

Draft long term plan 2018-38 Consultation - supporting information

## Significant forecasting assumptions



## Significant forecasting assumptions

Schedule 10 of the Local Government Act 2002 requires that we identify the significant forecasting assumptions and risks used in setting our long term plan. Where there is a high level of uncertainty the Council is required to state the reason for the uncertainty, and provide an estimate of the potential effects on the financial assumptions.

The assumptions that underlie the financial forecasts in the 2018-38 long term plan are set out in the tables on the following pages. There are no significant changes to the assumptions used for the long term plan.

## Key assumptions and risks

| Forecasting assumptions  | Risk  | Level of<br>uncertainty                          | Reasons and financial effects of uncertainty  |
|--|---|--|---|
| Population growth  |   |  |   |
| The assumption is based on population growth forecasts<br>prepared by demographic specialists .id. in the long term<br>plan over the 20 year period to 2038. The .id. forecasts sit<br>between the medium and high projections pf Statistics New<br>Zealand. | In each area, population<br>growth across the district<br>occurs at a higher or lower<br>rate than assumed. | Low (shorter term)<br>Medium (up to 10<br>years) | Any significant increase in population growth above<br>projections will place greater demands on some<br>council services and facilities (such as wastewater,<br>water, stormwater, roading, libraries, community<br>facilities etc.) and raise expected operating<br>expenditure |
|  |   | High (beyond 10 years)                           | The financial implications for the Council should be<br>limited and able to be managed in the short term but<br>may need longer term responses.   |

| Census area units                   | 2013   | 2018   | 2023   | 2028   | 2033   | 2038   | 2043   | Change 2013-43 |
|-------------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|----------------|
| Ōtaki (including Ōtaki Beach)       | 6,011  | 6,273  | 6,604  | 6,895  | 7,070  | 7,244  | 7,405  | 1,394          |
| Ōtaki Forks – Kaitawa – Te Horo     | 3,708  | 3,870  | 3,971  | 4,040  | 4,151  | 4,242  | 4,352  | 644            |
| Waikanae Beach – Peka Peka          | 3,573  | 3,652  | 3,807  | 3,952  | 4,139  | 4,346  | 4,521  | 948            |
| Waikanae Park                       | 1,926  | 1,932  | 2,172  | 2,572  | 3,133  | 3,703  | 4,368  | 2,442          |
| Waikanae – Reikorangi               | 6,124  | 6,881  | 7,227  | 7,693  | 8,105  | 8,436  | 8,927  | 2,803          |
| Paraparaumu Beach North - Otaihanga | 4,919  | 5,146  | 5,326  | 5,469  | 5,646  | 5,774  | 5,884  | 965            |
| Paraparaumu Beach South             | 5,050  | 5,145  | 5,140  | 5,147  | 5,136  | 5,132  | 5,142  | 92             |
| Paraparaumu Central                 | 2,796  | 2,855  | 2,987  | 3,142  | 3,305  | 3,436  | 3,521  | 725            |
| Paraparaumu East                    | 2,278  | 2,290  | 2,379  | 2,482  | 2,624  | 2,772  | 2,864  | 586            |
| Paraparaumu North                   | 3,851  | 4,244  | 4,654  | 4,823  | 4,959  | 5,127  | 5,271  | 1,420          |
| Raumati Beach                       | 5,042  | 5,208  | 5,274  | 5,252  | 5,323  | 5,463  | 5,642  | 600            |
| Raumati South                       | 3,702  | 3,636  | 3,579  | 3,655  | 3,919  | 4,107  | 4,299  | 597            |
| Paekākāriki                         | 1,720  | 1,630  | 1,532  | 1,481  | 1,470  | 1,472  | 1,490  | (230)          |
| Kāpiti Coast district               | 50,700 | 52,762 | 54,652 | 56,603 | 58,980 | 61,254 | 63,686 | 12,986         |

Projected 2013 to 2043 usually resident in New Zealand population by area unit of usual residence

Source: Population and household forecasts from, 2013 to 2043, prepared by demographic specialists .id., in February 2017.

| Risk  | Level of<br>uncertainty   | Reasons and financial effect of uncertainty  |
|---|---|--|
|   |   |  |
| Household growth is less or<br>greater than projected | Low (short term)<br>Medium (up to 10<br>years)<br>High (beyond 10 | Infrastructure required for growth is budgeted to be<br>funded from development contributions.<br>If development is lower than planned, there would be<br>fewer requirements for infrastructure for growth and<br>the timing could change.<br>Any additional capital costs above the development<br>contributions would be funded from new debt. |
|   | Household growth is less or                                       | Household growth is less or greater than projected Medium (up to 10 years)   |

## Projected 2013 to 2043 occupied private households by area unit

| Census area unit                    | 2013   | 2018   | 2023   | 2028   | 2033   | 2038   | 2043   | Change 2013-43 |
|-------------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|----------------|
| Ōtaki (including Ōtaki Beach)       | 2,615  | 2,738  | 2,884  | 2,987  | 3,067  | 3,132  | 3,205  | 590            |
| Ōtaki Forks – Kaitawa – Te Horo     | 1,516  | 1,600  | 1,671  | 1,743  | 1,797  | 1,847  | 1,898  | 382            |
| Waikanae Beach – Peka Peka          | 1,520  | 1,584  | 1,671  | 1,751  | 1,837  | 1,908  | 1,980  | 460            |
| Waikanae Park                       | 888    | 906    | 1,026  | 1,212  | 1,440  | 1,669  | 1,937  | 1,049          |
| Waikanae – Reikorangi               | 2,837  | 3,080  | 3,242  | 3,444  | 3,607  | 3,740  | 3,951  | 1,114          |
| Paraparaumu Beach North - Otaihanga | 1,924  | 2,004  | 2,076  | 2,133  | 2,206  | 2,262  | 2,314  | 390            |
| Paraparaumu Beach South             | 2,155  | 2,197  | 2,230  | 2,268  | 2,293  | 2,310  | 2,326  | 171            |
| Paraparaumu Central                 | 1,276  | 1,304  | 1,345  | 1,397  | 1,450  | 1,497  | 1,530  | 254            |
| Paraparaumu East                    | 842    | 871    | 890    | 918    | 970    | 1,026  | 1,061  | 219            |
| Paraparaumu North                   | 1,602  | 1,767  | 1,897  | 1,956  | 2,022  | 2,088  | 2,150  | 548            |
| Raumati Beach                       | 2,100  | 2,181  | 2,230  | 2,277  | 2,336  | 2,402  | 2,473  | 373            |
| Raumati South                       | 1,439  | 1,444  | 1,464  | 1,524  | 1,612  | 1,696  | 1,781  | 342            |
| Paekākāriki                         | 715    | 730    | 746    | 762    | 778    | 792    | 805    | 90             |
| Kāpiti Coast district               | 21,429 | 22,406 | 23,372 | 24,372 | 25,415 | 26,369 | 27,411 | 5,982          |

Source: Population and household forecasts from 2013 to 2043, prepared by demographic specialists .id, in February 2017

| Forecasting assumptions  | Risk  | Level of<br>uncertainty            | Reasons and financial effect of uncertainty   |
|--|---|------------------------------------|---|
| Increase in rating base<br>The rating base is projected to increase by 0.71% in 2018/19<br>From 2018 to 2038 the growth in the rating base is estimated<br>to be an average of 0.73% per year. | Rating unit growth occurs at<br>higher or lower rates than<br>assumed in the district | Low                                | Economic conditions and the discretionary nature of<br>the housing market can cause variations in rating unit<br>growth from that assumed<br>The main financial effect of slower than projected<br>growth can be a reduction in budgeted development<br>contributions and rates revenue<br>The financial effect of lower than projected growth<br>could result in marginally higher rate increases. The<br>Council considers that it has been conservative in its |
|  |   |                                    | estimated growth in the rating base so that the risks<br>are relatively low   |
| Interest rates   |   |                                    |   |
| In preparing the annual plan, the Council has assumed long-<br>term interest rates for new debt of 4.8%  | The prevailing interest rates<br>will differ significantly from<br>those estimated    | Low short term<br>Medium long term | Increases in interest rates flow through to higher debt servicing costs and higher rates funding requirements   |
|  |   |                                    | The Council has mitigated interest risk using interest<br>rate swaps and is governed by a robust Treasury<br>Management Policy that prescribes best practice<br>interest risk and debt concentration risk covenants   |

| Forecasting assumptions   | Risk  | Level of<br>uncertainty                        | Reasons and financial effects of uncertainty   |
|---|---|--|--|
| Levels of service   |   |  |  |
| This long term plan does not include any significant changes to its activity service levels.  | The prevailing service levels<br>will differ significantly from<br>those estimated. | Low  | Community outcomes could increase levels of service<br>thereby increasing both debt and rates funding beyond<br>the quantified limits.   |
| Inflation impact on expenditure budget  |   |  |  |
| The Council has indexed all operating and capital costs to<br>reflect monetary changes over the life of the long term plan.<br>Price changes have been indexed using the price adjustors<br>as revised by BERL Economics in September 2017 (see table | Actual inflation exceeds<br>budgeted inflation.                                     | Low (short term)<br>Medium (up to 10<br>years) | If the Reserve Bank continues to keep general<br>inflation low the projected impacts of changes to<br>pricing levels could be relatively minor but if inflation<br>increases beyond the projected levels the<br>accumulative impact of higher inflation could have a<br>major long-term impact on the rates requirement. |
| next page).   |   | High (10 years to 20<br>years)                 |  |

|                                    | 2018/19 | 2019/20 | 2020/21 | 2021/22 | 2022/23 | 2023/24 | 2024/25 | 2025/26 | 2026/27 | 2027/28 |
|------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Yearly                             | 1       | 2       | 3       | 4       | 5       | 6       | 7       | 8       | 9       | 10      |
| Roading                            | 2.00%   | 2.20%   | 2.20%   | 2.30%   | 2.40%   | 2.40%   | 2.50%   | 2.60%   | 2.70%   | 2.80%   |
| Planning and regulation            | 2.00%   | 2.10%   | 2.10%   | 2.10%   | 2.20%   | 2.30%   | 2.30%   | 2.40%   | 2.40%   | 2.50%   |
| Transport                          | 2.00%   | 2.00%   | 2.10%   | 2.20%   | 2.20%   | 2.30%   | 2.40%   | 2.50%   | 2.50%   | 2.70%   |
| Energy                             | 2.40%   | 2.30%   | 2.30%   | 2.40%   | 2.40%   | 2.50%   | 2.50%   | 2.60%   | 2.36%   | 2.42%   |
| Staff                              | 2.50%   | 2.50%   | 2.50%   | 2.50%   | 2.50%   | 2.50%   | 2.50%   | 2.60%   | 2.20%   | 2.23%   |
| Local government cost index        | 2.00%   | 2.20%   | 2.20%   | 2.20%   | 2.30%   | 2.30%   | 2.40%   | 2.50%   | 2.60%   | 2.70%   |
| Water and environmental management | 2.30%   | 2.50%   | 2.30%   | 2.40%   | 2.40%   | 2.50%   | 2.60%   | 2.60%   | 2.70%   | 2.80%   |
| Community activities               | 1.70%   | 2.00%   | 2.10%   | 2.10%   | 2.20%   | 2.30%   | 2.30%   | 2.40%   | 2.40%   | 2.60%   |
| Insurance                          | 2.30%   | 2.30%   | 2.30%   | 2.40%   | 2.40%   | 2.50%   | 2.50%   | 2.60%   | 2.34%   | 2.38%   |
| Source: BERL Economics, Septem     | ber2017 |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |

Price adjustors: annual percentage change from September 2017

|                                    | 2028/29 | 2029/30 | 2030/31 | 2031/32 | 2032/33 | 2033/34 | 2034/35 | 2035/36 | 2036/37 | 2037/38 |
|------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Yearly                             | 11      | 12      | 13      | 14      | 15      | 16      | 17      | 18      | 19      | 20      |
| Roading                            | 2.80%   | 2.80%   | 2.80%   | 2.80%   | 2.80%   | 2.80%   | 2.80%   | 2.80%   | 2.80%   | 2.80%   |
| Planning and regulation            | 2.50%   | 2.50%   | 2.50%   | 2.50%   | 2.50%   | 2.50%   | 2.50%   | 2.50%   | 2.50%   | 2.50%   |
| Transport                          | 2.70%   | 2.70%   | 2.70%   | 2.70%   | 2.70%   | 2.70%   | 2.70%   | 2.70%   | 2.70%   | 2.70%   |
| Energy                             | 2.42%   | 2.42%   | 2.42%   | 2.42%   | 2.42%   | 2.42%   | 2.42%   | 2.42%   | 2.42%   | 2.42%   |
| Staff                              | 2.23%   | 2.23%   | 2.23%   | 2.23%   | 2.23%   | 2.23%   | 2.23%   | 2.23%   | 2.23%   | 2.23%   |
| Local government cost index        | 2.70%   | 2.70%   | 2.70%   | 2.70%   | 2.70%   | 2.70%   | 2.70%   | 2.70%   | 2.70%   | 2.70%   |
| Water and environmental management | 2.80%   | 2.80%   | 2.80%   | 2.80%   | 2.80%   | 2.80%   | 2.80%   | 2.80%   | 2.80%   | 2.80%   |
| Community activities               | 2.60%   | 2.60%   | 2.60%   | 2.60%   | 2.60%   | 2.60%   | 2.60%   | 2.60%   | 2.60%   | 2.60%   |
| Insurance                          | 2.38%   | 2.38%   | 2.38%   | 2.38%   | 2.38%   | 2.38%   | 2.38%   | 2.38%   | 2.38%   | 2.38%   |

Source: BERL Economics, the year 10 rate is assumed for years 11 to 20, September2017

| Forecasting assumptions   | Risk   | Level of<br>uncertainty | Reasons and financial effects of uncertainty  |
|---|--|-------------------------|---|
| Loans<br>New loans will be taken out for a maximum period of 20<br>years except for those projects that have an average asset<br>life of 40 years or more   | Loans are unable to be repaid<br>in the planned maximum loan<br>periods  | Low                     | The Council plans to fully fund depreciation by year five and further rates fund accelerated debt repayment from year five  |
| Local Government Funding Agency (LGFA) guarantee  |  |                         |   |
| Each of the shareholders of the LGFA is a party to a Deed of<br>Guarantee, whereby the parties to the deed guarantee the<br>obligations of the LGFA and the guarantee obligations of<br>other participating local authorities to the LGFA, in the event<br>of default | In the event of a default by the<br>LGFA, each guarantor is liable<br>to pay a proportion of the<br>amount owing. The proportion<br>to be paid by each respective<br>guarantor is set in relation to<br>each guarantor's rating base | Low                     | The Council believes the risk of the guarantee being called on<br>and any financial loss arising from the guarantee is low. The<br>likelihood of a local authority borrower defaulting is<br>extremely low and all the borrowings by a local authority from<br>the LGFA are secured by a rates charge                 |
| Renewal of external funding<br>It is assumed that council will be able to refinance existing<br>loans on similar terms  | The new borrowings cannot be<br>accessed to fund future capital<br>requirements  | Low                     | The Council minimises its liquidity risk by having sufficient<br>credit facilities in place to cover any shorter-term borrowing<br>requirements. The Council's current strategy is to maintain a<br>\$20 million credit facility for the next 20 years, with the option<br>to review for most cost-effective solution |
| Local Government Funding Agency   |  |                         |   |
| The Council remains a shareholder and borrows direct from the LGFA that was developed to source lower-cost funding  | LGFA rating falls or lower cost<br>funding will not be achieved  | Low                     | As a non-shareholder of the LGFA, the lending margins would be higher which would affect the proposed rating levels   |
| NZ Transport Agency (NZTA)  |  |                         |   |
| The Council has projected a subsidy rate of:<br>50% in 2018/19, increasing to 51% in 2019/20  | Changes in the subsidy rate<br>and variations in criteria for<br>inclusion in the qualifying<br>programme of works   | Low                     | If the level of subsidy decreases there needs to be either a<br>reduction in the roading work programme or an increase in<br>funding from alternative source (e.g. rates)   |

| Forecasting assumptions  | Risk  | Level of<br>uncertainty   | Reasons and financial effects of uncertainty  |
|--|---|---|---|
| Sources of funds for the future replacement of significant<br>assets<br>Sources of funds for operating and capital expenditure are as<br>per the Revenue and Financing Policy  | Sources of funds are not<br>achieved or are not accessible  | Low   | Funding of all asset replacements during the life of the 20-<br>year plan has been disclosed. The primary funding for asset<br>replacements is depreciation (funded through rates) and<br>loans.<br>The Council is able to access loans at levels forecast within<br>the long term plan   |
| Useful lives of significant assets   |   |   |   |
| The useful lives of significant assets with the appropriate<br>depreciation rates are shown in the Significant Accounting<br>Policies<br>It is assumed that the useful lives will remain the same<br>throughout the 20-year period | Assets need to be replaced<br>earlier or later than budgeted  | Low – Asset lives are<br>based upon the<br><i>National Asset</i><br><i>Management Manual</i><br>guidelines and have<br>been assessed by<br>independent qualified<br>valuers and engineers | The financial effects of the uncertainty are relatively low. If<br>capital expenditure was required earlier than anticipated,<br>then depreciation and debt servicing costs could increase<br>If assets need replacing earlier, this could lead to the Council<br>reprioritising capital projects to mitigate the financial impacts |
| It is assumed that assets will be replaced at the end of their useful lives  | The Council activities change,<br>resulting in decisions not to<br>replace existing assets                                      | Low   | The Council has a comprehensive asset management<br>planning process. Where a decision is made not to replace an<br>asset, this will be factored into capital projections   |
| Planned asset acquisitions (as per the capital expenditure<br>programme) shall be depreciated on the same basis as<br>existing assets.   | More detailed analysis of<br>planned capital projects may<br>alter the useful life and<br>therefore the depreciation<br>expense | Low   | Asset capacity and condition is monitored, with replacement<br>works being planned accordingly. Depreciation is calculated<br>in accordance with accounting and asset management<br>requirements  |
| Revaluation of property, plant and equipment   |   |   |   |

| Forecasting assumptions   | Risk   | Level of<br>uncertainty                             | Reasons and financial effects of uncertainty  |
|---|--|---|---|
| <ul> <li>The Council is planning to complete annual revaluations of certain asset classes, as per a rolling programme where:</li> <li>roads, including land under roads is revalued two-yearly;</li> <li>three waters (water, wastewater and stormwater) are revalued two-yearly (alternate years to roading);</li> <li>land and property are revalued three-yearly.</li> </ul> The following assumptions have been applied to all projected asset revaluations: <ul> <li>revaluation movements shall equate to the inflation rates applied for all depreciable property, plant and equipment (refer 'Inflation' section);</li> <li>the depreciable assets council land including land under roads is forecast to increase by projected inflation rates.</li> </ul> | That actual revaluation<br>movements will be significantly<br>different from those forecast  | Low (short term)<br>Moderate to high<br>(long-term) | Most of the Council's depreciable property, plant and<br>equipment assets are valued on an optimised depreciated<br>replacement cost basis. Therefore, using the projected local<br>government inflation rate as a proxy for revaluation<br>movements is appropriate and consistent with the treatment<br>of price changes generally within the long term plan. |
| Revaluation of other assets<br>It is assumed that the value of all other assets (e.g.<br>investment properties) accounted for at fair/market value will<br>remain constant across the 20-year plan.   | The actual revaluation<br>movements will be significantly<br>different from those forecasts. | Moderate  | For assets valued at market value (based on sales evidence),<br>values have been assumed to remain constant. This reflects<br>the wide disparity in views on the sustainability of current<br>residential market prices. This assumption has no impact on<br>depreciation as these assets are not depreciated.  |
| Resource consent standards  |  |   |   |

| Forecasting assumptions   | Risk  | Level of<br>uncertainty | Reasons and financial effects of uncertainty  |
|---|---|-------------------------|---|
| Resource consent standards for water sources and for<br>stormwater and wastewater discharges from council<br>infrastructure will be monitored at the present high levels<br>set. Council will continue to monitor and manage resource<br>consents for the River Recharge with Groundwater project to<br>the same high standard that has seen us achieve four star<br>ratings in both the last two years from Greater Wellington<br>Regional Council | Resource consent conditions<br>will alter, and significantly<br>increased standards will lead<br>to higher costs.<br>Consents are delayed through<br>appeals to the Environment<br>Court.<br>All councils can to renew<br>existing resource consent upon<br>expiry. | Low                     | Treatment costs higher than the current standards could lead<br>to higher operating and maintenance costs<br>Appeals to the Environment Court could result in higher legal<br>costs and delays in consents<br>The Council was fully compliant with its existing resource<br>consents and does not contemplate major variances in the<br>current requirements in the first 10 years. Over the longer<br>term there is a greater risk of conditions changing. |
| Development contributions<br>Significant assumptions in relation to development<br>contributions are included within the Development<br>Contributions Policy.   | If growth is higher or lower<br>than forecast, the level of<br>development contributions<br>collected could be insufficient<br>to cover the costs of the<br>additional infrastructure<br>required to meet the needs of<br>Kāpiti's future population.               | Low                     | The growth assumptions within the Development<br>Contributions Policy are considered robust as they are based<br>on the .id. modelling on population assumptions used across<br>the long term plan.   |
| Leaky homes liability   | The level of the claims and   |                         | The Council's exposure to leaky home claims is much lower   |

| Forecasting assumptions  | Risk   | Level of<br>uncertainty | Reasons and financial effects of uncertainty   |
|--|--|-------------------------|--|
| While the 2005 changes to the Building Act 2004 have led to a reduction of weather-tight claims against the Council, a provision for claims against the Council is still required                              | settlements is higher than<br>provided for within the long<br>term plan.   | Low                     | than some other councils' provisions for projected exposure,<br>which have been made from contingency funds  |
| Wellington Regional Strategy   |  |                         |  |
| Following a review of the Wellington Regional Strategy focus<br>areas, it is assumed that the strategy will continue to be<br>implemented for the next 20 years, subject to public approval<br>of the proposal | The Wellington Regional<br>Strategy is discontinued  | Low                     | Kāpiti Coast District Council is part of the governance of the<br>Wellington Regional Strategy and must agree to any<br>outcomes   |
| Government policy  |  |                         |  |
| It is assumed that the central government policy framework<br>will continue to provide a stable working and statutory<br>framework for local government  | Government policy<br>amendments may result in<br>new legislation that results in<br>significant resource and<br>financial implications | Medium to high          | There is a tradition of central government imposing costs and<br>responsibility on local government without associated funding<br>(e.g. gambling law reform, prostitution law reform, building<br>compliance and building legislation).<br>In recent years there has been new legislation (the Food Act<br>2014 and the Building (Pools) Amendment Act 2016) and<br>amendments to the Building Act 2004 in regard to<br>Earthquake-Prone Buildings and changes to the basis for<br>accreditation as a building consent authority. These changes<br>have added significant additional responsibilities, training<br>requirements and regulatory processes for the Council |
| The Regional Amenities Fund<br>It is assumed that this initiative continues to be supported<br>across the region and that the Council will allocate funding<br>towards the Regional Amenities Fund             | Not all councils continue to<br>participate in the Regional<br>Amenities Fund  | Low                     | At a certain funding level the Regional Amenities Fund will<br>become less viable as there will be insufficient funding to<br>justify its continuation   |