

Further submission in support of, or in opposition to, submission on notified proposed plan change



About preparing a further submission on a proposed plan change

You must use the prescribed form

- [Clause 8](#), Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) requires further submissions to be on the prescribed form.
- The prescribed form is set out in [Form 6](#), Schedule 1 of the Resource Management (Forms, Fees, and Procedure) Regulations 2003.
- This template is based on Form 6. While you do not have to use this template, your submission must be in accordance with Form 6.

Certain persons may make further submissions

- Under clause 8, Schedule 1 of the RMA the following persons may make a further submission, in the prescribed form, on a proposed plan to the relevant local authority:
 - any person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest
 - any person that has an interest in the proposed policy statement or plan greater than the interest that the general public has
 - the local authority itself.
- You will need to explain why you meet one of these categories (space is provided in the form for this below).

Your further submission and contact details will be made publicly available

- [Section 352](#) of the RMA allows you to choose your email to be your address for service. If you select this option, you can also request your postal address be withheld from being publicly available. To choose this option please tick the relevant boxes below.

Note to person making the submission

- A copy of your further submission must be served on the original submitter within 5 working days after it is served on the local authority (Kāpiti Coast District Council).

Reasons why a further submission may be struck out

Please note that your further submission (or part of your further submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the further submission (or part of the further submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further
- it contains offensive language
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

To Kāpiti Coast District Council

Further Submission in Support of (or Opposition to) a Submission on Proposed Plan Change to the Operative Kāpiti Coast District Plan 2021

Plan Change Number: Plan Change 2

Plan Change Name: Intensification

Further submitter details

Full name of person making further submission: Greater Wellington Regional Council, Matthew Hickman
Contact person (name and designation, if applicable): Mika Zollner
Postal address (or alternative method of service under section 352 of the RMA): PO Box 11646 Manners Street Wellington 6142
Telephone: 021 226 7336
Electronic address for service of person making further submission (i.e. email): mika.zollner@gw.govt.nz
I would like my address for service to be my email <i>[select box if applicable]</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
I have selected email as my address for service, and I would also like my postal address withheld from being publicly available <i>[select box if applicable]</i> <input type="checkbox"/>

State whether you are *[select appropriate box]*

a person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest.	<input type="checkbox"/>
<i>In this case, also please specify the grounds for saying that you come within this category</i>	
a person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has.	<input type="checkbox"/>
<i>In this case, also please explain the grounds for saying that you come within this category</i>	
the local authority for the relevant area.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Scope of further submission

I support <input type="checkbox"/> oppose <input type="checkbox"/> the submission of: <i>[select the appropriate wording]</i>
Original Submitter's Name and Address for Service: See attachment.
Submission number of original submission:

Particular parts of the submission I support (or oppose) are:

Clearly indicate which parts of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal. While it is not a requirement, it would be helpful if you could state the submission point number as listed in the summary of decisions requested document.

See attachment.

Continue on a separate sheet if necessary

The reasons for my support (or opposition) are:

[give reasons]

See attachment.

Continue on a separate sheet if necessary

I seek that [select appropriate box]

The whole ☐ or part ☐ of the original submission be allowed ☐ disallowed ☐

[describe precisely which part below]

See attachment.

Continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Hearing Submissions [select appropriate box]

I wish to be heard in support of my further submission.



I do not wish to be heard in support of my further submission.



If you wish to be heard, please tick one of the following

If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.



If others make a similar submission, I will not consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.



Signature of person making a further submission
(or person authorised to sign on behalf
of person making further submission)

Date

A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.

Email your further submission to district.planning@kapiticoast.govt.nz or
post/deliver to:

Attn: District Planning Team
Kāpiti Coast District Council
175 Rimu Road
Paraparaumu 5032

For office use only

Further submission No:
S097.FS.1

Proposed Change 2 (PC2) to the Operative Kāpiti Coast District Plan - Further submission points table

Submitter Name: Greater Wellington Regional Council

Submission point/s	Submitter Address/Email	Support or Oppose	The particular parts of the submission I support or oppose are:	The reasons for my support or opposition are:	Allow or disallow	I seek that the whole or part (describe part) of the submission be allowed or disallowed:
S053.01, S053.08, S053.09 Waka Kotahi	EnvironmentalPlanning@nzta.govt.nz	Support	The submitter seeks a number of amendments to recognise the significance of accessibility to all modes of transport for areas of intensification to achieving well- functioning urban environments.	Greater Wellington support the changes requested by Waka Kotahi to ensure appropriate consideration and provision of accessibility to all modes of transport, particularly active and public transport.	Allow	Greater Wellington seek that the amendments proposed to DO-O3, GRZ-P9 and MCZ-P2 are adopted.
S100.04 Ātiawa ki Whakarongotai	claire@tekona.co.nz	Support	Submitter supports DO-Ox2 urban development objective but notes that the scale of development needs to be planned and delivered in a way that recognises the rangatiratanga of hapū and iwi in relation to their land and water. Any policy in relation to catchments and water also needs to be consistent with the hierarchy of obligations of Te Mana o te Wai.	Greater Wellington agree with Ātiawa ki Whakarongotai and support the requested relief as this has regard to Proposed RPS Change 1.	Allow	Retain DO-Ox2 as notified and ensure that the supporting policies and rules provide for the relationship of Ātiawa ki Whakarongotai with their land, water, sites and areas of significance, papakāinga and marae and policies that relate to catchments reflect Te Mana o te Wai.
S100.07, S100.08, S100.09, S100.10, S100.12, S100.13, S100.14 Ātiawa ki Whakarongotai	claire@tekona.co.nz	Support	The submitter opposes the amendments to DO-O3, DO-O11 and UFD-P2 in how amenity values are addressed. In particular Ātiawa ki Whakarongotai state that under Section 2 of the RMA, amenity values includes cultural values and cultural values must also be provided for as required by Section 6. The submitter opposes a number of amendments which reduce the level of protection of amenity values and seeks to ensure that the Plan Change adequately provides for cultural values as required under Section 6.	The Operative RPS and Proposed RPS Change 1 seek to provide for the relationship of mana whenua with their ancestral lands, water, sites, wāhi tapu and other taonga. Greater Wellington wishes to ensure that amendments to provisions do not affect how the District Plan recognises and provides for section 6(e).	Allow	Part. Greater Wellington seeks that amendments proposed by Plan Change 2 do not undermine the protection of cultural values in the District Plan and that section 6(e) requirements are adequately provided for, while recognising that amenity values change over time as required by the NPS-UD. We seek for cultural values to be adequately protected through other means in the District Plan if the proposed amendments regarding amenity values are pursued.
S100.20, S100.40, S100.41 Ātiawa ki Whakarongotai	claire@tekona.co.nz	Support	Ātiawa ki Whakarongotai seek to enable papakāinga in all zones as it is inappropriate to exclude zones due their treaty settlement not yet being finalised.	Greater Wellington support provisions that would enable the development of papakāinga as this has regard to Proposed RPS Change 1, specifically Policy UD.2.	Allow	Include provision to enable the development of papakāinga in the Metropolitan Centre Zone, Local Centre Zone and Mixed Use Zone.
S100.43 Ātiawa ki Whakarongotai	claire@tekona.co.nz	Support	Ātiawa ki Whakarongotai seek the definition of ancestral land is amended as follows: means land that belonged to tipuna/tupuna <u>means land where tangata whenua have an undisturbed collective whakapapa relationship</u>	Greater Wellington support the proposed definition.	Allow	Amend the definition of ancestral land as requested.
S122.05, S122.06, S122.07, S122.08,	Developmentplanning@kaingaora.govt.nz	Oppose	Kāinga Ora seek a number of amendment to provide for greater development capacity, including:	Greater Wellington opposes enabling further intensified	Disallow	Greater Wellington seek that additional provisions are included to give effect to the

S122.09, S122.48, S122.58, S122.59, S122.62, S122.65, S122.106, S122.116, S122.121, S122.122, S122.126, S122.133, S122.137, S122.145, S122.147, S122.150, S122.159, S122.160 Kāinga Ora			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Increasing the proposed maximum height limits in Metropolitan Centre, Town Centre, Local Centre and Mixed Use zones with additional higher limits within walkable catchments. Rezoning the spatial extent and properties zoned General Residential Zone to Medium Density Residential Zone. Rezoning the spatial extent and properties subject to the Residential Intensification Precincts to High Density Residential Rezoning properties within 400m of a Local Centre Zone as Medium Density Residential 	development unless there are the necessary controls to manage potential effects of water bodies and freshwater ecosystems to give effect to the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management and have regard to Proposed RPS Change 1, in particular Objective 12.		National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management and have regard to Proposed RPS Change 1 to manage the effects of urban development on freshwater.
S122.71 Kāinga Ora	Developmentplanning@kaingaora.govt.nz	Oppose	Kāinga Ora seek to remove reference to flood hazard mapping within the District Plan and identify all flood mapping as a non-statutory document.	Greater Wellington disagree with the submitter that the flood hazard maps should be removed from the District Plan and instead be held in a non-statutory document.	Disallow	Greater Wellington seek that all flood hazard maps are included in the District Plan.
S161.01, S161.04, S161.12, S161.20, S161.25 Te Rūnanga o Toa Rangatira on behalf of Ngāti Toa Rangatira	Onur.oktem@ngatitoa.iwi.nz	Support	Ngāti Toa seek several amendments to ensure that development occurs in areas where three water infrastructure is available with sufficient capacity to cater for that additional demand.	Greater Wellington also seek to ensure that development occurs where it can be appropriately served by three waters infrastructure and that infrastructure is planned and provided for in an integrated manner either ahead of, or when development occurs.	Allow	Greater Wellington seek amendments to ensure that development only occurs where adequate infrastructure is available to serve the demand.
S161.02, S161.10, S161.11, S161.23, S161.24, S161.38 Te Rūnanga o Toa Rangatira on behalf of Ngāti Toa Rangatira	Onur.oktem@ngatitoa.iwi.nz	Support	Ngāti Toa raise concerns over amendments that reduce the level of protection or maintenance of amenity values which include cultural values. Ngāti Toa seek that the proposed language which weakens the level of protection for amenity values is amended to ensure those values are actively maintained and not just considered but recognised and provided for.	The Operative RPS and Proposed RPS Change 1 seek to provide for the relationship of Mana whenua / tangata whenua with their ancestral lands, water, sites, wāhi tapu and other taonga. Greater Wellington wishes to ensure that amendments to provisions do not affect how the District Plan recognises and provides for section 6(e).	Allow	Part. Greater Wellington seeks that amendments proposed by Plan Change 2 do not undermine the protection of cultural values in the District Plan and that section 6(e) requirements are adequately provided for, while recognising that amenity values change over time as required by the NPS-UD. We seek for cultural values to be adequately protected through other means in the District Plan if the proposed amendments regarding amenity values are pursued.
S161.16 Te Rūnanga o Toa Rangatira on behalf of Ngāti Toa Rangatira	Onur.oktem@ngatitoa.iwi.nz	Support	The submitters seeks for Plan Change 2 to enable papakāinga in the Metropolitan Centre Zone.	Greater Wellington support provisions that would enable the development of papakāinga as this has regard to Proposed RPS Change 1, specifically Policy UD.2.	Allow	Include provision to enable the development of papakāinga in the Metropolitan Centre Zone.
S161.17 Te Rūnanga o Toa Rangatira on behalf of Ngāti Toa Rangatira	Onur.oktem@ngatitoa.iwi.nz	Support	Submitter seeks the definition of ancestral land is amended as follows: means land that belonged to tipuna/tupuna	Greater Wellington support the proposed definition.	Allow	Amend the definition of ancestral land as requested.

			<u>means land where tangata whenua have an undisturbed collective whakapapa relationship</u>			
S161.48 Te Rūnanga o Toa Rangatira on behalf of Ngāti Toa Rangatira	Onur.oktem@ngatitoa.iwi.nz	Support	Ngāti Toa seek amendments to identify that there will be a policy gap in areas where intensification has been enabled, but where sites and areas of significance to iwi and Māori have yet to be identified in the District Plan.	Greater Wellington seek to ensure all sites are recorded and provisions apply appropriate protection, including on adjoining sites.	Allow	Greater Wellington seek to ensure that all sites of significance are captured in the District Plan and appropriate protections from the effects of intensification are provided, including on properties surrounding those sites.
S203.23 Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki	farrellwhanau@hotmail.co.nz	Support	Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki state that it is inappropriate to exclude potential papakāinga from locations within the rohe as Ngāti Raukawa has not finalised its Treaty Settlement, and seek that papakāinga are provided for in the Metropolitan, Local Centres and Mixed use Zones.	Greater Wellington support provisions that would enable the development of papakāinga as this has regard to Proposed RPS Change 1, specifically Policy UD.2.	Allow	Include provision to enable the development of papakāinga in the Metropolitan Centre Zone, Local Centre Zone and Mixed Use Zone.
S203.49 Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki	farrellwhanau@hotmail.co.nz	Support	Ngā Hapū o Ōtaki seek changes to a number of subdivision rules to specify in the matters of control or discretion, the location of any building area relative to natural wetlands and tangata whenua sites of significance.	Greater Wellington support the proposed amendments as they will ensure effects of subdivision on natural wetlands and sites of significance to tangata whenua are considered at the subdivision consent stage.	Allow	Amend the matters of control or discretion in the subdivision chapter to include consideration of the location of any building area relative to natural wetlands and sites of significance to tangata whenua.
S210.03 A.R.T	Kirsten.hapeta@twor-otaki.ac.nz	Support	A.R.T identifies that mana whenua seek growth that retains their ability for people to live in their own rohe and creates housing to attract people home. A.R.T seek policies and rules that provide for Tino Rangatiratanga in relation to land and water.	Proposed RPS Change 1 seeks enable tangata whenua to exercise their tino rangatiratanga to provide for the ongoing relationship of mana whenua with their ancestral lands. Greater Wellington support A.R.T's submission seeking additional provisions to achieve this.	Allow	Whole.
S210.07, S210.09 A.R.T	Kirsten.hapeta@twor-otaki.ac.nz	Support	A.R.T seek several amendments to provide greater protection to areas of significance to tangata whenua, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Amending provisions associated with the Marae Takiwā to extend further and be more robust. Concerns about a policy gap of enabling further intensification and development without reviewing the schedule of sits and areas of significance to iwi. 	Greater Wellington support these amendments.	Allow	Whole.

From: [Mika Zollner](#)
To: [Mailbox - District Planning](#)
Subject: GWRC Further submission on Plan Change 2
Date: Thursday, 24 November 2022 10:39:54 am
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[GWRC Plan Change 2 Further Submission.pdf](#)
[Form 6.pdf](#)

Ata mārie,

Please see attached Greater Wellington's further submission on the Plan Change 2, and the completed Form 6.

Ngā mihi,

Mika



Mika Zöllner

(she/her)

Kaitohutohu Matua | Senior Policy Advisor – Environmental Policy
0212267336

Greater Wellington Te Pane Matua Taiao

100 Cuba St, Te Aro, Te Whanganui-a-Tara 6011

PO Box 11646, Manners Street, Wellington 6142

Follow us online: [Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#) | [gw.govt.nz](#)

ATTENTION: This correspondence is confidential and intended for the named recipient(s) only. If you are not the named recipient and receive this correspondence in error, you must not copy, distribute or take any action in reliance on it and you should delete it from your system and notify the sender immediately. Unless otherwise stated, any views or opinions expressed are solely those of the author, and do not represent those of the organisation.