Further submission in support of, or in opposition to, submission on notified proposed plan change



About preparing a further submission on a proposed plan change

You must use the prescribed form

- <u>Clause 8,</u> Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) requires further submissions to be on the prescribed form.
- The prescribed form is set out in <u>Form 6</u>, Schedule 1 of the Resource Management (Forms, Fees, and Procedure) Regulations 2003.
- This template is based on Form 6. While you do not have to use this template, your submission must be in accordance with Form 6.

Certain persons may make further submissions

- Under clause 8, Schedule 1 of the RMA the following persons may make a further submission, in the prescribed form, on a proposed plan to the relevant local authority:
 - o any person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest
 - any person that has an interest in the proposed policy statement or plan greater than the interest that the general public has
 - o the local authority itself.
- You will need to explain why you meet one of these categories (space is provided in the form for this below).

Your further submission and contact details will be made publicly available

 <u>Section 352</u> of the RMA allows you to choose your email to be your address for service. If you select this option, you can also request your postal address be withheld from being publicly available. To choose this option please tick the relevant boxes below.

Note to person making the submission

 A copy of your further submission must be served on the original submitter within 5 working days after it is served on the local authority (Kāpiti Coast District Council).

Reasons why a further submission may be struck out

Please note that your further submission (or part of your further submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the further submission (or part of the further submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further
- it contains offensive language
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

To Kāpiti Coast District Council

Further Submission in Support of (or Opposition to) a Submission on Proposed Plan Change to the Operative Kapiti Coast District Plan 2021

Plan Change Number: 2

Plan Change Name: Intensification

Further submitter details	
Full name of person making further submission: Vanessa and Guy Simpson	
Contact person (name and designation, if applicable): Guy Simpson	
Postal address (or alternative method of service under section 352 of the RMA): 205 Ngarara Road, Waikanae, 5391	
Telephone: 0274444496	
Electronic address for service of person making further submission (i.e. email):	
guysimpson@xtra.co.nz	
I would like my address for service to be my email [select box if applicable]	~
I have selected email as my address for service, and I would also like my postal address withheld from being publicly available [select box if applicable]	
State whether you are [select appropriate box]	
a person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest.	
a person who has an intersect in the manner of the tip are stood by the intersect the intersect in the manner of the tip are stood by the intersect in the manner of the tip are stood by the intersect in the manner of the tip are stood by the intersect in the manner of the tip are stood by the intersect in the manner of the tip are stood by the	
a person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has.	~
In this case, also please explain the grounds for saying that you come within this category We are the owners of the property located at 211 Ngarara Road, Waikanae.	
the local authority for the relevant area.	
Scope of further submission I support oppose the submission of: [select the appropriate wording] Original Submitter's Name and Address for Service: Transpower - environment.policy@transpower.co.nz	
Submission number of original submission: SO76 18	

Particular parts of the	Submission i support (or oppose) are.
Clearly indicate which pa	rts of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant
provisions of the proposa	I. While it is not a requirement, it would be helpful if you could state the
submission point number	as listed in the summary of decisions requested document.
into the area that intersects v	from Transpower which proposes that the General Residential zoning should not extend with the National Grid Yard. As we understand it Transpower's opposition only relates to area of our property that falls within the National Grid yard.
×1	
1	
	Continue on a separate sheet if necessary
The reasons for my su	Continue on a separate sheet if necessary
[give reasons]	pport (or opposition) are:
[give reasons] The reasons why we oppose	pport (or opposition) are: the submission are:
[give reasons] The reasons why we oppose a. That the current Future Urt	the submission are; ban Zoning is no longer appropriate:
[give reasons] The reasons why we oppose a. That the current Future Urbb. The National Grid Yard is a being a Qualifying Matter:	the submission are; ban Zoning is no longer appropriate; already effectively and adequately protected via other legislation/regulations including it
[give reasons] The reasons why we oppose a. That the current Future Urb. The National Grid Yard is a being a Qualifying Matter; c. It makes no sense to rezon	the submission are; ban Zoning is no longer appropriate; already effectively and adequately protected via other legislation/regulations including it the National Grid Yard anything but the same as the balance of the property at least
[give reasons] The reasons why we oppose a. That the current Future Urb. The National Grid Yard is a being a Qualifying Matter; c. It makes no sense to rezon for now. This way the National	the submission are; ban Zoning is no longer appropriate; already effectively and adequately protected via other legislation/regulations including it the National Grid Yard anything but the same as the balance of the property at least
[give reasons] The reasons why we oppose a. That the current Future Urb. The National Grid Yard is a being a Qualifying Matter; c. It makes no sense to rezon	the submission are; ban Zoning is no longer appropriate; already effectively and adequately protected via other legislation/regulations including it
[give reasons] The reasons why we oppose a. That the current Future Urb. The National Grid Yard is a being a Qualifying Matter; c. It makes no sense to rezon for now. This way the National	the submission are; ban Zoning is no longer appropriate; already effectively and adequately protected via other legislation/regulations including it the National Grid Yard anything but the same as the balance of the property at least
[give reasons] The reasons why we oppose a. That the current Future Urb. The National Grid Yard is a being a Qualifying Matter; c. It makes no sense to rezon for now. This way the National	the submission are; ban Zoning is no longer appropriate; already effectively and adequately protected via other legislation/regulations including it the National Grid Yard anything but the same as the balance of the property at least
[give reasons] The reasons why we oppose a. That the current Future Urb. The National Grid Yard is a being a Qualifying Matter; c. It makes no sense to rezon for now. This way the National	the submission are; ban Zoning is no longer appropriate; already effectively and adequately protected via other legislation/regulations including it the National Grid Yard anything but the same as the balance of the property at least
[give reasons] The reasons why we oppose a. That the current Future Urb. The National Grid Yard is a being a Qualifying Matter; c. It makes no sense to rezon for now. This way the National	the submission are; ban Zoning is no longer appropriate; already effectively and adequately protected via other legislation/regulations including it the National Grid Yard anything but the same as the balance of the property at least
[give reasons] The reasons why we oppose a. That the current Future Urb. The National Grid Yard is a being a Qualifying Matter; c. It makes no sense to rezon for now. This way the National	the submission are; ban Zoning is no longer appropriate; already effectively and adequately protected via other legislation/regulations including it the National Grid Yard anything but the same as the balance of the property at least
[give reasons] The reasons why we oppose a. That the current Future Urb. The National Grid Yard is a being a Qualifying Matter; c. It makes no sense to rezon for now. This way the National	the submission are; ban Zoning is no longer appropriate; already effectively and adequately protected via other legislation/regulations including it the National Grid Yard anything but the same as the balance of the property at least
[give reasons] The reasons why we oppose a. That the current Future Urb. The National Grid Yard is a being a Qualifying Matter; c. It makes no sense to rezon for now. This way the National	the submission are; ban Zoning is no longer appropriate; already effectively and adequately protected via other legislation/regulations including it the National Grid Yard anything but the same as the balance of the property at least
[give reasons] The reasons why we oppose a. That the current Future Urb. The National Grid Yard is a being a Qualifying Matter; c. It makes no sense to rezon for now. This way the National	the submission are; ban Zoning is no longer appropriate; already effectively and adequately protected via other legislation/regulations including it the National Grid Yard anything but the same as the balance of the property at least
[give reasons] The reasons why we oppose a. That the current Future Urb. The National Grid Yard is a being a Qualifying Matter; c. It makes no sense to rezon for now. This way the National	the submission are; ban Zoning is no longer appropriate; already effectively and adequately protected via other legislation/regulations including it the National Grid Yard anything but the same as the balance of the property at least
[give reasons] The reasons why we oppose a. That the current Future Urb. The National Grid Yard is a being a Qualifying Matter; c. It makes no sense to rezon for now. This way the National	the submission are; ban Zoning is no longer appropriate; already effectively and adequately protected via other legislation/regulations including it the National Grid Yard anything but the same as the balance of the property at least
[give reasons] The reasons why we oppose a. That the current Future Urb. The National Grid Yard is a being a Qualifying Matter; c. It makes no sense to rezon for now. This way the National	the submission are; ban Zoning is no longer appropriate; already effectively and adequately protected via other legislation/regulations including it the National Grid Yard anything but the same as the balance of the property at least
[give reasons] The reasons why we oppose a. That the current Future Urb. The National Grid Yard is a being a Qualifying Matter; c. It makes no sense to rezon for now. This way the National	the submission are; ban Zoning is no longer appropriate; already effectively and adequately protected via other legislation/regulations including it the National Grid Yard anything but the same as the balance of the property at least
[give reasons] The reasons why we oppose a. That the current Future Urb. The National Grid Yard is a being a Qualifying Matter; c. It makes no sense to rezon for now. This way the National	the submission are; ban Zoning is no longer appropriate; already effectively and adequately protected via other legislation/regulations including it the National Grid Yard anything but the same as the balance of the property at least
[give reasons] The reasons why we oppose a. That the current Future Urb. The National Grid Yard is a being a Qualifying Matter; c. It makes no sense to rezon for now. This way the National	the submission are; ban Zoning is no longer appropriate; already effectively and adequately protected via other legislation/regulations including it the National Grid Yard anything but the same as the balance of the property at least
[give reasons] The reasons why we oppose a. That the current Future Urb. The National Grid Yard is a being a Qualifying Matter; c. It makes no sense to rezon for now. This way the National	the submission are; ban Zoning is no longer appropriate; already effectively and adequately protected via other legislation/regulations including it the National Grid Yard anything but the same as the balance of the property at least
[give reasons] The reasons why we oppose a. That the current Future Urb. The National Grid Yard is a being a Qualifying Matter; c. It makes no sense to rezon for now. This way the National	the submission are; ban Zoning is no longer appropriate; already effectively and adequately protected via other legislation/regulations including it the National Grid Yard anything but the same as the balance of the property at least
[give reasons] The reasons why we oppose a. That the current Future Urb. The National Grid Yard is a being a Qualifying Matter; c. It makes no sense to rezon for now. This way the National	the submission are; ban Zoning is no longer appropriate; already effectively and adequately protected via other legislation/regulations including it the National Grid Yard anything but the same as the balance of the property at least
[give reasons] The reasons why we oppose a. That the current Future Urb. The National Grid Yard is a being a Qualifying Matter; c. It makes no sense to rezon for now. This way the National	the submission are; ban Zoning is no longer appropriate; already effectively and adequately protected via other legislation/regulations including it the National Grid Yard anything but the same as the balance of the property at least
[give reasons] The reasons why we oppose a. That the current Future Urb. The National Grid Yard is a being a Qualifying Matter; c. It makes no sense to rezon for now. This way the National	the submission are; ban Zoning is no longer appropriate; already effectively and adequately protected via other legislation/regulations including it the National Grid Yard anything but the same as the balance of the property at least

Continue on a separate sheet if necessary

I seek that [select appropriate box]	
The whole vor part of the original submission be allowed disallowed v	
[describe precisely which part below]	
In relation to the property at 211 Ngarara Road.	
Continue on a separate sheet if ne	cessary
Harrian Cubmicsisms (select annuagists basis	
Hearing Submissions [select appropriate box]	
I wish to be heard in support of my further submission.	V
I wish to be heard in support of my further submission. I do not wish to be heard in support of my further submission.	V
I wish to be heard in support of my further submission. I do not wish to be heard in support of my further submission. If you wish to be heard, please tick one of the following	
I wish to be heard in support of my further submission. I do not wish to be heard in support of my further submission. If you wish to be heard, please tick one of the following If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.	
I wish to be heard in support of my further submission. I do not wish to be heard in support of my further submission. If you wish to be heard, please tick one of the following	
I wish to be heard in support of my further submission. I do not wish to be heard in support of my further submission. If you wish to be heard, please tick one of the following If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.	
I wish to be heard in support of my further submission. I do not wish to be heard in support of my further submission. If you wish to be heard, please tick one of the following If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing. If others make a similar submission, I will not consider presenting a joint case with them at a	
I wish to be heard in support of my further submission. I do not wish to be heard in support of my further submission. If you wish to be heard, please tick one of the following If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing. If others make a similar submission, I will not consider presenting a joint case with them at a	
I wish to be heard in support of my further submission. I do not wish to be heard in support of my further submission. If you wish to be heard, please tick one of the following If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing. If others make a similar submission, I will not consider presenting a joint case with them at a	
I wish to be heard in support of my further submission. I do not wish to be heard in support of my further submission. If you wish to be heard, please tick one of the following If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing. If others make a similar submission, I will not consider presenting a joint case with them at a	
I wish to be heard in support of my further submission. I do not wish to be heard in support of my further submission. If you wish to be heard, please tick one of the following If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing. If others make a similar submission, I will not consider presenting a joint case with them at a	
I wish to be heard in support of my further submission. I do not wish to be heard in support of my further submission. If you wish to be heard, please tick one of the following If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing. If others make a similar submission, I will not consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.	
I wish to be heard in support of my further submission. I do not wish to be heard in support of my further submission. If you wish to be heard, please tick one of the following If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing. If others make a similar submission, I will not consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing. 19 November 2022	
I wish to be heard in support of my further submission. I do not wish to be heard in support of my further submission. If you wish to be heard, please tick one of the following If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing. If others make a similar submission, I will not consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.	

A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.

Email your further submission to district.planning@kapiticoast.govt.nz or post/deliver to:

Attn: District Planning Team Kāpiti Coast District Council 175 Rimu Road Paraparaumu 5032

S224.FS.1

For office use only

Further submission No:

From: guysimpson@xtra.co.nz Mailbox - District Planning To: Subject: KCDC PC 2 - Submissions

Date: Saturday, 19 November 2022 8:47:40 pm

Attachments:

KCDC PC2 - S122 107- Kianga Ora -Brendon Liggett -form-6 signed.pdf KCDC PC2 - S0053.17 - Waka Kotahi -form-6 signed.pdf KCDC PC2 - S076.18 - Transpower -form-6 -signed.pdf

Please confirm receipt of these three submissions.

Thanks

Guy