

12 August 2026

Susan Jones
Senior Consultant
Mitchell Daysh Limited
Sent via: susan.jones@mitchelldaysh.co.nz

Dear Susan,

Re: Response re NZTA-005 (PC5 KCDC)

Hi Susan

Thank you for your email. NZTA has now reviewed the landscaping issue raised in relation to Designation NZTA-005 and has also discussed the matter with KCDC's Compliance and Monitoring team.

The vegetation identified in the certified landscape documentation is located both within and outside the existing designation.

Vegetation within Designation NZTA-005 remains subject to the designation and its conditions. Any future subdivision or development works within the designation would need to be considered at that stage, including whether NZTA approval is required under section 176 of the RMA.

For the vegetation outside the designation, NZTA has not identified any covenant, encumbrance or other registered requirement for that vegetation to be retained. KCDC has also confirmed that this vegetation was not required to be retained in perpetuity and that its removal by the landowner or developer would not result in NZTA being in breach of the designation conditions or certified landscape documentation.

On that basis, NZTA does not seek the retention of vegetation outside Designation NZTA-005 through PC5 and would not object to its removal as part of the future subdivision or development of the site.

The PC5 and subsequent subdivision plans should clearly show the designation boundary and distinguish between vegetation outside the designation that may be removed and vegetation within the designation that is to remain. Any works within the designation would continue to be considered separately under the designation conditions and, where applicable, section 176 of the RMA.

On this basis, NZTA considers the landscaping issue relating to vegetation outside the designation to be resolved.

Regards



Nathan Keenan
Consultant Planner