Takutai Kāpiti MCDA Weighting Chart

	#	Criteria	Description	Weighting	Key Reasons
Impact Criteria	1.	Ecology	 Impact or enhancement on indigenous biodiversity values and habitat; and ecosystem functioning within the coastal environment and surroundings. Ability to protect the natural adaptive capacity of the ecosystem. 		
	2.	Landscape	 Impact on the natural character of coastal environment and surroundings. Aesthetic outcomes of implementing the option and the meaning of this to the community. Ability to protect the natural adaptive capacity of natural character. 		
	3.	Te ao Māori values	 Impacts on or enhancement of the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga. Maintains access to, and enables the carrying out of customary activities, such as mahinga kai. 		
	4.	Community Social and Economic Wellbeing	 The community has choice around: Health and safety of the community Certainty around future of community Social cohesion within the community Maintain the insurability of personal assets. 		
	5.	Public Access and Recreation	 Wider community/district use of the coastal environment Opportunities for recreation Public access to the coastal environment 		
Technical Criteria	6.	Regulatory consenting and policy risk	 Regulatory consenting and policy risks of implementing an option including: Consenting requirements; District plan changes; and Consistency with statutory framework. Carbon footprint associated with the pathway. 		
	7.	Effectively manages the risks of coastal erosion	 Effectively manages the risks of Coastal Erosion. Proportionate to the nature and scale of the risk over time. Avoids the exacerbation of risk in other areas. Approaches are supported by best practice and a robust consideration of the science/Mātauranga 		
	8.	Effectively manages the risks of coastal inundation	 Effectively manages the risks of Coastal Flooding. Proportionate to the nature and scale of the risk over time. Avoids the exacerbation of risk in other areas. Approaches are supported by best practice and a robust consideration of the science/Mātauranga 		

<u>Guidance</u>

- All criteria must be 'weighted' on a scale of 1 to 3 (no half numbers)
- Weightings are assigned to reflect relative importance between criteria
- All criteria are important wouldn't be included if they weren't
- Weightings reflect that while all criteria are important, they are not all equally important to the task at hand
- The Panel must debate and ultimately agree which weighting to apply to each criteria