pathway 2 ranks highest for 'Damages Avoided', Pathway 6 ranks highest for 'Cost + Loss' and 'Value for Money'. <b>However</b> , all economic metrics are within the same order of magnitude and only small differences change the rankings.
10A	Pathway 2 (57)	Pathway 2 (57)	
11A	Pathway 1/Pathway 3 (63)	Pathway 1/ Pathway 4 (58)	Pathway 1 ranks highest for 'Cost + Loss' and 'Value for Money', Pathway 4 ranks highest for 'Damages Avoided' because of higher number of potential properties effected being retreat. <b>However, '</b> Damages Avoided' are very similar between Pathway 1 and Pathway 4.
12A	Pathway 3 (63)	Pathway 4 (61) / Pathway 3 (63) / Pathway 2 (54)	All pathways are within the same order of magnitude, only small differences change the rankings in the economic metrics.

## **Inundation Management Units**

Unit	Top MCDA Scoring Pathway	Top Economic Ranked Pathway	Explanatory Notes
1B	Pathway 3 (83)	Pathway 1 (62)	Significant difference in cost between Pathway 3 and Pathway 1 due to the retreat of large number of properties in Pathway 3.
2B	Pathway 3 (83)	Pathway 1 (64) / Pathway 2 (64)	Pathway 1 ranks highest in 'Cost + Loss' because the of this pathway is much cheaper than the other pathways (due to not having retreat).
			Pathway 2 ranks highest in 'Damages Avoided', however only by a small amount (\$300k) compared to the other two pathways.
3B	Pathway 3 (85)	Pathway 1 (64)	Pathway 1 ranks highest economically due to its much lower (as it does not include retreat), and is assumed to be similar effectiveness for private property. <b>However</b> , it does have a much lower MCDA compared to Pathway 3.
4B	Pathway 1 (69)	Pathway 1 (69) / Pathway 2 (64)	Pathway 1 ranks highest across all economic metrics except for 'Damages Avoided'; Pathway 2 has higher 'Damages Avoided', but only marginally (\$100k).
5B	Pathway 5 (62)	Pathway 3 (59)	Both pathways have the same 'Damages Avoided', but Pathway 3 is more favourable economically as pathway does not include retreat of large number of properties.
7B	Pathway 2 (65)	Pathway 2 (65) / Pathway 4 (56)	Pathway 2 ranks highest except for 'Damages Avoided', where Pathway 4 is twice as much 'Damages Avoided', however they are still in same order of magnitude.
8B	Pathway 3 (62)	Pathway 3 (62)	Pathway 4 and Pathway 5 rank 1= for 'Damages avoided', but only by small amount (\$100k) higher than Pathway 3.
9B	Pathway 2 (55)	Pathway 2 (55) / Pathway 3 (51)	Pathway 2 ranks highest for 'Damages Avoided'; Pathway 3 ranks highest for 'Cost + Loss' and 'Value for Money' as it is a lower cost pathway.
11B	Pathway 2 (59)	Pathway 2 (59)	