



ĀTIAWA KI WHAKARONGOTAI

Ātiawa ki Whakarongotai Charitable Trust
10 Parata Street
Waikanae 5036

Address for service
Claire Gibb
0210373597

claire@tekonae.co.nz

cc: taiao@teatiawakikapiti.co.nz

admin@teatiawakikapiti.co.nz

Kāpiti Coast District Council
district.planning@kapiticoast.govt.nz

(See also, distribution list below)

25 November 2022

Form 6: Submission on Proposed Plan Change 2 - Intensification to the Operative Kapiti Coast District Plan 2021

Mai i Kūkūtauākī ki Whareroa, tatu atu ki Paripari
Rere whakauta ngā tinitapu ko Wainui, Ko Maunganui,
Pukemore, Kapakapanui, Pukeatua,
Ūngutu atu ki te pou whakararo ki Ngāwhakangutu
Ko Te Ātiawa ki Whakarongotai e

Our unique identity as indigenous mana whenua, as Ātiawa ki Whakarongotai (Ātiawa), arises from the land and water. As much as we influence the local land and waterscapes, they have shaped who we are as a people; our identities are inextricably linked. The pepeha outlines our rohe from the key waterways and peaks that mark the extent of our mana whenua. Whakapapa, or the genealogical lineage and connection to the land and water, is a fundamental value for the people of Ātiawa. It is through this whakapapa to Ātiawa that we inherit our birthright and responsibility as kaitiaki of all that is living and existing within our rohe.

Ātiawa is submitting as a person that has an interest in the proposed policy statement or plan greater than the interest that the general public has. I would like my address for service to be my email.

Issue 1: Infrastructure, Urban form, Housing supply and Intensification

Issue 1A - Rezoning

I support in part the submission of:	Submission number: S023.01, S024.01, S047.01, S068.01, S087.01, S123.01, S125.01, S142.01, S143.01, 164.01, 168.01, S205.01
Name: Hansen Chris Bland Tom Humphries Nicholas Carter Anna Carter & Todd Anna & Nicola Liakhovskaia Stacey Liakhovskii Sergei Peacock Anna Inglis Gail Reichelt Hartmut Ranford & Curtis Brian & Michelle Holmes Bryce	Address: chris@rmaexpert.co.nz tom@landmatters.nz 6humphries@gmail.com annacartermail@gmail.com nicola@cuttriss.co.nz alalykina@gmail.com lyakhovski.sa@gmail.com 189 Main Road North, RD Waikanae 171 Paetawa Road, RD1 Waikanae tiamananz@gmail.com brianranford54@gmail.com bryce@landmatters.nz
Submission point and matter: Proposed rezoning of sites.	
Reason: Insufficient information has been provided in the submission to adequately assess the effects of the proposal. Avoid pre-empting Takutai Kāpiti decisions for those sites close to the coast. KCDC has a Future urban development plan change scheduled as part of implementing the District Growth Strategy. It may be more appropriate to consider submitter's requests for rezoning as part of that plan change.	
Decision sought: Seek further assessment of environmental effects, including s6 RMA matters and cumulative effects of rezoning all or some of the proposed sites or deferring for future plan change.	

I oppose the submission of:	Submission number: S012.01, S043.01, S052.01, S091.01, S093.01, S189.01
Name: Sutorius Stephen	Address: stephens@thamespacific.com

Thornton Elliott Turner & Payne (Landlink) Paul & Marie	elliott.thornton@cuttriss.co.nz paul@landlink.co.nz marie@landlink.co.nz
Submission point and matter: Proposed rezoning of sites.	
Reason: These sites are subject to flooding risk. They should therefore not be prioritised for rezoning as part of this PC2. KCDC has a Future urban development plan change scheduled as part of implementing the District Growth Strategy. It may be more appropriate to consider submitter's requests for rezoning as part of that plan change.	
Decision sought: Retain existing zoning	

I oppose the submission of:	Submission number: S077.02, S077.03
Name: Thomas Paul	Address: paul@thomasplanning.co.nz
Submission point and matter: Proposed rezoning of sites.	
Reason: This site is adjacent to a quarry with a history of pollution. It should therefore not be prioritised for rezoning as part of this PC2. KCDC has a Future urban development plan change scheduled as part of implementing the District Growth Strategy. It may be more appropriate to consider submitter's requests for rezoning as part of that plan change.	
Decision sought: Retain existing zoning.	

I oppose the submission of:	Submission number: S189.01, S209.01 and west S208.01
Name: Turner & Payne (Landlink) Paul & Marie	Address: paul@landlink.co.nz marie@landlink.co.nz
Submission point and matter: Proposed rezoning of sites.	
Reason: The sites referenced in S209.01 and west S208.01 sit in the Takamore urupā and waahi tapu precinct. Rezoning these areas for residential development is therefore inappropriate and strongly opposed by Ātiawa The east side of the site referenced in S208.01 and S189.01 sit in the Tukurākau Heritage site. Any future proposals for the Takamore and Tukurākau precincts would require in depth assessment with mana whenua given their history.	
Decision sought: Retain existing zoning	

Issue 1A - Intensification provisions

I support the submission of:	Submission number: S002
Name: Fleming Michael	Address: engineer.geotechnical@gmail.com
Submission point and matter: S002.01 S002.02 Physically install infrastructure and recover the cost from developers.	
Reason: As in our original submission, Ātiawa oppose the enabling of development on the basis of "planned" infrastructure. It is critical that the provision of infrastructure is proactively managed to support development, in conjunction with or in advance of housing development.	
The provision of adequate and appropriate infrastructure and the design of urban form is foundational to the delivery of housing and intensification.	
Decision sought: Inclusion of Infrastructure as a new Qualifying Matter.	

I support in part the submission of:	Submission number: S023
Name: Hansen Chris	Address: chris@rmaexpert.co.nz
Submission point and matter: SO23.02 Amend DO-O3 to: - Change the narrow consolidation of existing urban areas approach to reflect the broader 'urban environment' approach included in the NPS-UD, PPC2 to the Wellington RPS, the intentions of Te Tupu Pai and the Urban Development Greenfield Assessment. - Amend Clause 6 in respect of the reference to amenity to bring it into line with NPSUD Policy 6. SO23.06 Amend policy UFD-P1 to change the narrow consolidation of existing urban areas approach to reflect the broader 'urban environment' approach included in the NPS-UD, PPC2 to the Wellington RPS, the intentions of Te Tupu Pai and the Urban Development Greenfield Assessment. The relief sought to submission point S023.02 should be the basis for the amendments to this policy.	
Reason: Ātiawa support development. This needs to be addressed while recognising and providing for s6 RMA matters as addressed through our submission.	
Decision sought: Ensure that s6 matters are recognised and provided for through PC2	

I oppose the submission of:	Submission number: S028
Name: Infill Tapui Limited Addington Ben	Address: ben.addington@infill.nz
Submission point and matter: S028.03 & S028.04 & S028.24 Requiring buildings of at least six storeys	

S028.09 Removing the limit on the number of residential units per site
S028.13 Requiring buildings of a t least 12 storeys in the MCZ
Reason: Undermines individual choice and significantly changes character and amenity.
Decision sought: Retain as notified

I oppose the submission of:	Submission number: S028
Name: Infill Tapui Limited Addington Ben	Address: ben.addington@infill.nz
Submission point and matter: S028.12, S028.17, S028.22, S028.27, S028.38, S028.40, S028.49, S028.50 Precluding limited notification for building height.	
Reason: Neighbours should have a reasonable expectation about potential development on neighbouring properties.	
Decision sought: Retain limited notification for height rule breaches	

I oppose in part the submission of:	Submission number: S028
Name: Infill Tapui Limited Addington Ben	Address: ben.addington@infill.nz
Submission point and matter: S028.36 Amend standard 2 under rule SUB-RES-Rx1 to remove reference to enhancing existing waterways and stormwater detention areas with plantings to create attractive features.	
Reason: Water is a taonga and should be treated in a way that recognises and provides for this value and Te Mana o te Wai.	
Decision sought: Amend standard 2 under rule SUB-RES-Rx1 to reference enhancing existing waterways and stormwater detention areas with plantings to create attractive features enhance amenity values.	

I support in part the submission of:	Submission number: S014, S197, S196, S207
Name: Summerset Group Holdings Limited Muller Stephanie Ryman Healthcare Limited Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand Incorporated (RVA) Hinchey Luke Metlifecare Limited Tree Bianca	Address: Stephanie.Muller@summerset.co.nz luke.hinchey@chapmantripp.com marika.williams@chapmantripp.com hannah.okane@mitchelldaysh.co.nz bianca.tree@minterellison.co.nz
Submission point and matter: S197.01- S197.70 S207.01- S207.27	

Reason: <p>Ātiawa supports the provision of housing and care facilities within retirement villages. We recognise the unique nature of those facilities and the role they play in communities.</p> <p>We also recognise that they form part of the community and, as with other activities they have effects that need to be assessed and avoided, remedies or mitigated.</p> <p>Ātiawa therefore oppose the proposal to exempt retirement villages from design criteria, enable increased densities and preclude notification</p>
Decision sought: Recognise, within PC2, the role of retirement villages within our communities and provide design criteria or require structure plans relevant to the activity.

I support in part the submission of:	Submission number: S028
Name: Infill Tapui Limited Addington Ben	Address: ben.addington@infill.nz
Submission point and matter: S028.37 Broaden matter of control 3 under rule SUB-RES-Rx1 to include control over Low Impact Design and Integrated Catchment Management, not just swales.	
Reason: Development needs to be planned and delivered in a way that recognises the rangatiratanga of hapū and iwi in relation to their land and waterways, and how this can be exercised to better manage the sustainable use of these resources. Any policy in relation to catchments and water also needs to be consistent with the hierarchy of obligations of Te Mana o te Wai, and ensure that the primary life-supporting values of rivers, and secondary values of human rights in relation to water is provided for before other tertiary economic and social values are provided for.	
Decision sought: Ensure that the proposed amendments are consistent with Te Mana o te Wai.	

I support in part the submission of:	Submission number: S028
Name: Infill Tapui Limited Addington Ben	Address: ben.addington@infill.nz
Submission point and matter: S028.61 Amend the Design Guides to add a section on the Te Aranga Māori Design Principles.	
Reason: Ātiawa support the review of the Design Guides to specifically reflect Ātiawa design principles.	
Decision sought: Develop and include design criteria that reflect Ātiawa values. Establish a design panel with tāngata whenua representation to adequately assess the design of development.	

I oppose the submission of:	Submission number: S043.12
Name:	Address:

Thornton Elliott	elliott.thornton@cuttriss.co.nz
Submission point and matter opposed: Amend Plan Change 2 to remove requirements for rainwater tanks and outdoor taps for up to 3 dwellings.	
Reason: Rainwater tanks have a critical role in managing the effects of intensification and be consistent with the hierarchy of obligations of Te Mana o Te Wai. The site design should enable them to be located in the area designated for private open space.	
Decision sought: Retain the requirement for rainwater tanks.	

I support in part the submission of:	Submission number: S054.02
Name: Jonas Malu	Address: jonasmalu9@gmail.com
Submission point and matter: Support changes to PC2 that recognise and provide for viewshafts from Whakarongotai	
Reason: The wellbeing of Whakarongotai is currently impacted by the surrounding development. Any further development will intensify those impacts including on our ability to connect with Kapakapanui.	
Decision sought: Establish Marae Takiwā Precinct. Retain the GRZ-Px8 Marae Takiwā Precinct matters to be avoided, remedied and mitigated. Retain the policy providing for buildings up to 2-storeys.	
Decision sought: The land surrounding Whakarongotai, in the ownership of KCDC managed entities, is restricted to the current developed height.	

I support the submission of:	Submission number: S097.03
Name: GWRC Zollner Mika	Address: Mika.Zollner@gw.govt.nz
Submission point and matter: Amend PC2 to have regard to the direction on stormwater management set out in the operative RPS and Proposed RPS Change 1. This relief should consider objectives, policies, rules and matters of discretion that:	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Require the application of water sensitive urban design principles, including sustainable stormwater design to minimise impacts on the natural environment and achieves outcomes additional to stormwater treatment such as providing amenity spaces, ecological habitat. • Restrict the use of copper/zinc and other such building materials to manage contaminant generation. • Manage effects of subdivision, use and development on water quality, waterway values including hydrological and ecosystem processes, riparian margins, water users and cultural values. 	
Reason: Development needs to be planned and delivered in a way that recognises the rangatiratanga of hapū and iwi in relation to their land and waterways, and how this can be exercised to better manage the sustainable use of these resources. Any policy in relation to catchments and water also needs to be consistent with the hierarchy of obligations of Te Mana o te Wai, and ensure that the primary life-supporting values of rivers, and	

secondary values of human rights in relation to water is provided for before other tertiary economic and social values are provided for.

Decision sought: Ensure that the proposed amendments are consistent with Te Mana o te Wai

I support the submission of:	Submission number: S097.04
------------------------------	----------------------------

Name: GWRC Zollner Mika	Address: Mika.Zollner@gw.govt.nz
----------------------------	-------------------------------------

Submission point and matter:

Amend PC2 to have regard to the direction on stormwater runoff set out in the operative RPS and Proposed RPS Change 1. This relief should consider objectives, policies, rules and matters of discretion that:

- Require hydrological controls for use, development and subdivision of land.
- Require sustainable stormwater design to minimise impacts on the natural environment and achieves outcomes additional to flood control such as providing amenity spaces, ecological habitat.

Reason:

Development needs to be planned and delivered in a way that recognises the rangatiratanga of hapū and iwi in relation to their land and waterways, and how this can be exercised to better manage the sustainable use of these resources. Any policy in relation to catchments and water also needs to be consistent with the hierarchy of obligations of Te Mana o te Wai, and ensure that the primary life-supporting values of rivers, and secondary values of human rights in relation to water is provided for before other tertiary economic and social values are provided for.

Decision sought: Ensure that the proposed amendments are consistent with Te Mana o te Wai

I support the submission of:	Submission number: S097.05
------------------------------	----------------------------

Name: GWRC Zollner Mika	Address: Mika.Zollner@gw.govt.nz
----------------------------	-------------------------------------

Submission point and matter:

Amend PC2 to:

- Incorporate policies and rules to require improved water use efficiency for new developments.
- Require new development to ensure adequate available water supply in a changing climate now and into the future.

Reason: Development needs to be planned and delivered in a way that recognises the rangatiratanga of hapū and iwi in relation to their land and waterways, and how this can be exercised to better manage the sustainable use of these resources. Any policy in relation to catchments and water also needs to be consistent with the hierarchy of obligations of Te Mana o te Wai, and ensure that the primary life-supporting values of rivers, and secondary values of human rights in relation to water is provided for before other tertiary economic and social values are provided for.

Decision sought: Ensure that the proposed amendments are consistent with Te Mana o te Wai

I support the submission of:	Submission number: S097.06, 07, 08, 09, 14, 27
Name: GWRC Zollner Mika	Address: Mika.Zollner@gw.govt.nz
Submission point and matter: S097.06, 07, 08, 09, 14, 27	
Reason: Development needs to be planned and delivered in a way that recognises the rangatiratanga of hapū and iwi in relation to their land and waterways, and how this can be exercised to better manage the sustainable use of these resources. Any policy in relation to catchments and water also needs to be consistent with the hierarchy of obligations of Te Mana o te Wai, and ensure that the primary life-supporting values of rivers, and secondary values of human rights in relation to water is provided for before other tertiary economic and social values are provided for.	
Decision sought: Ensure that the proposed amendments are consistent with Te Mana o te Wai	

I support the submission of:	Submission number: S097.12 13
Name: GWRC Zollner Mika	Address: Mika.Zollner@gw.govt.nz
Submission point and matter: S097.12 13	
Reason: Development needs to be planned and delivered in a way that recognises the rangatiratanga of hapū and iwi in relation to their land and waterways, and how this can be exercised to better manage the sustainable use of these resources. Any policy in relation to catchments and water also needs to be consistent with the hierarchy of obligations of Te Mana o te Wai, and ensure that the primary life-supporting values of rivers, and secondary values of human rights in relation to water is provided for before other tertiary economic and social values are provided for.	
Decision sought: Ensure that the proposed amendments are consistent with Te Mana o te Wai	

I support in part the submission of:	Submission number: S097.26
Name: GWRC Zollner Mika	Address: Mika.Zollner@gw.govt.nz
Submission point and matter: Include direction in the District Plan, including infrastructure and subdivision provisions, to provide for de-centralised wastewater re-use and treatment (of grey and black water) and disposal using approved alternative wastewater systems (but not septic tanks, due to their existing issues with contamination and leaching) anywhere where there are constraints on the existing network capacity, as well as where connections are not available. Where connections are available and there is network capacity, a connection to the wastewater network should still be required.	

Reason: As we experience growth and further residential and lifestyle block development in the District, our reticulated system is under significant pressure, and there is some time and further investment required to address this. It is helpful for the Plan to signal directions that set out the need for developments to consider and planned for de-centralised wastewater systems. Further we suggest if not Plan provisions and guidance to set out the type of de-centralised wastewater systems that are appropriate in regards to Te Mana o te Wai.

Decision sought: Signal within PC2 directions that set out the need for developments to consider and plan for de-centralised wastewater systems. Alternatively, set out the type of de-centralised wastewater systems that are appropriate in regards to Te Mana o te Wai.

This includes ongoing monitoring and maintenance requirements for those systems.

I oppose the submission of:	Submission number: S122
Name: Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities Liggett Brendon	Address: developmentplanning@kaingaora.govt.nz
Submission point and matter: S122.17 & S122.18, & S122.19 & S122.20 & S122.82 & S122.84 & S122.85 & S122.85 & S122.86 & S122.87 & S122.88 & S122.111 & S122.112 & S122.116 & S122.116 & S122.121 & S122.123 & S122.124 & S122.125 & S122.126 & S122.129 & S122.130 & S122.136 & S122.137 & S122.138 & S122.142 & S122.144 & S122.145 & S122.150 & S122.151, S122.160, S122.161, S122.163 Delete all references to the Design Guides	
Reason: the Design Guides are an important tool for providing detail on the design outcomes sought.	
Decision sought: Retain Design Guides with amendments as requested in our original submission.	

I oppose the submission of:	Submission number: S122
Name: Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities Liggett Brendon	Address: developmentplanning@kaingaora.govt.nz
Submission point and matter: S122.41 & S122.42 seeks an amendment so as not to be overly constraining of where urban intensification can occur;	
Reason: Ātiawa seeks growth that both retains the ability for our people to live in their own rohe, and create housing opportunities that attract our own people home as part of the growing population. Housing should be supported by life sustaining infrastructure including improved public transport hubs. The tino rangatiratanga of hapū and iwi should be recognised in relation to their land and waterways, and how this can be exercised to better manage the sustainable use of these resources. Maintaining a consolidated urban form supports Ātiawa's objectives in that regard.	
Decision sought: Retain as notified.	

I oppose the submission of:	Submission number: S122
Name: Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities Liggett Brendon	Address: developmentplanning@kaingaora.govt.nz

<p>Submission point and matter: S122.48 & S122.58 & S122.112 & S122.114 & S122.115 & S122.116 & S122.121 & S122.122 & S122.126 & S122.133 & S122.145 & S122.146 & S122.147 & S122.148 & S122.150, S122.159 enabling greater intensification</p>
<p>Reason: The proposed intensification under PC2 provides sufficient capacity for the projected need. Enabling further capacity is provided for through future growth area provisions and increased density can be applied for through a resource consent where the effects can be assessed.</p> <p>Design outcomes, including the provision of outdoor living space is critical to the wellbeing of residents.</p>
<p>Decision sought: Reject submission points S122.48 & S122.58.</p>

I oppose the submission of:	Submission number: S122
Name: Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities Liggett Brendon	Address: developmentplanning@kaingaora.govt.nz
<p>Submission point and matter: S122.76 & S122.83 & S122.116 & S122.125 & S122.137 & S122.150, S122.160 Notification preclusion for residential subdivision.</p>	
<p>Reason: Ātiawa seeks to retain the ability to submit on subdivision proposals. Ātiawa has valuable mātauranga to contribute to this process.</p>	
<p>Decision sought: Reject submission points S122.76 & S122.83.</p>	

Issue 3: Papakāinga

I oppose the submission of:	Submission number: S042 & S147
Name: Opperman, Reinier and Suzette Oakley, Andy	Address: suzette.opperman@outlook.com ajoago@gmail.com
<p>Submission point and matter opposed:</p> <p>S042.01 Amend Plan Change 2 to remove the words "tangata whenua" and replace them with "the people of Kapiti".</p> <p>S042.02 Amend Plan Change 2 to remove the words "papakāinga housing developments" and replace them with "community housing developments".</p>	

Reason: Papakāinga are a taonga that enable tangata whenua to live on and be sustained by their ancestral land in accordance with tikanga Māori. Pāpakainga are therefore unique to tangata whenua. Papakāinga development should enable Māori to live as Māori, and should support tangata whenua to thrive as a community. This includes the social, cultural and economic wellbeing of iwi, hapū and whānau.

Decision sought: Retain as notified

I oppose the submission of:	Submission number: 132
Name: Hager Mandy	Address: mandy.hager72@gmail.com
Submission point and matter opposed: S132.01 Amend papakāinga provisions to extend to non-Māori landowners in Kāpiti.	
Reason: Ātiawa support the submission points seeking for PC2 to: - Address the housing crisis; - Develop better community support for the elderly/those with disabilities; - Increase resilience of families in challenging times. Papakāinga will contribute to these matters. However, as papakāinga is a uniquely tangata whenua approach for the reasons addressed in our original submission, a separate policy approach is needed to address those submission point S132.01.	
Decision sought: Retain papakāinga provisions as notified in respect to this submission point.	

I oppose the submission of:	Submission number: S122
Name: Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities Liggett Brendon	Address: developmentplanning@kaingaora.govt.nz
Submission point and matter opposed: S122.24 Retain as notified. Definition of 'ANCESTRAL LAND'	
Reason: Ātiawa propose, through our original submission, a definition that is consistent with the intent of papakāinga, and we do not recognise the authority of Crown agencies to define matters of tikanga Māori.	
Decision sought: Amend the proposed definition to: " <i>Ancestral Land means land where tangata whenua have an undisturbed collective whakapapa relationship.</i> " and any consequential amendments including reference to the definition in the Papakāinga Chapter introduction.	

I oppose the submission of:	Submission number: S122
-----------------------------	-------------------------

Name: Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities Liggett Brendon	Address: developmentplanning@kaingaora.govt.nz
Submission point and matter opposed:	
<p>S122.32 Amend definition of 'Papakāinga' as follows: PAPAKĀINGA PAPAKĀINGA means housing and any ancillary activities (including social, cultural, educational, recreational, conservation and/or commercial activities) for to support the cultural, environmental and economic wellbeing of tangata whenua on their ancestral land, and we do not recognise the authority of Crown agencies to define matters of tikanga Māori.</p> <p>S122.102 Amend PK-Px5 as follows: Amend to provide for conservation activities in the list of non-residential activities</p>	
Reason: Papakāinga are a taonga that enable tangata whenua to live on and be sustained by their ancestral land in accordance with tikanga Māori. Papakāinga development should enable Māori to live as Māori, and should support tangata whenua to thrive as a community. Conservation is not a term that adequately describes the cultural relationship of Ātiawa with their lands and waters, and we do not recognise the authority of Crown agencies to define matters of tikanga.	
Decision sought: Retain as notified	

I oppose the submission of:	Submission number: S122
Name: Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities Liggett Brendon	Address: developmentplanning@kaingaora.govt.nz
Submission point and matter:	
<p>S122.105 Amend the rule framework so that papakāinga development on land held under Te Ture Whenua Māori Act 1993 and land held in general title, with the same protections as are provided by the Act, is provided for as a Permitted Activity.</p>	
Reason: The Standards, Matters of Discretion and Notes appropriately provide for ensuring papakāinga is developed for those who whakapapa or have an ancestral connection to the land. It is appropriate that KCDC seeks advice from iwi authorities on matters related to tikanga Māori.	
Decision sought: Retain as notified	

I support in part the submission of:	Submission number: S122
Name: Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities Liggett Brendon	Address: developmentplanning@kaingaora.govt.nz
Submission point and matter:	
S122.90	

Papakāinga - Chapter Introduction

Retain as notified.

Reason: Ātiawa support the retention of the provisions for papakāinga in the:

The General Residential Zone

The General Rural Zone

The Rural Production Zone

The Rural Lifestyle Zone

The Future Urban Zone

The Town Centre Zone.

Papakāinga should also be provided for in the Metropolitan, Local Centres and Mixed Use Zones as Ātiawa have not finalised our Treaty of Waitangi Settlement with the Crown it is therefore inappropriate to exclude potential papakāinga locations from our rohe.

Further, our relationship with our lands and waters is not limited by zoning boundaries.

Therefore, in line with the purpose of this Chapter, which includes a range of activities including commercial activity, it is appropriate to enable papakāinga in all Zones.

Decision sought: Provide for papakāinga in the Metropolitan, Local Centres and Mixed Use Zones

I support in part the submission of:

Submission number: S122

Name: Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities
Liggett Brendon

Address:
developmentplanning@kaingaora.govt.nz

Submission point and matter:

S122.98 - S122.104

PK-Px1, PK-Px2, PK-Px3, PK-Px5 PK-Px6 including advice notes

Retain as notified

Amend PK-Px4 as follows:

...

The maximum intensity and scale of papakāinga development will be determined by the limitations of the site, including:

1. adequate provision of on-site or off-site infrastructure to serve the papakāinga; and
2. adverse effects on ~~adjoining properties and~~ the environment are avoided, remedied or mitigated; while recognising that papakāinga may contain activities of a character, scale, intensity or range that are not provided for in the surrounding area.

Reason: Ātiawa oppose the PC2 proposal to enable development on the basis of planned infrastructure. However, in the event that this is retained through PC2, it is critical that an appropriate level of equity is provided in the way that policies are implemented.

Therefore, PK-Px4 should be amended to also enable papakāinga on the basis of planned infrastructure.

Decision sought:

In the event that our decision sought regarding the inclusion of Infrastructure as a New Qualifying Matter is rejected, we seek the following amendment:

"adequate provision of on-site or off-site infrastructure **or planned infrastructure**"

I support in part the submission of:	Submission number: S122
Name: Kāinga Ora Homes and Communities Liggett Brendon	Address: developmentplanning@kaingaora.govt.nz
Submission point and matter: S122.153-158 Retain matters as drafted	
Ātiawa seeks amendments to these matters as detailed in our original submission: Reason: The purpose of the Papakāinga Chapter is to assist tangata whenua in the development and use of papakāinga on their ancestral land. It recognises that papakāinga development provides a pathway to sustain the social, economic and cultural well-being of tangata whenua. It also acknowledges the barriers tangata whenua face to developing and using their land in the way that fits into the principle of tino rangatiranga, and that these barriers can be linked to the process of land alienation. Given the limited land held under Te Ture Whenua Māori Act 1993 and the limited general title land of sufficient size available for papakāinga development, this development should not be limited by the effects of existing adjacent development.	
Decision sought: Remove cumulative effects from the matters of discretion.	

Issue 4: Financial Contribution

I support the submission of:	Submission number: 053
Name: Waka Kotahi Cottle Kim	Address: EnvironmentalPlanning@nzta.govt.nz
Submission point and matter: 053.15	
Amend FC-Table x2 - Financial Contribution payable provisions to allow financial contributions to be collected for access to or provision for alternative transport modes such as walking, cycling and public transport.	
Reason: Ātiawa have submitted that housing should be supported by life sustaining infrastructure including improved public transport hubs. Ensuring that developments are linked to transport hubs should be a key consideration of housing design and development.	
Decision sought: Amend as proposed by 053.15	

I support the submission of:	Submission number: S097
Name: Greater Wellington Regional Council Zollner Mika	Address: Mika.Zollner@gw.govt.nz
Submission point and matter supported: 097.20	

Amend PC2 to have regard to Proposed RPS Change 1 Policy FW.4 by clarifying that financial contributions for new subdivision and development can be collected to treat both stormwater quality and quantity.

Reason:

Development needs to be planned and delivered in a way that recognises the rangatiratanga of hapū and iwi in relation to their land and waterways, and how this can be exercised to better manage the sustainable use of these resources. Any policy in relation to catchments and water also needs to be consistent with the hierarchy of obligations of Te Mana o te Wai, and ensure that the primary life-supporting values of rivers, and secondary values of human rights in relation to water is provided for before other tertiary economic and social values are provided for.

Decision sought: Amend as proposed by 097.20

Issue 5 & 6: Qualifying matters Kārewarewa Urupā & Marae Takiwā Precinct

I oppose the submission of:	Submission number: S104
Name: Waikanae Land Company Slyfield Morgan	Address: morgan.slyfield@stoutstreet.co.nz
Submission point and matter opposed:	
S104.01 Delete the proposed amendments to Schedule 9 and the District Plan maps (and such further or consequential relief as may be necessary to address the matters raised in this submission).	
S104.02 Alternatively, or in combination with the deletion sought in submission point S104.01, amend PC2 so that the District Plan provides some combination of objectives, policies, rules and/or other methods that provide for residential development of the land in accordance with Medium Density Residential Standards (and such further or consequential relief as may be necessary to address the matters raised in this submission).	
Reason: Kārewarewa urupā has been used for the interment of both members of Ātiawa ki Whakarongotai, Ngāti Raukawa ki te tonga, Ngāti Toarangatira, and the Pākehā settler community. Members of Ātiawa have been on record since 1896 consistently testifying that it is an urupā and a wāhi tapu.	
Ātiawa ki Whakarongotai would be horrified if housing development was allowed to continue at the urupā/cemetery. The history of Kārewarewa urupā is a dark reflection of previous councils and governments failure to protect the interests of Māori, and the wider community. The opportunity provided through this District Plan process is for Council to go some way to setting this legacy right, and demonstrate a more enlightened and faithful approach to how it informs land use on the Kāpiti Coast.	
While Kārewarewa Urupā is already a wāhi tapu, for policy purposes it is proposed to recognise this through listing it in Schedule 9– Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori of	

the District Plan. This means it will be appropriately recognised as a new qualifying matter (under s77I and s77O of the RMA).

Decision sought:

Retain Kārewarewa urupā as Wāhanaga tahi and Wāhanga rua through amendments to Schedule 9 – Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori the “Historical, Cultural, Infrastructure and Districtwide” map series.

The boundary of WTSx1 – Kārewarewa Urupā (Wāhanga Tahi) is extended to include Lot 4 as per the Ngarara West A14B1 block surveyed boundary shown in Figure 3 of our original submission.

I oppose the submission of:	Submission number: S116
Name: Petherick, Laurence	Address: rlpetherick@xtra.co.nz
Submission point and matter opposed:	
S116.01 Retain the General Residential zone in the developed portion of the area described as Kārewarewa Urupā, with the undeveloped area to remain as either General Residential or Proposed School. [It is implied that the decision requested is to reject the proposed addition of Kārewarewa Urupā to Schedule 9 and the District Plan maps.]	
Reason: Kārewarewa urupā has been used for the interment of both members of Ātiawa ki Whakarongotai, Ngāti Raukawa ki te tonga, Ngāti Toarangatira, and the Pākehā settler community. Members of Ātiawa have been on record since 1896 consistently testifying that it is an urupā and a wāhi tapu. Including Kārewarewa urupā as a wāhi tapu in the District Plan provides for:	
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• The development of a management plan for Wāhanga Rua to provide further support and assistance to existing residents as to how to manage the impacts of a wāhi tapu designation on their properties, in order to minimise the ongoing effects to those land owners, iwi and those who have been interred.• The protection of Wāhanga Tahi from further desecration, the prevention of further exposure of human remains and a mechanism to prevent the further effects to the community and future residents who may otherwise unknowingly find themselves living on a cemetery.	
The development of a school on the site is strongly opposed and a fundamentally inappropriate use of a wāhi tapu, including Kārewarewa urupā.	
Decision sought: Retain Kārewarewa urupā as Wāhanaga tahi and Wāhanga rua through amendments to Schedule 9 – Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori the “Historical, Cultural, Infrastructure and Districtwide” map series.	

The boundary of WTSx1 – Kārewarewa Urupā (Wāhanga Tahī) is extended to include Lot 4 as per the Ngarara West A14B1 block surveyed boundary shown in Figure 3 of our original submission.

I support in part the submission of:	Submission number: S130.01
Name: Turver, Chris	Address: chris.turvernz@gmail.com
<p>Submission point and matters:</p> <p>Clarify:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - what will be done with the urupā site - left untended or managed; - whether the public will have continued access; - who will be responsible for effective upkeep of the site and fire prevention; - what steps will be taken to satisfy Fire & Emergency that a fire threat to 50 neighbouring houses has been eliminated; - what penalties will be in place for non-compliance with upkeep. 	
<p>Reason:</p> <p>Support the recognition of Kārewarewa Urupā as a wāhi tapu</p> <p>Oppose any determination on the future use of the site, including rezoning for open space through this process. A site management plan should be developed to support the wāhi tapu listing that would address the matters raised in submission 130.</p>	
<p>Decision sought:</p> <p>Retain Kārewarewa urupā as Wāhanaga tahi and Wāhanga rua through amendments to Schedule 9 – Sites and Areas of Significance to Māori the “Historical, Cultural, Infrastructure and Districtwide” map series.</p> <p>The boundary of WTSx1 – Kārewarewa Urupā (Wāhanga Tahī) is extended to include Lot 4 as per the Ngarara West A14B1 block surveyed boundary shown in Figure 3 of our original submission.</p>	

I support in part the submission of:	Submission number: S097.17
Name: Greater Wellington Regional Council Zollner Mika	Address: Mika.Zollner@gw.govt.nz
<p>Submission point and matter supported in part:</p> <p>S097.17</p> <p>Qualifying Matters (Marae Takiwā Precinct) Objectives, policies and rules</p> <p>Retain as notified.</p>	
<p>Reason: the Ātiawa submission seeks amendments to these provisions to more appropriately provide for Marae.</p>	
<p>Decision sought:</p> <p>Extend the extent of the Marae Takiwā Precinct as shown on Map 10 Historical, Cultural, Infrastructure, Districtwide to include Frater Place.</p>	

Issue 7: Coastal Qualifying Matter Precinct

<p>I support the submission of:</p>	<p>Submission number: S015.01, SO 19.01, SO 20.01, SO 21.01, SO 29.01, SO 30.1, SO 34.01, SO 35.01, SO 38.01, SO 40.2, SO 44.01, SO 48.01, SO 50.02, SO 55.01, SO 56.1, SO 57.01, SO 58.1, SO 59.01, SO 60.01, SO 61.01, SO 62.01, SO 63.01, SO 64.02, SO 65.01, SO 66.01, SO 67.01, SO 70.01, SO 72.01, SO 74.01, SO 75.01, SO 78.1, SO 80.01, SO 81.01, SO 83.01, SO 84.01, SO 86.02, SO 90.01, SO 92.01, SO 95.01, SO 96.01</p>
<p>Name: Manhire William Moxon Christopher Treadwell Mical Cunningham Stephen Cole Pauline Grattan Wayne Jones Peter Hazlitt Joanne Whiteley Tim Poole Joanna Heyne Axel Driver Hugh Poole Quentin McIntyre Andrew Camp Rod Scholl Stephan Davis Briony & Lloyd Feast Deborah Feast John Dickson Stuart Pritchard Mary Pritchard Stuart Milne Philip Woon James Bismark Matthew Milne Catherine Brewerton Paul Wyatt Warwick Hazelton Andrew Brain Peter Lynch Winifred & Bruce Mealings Marion Mealings Michael</p>	<p>Address: bill.manhire@vuw.ac.nz chris.moxon@moxonpartners.com mical@treadwells.co.nz raja@xtra.co.nz lynncole86@gmail.com wayne@otakicold.co.nz peter@ourbeach.nz djhazlitt@xtra.co.nz timwhiteley7@gmail.com joanna.poole@poolecom.co.nz heyne.axel@gmx.de hugh.driver.nz@gmail.com quentin@academyapparel.co.nz andy@seaside.co.nz camp.fam@xtra.co.nz stephen.scholl@gmail.com brionydaviesnz@gmail.com deb.fest@gmail.com feast.waikanae@gmail.com stuardickson.nz@gmail.com admin@sepnz.co.nz stuart@sepnz.co.nz philip.milne@waterfront.org.nz jameswoon@icloud.com matthew.bismark@gmail.com philip.milne@waterfront.org.nz thebrewertonz@gmail.com warwick@thewyattfamily.net andrew.hazelton@hazelton.co.nz petenz01@yahoo.co.uk bruce.lynch@xtra.co.nz marionmealings@gmail.com mikemealings@gmail.com</p>

Bevin Helen Bevin Thomas Houston David Starr Alex Antcliff Norman Berthold Thomas & Fiona Brady Diane & Steve	helen-tom.bevin@xtra.co.nz helen-tom.bevin@xtra.co.nz dhouston66@gmail.com patandalex@xtra.co.nz norm.antcliff@live.com thosberthold@gmail.com bradybunchnz@gmail.com
Submission point and matter opposed: Expansion of the Coastal Qualifying Matter Precinct	
Reason: Ātiawa support the proposed enlargement of the Coastal Qualifying Matter Precinct as coastal hazards (such as sea level rise, increased precipitation, groundwater saturation, flooding etc) within the next 100 years have been identified beyond the currently proposed Precinct.	
Limiting intensification in these coastal regions is aligned with current Government Policy and wise future decision making based on current known predictions.	
Decision sought: The Takutai Kāpiti Coastal Hazards Adaptation Zones be marked as Coastal Environment and become Coastal Qualifying Matter Precinct in the District Plan.	

I support the submission of:	Submission number: SO28.54
Name: Infill Tapui Limited Addington Ben	Address: ben.addington@infill.nz
Submission point and matter opposed: Reduce the Coastal Environment to the Coastal Qualifying Matter Precinct	
Reason: Evidence shows increased coastal hazards (such as sea level rise, increased precipitation, groundwater saturation, flooding etc) within the next 100 years. Ātiawa therefore support the increase in the spatial extent of the Coastal Qualifying Matter Precinct.	
Limiting intensification in these coastal regions is aligned with current Government Policy and wise future decision making based on current known predictions.	
Decision sought: The Takutai Kāpiti Coastal Hazards Adaptation Zones be marked as Coastal Environment and become Coastal Qualifying Matter Precinct in the District Plan.	

I wish to be heard in support of my further submission.

As a requirement of Schedule 1 clause 8A of the Resource Management Act 1991 this further submission is also served on the following submitters:

Hansen Chris Bland Tom Humphries Nicholas Carter Anna Carter & Todd Anna & Nicola Liakhovskaia Stacey Liakhovskii Sergei	chris@rmaexpert.co.nz tom@landmatters.nz 6humphries@gmail.com annacartermail@gmail.com nicola@cuttriss.co.nz alalykina@gmail.com lyakhovski.sa@gmail.com
--	--

Peacock Anna	189 Main Road North, RD Waikanae
Inglis Gail	171 Paetawa Road, RD1 Waikanae
Reichelt Hartmut	tiamanz@gmail.com
Ranford & Curtis Brian & Michelle	brianranford54@gmail.com
Holmes Bryce	bryce@landmatters.nz
Sutorius Stephen	stephens@thamespacific.com
Thornton Elliott	elliott.thornton@cuttriss.co.nz
Turner & Payne (Landlink) Paul & Marie	paul@landlink.co.nz marie@landlink.co.nz
Thomas Paul	paul@thomasplanning.co.nz
Fleming Michael	engineer.geotechnical@gmail.com
Hansen Chris	chris@rmaexpert.co.nz
Addington Ben	ben.addington@infill.nz
Thornton Elliott	elliott.thornton@cuttriss.co.nz
Jonas Malu	jonasmalu9@gmail.com
Zollner Mika (GWRC)	Mika.Zollner@gw.govt.nz
Liggett Brendon	developmentplanning@kaingaora.govt.nz
Opperman, Reinier and Suzette	suzette.opperman@outlook.com
Oakley, Andy	ajoago@gmail.com
Hager Mandy	mandy.hager72@gmail.com
Cottle Kim	EnvironmentalPlanning@nzta.govt.nz
Slyfield Morgan	morgan.slyfield@stoutstreet.co.nz
Petherick, Laurence	rlpetherick@xtra.co.nz
Turver, Chris	chris.turvernz@gmail.com
Manhire William	bill.manhire@vuw.ac.nz
Moxon Christopher	chris.moxon@moxonpartners.com
Treadwell Mical	mical@treadwells.co.nz
Cunningham Stephen	raja@xtra.co.nz
Cole Pauline	lynncole86@gmail.com
Grattan Wayne	wayne@otakicold.co.nz
Jones Peter	peter@ourbeach.nz
Hazlitt Joanne	djhazlitt@xtra.co.nz
Whiteley Tim	timwhiteley7@gmail.com
Poole Joanna	joanna.poole@poolecom.co.nz
Heyne Axel	heyne.axel@gmx.de
Driver Hugh	hugh.driver.nz@gmail.com
Poole Quentin	quentin@academyapparel.co.nz
McIntyre Andrew	andy@seaside.co.nz
Camp Rod	camp.fam@xtra.co.nz
Scholl Stephan	stephen.scholl@gmail.com
Davis Briony & Lloyd	brionydaviesnz@gmail.com
Feast Deborah	deb.fest@gmail.com
Feast John	feast.waikanae@gmail.com
Dickson Stuart	stuardickson.nz@gmail.com
Pritchard Mary	admin@sepnz.co.nz
Pritchard Stuart	stuart@sepnz.co.nz
Milne Philip	philip.milne@waterfront.org.nz
Woon James	jameswoon@icloud.com

Bismark Matthew	matthew.bismark@gmail.com
Milne Catherine	philip.milne@waterfront.org.nz
Brewerton Paul	thebrewertonz@gmail.com
Wyatt Warwick	warwick@thewyattfamily.net
Hazelton Andrew	andrew.hazelton@hazelton.co.nz
Brain Peter	petenz01@yahoo.co.uk
Lynch Winifred & Bruce	bruce.lynch@xtra.co.nz
Mealings Marion	marionmealings@gmail.com
Mealings Michael	mikemealings@gmail.com
Bevin Helen	helen-tom.bevin@xtra.co.nz
Bevin Thomas	helen-tom.bevin@xtra.co.nz
Houston David	dhouston66@gmail.com
Starr Alex	patandalex@xtra.co.nz
Antcliff Norman	norm.antcliff@live.com
Berthold Thomas & Fiona	thosberthold@gmail.com
Brady Diane & Steve	bradybunchnz@gmail.com
Tree Bianca (Metlifecare Limited)	bianca.tree@minterellison.co.nz
Muller Stephanie (Summerset Group Holdings Limited)	Stephanie.Muller@summerset.co.nz
Hinchey Luke(RVA)	luke.hinchey@chapmantripp.com/ marika.williams@chapmantripp.com/ hannah.okane@mitchelldaysh.co.nz

From: [Claire Gibb](#)
To: [Mailbox - District Planning](#)
Cc: [Jason Holland](#); [Mahina-a-rangi Baker](#); [^Mahina-a-rangi Baker](#); [^Kirsten Hapeta](#); [Aroha Spinks](#); [Onur Oktem Lewis](#); [Jaida Howard](#)
Subject: Ātiawa ki Whakarongotai PC2 further submission
Date: Thursday, 24 November 2022 4:52:59 pm
Attachments: [Ātiawa ki Whakarongotai PC2 further submission Final.docx](#)

Tēnā koe Jason,

Please find attached the Ātiawa ki Whakarongotai further submission on PC2

Ngā mihi
Claire