

OIR: 2425/1288

12 March 2025

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Tēnā koe [REDACTED],

Request for Information under the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (the Act) (the LGOIMA)

Thank you for your email received **27 February 2025** requesting the following information:

Can you please provide me with the following information:

Data on petitions received by council for the period 01 Jan 2015 - 31 Dec 2024.

- 1. The petition statement***
- 2. The number of signatures received***
- 3. If approved, the date the petition was presented to a council/committee meeting***

If you already present some or all of this information on your website, I am happy to receive links e.g.

[Paper petitions - Petitions - Wellington City Council](#)

[Declined Petitions - Petitions - Wellington City Council](#)

[Closed Petitions - Petitions - Wellington City Council](#)

This information is currently not in an easily accessible format on our website. A search of our records has identified these petitions received within your request parameters.

- Accepted outside the meetings process, 31 January 2015 – **Kaitawa Crescent Residents** petition with 44 signatures.
Statement: “We the undersigned wish to bring to the notice of the local Authority, The Police and the owner of [REDACTED] our wish for the current residents of [REDACTED] to be evicted and more suitable tenants to be retained, or other appropriate measures to be undertaken.

Please note that any information provided in response to your request may be published on the Council website, with your personal details removed.

As a direct result of the tenants moving into [REDACTED], we, the residents, are experiencing unprecedented levels of vandalism, abuse, unacceptable noise and other antisocial behaviour in Kaitawa Crescent and Kaitawa Reserve.

The owner of the premise of [REDACTED] has been informed of the antisocial behaviour of their tenants but believes that this is an isolated opinion and not a view held by other residents of the neighbourhood.

There is an existing clause in the tenancy agreement whereby the tenancy can be terminated if the tenants are undertaking behaviour that unacceptable to the neighbourhood.

We are continually having the amenity of quiet enjoyment of our homes taken away from us, by the antisocial behaviour of the current residents of [REDACTED] and their associates, who carry on a form of existence that is to the detriment of all of the residents as a whole.

We, the other residents wish nothing more than to live their lives peacefully and within the normal bounds of decent behaviour.

Many of the residents that are most effected by the mode of living of these antisocial residents and their associates when those residents and their associates undertake the following:

- Making loud and expletive filled noise while in Kaitawa Reserve, walking between Kaitawa Reserve and their residence at [REDACTED], and in and around their residence at [REDACTED]*
 - Vandalism of property, i.e. letter boxes, phone cables, decorative lighting*
 - Threatening and aggressive behaviour towards other residents*
 - Broken bottles at Kaitawa Reserve and on the road surrounding the reserve*
 - Excessive litter and rubbish being left in and surrounding Kaitawa Reserve*
 - Urinating on other resident's property"*
- Accepted outside the meetings process, dated 23 March 2015 **One Day Auctions/Sales at 206 Kapiti Road** petition with 8 signatures.
Statement: "On Saturday 21st March a one-day auction was held at 206 Kapiti Rd, selling various items to the public. Similar events were held in the past over the last 12 months.*

Parking in the area is simply inadequate to deal with all the vehicles on such a day, with the result that all parking from the above address up to the roundabout were completely congested with no or very little parking available in the street on in any of the parking areas. It should also be noted that many vehicles were parked in areas not demarcated for parking.

Customers of the shops/businesses along the service road consequently had nowhere to park to visit shops, which can and did lead to loss of sales and potential sales.

The retail shop owners in the area certainly have the expectation (and the right) to expect that their customers can park reasonably nearby in the parking areas.

We request that the KCDC attend to this matter in order to prevent similar problems in future."

- Accepted at the 13 October 2015 Ōtaki Community Board Meeting – **Kirk Street Residents** petition with 57 signatures.
Statement: *"We as residents of Kirk Street and the surrounding area agree that speed down Kirk Street is a major issue and that we would like to see preventative action taken to reduce this in the form of speed humps down Kirk Street".*
- Accepted at the 13 April 2017 Council meeting – **Kaitawa Reserve Basketball Court** petition with 61 signatures.
Statement: *"I am [REDACTED], resident of Brookvale village, I am also the [REDACTED] Brookvale village Body Corp.*
Some two years ago a Basketball court was installed at Kaitawa reserve close to the boundary of Kaitawa Reserve and Brookvale village.
This court was installed without Resource consent. I quote Neighbours should have been consulted. Specifically it comes under Notified Resource Consent which is triggered when, " If your application is likely to affect the public or your neighbours, you will need to follow the process for a notified resource consent".
The council have not abided by the resource management act.
Since the court was installed there has been a lot of excessive or unreasonable noise. We have complained about this. I quote from Councils website.
Noise is an inevitable part of living in a community. But with just a little consideration and communication, noise can be managed so we can all live together peacefully.

The Council is able to step in when noise being generated by some members of the community is excessive and causing a disturbance to others.

Excessive noise is any noise that is under human control and of such a nature as to unreasonably interfere with the peace, comfort and convenience of any person. Examples of excessive noise are noise from a loud party (people noise), stereos, band practices, burglar alarms or machinery.

There is no one set level for noise that is acceptable. The level of noise that is acceptable varies according to location of neighbours, time of day, zone you live/work in, presence of sound barriers and the type of noise. The same noise levels during the day may not be acceptable at night.

Noise control

How is noise controlled?

Under the Resource Management Act (1991), Kapiti Coast District Council has the power to control excessive or unreasonable noise. The Council's Environmental Health Officers and Resource Consents Compliance Officers deal with noise issues, and also contract services to Main Security. All these people are trained Noise Control Officers under the Resource Management Act.

The basketball hoop is the main problem, with teenagers constantly bouncing the ball and throwing the ball through the hoop, creating a loud and constant noise all hours of the day, starting as early as 7am and going through until 10pm and sometimes midnight.

As the playground is only a few metres away from the Brookvale Village boundary fence, this noise is heard throughout the Village. This is very upsetting to the Brookvale residents, who have come to live at the village for peace and quiet, especially as there was no consultation with the residents before the hoop was put in place. A few of the residents still work, and being kept awake until midnight on some occasions is not conducive to early morning starts.

The residents of the village plus other residents in the area had a meeting with council staff in April 2016 and were assured that the hoop would be moved and go elsewhere. Nothing has been done to date, and the noise continues morning and night.

At the last meeting of the Paraparaumu and Raumati Community Board the board advised that the COURT WILL NOT BE MOVED.

We have offered many solutions, including relocating the court, which have all been ignored.

This is causing stress and health problems for many residents.

We are not against the youth having these facilities, but the court needs to be relocated.

Consideration is long overdue to those of us who otherwise enjoy living here.

In Conclusion I hereby request that the council relocates the court.

Thank you."

- Accepted at the 21 May 2019 Waikanae Community Board meeting – **Parking for Waikanae Businesses** with 49 signatures.

Statement: "From the time the new Greater Wellington Regional Council carpark was opened on the old hotel site, Kapiti Coast District Council has been issuing car parking infringement tickets in the Waikanae CBD.

Primarily those getting the tickets are the staff working in the Waikanae businesses. This is causing a great deal of stress within the business community.

The only options open to the business community are:

- *Pay the infringement fee of between \$21.00 - \$42.00 per day*
- *Move the car every 3 ½ hours*

The only parks available to staff who work full time in Waikanae are 38 parks alongside the marae (which are usually all gone by 8.30am), Oma hi Street and Parata Street (which are usually all gone by 8.30am), Karu Crescent, Iti Grove, Koromiko Road and Te Moana Road.

We strongly object to the high handed actions of Kapiti Coast District Council and request:

- *That it immediately stop issuing car parking infringement notices in the 4 hour areas until this matter is resolved*
- *That it designates car parks for staff who work in Waikanae CBD*
 - *alongside Marae Lane*
 - *alongside Ngaio Road*

- Accepted at the 23 May 2019 Council meeting – **Low Carbon Kāpiti petition** with 1521 signatures.

Statement: "We're calling on Kāpiti Coast District Council to go 'carbon neutral' as an organisation by 2025 to show leadership in addressing the causes of climate change.

They've already cut their emissions by 75% in seven years, they can cut the last 25% in the next seven by:

- *Using 100% renewable energy – no more fossil fuels*
- *Electrifying their vehicle fleet*
- *Using heat pumps at their swimming pools (instead of gas boilers)*
- *Planting new native forests to absorb the emissions they can't get rid of by other means*

These approaches will both cut emissions and bring a wide range of other benefits to our communities including reducing costs in the medium to long term."

- Accepted at the 9 August 2019 Council meeting – **Local Road Bridge over Waikanae River petition** with 61 signatures.
*"We the undersigned, oppose the Council's proposal to change the status of the road reserve land between 146 and 148 Weggery Drive, Waikanae Beach. We want a local road bridge to be built between Waikanae beach and Otaihanga using this road reserve land.
This road reserve land was gifted by the developer and has been on the -District Plan since 1996 for a local road bridge between Waikanae Beach and Otaihanga as part of a local link road to Kena Kena."*
- Accepted outside of meetings/submissions process 28 August 2019 – **Arawhata Road Pedestrian Crossing between Linwood Drive and Tutanekai Street** petition with 78 signatures.
Statement: *"This is a petition to raise awareness for a Pedestrian Crossing between Arawhata Road and Linwood Road.
Hi am a concerned grandparent who is worried about how dangerous this road has become and following my meeting with Kapiti council they have asked me to gather signatures to help the case."*
- Accepted at the 29 July 2021 Council meeting– **Keep Waikanae Recycling Facility Open** petition with 814 signatures.
Statement: *"From 1 August Kapiti Coast District Council is planning to close the Waikanae recycling facility on Park Ave. The Green waste will close the following year. Providing local facilities within each area of the district is the most effective way to reduce, reuse and recycle. As local people we want to keep and have the local recycling facility enhanced to provide for more types of recycling.
The community has not been effectively consulted on the proposals and the survey on which decisions are made is statistically unreliable. We wish to see the facility remain open on 1st August 2021 and for the council to work with the community from then and through 2022 to agree a long term solution to a recycling facility in Waikanae."*
- Accepted at the 13 September 2022 Ōtaki Community Board meeting – **Seaward Extension Bank – Petition from the Rangiuru Beach Community** petition with 36 signatures.
Statement: *"We the undersigned residents and/or owners of Rangiuru Beach request that GWRC urgently initiate construction of the Seaward Extension Bank, at the southern end of Marine Parade, Otaki Beach".*
- Accepted at the 20 June 2024 Council meeting – **Petition from C.A.L.M.** with 468 signatures.

Statement: *“The Takutai Kapiti/Coastal Advisory Panel process in Kapiti is based on pre-determined outcomes, a flawed process and implausible science. Therefore, we, the undersigned residents and/or ratepayers of the Kapiti Coast district, petition the Council and ask for the following:*

- The Kapiti Coast District Council reject the coastal advisory panel's recommendations report*
 - The Kapiti Coast District Council stop the coastal adaptation pathways process and that no more money is spent”*
- Accepted at the 28 November 2024 Council meeting – **Moy Place Residents Petition** with 45 signatures.

Statement: *“I strongly object, and oppose, any change to the status of the land at the end of Moy Place cul-de-sac, Otaki (Record of Title: 400672 Lot 72 DP 400543).”*

Ngā mihi,



Mark de Haast

Group Manager Corporate Services
Te Kaihautū Ratonga Tōpū