Submission on notified proposal for plan change



About preparing a submission on a proposed plan change

You must use the prescribed form

- <u>Clause 6</u>, Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) requires submissions to be on the prescribed form.
- The prescribed form is set out in <u>Form 5</u>, Schedule 1 of the Resource Management (Forms, Fees, and Procedure) Regulations 2003.
- This template is based on Form 5. While you do not have to use this template, your submission must be in accordance with Form 5.

Your submission and contact details will be made publicly available

- In accordance with <u>clause 7</u> of Schedule 1 of the RMA, the Council will make a summary of your submission publicly available. The contact details you provide will also be made publicly available, because under <u>clause 8A</u> of Schedule 1 of the RMA any further submission supporting or opposing your submission must be forwarded to you by the submitter (as well as being sent to Council).
- <u>Section 352</u> of the RMA allows you to choose your email to be your address for service. If you select this option, you can also request your postal address be withheld from being publicly available. To choose this option please tick the relevant boxes below.

Reasons why a submission may be struck out

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- o it is frivolous or vexatious
- o it discloses no reasonable or relevant case
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further
- o it contains offensive language
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

To Kāpiti Coast District Council

Submission on Proposed Plan Change 3 to the Operative Kapiti Coast District Plan 2021

Submitter details

Full name of submitter: Rhys Evans

Contact person (name and designation, if applicable): Rhys Evans

Postal address (or alternative method of service under section 352 of the RMA):

Telephone: 02902035796

Electronic address for service of submitter (i.e. email): rhys@hotmail.co.nz

| I would like my address for service to be my email [select box if applicable] | V |
|--|----------|
| I have selected email as my address for service, and I would also like my postal | |
| address withheld from being publicly available [select box if applicable] | Ľ |

Scope of submission

| regrated transport assessment | |
|-------------------------------|--|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

Submission

My submission is: [include whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended; and reasons for your views]

Ratanui road has a footpath down the left hand side as you exit the proposed development and head towards Mazengarb road. There is no footpatch on the right hand side - the development side. The development mentions extending the foot path from little farm up to opposite it's gate. This means residents (elderly citizens) crossing a road in a 60km/h zone. Further the development is for a retirement village where residents will likely have mobility scooters - other areas in Kapiti are 30km/h zones, not 60km/h. There is also mention in the mobility guidelines that the footpath should be wide enough for two mobility scooters to pass each other in opposite directions. Currently looking at the width of the footpath from 2 Ratanui Road to 38 Ratanui Road it's not clearly wide enough.

https://nzta.govt.nz/resources/21-06-horizontal-geometric-design-for-mobility-scooters/#:~: text=NZS%204121%20stipulates%20a%20width,NZS%204121%20specified%201.985m.

I see no provinces or references as to how residents will safely cross a 60km/h road from the entrance/exit of the property without traffic lights being installed on Ratanui Road. There's no mention of any traffic lights or a roundabout being built with the developer. Further there is concern around noise pollution from traffic lights and roundabouts especially for the commercial trucks using this thoroughfare from Paraparaumu to Waikanae expressway, the dump, green waste and other businesses such as Hood Transport.

If road/path changes are then required afterwards the burden of cost will fall onto rate payers. These factors should be considered during the plan change to ensure the developer contributes atleast 50% of the cost and we as rate payers should not bare the cost of changing the roading infrastructure to make access easier for a commercial enterprise that none of us benefit from.

The assessment further includes a vehicles per day figure taken in 2023. This figure does not take into account the additional further developments in the area that have since been approved with work under way - the 2000+ extra vehicle movements per day expected from the Mansell estate being built a few 100 metres up the road, and the recent subdivisons of a few Otaihanga properties. This makes it a little difficult to agree with the statement "it's been consluded that a new T-intersection with a right turn bay on Ratanui Road will accommodate traffic."

| Continue on a separate sheet if necessary | , |
|---|---|
| | |

| I seek the following decision from the Kāpiti Coast District Council: [give precise details] | | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| Rejection of current application based on lack of traffic and transport assess who is going to be paying for the infrastructure upgrades required for safety users. | sment, public safety and lack of clarity on | | |
| users. | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | Continue on a separate sheet if necessary | | |

| Hearing Submissions [select appropriate box] | | | |
|--|--|---|----------------------|
| I wish to be heard in support of my submission. | | | |
| I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission. | I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission. | | |
| If others make a similar submission, I will consider pres | enting a joint case wi | ith them at a hearing. | ✓ |
| If others make a similar submission, I will not consider p | oresenting a joint cas | e with them at a hearing. | $\overline{\square}$ |
| Signature of Submitter (or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter) A signature is not required if you make your submission Trade Competition [select the appropriate wordin] If you are a person who could gain an advantage in trat to make a submission may be limited by clause 6(4) of Management Act 1991. I could // I could not // gain an advantage in trad If you could gain an advantage in trade competition the following: I am // I am not // directly affected by an effect of (a) adversely affects the environment; and (b) does not relate to trade competition or the | g] de competition through the competition through through the subject matter | gh the submission, your right of the Resource gh this submission. nission, please complete of the submission that— | |
| Email your submission to district.planning@kapiticoast. | govt.nz or | For office use only | |
| post/deliver to: | | Submission No: | |
| Attn: District Planning Team | | | |
| Kāpiti Coast District Council | | | |
| 175 Rimu Road | | | |

Paraparaumu 5032